## Reach S-H95 (Temporary Access Road) Ephemeral Spread D Nicholas County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	$\checkmark$
SWVM Form	$\checkmark$
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	$\checkmark$
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	$\checkmark$
Water Quality Data	N/A – No flow
RBP Habitat Form	$\checkmark$
RBP Benthic Form	$\checkmark$
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No flow
Wolman Pebble Count	$\checkmark$
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	$\checkmark$
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	$\checkmark$

## Spread D Stream S-H95(Temporary Access Road) Nicholas County



Photo Type: DS, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, COC Lat: 38.309738 Long: -80.675733




Photo Type: DS, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, COC Lat: 38.309738 Long: -80.675733

## Spread D Stream S-H95(Temporary Access Road) Nicholas County



Photo Type: US View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center of stream, Upstream View, COC Lat: 38.309738 Long: -80.675733



Photo Type: DS View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center of stream, Downstream View, COC Lat: 38.309738 Long: -80.675733

## Spread D Stream S-H95(Temporary Access Road) Nicholas County



Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, COC Lat: 38.309738 Long: -80.675733



Photo Type: US, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, COC Lat: 38.309738 Long: -80.675733

#### West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain	Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	38.309738	Lon.	-80.675733	WEATHER:	99% cloud cover	DATE:	09/10/2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE IE (watershed size {acreage)			S-H95 Tempora	ry Access Road		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS. (watershed size (acreag					Comments:	
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	259	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		Mitigation Length:	
Column No. 1- Impact Existin	g Condition (De	bit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ndition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pr Post Completio		rears	Column No. 4- Mitigation Projec Post Completion (Cr		Column No. 5- Mitigation Projec	ted at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Ephe	emeral	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel S	lope	19.3	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe		Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0	Percent Stream Channel Slop	pe O	Percent Stream Channel S	ilope 0
HGM Score (attach o	lata forms):		HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach	n data forms):		HGM Score (attach dat	a forms):	HGM Score (attach o	lata forms):
		Average		Average				Average		Average		Average
Hydrology	0.76		Hydrology			Hydrology		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Hydrology		Hydrology	
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.43	0.56	Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0
Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	0.49 Biological India	cators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and	nd Biological Inc	licators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and B	iological Indicators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams c	assifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams cl	lassifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20
2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	0	2. Pool Substrate Characterization 3. Pool Variability	0-20		2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	1	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.4	0	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.4		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.4	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	9	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20
<ol><li>Frequency of Riffles (or bends)</li></ol>	0-20	0	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20
<ol><li>Bank Stability (LB &amp; RB)</li></ol>	0-20	15	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	6	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20
Total RBP Score	0-20 Marginal	42	Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor 0		Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0	Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor 0	Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor 0
Sub-Total	Marginar	0.35	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	POOI	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial St	reams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent :	and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittee	nt and Perennial Str	eams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent a	and Perennial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial Streams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Genera	Ŋ		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	d)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Genera	1)
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity	
100-199 - 85 points	0-90			0-90			0-90			0-90		0-90
pH			pH			pH	0.1		pH		pH	
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	0-80 0-1			5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1		5-90
0.0-0.8 - 40 points			DO			DO			DO		DO	
	10-30			10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30
Sub-Total			Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	<u> </u>	0	Sub-Total		Sub-Total	
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Perennial	Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	t and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perenn	ial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1
0 Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
						<u>.</u>			-			
PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	Init Score		PART II - Index and	d Unit Score		PART II - Index and Uni	it Score	PART II - Index and	Unit Score
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Sco
0.568	259	146.9825	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0
	1		ļ			L	1	<u> </u>			L	

### FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for  $V_{CCANOPY}$  ( $\geq 20\%$  cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: MVP Stream Assessment Location: Nicholas County, Spread D Sampling Date: 9/10/21	Project Site	After Project
Subclass for this SAR: Ephemeral Stream		
Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: Tree/Sapling Strata	SAR number:	S-H95

### Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section B of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.76
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.43
Habitat	0.49

### Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:


Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
VCCANOPY	Percent canpoy over channel.	60.00	0.63
V <sub>EMBED</sub>	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.32	0.22
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V <sub>BERO</sub>	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	4.20	1.00
V <sub>LWD</sub>	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	21.01	0.99
V <sub>TDBH</sub>	Average dbh of trees.	13.54	1.00
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	1.26	1.00
V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	16.88	0.21
V <sub>HERB</sub>	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.98	1.00

