#### **Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-H64 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread E Nicholas County, West Virginia

Data	Included			
Photos	✓			
SWVM Form	✓			
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓			
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓			
Water Quality Data	N/A –Low flow			
RBP Habitat Form	✓			
RBP Benthic Form	✓			
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A –Low flow			
Wolman Pebble Count	✓			
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	✓			
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓			



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of Right of Way, Upstream View, EW/AG/WP



Photo Type: DS Edge ROW, DS View
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, EW/AG/WP



Photo Type: C ROW, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center Right of Way, Upstream View, EW/AG/WP



Photo Type: C ROW, DS View
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center of Right of Way, Downstream View, EW/AG/WP



Photo Type: US Edge ROW, US View
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of Right of Way, Upstream View, EW/AG/WP



Photo Type: US Edge ROW, DS View
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge Right of Way, Downstream View, EW/AG/WP

#### West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountair	n Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	38.116279 Lon.	-80.735319	WEATHER:	Clear/Sunny, 70 °F	DATE:	9/2/2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE I (watershed size {acreag			S-H64 UNT to	Hominy Creek		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./SITE ID A (watershed size {acreage}, unaltered o				Comments:	
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	87	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		Mitigation Length:	
Column No. 1- Impact Existi	ting Condition (Deb	it)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Projected at Post Completion (Credit)	Five Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (C		Column No. 5- Mitigation Projec	cted at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Interm	ittent	Stream Classification:		5	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	20	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe		Percent Stream Channel Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe 0	Percent Stream Channel S	Slope 0
HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attach d	lata forms):		HGM Score (attach data form	ns):	HGM Score (attach dat	ta forms):	HGM Score (attach	data forms):
		Average		Average			Average		Average		Average
Hydrology	0.51	0.00	Hydrology			lydrology		Hydrology		Hydrology	
Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0.18	0.26	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0
PART I - Physical, Chemical ar		ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	ľ	PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biologic	cal Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and E	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Indicators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale	Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all strea	ams classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)	F	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classification	ons)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)		ι	JSEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	2	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20
Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	4	2. Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		2. Embeddedness 0-20 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20	Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20
Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20	11	Pool Variability     Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20	3	3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-20 4. Sediment Deposition 0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20	Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	9	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	-	5. Channel Flow Status 0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	Sediment Deposition     Channel Flow Status	0-20
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration 0-20	0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	5	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	16	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		B. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	16	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)		8	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	1	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	
Total RBP Score	Marginal	95	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Ī	Total RBP Score Poo	or 0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0
Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ittent and Perennial Stre	0.475 eams)	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Streams)	li i	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Pereni	nial Streams)	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Perennial Streams)	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	tent and Perennial Streams)
		,		,			,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gener Specific Conductivity	rai)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	11)
opecine conductivity			opecinic conductivity		-			opecine conductivity		opecine conductivity	
100-199 - 85 points	0-90			0-90		0-90			0-90		0-90
pH .			рН		F	H		рН		pH	
	0-80			5-90 0-1	ļ ļ	5-90	0-1		5-90 0-1		5-90 0-1
5.6-5.9 = 45 points					-						
DO			DO		- 1	JU		DO		DO	
	10-30			10-30		10-30			10-30		10-30
Sub-Total			Sub-Total	0	li i	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	rmittent and Perennial S	Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Streams)	_	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and P	Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Perennial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		V	MV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
n	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1		0-100	0-1		0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1
Sub-Total	1	0	Sub-Total	0	5	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
					<b>u</b> _			<del>_</del>			
PART II - Index and	d Unit Score		PART II - Index and I	Jnit Score	ſſ	PART II - Index and Unit Score	e	PART II - Index and Un	it Score	PART II - Index and	Unit Score
The state of the s			The state of the s		-	Take in made and diffe door		The state of the s		Truct III III III III III III III III III I	
					ļ.						
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	<b> </b>	Index Linear	Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score
					-						
0.449	87	39.04125	0	0 0		0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0

#### FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V<sub>CCANOPY</sub> (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: MVP Preliminary Assessment

Location: UNT to Hominy Creek

Sampling Date: 9-2-2021 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Intermittent Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-H64

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary: Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.51
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.18
Habitat	0.09

