#### **Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-B79 TEMP AR (1) (Temporary Access Road) Ephemeral Spread A Harrison County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	NA- No Flow
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	NA-No flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

#### Spread A Stream S-B79 1 TEMP AR (Temporary Access Road) Harrison County



Photo Type: DS, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, RFC/AJE Lat: 39.423571 Long: -80.476278



Photo Type: DS, DS View
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, RFC/AJE
Lat: 39.423571 Long: -80.476278

#### Spread A Stream S-B79 1 TEMP AR (Temporary Access Road) Harrison County



Photo Type: US View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Upstream View, RFC/AJE Lat: 39.423571 Long: -80.476278



Photo Type: DS View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Downstream View, RFC/AJE Lat: 39.423571 Long: -80.476278

#### Spread A Stream S-B79 1 TEMP AR (Temporary Access Road) Harrison County



Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, RFC/AJE Lat: 39.423571 Long: -80.476278



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, RFC/AJE
Lat: 39.423571 Long: -80.476278

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain 1	Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES:	Lat.	39.423571	Lon.	-80.476278	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:	
(V2.1, Sept 2016)				(in Decimal Degrees)								09/07/21
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size (acreage),		PTION:	S-B79 1	TEMP AR		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./S (watershed size (acreage)					Comments:	Water quality not recorded due to low flo
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	11	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		Mitigation Length:	
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	g Condition (Debit)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pro Post Completion		Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (		Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ted at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Ephemeral		Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel SI	оре	15.3	Percent Stream Channel Sic	ppe		Percent Stream Channel Slo	оре	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	ope 0	Percent Stream Channel S	Slope 0
HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach o	data forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	HGM Score (attach o	data forms):
		verage		Average				Average		Average		Averag
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0.29 0.36 0.31	666667	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0
Habitat  PART I - Physical, Chemical and	0.3		Habitat  PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators		Habitat  PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological I	ndicators	Habitat  PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	Habitat  PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological Indicators
	Points Scale Range S	ite Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Ran	ge Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPARBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20
2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	0	Pool Substrate Characterization     Pool Variability	0-20		Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	1	Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity Departition     Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	Sediment Deposition	0-20
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1	0	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1
6. Channel Alteration		10	Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	6	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	6	Bank Stability (LB & RB)     Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Bank Stability (LB & RB)     Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Bank Stability (LB & RB)     Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)  9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)		10	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)     Reparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)     Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)     Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)  10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20
Total RBP Score		35	Total RBP Score	Poor 0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0
Sub-Total	0.29	166667	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter			CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial	Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Streams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	ıl)
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity	
100-199 - 85 points	0-90			0-90			0-90			0-90		0-90
pH			pH			pH			pH		pH	
	0-80			5-90 0-1			5-90			5-90		5-90
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	_		DO			0.0			DO.		DO.	<del></del>
DO			DO			DO			DO		ВО	
	10-30			10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30
Sub-Total			Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Perennial Streams	s)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Pere	nnial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intere	mittent and Perennial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			110.00 0 EV 1 1 1 110.000			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
wv Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	г		wv Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		wv Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
0	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-	1		0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1
Sub-Total	<u> </u>	0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
PART II - Index and U	Jnit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score	PART II - Index and	Unit Score
Index	Linear Feet Uni	it Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Fee	t Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Sco
0.431	11 4.	74375	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0

Ver. 10-20-17

#### FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V<sub>CCANOPY</sub> (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

**Project Name:** MVP Stream Assessment

Location: Harrison County

Sampling Date: 9/7/21 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

**Ephemeral Stream** 

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-B79 1 TEMP AR

Tree/Sapling Strata

Functional Results Summary:


**Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator** 

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.29
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.36
Habitat	0.30

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	Percent canpoy over channel.	60.00	0.63
V <sub>EMBED</sub>	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.97	0.45
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	1.00	0.50
$V_{BERO}$	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	181.82	0.10
$V_{LWD}$	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>TDBH</sub>	Average dbh of trees.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	10.00	0.12
V <sub>HERB</sub>	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.50	0.53