			High-G		Headwa				•	а		n 10-20-
	Teene			Field L	Data She	et and C	aicu			M Northing:	20 200720	
Pro		RFC,COC	m Assessm	ent						Ũ	-80.675733	
			ounty, Sprea						-	npling Date:		
SA	R Number:			Length (ft):	238	Stream Ty	/pe:	Enhe	emeral Stream		0/10/21	,
	Top Strata:		e/Sapling St	• • • •		d from perce		1.000				
Site a	and Timing:	Project Site				-	After F	Project	t)			•
mple	Variables	1-4 in strea	m channel									
1	V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	equidistant 20%, enter	ercent cover points along at least one measuremer	the stream value betw	. Measure een 0 and 1	only if tree/s	apling	cove	r is at least :		0,	60.0 %
	15	0	0	20	5	50	8	0	90	70	70	
	90	100	50	100	40	100	10		60	80	80	
2	V <sub>EMBED</sub>	along the s surface and to the follow of 1. If the	nbeddednes tream. Sele d area surro ving table. I bed is comp	ct a particle unding the p f the bed is losed of bec	from the be particle that i an artificial s Irock, use a	ed. Before n is covered b surface, or c rating score	noving by fine s compose e of 5.	it, de sedim sed of	termine the nent, and en f fine sedime	percentage ter the rating ents, use a r	of the g according ating score	1.3
		Minshall 19			obble and b	oulder partic	cles (re	scale	d from Platt	s, Megahan	, and	
		Rating 5	Rating Des <5 percent		overed. sur	rounded. or	buried	by fir	ne sediment	(or bedrock	)	
		4	5 to 25 perc	cent of surfa	ce covered	surrounded	l, or bu	iried l	by fine sedir	nent		
		3			ace covere							
		2			ace covere covered. su					iment nt (or artificia	al surface)	
	List the rati	ngs at each	point below					. ~y		1-1 41411016		
	2	4	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	
	1	1	1	5	1	1	1		1	1	1	
	1	1	1	1	1	1	2		1	1	1	
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	
3	1	1 Madian atre	1 eam channe	1 Louhotroto r	1 article size	1	1	wart	3	5	2	
ĺ	or concrete	as 0.0 in, s	ches to the i and or finer	particles as	0.08 in):		,					
	4.20 0.08	0.50	0.60	0.08 2.50	0.08	0.08	0.0		0.08	0.08	0.08	
	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	5.70	0.08	8.0		0.08	0.08	0.08	
	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.0		0.08	0.08	0.08	
	0.08	0.08	12.50	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.0	)8	2.50	8.00	6.00	
4	V <sub>BERO</sub>		ent of eroded al percentag Left Bank:	e will be cal		oth banks a		ded, t	otal erosion			4 %
nnle	Variables	5-9 within t	he entire rij				U				h hank)	
5	V <sub>LWD</sub>	Number of stream rea	down woody ch. Enter th	/ stems (at l e number fr	east 4 inche om the entir	es in diamet	er and	36 in	ches in leng	th) per 100 t	feet of	21.0
6	V	Average	h of tross (	negeure en		f downed wo					at least 4	
6	V <sub>TDBH</sub>	inches (10	oh of trees (r cm) in diam n measurem	eter. Enter	tree DBHs i	n inches.	•		,		at least 4	13.5
į		the stream							<b>B</b> : 1. 2			
	26	40	Left Side	F	A	20		2	Right Side	40	40	
	36 12	12 24	6 12	5 20	4 18	30 6	1:		16	12	12	
	5	18	20	10	9	Ŭ	0					
	6	24	5	10								
								_				
7	V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of	snags (at le	ast 4" dbb a	nd 36" tall)	per 100 feat	of stro	am	Enter numb	er of spage	on each	
	* SNAG		stream, and	the amount	per 100 fee					-	5.1 0001	1.3
			Left Side:		2		Right			1		
8	V <sub>SSD</sub>	if tree cove	saplings and r is <20%). of stream will	Enter numb	er of sapling							Not Us
		,	Left Side:		5		Right	Side	9	80		

