Reach S-E41 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread F Monroe County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	\checkmark
SWVM Form	\checkmark
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – (slope >4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	\checkmark
Water Quality Data	\checkmark
RBP Habitat Form	\checkmark
RBP Benthic Form	\checkmark
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No flow
Wolman Pebble Count	\checkmark
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	\checkmark
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	\checkmark

Spread F

Stream S-E41 (Pipeline ROW) Monroe County



Photo Type: DS, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of Right of Way, Downstream View, AK/AG



Photo Type: DS, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of Right of Way, Upstream View, AK/AG

Spread F

Stream S-E41 (Pipeline ROW)

Monroe County



Photo Type: CP, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Downstream View, AK/AG



Photo Type: CP, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center Right of Way, Upstream View, AK/AG

Spread F



Photo Type: US, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of Right of Way, Downstream View, AK/AG



Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of Right of Way, Upstream View, AK/AG

"Q:\Charleston\2021 Projects\21-0244- MVP- STREAM AND WETLAND CONDITIONS ASSESSMENT AND SURVEY PLAN\002 - Pre-Crossing

West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017


USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountai	n Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.450692	Lon.	-80.66765	WEATHER:	7	70 % C
IMPACT STREAM/SITE II (watershed size {acreage			S-E41 UNT	to Dry Creek		MITIGATION STREAM CL (watershed size)	ASS./SITE ID AND {acreage}, unaltered or in				
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	23	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existin	ng Condition (Debi	it)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing (Condition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigat Post Com	tion Projected at Fiv	e Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Pro Post Completion		Ten Ye
Stream Classification:	Intermi	ittent	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:		
Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0.5	Percent Stream Channel SI	оре		Percent Stream Chan	inel Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	
HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (a	attach data forms):		HGM Score (attach	data form	s):
		Average		Average				Average			
Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		
Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling		<u> </u>
Habitat		U	Habitat	V		Habitat			Habitat		<u> </u>
PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Indica	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical ar	nd Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chem	nical and Biological	Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biologic	al Indi
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Ra	nge Site Score		Points Scale	Range
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all	streams classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all strea	ms classifica	itions)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sh	neet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	9	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cove			1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	T
2. Embeddedness	0-20	7	2. Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20	-
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	6	3. Pool Variability	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	-
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	8	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	-
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.4	15	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0-1
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	12	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	0-1
Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	5	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	13	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	14	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	8	Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)		
Total RBP Score	Marginal	97	Total RBP Score	Poor 0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Pc	oor
Sub-Total		0.485	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Perennial Stre	eams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Int	termittent and Perennial	Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Per	ennial \$
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Generation	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (G	ieneral)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gener	ral)	
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity	0		Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		
	0-90	552		0-90			0-90			0-90	
500-599 - 50 points		001									_
рН		63	рН			рН			рН		
	0-80	7.55		5-90 0-1			5-90 0	-1		5-90	0-1
6.0-8.0 = 80 points			DO			DO			DO		-
DO			DO			DO			DO		-
>5.0 = 30 points	10-30	5.65		10-30			10-30			10-30	
Sub-Total		0.8	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennial S		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to	o Intermittent and Pere	ennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inte	ermittent and	d Perer
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSC			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
· · · · ·	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0	1		0-100	0-1
0	0-100 0-1			0.000 0-1			0-100 0	•		0-100	0-1
Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	J	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		
	Unit Coore	1	DADT II. Index and		1		an and Unit Cases		DADT II. Index and	Unit Coor	

PART II - Index and U	nit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.643	23	14.7775

PART II - Index and Unit Score		
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0	0	0

PART II - Index and Unit Score		
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0	0	0

	0-100	0-1
Sub-Total		
PART II - Index and U	nit Score	
Index	Linear	Feet
0	0	





PART II - Index and Unit Score			
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	
0	0	0	

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAMES-E41 UNT TO DI	y Creek LOCAT	LOCATION Monnroe/F		
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAT	A CLASS Intermittent		
LATLONG	COUNT	COUNTY Monroe		
STORET # AGENCYPotesta				
INVESTIGATORSABK/AG				
FORM COMPLETED BY A. Kind	caid DATE TIME	/20/2021 TO PM REASON FOR SURVEY Preliminary Assessment		