Version 10-20-17 High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia Field Data Sheet and Calculator Latitude/UTM Northing: 39.423571 Team: AJE, RFC Project Name: MVP Stream Assessment Longitude/UTM Easting: -80.476278 Location: Harrison County Sampling Date: 9/7/21 SAR Number: 79 1 TEMP Reach Length (ft): Stream Type: Ephemeral Stream Top Strata: Tree/Sapling Strata (determined from percent calculated in V<sub>CCANOPY</sub>) Site and Timing: Project Site Before Project Sample Variables 1-4 in stream channel Average percent cover over channel by tree and sapling canopy. Measure at no fewer than 10 roughly equidistant points along the stream. Measure only if tree/sapling cover is at least 20%. (If 60.0 % less than 20%, enter at least one value between 0 and 19 to trigger Top Strata choice.) List the percent cover measurements at each point below 100  $V_{EMBED}$ 2.0 points along the stream. Select a particle from the bed. Before moving it, determine the percentage of the surface and area surrounding the particle that is covered by fine sediment, and enter the rating according to the following table. If the bed is an artificial surface, or composed of fine sediments, use a rating score of 1. If the bed is composed of bedrock, use a rating score of 5. Embeddedness rating for gravel, cobble and boulder particles (rescaled from Platts, Megahan, and Minshall 1983) Rating Description <5 percent of surface covered, surrounded, or buried by fine sediment (or bedrock)</p> 5 to 25 percent of surface covered, surrounded, or buried by fine sediment 26 to 50 percent of surface covered, surrounded, or buried by fine sediment 51 to 75 percent of surface covered, surrounded, or buried by fine sediment >75 percent of surface covered, surrounded, or buried by fine sediment (or artificial List the ratings at each point below: 3 V<sub>SUBSTRATE</sub> Median stream channel substrate particle size. Measure at no fewer than 30 roughly equidistant 1 00 in points along the stream; use the same points and particles as used in  $V_{\text{\tiny EMBED}}$ Enter particle size in inches to the nearest 0.1 inch at each point below (bedrock should be counted as 99 in, asphalt or concrete as 0.0 in, sand or finer particles as 0.08 in): 4.00 5.00 3.30 3.20 0.70 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 5.00 4.00 1.80 2.00 0.08 2.00 1.50 1.30 2.30 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 1.30 3.00 0.08 0.08 0.08  $V_{BERO}$ Total percent of eroded stream channel bank. Enter the total number of feet of eroded bank on each side and the total percentage will be calculated If both banks are eroded, total erosion for the stream 182 % may be up to 200% Left Bank: 10 ft Right Bank: Sample Variables 5-9 within the entire riparian/buffer zone adjacent to the stream channel (25 feet from each bank). Number of down woody stems (at least 4 inches in diameter and 36 inches in length) per 100 feet of stream reach. Enter the number from the entire 50'-wide buffer and within the channel, and the 0.0 amount per 100 feet of stream will be calculated. Number of downed woody stems: Average dbh of trees (measure only if V<sub>CCANOPY</sub> tree/sapling cover is at least 20%). Trees are at least  $V_{TDRH}$ 0.0 4 inches (10 cm) in diameter. Enter tree DBHs in inches List the dbh measurements of individual trees (at least 4 in) within the buffer on each side of the stream below Left Side Right Side