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V <sub>EMBED</sub>	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.00	0.10
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
$V_{BERO}$	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	14.93	1.00
V <sub>LWD</sub>	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>TDBH</sub>	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	7.46	0.11
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Riparian vegetation species richness.	5.08	1.00
V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	13.33	0.16
V <sub>HERB</sub>	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	86.67	1.00
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.96	1.00

			High-G		Headwat Data She				palachia r	a		
	Toom		/D	rieiu L	Jala Sile	et and C	aicu			A Northing:	20 116270	
D		EW, AG, W							_atitude/UTN	-		
Pro	ject Name:		-	ssment				L	ongitude/UT	•		)
	Location:	UNT to Ho	miny Creek						Sam	pling Date:	9-2-2021	
SA	R Number:	S-H64	Reach	Length (ft):	Length (ft): 67 Stream Type: Intermittent Stream					~		
	Top Strata:	Sh	rub/Herb Sti	rata	(determined	d from perce	ent cal	culate	ed in V <sub>CCANO</sub>	<sub>PY</sub> )		
Site a	and Timing:	Project Site	V.			•	Before	Proje	ct			•
Sample	Sample Variables 1-4 in stream channel											
1	1 V <sub>CCANOPY</sub> Average percent cover over channel by tree and sapling canopy. Measure at no fewer than 10 roughly equidistant points along the stream. Measure only if tree/sapling cover is at least 20%. (If less than 20%, enter at least one value between 0 and 19 to trigger Top Strata choice.)											
Ī	List the per	cent cover i	measureme	nts at each	point below:							•
	0	0	0	0	0	0	С	)	0	0	0	
2	$V_{EMBED}$								than 30 rou etermine the			1.0
surface and area surrounding the particle that is covered by fine sediment, and enter the rating according to the following table. If the bed is an artificial surface, or composed of fine sediments, use a												
		-	e of 1. If the	-					•		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
			ness rating		•			-	ed from Plat	ts, Megaha	n, and	
		Rating	Rating Des	scription								
		5	<5 percent	of surface of	overed, sur	rounded, or	buried	d by fi	ne sedimen	t (or bedroc	k)	
		4							by fine sedi			
		3							d by fine sec			
		2							by fine sec			
		1			covered, su	irrounded, c	or burie	ed by	fine sedime	nt (or artifici	al surface)	ļ
I			point below									1
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	l
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	l
3	V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>								than 30 roug	hly equidis	tant points	0.08 in
		•	tream; use t	•	•							0.00
	•		ches to the 0.0 in, sand			•	w (bed	lrock :	should be co	ounted as 9	9 in,	
	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.70	0.0	08	0.08	0.08	0.08	
	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.40	0.08	0.0	08	0.08	0.08	0.08	1
0.70								1				
	5.70	0.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	<u> </u>		0.00	3.30	0.00	1
												1
4	V	Total perce	nt of erodor	d stream ch	annel hank	Enter the t	otal nu	ımber	of feet of er	nded hank	on each	
4	$V_{BERO}$											15 %
	side and the total percentage will be calculated If both banks are eroded, total erosion for the stream may be up to 200%.											

Left Bank:

5 ft

Right Bank:

