

### Reach S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Perennial Spread D Nicholas County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	$\checkmark$
SWVM Form	$\checkmark$
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope <4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	$\checkmark$
Water Quality Data	$\checkmark$
RBP Habitat Form	$\checkmark$
RBP Benthic Form	$\checkmark$
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A –Low flow
Wolman Pebble Count	$\checkmark$
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	$\checkmark$
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	$\checkmark$



Spread D Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County

Photo Type: DS, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553



Spread D Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County

Photo Type: DS, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553



Spread D Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County

Photo Type: US View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Upstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553



Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW)

Photo Type: DS View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: ROW Center, Downstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553

**Nicholas County** 

Spread D



Spread D Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County

Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553



Spread D Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County

Photo Type: US, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553



Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County

Photo Type: Riffle, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream of Riffle, Downstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553

Spread D



Spread D Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County

Photo Type: Riffle, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream of Riffle, Upstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553



Spread D Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County

Photo Type: Pool, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream of Pool, Downstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553



Spread D Stream S-A67 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County

Photo Type: Pool, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream of Pool, Upstream View, SM KP Lat: 38.317575 Long: -80.671553

#### West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017


USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	Mountain	Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	38.317575	Lon.	-80.671553	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:	9/3/2021		
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID AND SIT (watershed size (acreage), unaltered		S-	A67		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS. (watershed size (acreag					Comments:			
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH: 7	6 FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:	PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing Condition	ion (Debit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation P Post Completion	rojected at Five n (Credit)	e Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proj Post Completion (	ected at Ten Years Credit)	Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ed at Maturity (Credit)		
Stream Classification:	Perennial	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0		
Percent Stream Channel Slope	15.6	Percent Stream Channel Sic	ope		Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	ope 0	Percent Stream Channel SI	ope 0		
HGM Score (attach data form	ns):	HGM Score (attach o	data forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	HGM Score (attach da	ita forms):		
hudushana a	Average	likedente av	Average		lludeele en		Average	lludeles.	Average	linded and	Average		
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biologica	cal Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical a	nd Biological I	ndicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators		
Points Scale	Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Ran	je Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		
HYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classificat	tions)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		
HEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)           EPA RBP (High Gradient Cover         0.0           Enheddenss         0.0           Sediment Deposition         0.0           Sediment Deposition         0.0           Channel Flow Status         0.0           Channel Alteration         0.0           Bank Statulin (LB & RB)         0.0           Cank Statulin (LB & RB)         0.0           Reprint Vesetable Zone Writh (LB & RB)         0.0           Total RBP Score         Skopt           EMIKCAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter and Perce         Skopt           EMICAL INDICATOR (Concerta)         0.0	5 14 19 0-1 6 7 7 18 16 16 16 16 0.67	USEPA RABP (Low Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Enfanant Slovatrakanaliako Covar 2. Post Substrate Characterization 3. Post Variability 4. Sedment Deposition 5. Charanel Flore Status 6. Charanel Alexation 2. Charanel Shousity 4. Sadhanel Alexation 2. Charanel Shousity 8. Bank Sabality (LB & RB) 10. Reparts Vesetable Zone Width (LB & RB) 10. Reparts Vesetable Zone Widt	0.30         0.1           0.30         0.1           0.30         0.1           0.30         0.2           0.30         0.2           0.30         0.2           0.30         0.2           0.30         0.2           0.30         0.2           0.30         0.2           0.30         0           0.30         0           0.30         0           0.30         0           0.30         0           0.30         0           0.30         0		USEPA KBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) L: Epitansi Storatel-Available Cover 2. Embedderiness 3. Velochty Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition 5. Channel Flow Status 6. Channel Alkration 1. Frequency of Reflies (or bends) 6. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 10. Reparts Vestellav Zove Widh (LB & RB) 10. Reparts Vestellav Zove Videntite WDCPW Water Quality Indicators (General		0 0 0 0 0 0	USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Enformati Substrate/Available 2. Emheddadness 3. Velocity (Deph Regime 4. Sadiment Deposition 5. Channel Flow Status 6. Channel Flow Status 1. Frequency of Refles (or bends) 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 10. Reparts Protection (LB	-	USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifumari Substrate/Available Cover 2. Embeddenses 3. Velocity (Dept Regme 4. Sediment Deposition 5. Channel Flow Status 6. Channel Alteration 7. Frequency of Riffles (ce bends) 8. Bank: Stability (LB & RB) 10. Repairat Vepstative Zone Widh (			
secific Conductivity         0.40           4         <-99 - 90 points	0-1 6.93 8.02 1 erential Stream	Specific Conductivity pH DO Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	0-90 5-90 10-30 0-1 0-1 0 0 0 0 0		Specific Conductivity pH DO Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR Applies to Inter	0-90 5-90 10-30	0	Specific Conductivity pH DO Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	0-99 5-90 10-30 0-1 0 0	Specific Conductivity PH DO OO Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	0.90 5.90 10-30 0		
/V Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			
0 0-100	0-1 <b>0</b>	Sub-Total	0-100 0-1 <b>0</b>		Sub-Total	0-100 0-	1 0	Sub-Total	0-100 0-1 O	Sub-Total	0-100 0-1 <b>0</b>		
PART II - Index and Unit Score	e	PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index an	d Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	Init Score	PART II - Index and U	nit Score		
Index	r Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Fee	t Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Sco		