				stratum. Ch and the sub	index will be		d from these			Орсою	0.00
		Grou	p 1 = 1.0					Group	2 (-1.0)		•
	Acer rubru	m		Magnolia t	ripetala		Ailanthus a	ltissima		Lonicera ja	ponica
	Acer sacch	narum		Nyssa sylv	ratica		Albizia julib	rissin		Lonicera ta	atarica
_	Aesculus fi				n arboreum		Alliaria peti			Lotus corn	
				-							
	Asimina tri			Prunus sei			Alternanthe			Lythrum sa	
	Betula alleg	ghaniensis		Quercus a	lba		philoxeroid	es	Ø	Microstegiu	m vimineu
	Betula lent	а		Quercus c	occinea		Aster tatari	cus		Paulownia	tomento
	Carya alba	1		Quercus in	nbricaria		Cerastium	fontanum		Polygonum	cuspidatu
	Carya glab			Quercus p	rinus		Coronilla v	aria		Pueraria m	ontana
	Carya oval	IS		Quercus ru	ıbra		Elaeagnus ı	imbellata		Rosa multi	
	Carya ovat	ta		Quercus v	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum h	nalepens
	Cornus floi	rida		Sassafras	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena b	rasiliensi
	Fagus grai	ndifolia		Tilia ameri	cana		Ligustrum o	btusifolium			
							-				
	Fraxinus a			Tsuga can			Ligustrum	sirierise			
	Liriodendror	n tulipifera		Ulmus ame	ericana						
	Magnolia a	cuminata									
		0	Species in	Group 1				1	Species in	Group 2	
		bplots sho Average pe	uld be place ercent cove	ed roughly r of leaves,	y equidistar sticks, or ot	<b>ntly along</b> her organio	m) in the rip each side o material. V etrital layer a	f the streat Voody deb	ı <b>m.</b> ris <4" diam		10.00
			Left	Side			Right	Side			
		20	0	0	10	30	0	20	0		
11	V <sub>HERB</sub>	include wo	ody stems a tation perce at each sub	at least 4" d entages up	bh and 36" i	all. Becaus	easure only se there may epted. Enter Right	be severa	al layers of g	ground	Not Us
		80	0	100						4	
					90	70	0	80	100		
ampl	e Variable 1	12 within th	e entire ca	tchment of	the stream		0	80	100		0.50
		12 within th	e entire ca	tchment of Runoff Scor	the stream	ı. hed:	0	80	Runoff	% in Catch-	0.50 Runnin
	Vwluse	12 within th	e entire ca Average of Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos	the stream	ı. hed:	0	80	Runoff Score	Catch- ment	Runnin Percer (not >10
	VwLusE Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	e entire ca Average of Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos d cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	80	Runoff Score	Catch- ment 28.88	Runnin Percer (not >10
	VwLusE Forest and n	12 within th	e entire ca Average of Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos d cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	80	Runoff Score	Catch- ment	Runnin Percer (not >10
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	80	Runoff Score 0.5	Catch- ment 28.88 48.53	Runnin Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	80	Runoff Score	Catch- ment 28.88	Runnin Percer (not >10
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	**************************************	Runoff Score 0.5	Catch- ment 28.88 48.53	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	**************************************	Runoff Score 0.5	Catch- ment 28.88 48.53	Runnin Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	**************************************	Runoff Score 0.5	Catch- ment 28.88 48.53	Runnin Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	**************************************	Runoff Score 0.5	Catch- ment 28.88 48.53	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	**************************************	Runoff Score 0.5	Catch- ment 28.88 48.53	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Runoff Score 0.5	Catch- ment 28.88 48.53	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Runoff Score 0.5	Catch- ment 28.88 48.53	Runnir Percei (not >10 28.88 77.41
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A	Land <50% ground <50% ground <50% ground	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos i cover)	the stream	ı. hed:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Runoff Score 0.5	Catch- ment 28.88 48.53	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88
12	Forest and in Forest and in S-B79	12 within th Weighted / Mative range ( Mative range (	e entire ca Average of l Land <50% ground <50% ground	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos d cover) d cover)	the stream re for waters	p List)	No	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5	Catchment 28.88 48.53 22.59	Runnir Percei (not >10 28.88 77.41
12	Forest and n	12 within th Weighted A mative range ( mative range (	Land <50% ground <50% ground <50% ground	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos d cover) d cover)	the stream re for waters se From Dro	p List)	No npleted usir	v v v v tes:	Runoff Score 0.5 0.5 0.5	Catchment 28.88 48.53 22.59	Runnir Percei (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V	Forest and in Forest and in S-B79	12 within th Weighted / Mative range ( Mative range (	e entire ca Average of l Land <50% ground <50% ground	tchment of Runoff Scor Use (Choos d cover) d cover) d cover)	ter Analysis	p List)  s was common Lands	No npleted usir sat satellite	v v v tes:	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  0.9 National and other second	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen	Runnir Percei (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V	Forest and m Forest and m Forest and m	12 within th Weighted A mative range ( mative range	Land <50% ground <50% ground <vsi 0.63<="" td=""><td>Use (Choose di cover) di cover) Land Cov Database datasets.