5 ft

Sample	e Variables	5-9 within t	the entire i	riparian/buff	er zone ad	jacent to th	ne stream c	hannel (25	feet from e	ach bank).	
5	$V_{LWD}$	stream read	ch. Enter t	dy stems (at I he number fr will be calcu	om the enti						0.0
		p 0		55 54.55		downed wo	oody stems:		0		
6	$V_{TDBH}$			(measure onl			ng cover is a	at least 20%	b). Trees ar	e at least 4	Not Used
		,	•	ameter. Enter tree DBHs in inches.  rements of individual trees (at least 4 in) within the buffer on each side of							
		the stream		nents of indiv	/idual trees	(at least 4 i	n) within the	buffer on e	ach side of		
			Left Side				1				
	0					0					
7	\ /	November	/			100 f		Fatana			
7	$V_{SNAG}$			east 4" dbh a d the amount				Enter num	ber of snags	s on eacn	0.0
			Left Side				Right Side:		0		
8	$V_{SSD}$			nd shrubs (w							7.5
		if tree cover is <20%). Enter number of saplings and shrubs on each side of the stream, and the amount per 100 ft of stream will be calculated.						7.5			
			Left Side	: (	)		Right Side:		5		
9	$V_{SRICH}$			ecies richne stratum. Che							5.08
				and the subir					ii Strata. Op	COICS	5.06
		Grou	p 1 = 1.0			Group 2 (-1.0)					
	Acer rubru	ım		Magnolia tr	ipetala		Ailanthus a	ltissima		Lonicera ja	ponica
<b>V</b>	Acer sacci	harum		Nyssa sylva	atica		Albizia julib	orissin		Lonicera ta	ntarica
	Aesculus i	flava		Oxydendrum	arboreum		Alliaria peti	iolata		Lotus corni	iculatus
	Asimina tr	iloba		Prunus ser	otina		Alternanthe	era		Lythrum sa	licaria
	Betula alle	ghaniensis		Quercus all	ba		philoxeroid	es		Microstegiur	m vimineum
<b>/</b>	Betula len	ta		Quercus co	occinea		Aster tatari	cus		Paulownia	tomentosa
	Carya alba	9		Quercus im	bricaria		Cerastium	fontanum		Polygonum (	cuspidatum
<b>V</b>	Carya glal	bra		Quercus pr	inus		Coronilla va	aria		Pueraria m	ontana
	Carya ova	lis	<b>V</b>	Quercus ru	bra		Elaeagnus u	ımbellata	<b>V</b>	Rosa multi	flora
	Carya ova	ta		Quercus ve	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum h	alepense
	Cornus flo			Sassafras a			Lespedeza			Verbena bi	•
	Fagus gra	ndifolia		Tilia amerio	ana		Ligustrum ol				
	Fraxinus a			Tsuga cana			Ligustrum s				
<u> </u>	Liriodendroi	n tulipifera		Ulmus ame			ū				
	Magnolia a		_								
											J
		5	Species in	Group 1				1	Species in	Group 2	

-				subplots (4 ed roughly e			•			one within	25 feet fro	om each
10	V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	Average pe	rcent cover	of leaves, so Enter the pe	ticks, or oth	ner organic i	material. W	oody deb	ris <		er and	13.33 %
			Left	Side			Righ	t Side				
		20	0	10		0	20	30				
11	V	Average pe	reentage co	over of herba	occours you	otation (mor	acura anly if	troo cove	or ic	<20%) D	o not	
11	$V_{HERB}$	include woo	ody stems a percentage:	t least 4" dbl s up through	h and 36" ta	all. Because	there may	be severa	al la	yers of gro	und cover	87 %
				Side				t Side				
		80	100	90		100	80	70	+			
Sample	e Variable 1	2 within the	e entire cat	chment of t	he stream.	•						
12	$V_{\text{WLUSE}}$	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score	for waters	ned:						0.96
	Land Use (Choose From Drop List)									Runoff Score	% in Catch- ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and native range (>75% ground cover)							•	1	96	96	
	Open space (pasture, lawns, parks, etc.), grass cover <50%								•	0.1	4	100
									-			
	▼ ▼							_				
								•				
	S	S-H64					No	tes:				
Va	ariable	Value	VSI	Land Cove	•	•	•	-				
Vc	CANOPY	Not Used, <20%	Not Used	(NLCD), from the control (NLCD), from the cont								
VEI	MBED	1.0	0.10									
Vs	UBSTRATE	0.08 in	0.04									
V <sub>B</sub>	ERO	15 %	1.00									
VL	WD	0.0	0.00									
V <sub>TI</sub>	DBH	Not Used	Not Used									
Vsi	NAG	0.0	0.10									
Vs	SD	7.5	0.11									
Vsi	RICH	5.08	1.00									
	ETRITUS	13.3 %	0.16									
	ERB	87 %	1.00									
V <sub>w</sub>	LUSE	0.96	1.00									

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S	S-H64	LOCATION UNT to Hominy Creek / Spread E				
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent				
LAT 38.116279	LAT 38.116279 LONG -80.735319 COUNTY Nicholas					
STORET#		AGENCYPotesta/Edge				
INVESTIGATORS						
FORM COMPLETE	E. Weaver	DATE 09/02/2021 TIME 1300	REASON FOR SURVEY Preliminary Assessment			