	Name Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?
WEATHER CONDITIONS	hours Yes No
	storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain)
	70 % showers (intermittent) Other Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
Ċ	Veryment
2º2	1000000000000000
0 kg	0000000
200	700000 V//
그군	
1-	V VV
	Sparse tion v v v v
	vege
	1,08 11
	V CO
	205 W V V
	200 V
	Silt Fence tt
	1 Joint
	t t
STREAM	Stream Type
CHARACTERIZATION	Perennial Intermittent Tidal Coldwater Warmwater
	Stream Origin Catchment Area km ²
	Swamp and bog
	м Эл

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES	Predominant Surrounding Landuse Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural Other Residential	Local Watershed NPS Pollution No evidence Some potential sources Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate
RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Indicate the dominant type and record the dom Trees Dominant species present	Grasses Herbaceous
INSTREAM FEATURES	Estimated Reach Length 57 ft m Estimated Stream Width 1.5 ft m Sampling Reach Area 85.5 ft^2 m² Area in km² (m²x1000) km² Estimated Stream Depth 0.2 ft m Surface Velocity (at thalweg) 0.2 these m/sec Stream Dry []	Canopy Cover Partly shaded □ Shaded Partly open Partly shaded □ Shaded High Water Mark m Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle ¹⁰ % Poolo % Run90 % Channelized ☑ Yes Dam Present ☑ Yes
LARGE WOODY DEBRIS	LWD 0 m ² Density of LWD 0 m ² /km ² (LWD/ res	ach area)
AQUATIC VEGETATION	Indicate the dominant type and record the dom Rooted emergent Rooted submergent Floating Algae Attached Algae Dominant species present	Rooted floating Free floating
WATER QUALITY	Temperature 24.5 ° C Specific Conductance 552.0 Dissolved Oxygen 5.65 pH 7.55 Turbidity 3.32 WQ Instrument Used YSI/turbidity Meter	Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other Water Surface Oils Slick Slick Sheen Globs None Other Turbidity (if not measured) Turbid Clear Slightly turbid Turbid Opaque Stained Other
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE	Odors Normal Sewage Chemical Anaerobic Other Oils Absent Slight Moderate Profuse	Deposits Sludge Sawdust Paper fiber ✓Sand Relict shells Other Epoking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color? Yes No
INORGANIC SU	BSTRATE COMPONENTS	DRGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add			
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area	
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant	~5	
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0		materials (CPOM)	<5	
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	5	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic	0	
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	5		(FPOM)	0	
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	15	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0	
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	75]			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0				

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAMES-E41 UNT To Dry Creek	LOCATION		
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent		
LAT LONG	COUNTY Monroe		
STORET #	AGENCYPotesta		
INVESTIGATORSABK/AG			
FORM COMPLETED BY A. Kincaid	DATE <u>3/20/2021</u> TIME <u>1200 PM</u> AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Preliminary Assessment		

	Habitat		Condition	Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.		
	SCORE 9	potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10 0 0 7 7			
	SCORE •	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🧕 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
ı sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.		
ted in	score 7 ▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 👩 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime N/A	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).		
Iram	_{score} 6 –	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 🔞	5 4 3 2 1 0		
P	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
	score 8	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 🚷 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
	5. Channel Flow Status N/A	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
	SCORE 15	20 19 18 17 16	13 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		

Rapid Bioassessment Protocols For Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates, and Fish, Second Edition - Form 2

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.		
	_{SCORE} 12 ▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.		
samp	_{SCORE} 5	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing documentation.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of crosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.		
e eva	SCORE 6	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🙆	5 4 3	2 1 0		
s to b	SCORE 7	Right Bank 10 9	8 👩 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.		
	$\frac{6}{2}$	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 👩	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	score 8 ▼,	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.		
	SCORE $\frac{4}{4}$	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 🖪 3	2 1 0		
	score 4	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 🖪 3	2 1 0		