</td><td>rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee</td><td>s was comrom Lands d boundar</td><td>No npleted usir</td><td>tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of</td><td>Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline</td><td>Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream</td><td>Runnir Percer (not &gt;10 28.88 77.41 100</td></vsi>	Use (Choose di cover) di cover) Land Cov Database datasets.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V	Forest and in Forest and in S-B79 dariable	12 within th Weighted A active range ( active range ( active range (	Land <50% ground <50% ground <vsi< td=""><td>Use (Choose di cover) di cover) Land Cov Database datasets.</td><td>rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee</td><td>s was comrom Lands d boundar</td><td>No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas</td><td>tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of</td><td>Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline</td><td>Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream</td><td>Runnir Percer (not &gt;10 28.88 77.41 100</td></vsi<>	Use (Choose di cover) di cover) Land Cov Database datasets.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V V	Forest and m Forest and m Forest and m	12 within th Weighted A mative range ( mative range	Land <50% ground <50% ground <vsi 0.63<="" td=""><td>Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.</td><td>rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee</td><td>s was comrom Lands d boundar</td><td>No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas</td><td>tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of</td><td>Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline</td><td>Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream</td><td>Runnir Percer (not &gt;10 28.88 77.41 100</td></vsi>	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n  S-B79 Gariable  VCCANOPY  VEMBED  VSUBSTRATE	12 within th Weighted A  ative range ( tative range	Land  Some ground VSI 0.63 0.45 0.50	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V	Forest and m Forest and m Forest and m S-B79 ariable V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	12 within th Weighted A Meighted	e entire ca Average of l  Land  <50% ground  <50% ground  VSI  0.63  0.45	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V V	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n  S-B79 Gariable  VCCANOPY  VEMBED  VSUBSTRATE	12 within th Weighted A  ative range ( tative range	Land  Some ground VSI 0.63 0.45 0.50	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V ,	Forest and in Fo	12 within th Weighted A weighted A tative range ( active range ( a	e entire ca Average of l  Land  <50% ground  <50% ground  VSI  0.63  0.45  0.50  0.10  0.00	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V ,	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n  S-B79  ariable  V <sub>CCANOPY</sub> V <sub>EMBED</sub> V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub> V <sub>BERO</sub>	12 within th Weighted A sative range ( sative range	Land <50% ground <50% ground <50% ground <50% ground <50% ground <50% ground <10.63 0.45 0.50 0.10	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V	S-B79 Forest and m	12 within th Weighted A weighted A tative range ( active range ( a	e entire ca Average of l  Land  <50% ground  <50% ground  VSI  0.63  0.45  0.50  0.10  0.00	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V V	Forest and no Fo	1 TEMP AR Value 60 % 2.0 1.00 in 182 % 0.0 0.0	VSI 0.63 0.45 0.50 0.10 0.00 0.10	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V V	S-B79 Forest and m	12 within th Weighted A attive range ( lative range	VSI 0.63 0.45 0.50 0.00 0.00	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V V	Forest and m Forest and m Forest and m Forest and m  S-B79  ariable V <sub>CCANOPY</sub> V <sub>EMBED</sub> V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub> V <sub>BERO</sub> V <sub>LWD</sub> V <sub>TDBH</sub> V <sub>SNAG</sub> V <sub>SSD</sub>	1 TEMP AR Value 60 % 2.0 1.00 in 182 % 0.0 0.0	VSI 0.63 0.45 0.50 0.10 0.00 0.10	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V V	S-B79 Forest and m	12 within th Weighted A Weighted A Interest and the second of the second	VSI 0.63 0.45 0.50 0.10 0.00 0.10 Not Used 0.00	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	S-B79 Forest and n	1 TEMP AR Value 60 % 2.0 1.00 in 182 % 0.0 0.0 Not Used 0.00 1.00 %	VSI 0.63 0.45 0.50 0.10 0.00 0.10 Not Used 0.00 0.12	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnir Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100
V , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	S-B79 Forest and m	12 within th Weighted A Weighted A Interest and the second of the second	VSI 0.63 0.45 0.50 0.10 0.00 0.10 Not Used 0.00	Use (Choos  Use (Choos  d cover)  d cover)  Land Cov  Database datasets. impacts.	rer Analysis (NLCD), f Watershee	s was comrom Lands d boundar	No npleted usir sat satellite ries are bas	tes:  ing the 201 imagery led off of	Runoff Score  0.5  0.5  0.5  9 National and other ifield deline	Catchment  28.88  48.53  22.59  Land Covsupplemen ated stream	Runnin Percer (not >10 28.88 77.41 100