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now  Past 24 hours  Yes No  Air Temperature 70 F C  Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)  Silt so (ls)  Silt so (ls)  V V Nerbaceas/grasses  Lawrence  Lawre
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Tidal Stream Type Stream Origin Glacial Non-glacial montane Swamp and bog  Stream Type Catchment Area km²

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Predon Fores Field Agric	Pasture Industria	rcial al	Local Watershed NPS Pollution  □ No evidence □ Some potential sources □ Obvious sources  Local Watershed Erosion □ None □ Moderate □ Heavy			
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter			e the dominant type and s Sint species present		minant species present ☑ Grasses ☑ He	rbaceous		
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimate Sampling Area in Estimate Surface (at that	ted Stream Width  ng Reach Area  km² (m²x1000)  ted Stream Depth  e Velocity  m² m²	m²km²m	Canopy Cover Partly open Part High Water Mark Proportion of Reach R Morphology Types Riffle® Pools % Channelized Yes Dam Present Yes			
LARGE V DEBRIS	RGE WOODY LWDm² Density of LWDm²/km² (LWD/ reach area) N/A							
AQUATIO VEGETA		Domina				☐Free floating		
WATER (	QUALITY	Specific Dissolv pH Turbid	cature C conductance ed Oxygen ity strument Used			Chemical  Other   Globs Flecks		
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chen Other		Petroleum None	Epoking at stones which are the undersides black	Sludge Sawdust Paper fiber Sand Cherict shells Other  Lpoking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?		
INC	ORGANIC SUR	STRATE	COMPONENTS		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C	OMPONENTS		
	(should a	dd up to 1	100%)	6.1	(does not necessarily add	up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area		
Bedrock			0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	0		
Boulder > 256 mm (10")			0	Muale Mud	black years fine ergenie			
Cobble 64-256 mm (2.5"-10")  Gravel 2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")			10	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	0		
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt		20	Marl	grev, shell fragments	0		
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	<i>,</i> ,	70					
Clay	< 0.004 mm (sli	ck)	0	1				

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-	164	LOCATION					
STATION #	RIVERMILE	_ STREAM CLASS Intermittent	1				
LAT 38.116279	LONG80.735319	_ COUNTY Nicholas	1				
STORET#		AGENCYPotesta/Edge					
INVESTIGATORSE	W, AG, WP						
FORM COMPLETED  E. Weaver	BY	DATE 09/02/2021 REASON FOR SURVEY Preliminary Assessment					

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	<sub>SCORE</sub> 2 <b>▼</b>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	score 4 ▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime N/A	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
aran	score 4 ▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P.	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE 11▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status N/A	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE 9	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE 20▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ing reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.  7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0  8 Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.  7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0  9 Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.  3 2 1 0  3 2 1 0  Less than 50% of the streambank vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation; han one-ntial plant remaining.  3 2 1 0  3 2 1 0  3 2 1 0  3 3 2 1 0  4 Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to riparian vegetation due to
sampl	SCORE 5	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing development.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	hely stable; and small areas of anostly healed areas of erosion.  Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.  Unstable; na areas; "raw frequent all sections and obvious bare of erosion and sections and obvious bare of erosional sections and obvious bare of erosion.	areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has
e eva	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
to b	SCORE 8	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in
	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE 8	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to
	SCORE 4	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE 4	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score \_\_\_\_