0.835

63.46

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION					
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	RIVER BASIN				
STORET #	AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS						
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY				



## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Predominant Surrounding Landuse         Forest       Commercial         Field/Pasture       Industrial         Agricultural       Other         Residential	Local Watershed NPS Pollution No evidence Some potential sources Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate Heavy ant species present Grasses Herbaceous
INSTREAM FEATURES	Dominant species present	Canopy Cover Partly open       Partly shaded       Shaded         High Water Mark      m         Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle       %         Riffle       %         Pool       %         Channelized       Yes         No       No
LARGE WOODY DEBRIS	LWDm <sup>2</sup> Density of LWDm <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> (LWD/ reac	h area)
AQUATIC VEGETATION	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant record the dominant type and record the domin Rooted submergent Rooted submergent Attached Algae         Dominant species present         Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation	Rooted floating Free floating
WATER QUALITY (DS, US)	Temperature0 C         Specific Conductance         Dissolved Oxygen         pH         Turbidity         WQ Instrument Used	Water Odors Normal/None       Sewage         Petroleum       Chemical         Fishy       Other         Water Surface Oils       Slick         Slick       Sheen       Globs         Flecks       None       Other         Turbidity (if not measured)       Clear       Slightly turbid         Clear       Slightly turbid       Turbid         Opaque       Stained       Other
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE	Odors         Petroleum           Normal         Sewage         Petroleum           Chemical         Anaerobic         None           Other	Deposits       Paper fiber       Sand         Sludge       Sawdust       Paper fiber       Sand         Relict shells       Other

INC	DRGANIC SUBSTRATE (should add up to			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)							
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area						
Bedrock			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant							
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			materials (CPOM)							
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic							
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")			(FPOM)							
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments							
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm										
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)										

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET #	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS					
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY			

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.				
ted iı	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is $< 0.3$ m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).				
Iram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
P	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				

Rapid Bioassessment Protocols For Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates, and Fish, Second Edition - Form 2

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat		Condition	ı Category					
Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.				
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.				
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
<ul> <li>SCORE</li> <li>8. Bank Stability (score each bank)</li> <li>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.</li> <li>SCORE (LB)</li> <li>SCORE (RB)</li> <li>9. Vegetative</li> <li>Protection (score each bank)</li> </ul>	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.				
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.				
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
<b>10. Riparian</b> <b>Vegetative Zone</b> <b>Width</b> (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.				
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				

Total Score \_\_\_\_\_

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION				
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET #		AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER			
FORM COMPLETED	BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY			
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present         Cobble%       Snags%         Vegetated Banks%       Sand%         Submerged Macrophytes%       Other (       )%					
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Indicate the number of jab	lected? wading fi ps/kicks taken in each habitat ty lags Vegetated B	anks Sand			
GENERAL COMMENTS						

#### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM


\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

County:	Nicholas	Stream ID:		S-A67
Stream Name:	UNT to Big Beaver Creek			
HUC Code:		Basin:		
Survey Date:	9/3/2021			
Surveyors:	KP, SM	Impact Reach:	24.4 m	
Type:	Bankfull Channel			


Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cu
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C		0	0.00	0.00
	Very Fine	.062125		• •	12	12.00	12.00
	Fine	.12525	-	• •	0	0.00	12.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	• •	5	5.00	17.0
	Coarse	.50-1.0	1	• •	15	15.00	32.0
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		• •	0	0.00	32.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4	GRAVEL	• •	0	0.00	32.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		• •	0	0.00	32.0
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		• •	3	3.00	35.0
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		* *	0	0.00	35.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16		* *	9	9.00	44.0
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		• •	4	4.00	48.0
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		÷	6	6.00	54.0
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		÷	6	6.00	60.0
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		÷	1	1.00	61.0
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90	COBBLE	• •	11	11.00	72.0
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		▲ ▼	10	10.00	82.0
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180		▲ ▼	3	3.00	85.0
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		• •	2	2.00	87.0
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362	BOULDER	• •	2	2.00	89.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		• •	3	3.00	92.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024		* *	7	7.00	99.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		* *	0	0.00	99.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096		* *	1	1.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	• •	0	0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		







-cumulative % -----# of particles

percent finer than



	PI	AS-BUILT			
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HÓRZ. DIFF.
TS-L	13914351.4100	1734589.1770'	2185.507'		
BS-L	13914347.0400	1734590.7120'	2184.552'		
THW	13914345.9100	1734591.1250'	2183.134'		
BS-R	13914344.2000	1734591.7630'	2184.052'		
TS-R	13914338.3500	1734593.4290'	2185.305'		





SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE.
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