Total Score 97

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAMES-E	41 UNT To Dry Creek	LOCATION						
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent						
LAT	LONG	COUNTY Monroe	~					
STORET #		AGENCYPotesta						
INVESTIGATORSAL	3K/AG		LOT NUMBER					
FORM COMPLETED	^{BY} A. Kincaid	DATE 8/20/2021 TIME 1200 PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Preliminary Assessment					
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of Cobble_% S Submerged Macrophytes	each habitat type present nags% □Vegetated B % □Other (anks% □Sand%)%					
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame How were the samples coll Indicate the number of jat CobbleSn Submerged Macrophytes	ected? ☐wading ☐ f s/kicks taken in each habitat ty ags ☐Vegetated B	anks Sand					
GENERAL COMMENTS								

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						


SITE ID: S-E41 UNT to Dry Creek DATE: 8/20/21 COLLECTOR(S): ABK/AG


Volman Pebi	ble Count (Re	ach Wide)	S. Consection				neo las realit	8-617794 TS		NOTES:
6062	1.062	2.062	175	30	60	25	10	60	140	90% silt substrate
2.062	1.062	2.062	2.062	1.062	20	4	19	60	60	7010 BITT SOUGHWIE
35	2.062	2.062	6.062	<.06Z	1.062	70	L.062	45	6-062	
1.062	1.062	6.062	6.062	2.062	6.062	6.062	L.062	L062	2.062	
1.662	1.062	2.062	1.062	1.062	6.062	6.062		6.062	L.062	
L.062	1.067	46	2.062	4.062	6.062	6.062	L.062	6.062	2.062	
1.662	6.062	1.062	6.25	4062	4.062	L.062	L.(6Z	6.062	L.062	
6.062	1.062	2062	0.26	1.062	1.062	4.062	L.06Z	L. U.S.		
1.062	1.062	1.062	1.067	1.062			6.062			
1.062	6.062	160	1.062	1.062	2.062	L.062	1.42	1.062		
Riffle Pebble	Count			1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 199	E. A. C. M.	1211-222-5	11212	- Water and	1 533516	NOTES:


Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters	
	Sift / Clay	<.062	S/C
	Very Fine	.062125	0
	Fine	.12525	S
	Medium	.2550	S A N D
	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	D
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2	-
.0816	Very Fine	2-4	100
.16 - 22	Fine	4-5.7	的
2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	G
.3144	Medium	8 - 11.3	G R A V
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 15	v
63 - 89	Coarse	16+22.6	E
.89 - 1.3	Coarse	22.6 - 32	U
1.3 - 1.8	Very Coarse	32 - 45	1000
1.8 - 2.5	Very Coarse	45-64	123
2.5 - 3,5	Small	64 - 90	Hai
3.5-5.0	Small	90 - 128	KI OK
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	X R
7.1 - 10.1	Large	160 - 256	as
10,1 - 14,3	Small	256 - 362	
14,3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	ų į
20-40	Medium	512 - 1024	HÈ
40 - 80	Large-Vry Large	1024 - 2048	DIADE
	Bedrock		BDRK

	NOTES:

Bankfull Channel	•					
Material	Size R	lange (mm)	Count			
silt/clay			81			
very fine sand	0.062	- 0.125				
fine sand			2			
medium sand	0.25	- 0.5				1
coarse sand	0.5	- 1				
very coarse sand	1	- 2				
very fine gravel	2	- 4	1			
fine gravel	4	- 6				
fine gravel	6	- 8			percent finer than	
medium gravel	8	- 11	1		r th	
medium gravel	11	- 16	1		ne	
coarse gravel	16	- 22	1		lt fi	
coarse gravel		- 32	2		Ser	
very coarse gravel		- 45	1		Der	
very coarse gravel		- 64	6		<u>.</u>	
small cobble		- 90	1			
medium cobble		- 128				
large cobble	128	- 180	3			
very large cobble		- 256				
small boulder		- 362				
small boulder		- 512				
medium boulder	-	- 1024				
large boulder		- 2048				
very large boulder	2048	- 4096				
tota	al parti	cle count:	100			
bedrock						
clay hardpan						
detritus/wood				1		
artificial				┨║		
	to	tal count:	100			
Note:						







- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

S-E41 BASELINE CROSS-SECTION A

		CRO ALE		SECT H: V:	<u>'IOI</u> 1" 1"
-			EXI	STING	GR/
	С	<u>ROS</u>	<u>s se</u>	CTION	LEC

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