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

	IX/E	D) C			LC	OCATION	1										
7.4	IVE	KMI	LE_		ST	REAM C	LASS I	nter	mitte	ent							▼
	ONO	j -80.7	35319		C	YTNUC	Ni	chol	as							[	•
					A	GENCYF	otesta	/Ed	ge								
W, A	١G.	WP				, and the second second		D		1	LOT	NUMBER					
) BY	E.	W	ea	ive	r D	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)				-		SON FOR SURVEY	alimina	y Ass	essm	ent	
<u> </u>	dica Co Sub	te thobble	e pe	rcen % lacro	age of each Snags	h habitat %	type pr	esen eget	ated Other	Banl (	ks	%	%				
Н	ow v	vere	the :	samp	es collecte	d? [	wadin	□c g	ther	fron	n ban						
	Cob Sub	ble_ merg	ed N	lacro	Snags_ hytes		□v	eget	ated other	Banl (	ks	Sand )	_				
nc	b b	ent	hic	cs c	ollecte	d due	to u	nfa	IVO	rab	ole	collecting con-	ditic	ns			
				) = A	bsent/No	ot Obser	ved, 1			e, 2	= C	ommon, 3= Abuno				3	1
				-						nvei	rtehr	ates	-	-		-	-
										11 V C	icoi	aics					
d abı	ınd	anc	e:	0 = orga	Absent/N nisms), 3	ot Obse = Abun	dant (	>10	org	anis	sms)	, 4 = Dominant (>5	50 oı			s)	
			2		Anisopte	era	_	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae		1	2		
0	1	2	3	4	F		0				ı		0	1	2	3	4
0	1 1	2	3	4	Zygopte	ra	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
0	1 1	2	3	4	Zygopte: Hemipte	ra era	0	1 1	2	3	4 4	Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0	1 1	2	3	4 4
0 0 0	1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	Zygopte Hemipte Coleopte	ra era era	0 0 0	1 1 1	2	3	4 4 4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
0 0 0 0	1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	Zygopte Hemipte Coleopte Lepidop	ra era era tera	0 0 0 0	1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4 4	Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0	1 1	2	3	4 4
0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4	Zygopte Hemipte Coleopte Lepidop Sialidae	ra era era tera	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4 4	Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0	1 1	2	3	4 4
0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4	Zygopte Hemipte Coleopte Lepidop Sialidae Corydali	ra era era tera	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4	Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0	1 1	2	3	4 4
0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4	Zygopte Hemipte Coleopte Lepidop Sialidae Corydali Tipulida	ra era era tera dae	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4	Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0	1 1	2	3	4 4
0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4	Zygopte Hemipte Coleopte Lepidop Sialidae Corydali Tipulida Empidid	ra era era tera dae e ae	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4	Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0	1 1	2	3	4 4
0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4	Zygopte Hemipte Coleopte Lepidop Sialidae Corydali Tipulida	ra era era tera dae e ae ae	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4	Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0	1 1	2	3	4 4
	In G Ho In C	Indica Cob Sub Indica Cob Sub No be	Indicate th Cobble Submerg  Gear used How were Indicate th Submerg no bent  LISTING Cod abundance	Indicate the pe Cobble Submerged M Gear used How were the su Cobble Submerged M no benthic  LISTING OF A d abundance:  ATIONS OF M d abundance:	Indicate the percent Cobble % Submerged Macrop  Gear used D-fra How were the sampl Indicate the number Cobble Submerged Macrop No benthics Co  LISTING OF AQUA d abundance: 0 = A	Indicate the percentage of each   Cobble   %   Snags   Submerged Macrophytes     Gear used   D-frame   kie   How were the samples collecte   Indicate the number of jabs/ki   Cobble   Snags   Submerged Macrophytes   no benthics collecte     Indicate the number of jabs/ki   Cobble   Snags   Submerged Macrophytes   no benthics collecte     Indicate the number of jabs/ki   Cobble   Snags   Submerged Macrophytes   no benthics collecte     Indicate the number of jabs/ki   Cobble   Snags   Submerged Macrophytes   no benthics collecte   O	Indicate the percentage of each habitat  Cobble% Snags%  Submerged Macrophytes%  Gear used D-frame kick-net  How were the samples collected?  Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken Submerged Macrophytes  no benthics collected due  LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA d abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observation  0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type properties and the prope	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present    Cobble	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present    Cobble	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks Submerged Macrophytes% Other (  Gear used D-frame kick-net Other  How were the samples collected? wading from ban	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble % Snags % Vegetated Banks % Sand Submerged Macrophytes % Other ( ) %  Gear used D-frame kick-net Other  How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boa Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )  no benthics collected due to unfavorable collecting conditions abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundance: 0 = 1 2 3 4 Slimes  Slimes Simes Simes Slimes  O 1 2 3 4 Macroinvertebrates  Fish  ATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS d abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common, 2 = Common, 3 = Common C	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand%  Submerged Macrophytes% Other ( )%  Gear used D-frame kick-net Other  How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.  Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand  Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )  no benthics collected due to unfavorable collecting condition  LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA dabundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant,  0 1 2 3 4 Slimes 0 0 1 2 3 4 Macroinvertebrates 0 0 1 2 3 4 Fish 0  ATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS dabundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present   Cobble   %   Snags   %   Vegetated Banks   %   Sand   %     Submerged Macrophytes   %   Other (	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present   Cobble   %   Snags   %   Vegetated Banks   %   Sand   %   Submerged Macrophytes   %   Other (