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATETIME	REASON FOR SURVEY

WEATHER	Now		Past 24	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 d	ays?
CONDITIONS		(heavy rain)	hours	Yes No	
	rain (	(steady rain) s (intermittent)		Air Temperature0 C	
	% %c	loud cover ear/sunny	%	Other	
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the sit	e and indicate the	areas samp	oled (or attach a photograph)	Ö
		Dense vege	etation	5-B79 TEMP AR 1 Silt fence	
		F	culver	7	
		/ _	1	Access Road	
				Silt fence	
			Dense	e vegetation	
		Note:A	ccess road cr	LOD rossing no pipeline crossing	
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Inte	ermittent Tida	1	Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater	
	Stream Origin Glacial Non-glacial montane Swamp and bog	Spring-fed Mixture of Other	origins	Catchment Areakm <sup>2</sup>	

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field Agric	Pasture Industria	rcial	No evidence Sor Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosi None Moderate	ne potential sources	
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	ΓION	Trees	e the dominant type and Sl ant species present	hrubs	Grasses He	brbaceous	
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	red Stream Depthm	m m² km² m	Canopy Cover Partly open Part  High Water Mark  Proportion of Reach R  Morphology Types Riffle Pool 9  Channelized Yes  Dam Present Yes	epresented by Stream Run% No	
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		m² of LWDm	1 <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> ( <b>LWD</b> / 1	reach area)		
AQUATIO VEGETA		Domina			minant species present nt Rooted floating	Ü	
WATER ((DS, US)	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	rature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty trument Used		Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Fishy  Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Other  Turbidity (if not measu Clear ☐ Slightly tu Opaque Stained	Chemical Other Globs Flecks	
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Abser	al Sewage nical Anaerobic 		are the undersides blac	th are not deeply embedded,	
INC	INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)  ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)						
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area	
Bedrock				Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)		
Boulder Cobble	> 256 mm (10") 64-256 mm (2.5			Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic		
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2			IVIUCK-IVIUU	(FPOM)		

Sand

Silt

Clay

0.06-2mm (gritty)

< 0.004 mm (slick)

0.004-0.06 mm

grey, shell fragments

Marl

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	n Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
ĺ	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total	Caama	
i otai	Score	

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION						
STATION # RIVERMILE S		STREAM CLASS						
LAT LONG		RIVER BASIN						
STORET#		AGENCY	AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER					
FORM COMPLETED BY		DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY					
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of	each habitat type present	onks % Sand %					

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand%  Submerged Macrophytes% Other ( )%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other
	How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.  Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )
GENERAL COMMENTS	

#### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM


County: Harrison Stream ID: S-B79 1 TEMP AR


Stream Name: UNT to Big Elk Creek (1) TEMP AR


HUC Code: 05020002 Basin: West Fork


Survey Date: 9/7/2021 Surveyors: AJE, RFC Type: Bankfull Channel

* 1	D . DEVOY E		LE COUNT			- a.	
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cur
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>A</b>	55	55.00	55.00
	Very Fine	.062125		•	2	2.00	57.00
	Fine	.12525		<b>*</b>	6	6.00	63.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	•	6	6.00	69.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		<b>^</b>	4	4.00	73.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		<b>A</b>	2	2.00	75.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		<b>*</b>	0	0.00	75.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	75.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		<b>*</b>	0	0.00	75.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		<b>*</b>	1	1.00	76.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	<b>*</b>	3	3.00	79.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		<b>*</b>	2	2.00	81.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		<b>*</b>	3	3.00	84.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		<b>A</b>	3	3.00	87.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		<b>*</b>	5	5.00	92.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>*</b>	6	6.00	98.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		<b>*</b>	2	2.00	100.0
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		<b>*</b>	0	0.00	100.0
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	e 1024 -2048	1	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		









#### SURVEY NOTES:

LEGEND

STUDY AREA (EASEMENT)


1176.87 +

EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG

EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION


- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON SEPTEMBER 7, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

### S-B79 TEMP AR (1) BASELINE CROSS-SECTION A UPSTREAM FROM CULVERT INLET



DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)

# S-B79 TEMP AR (2) BASELINE CROSS-SECTION B DOWNSTREAM FROM CULVERT OUTLET



DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)

CROSS SECTION LEGEND — EXISTING GRADE

CROSS SECTION
H: 1"=10'

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS



DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PRE-CROSSING

CAD File No.

Drawing No