SITE ID: S-HGU	Sorrad E
DATE: 12 SUDJEMBER 2021	}
COLLECTOR(S): EWALS	


Wolman Pet	ble Count (Re	each Wide)	DV STARO	SPUSSION		HQ E ZOVAN	PART CHIEF	Marchael A		NOTES:
<i>5</i> Į	SZ	SI	SI	SI	51	SI	SI	SI	SI	
41	57	62	9	FS	SI	65	SI	7.2	51	
51	SI	FS	57	FS	21	Fs	SI	SI	SI	
52	FI	FS	SI	17	10	15	FS	SI	FS	
5I	SI	3I	SI	FS	19	8	14	FS	SJ	
SI	PS	SI	16	FS	5	9	17	FS	F5	
51	57	SI	SI	FS	12	SI	F5	SI	57	
51	57	SI	FS	1/	16	FS	3 I	57	SZ.	
FS	57	10	F-S	ST	54	SI	SI	FS	SI	
10	FS	SI	SI	SI	SI	FS	ST	14	SI	


Riffle Pebble Count	17.05		Valority (Valority	NOTES:
			7	
		, f		
			-	


MANUFACTURE AND ASSESSMENT	TAVALLET STATE OF THE	CONTRACTOR AND INC.	Went Jecke	
		NEW PROPERTY.		NOTES:

Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters	
	Sift / Clay	< .062	S/C
	Very Fine	.062125	_
	Fine	.12525	S
	Medium	.2550	SAND
	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	D
04 - 08	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2	
.08 - ,16	Very Fine	2-4	355
.1622	Fine	4 - 5.7	
2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	G
3144	Medium	8-11.3	R
.4463	Medium	11,3 - 16	NO.
.6389	Coarse	16 - 22.6	E
.69 - 1.3	Coarse	22.6 - 32	U
1.3 - 1.8	Very Coarse	32 - 45	A STATE
1.8 - 2.5	Very Coarse	45-64	
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64-90	Har
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	ZI ŠK
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	388
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	8
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362	(1)
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - Ŝ12	) P
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	SP
40 - 80	Large-Vry Large	1024 - 2048	R
	Bedrock		BDRK


Bankfull Channel	
Material Size Range (mm)	Count
silt/clay 0 - 0.062	57
very fine sand 0.062 - 0.125	
fine sand 0.125 - 0.25	23
medium sand 0.25 - 0.5	
coarse sand 0.5 - 1	
very coarse sand 1 - 2	
very fine gravel 2 - 4	
fine gravel 4 - 6	1
fine gravel 6 - 8	1
medium gravel 8 - 11	6
medium gravel 11 - 16	8
coarse gravel 16 - 22	3
coarse gravel 22 - 32	
very coarse gravel 32 - 45	
very coarse gravel 45 - 64	
small cobble 64 - 90	1
medium cobble 90 - 128	
large cobble 128 - 180	
very large cobble 180 - 256	
small boulder <u>256 - 362</u>	
small boulder 362 - 512	
medium boulder 512 - 1024	
large boulder 1024 - 2048	
very large boulder 2048 - 4096	
total particle count:	100
bedrock	
clay hardpan	
detritus/wood	
artificial	
total count:	100
Note:	







AS-BUILT TABLE: S-H64 CROSS SECTION A									
	PI	AŞ-E	BUILT						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.				
TS-L	13841017.6700	1716536.40001	2627.518'						
BS-L	13841016.4400	1716536.91101	2627.358'						
THW	13841012.7580	1716538.6470	2627.920'						
BS-R	13841013.0500	1716542.5440'	2628.384'						
TS-R	13841008.7500	1716538.43301	2631.033'						



#### SURVEY NOTES:

LEGEND

STUDY AREA (EASEMENT)


1176.87 十

EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG

EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION

- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON SEPTEMBER 20, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE.
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

### S-H64 BASELINE CROSS-SECTION A



CROSS SECTION LEGEND — EXISTING GRADE

CROSS SECTION

H: 1"=10'

V: 1"=5'

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

#### PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM

FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM

PRE-CROSSING

DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

CAD File No.

Drawing No.