9	V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Group 1 in	the tallest st	ratum. Ch	eck all exotic	and invas	am reach. Ch sive species p from these da	resent in a			0.00
			ip 1 = 1.0		IIdex will be	calculated	nom mese ua		2 (-1.0)		
	Acer rubru			Magnolia t	rinetala		Ailanthus a		-2 (-1.0)	Lonicera ja	nonica
	Acer sacch			Nyssa sylv						Lonicera ta	
							Albizia julib				
	Aesculus fi				n arboreum		Alliaria peti	olata		Lotus corn	
	Asimina trii			Prunus se			Alternanthe			Lythrum sa	
	Betula alleg	haniensis		Quercus a		_	philoxeroide	<b>es</b>		Microstegiur	n vimineum
	Betula lent	а		Quercus c	occinea		Aster tatari	cus		Paulownia	tomentosa
	Carya alba			Quercus ir	nbricaria		Cerastium	fontanum		Polygonum	cuspidatum
	Carya glab	ra		Quercus p	rinus		Coronilla va	aria		Pueraria m	ontana
	Carya oval	is		Quercus ri	ubra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa multi	flora
	Carya ovat	a		Quercus v	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum h	alepense
	Cornus flor	rida		Sassafras	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena bi	asiliensis
	Fagus grar	ndifolia		Tilia ameri	cana		Ligustrum ot				
	Fraxinus a			Tsuga can			Ligustrum s				
	Liriodendron			Ulmus am			Liguotiumie				
		-		Onnus ann	cilcalla						
	Magnolia a	cuminata									
		0	Species in	Group 1				0	Species in	Group 2	
		b <b>plots shou</b> Average pe	ild be place ercent cover	d roughly of leaves,	equidistantl sticks, or oth	<b>y along e</b> er organic	) in the ripari ach side of th material. Wo ayer at each s	<b>ne stream.</b> body debris			16.88 %
				Side				Side			
		30	40	10	5	5	5	5	5		
11	V <sub>HERB</sub>	10 Average pe	25	10 wer of berb	60	5 station (me	5 easure only if	30	20	o not	
	V HERB	include woo	ody stems a percentages ot.	t least 4" dt s up througl	oh and 36" ta	II. Becaus	e there may b Enter the per	e several la cent cover	ayers of grou	und cover	Not Used
		Left Side					Side	10			
		40 70	55	50	90	5	0	0	10		
	e Variable 1	2 within the				0	90	65	75		
Sample 12	e Variable 1 V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the	entire cato	chment of t	t <b>he stream.</b> e for watersh	0 ned:	90	65		% in	0.98 Running
	V <sub>wLuse</sub>	2 within the Weighted A	e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of f Runoff Score	t <b>he stream.</b> e for watersh se From Dro	0 ned:	90	65	Runoff Score	Catch- ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V <sub>wLuse</sub>	2 within the	e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of f Runoff Score	t <b>he stream.</b> e for watersh se From Dro	0 ned:	90	65	Runoff	Catch-	Running Percent
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade	2 within the Weighted A	e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of t Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or par	t <b>he stream.</b> e for watersh se From Dro	0 ned:	90	65	Runoff Score	Catch- ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (:	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:	90	65 •	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	2 within the Weighted A areas (bare	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:	90	*	Runoff Score 0	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (:	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:	90	65 •	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (:	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:	90	*	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (:	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:	90	*	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (:	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:	90	*	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (:	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:	90	*	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (:	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:	90	*	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (:	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		*	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, law)	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 V	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (: (pasture, lawn G-H95 Value	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege 75% ground ns, parks, etc.) VSI	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 V	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space Graniable V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, law) 6-H95 Value 60 %	verage of R Land soil, no vege 55% ground ns, parks, etc.) VSI 0.63	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 V	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (: (pasture, lawn G-H95 Value	e entire cato Average of R Land soil, no vege 75% ground ns, parks, etc.) VSI	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 12	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, law) 6-H95 Value 60 %	verage of R Land soil, no vege 55% ground ns, parks, etc.) VSI 0.63	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 V	VwLUSE Newly grade Forest and r Open space S 'ariable VccANOPY VEMBED VSUBSTRATE	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (: (pasture, lawn G-H95 Value 60 % 1.3 0.08 in	verage of R Land soil, no vege 575% ground ns, parks, etc.) VSI 0.63 0.22 0.04	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 12	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space Open space S Yariable VccaNOPY VEMBED VSUBSTRATE VBERO	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, lawn construct, lawn construc	verage of R Land soil, no vege 55% ground ns, parks, etc. VSI 0.63 0.22 0.04 1.00	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 12	VwLUSE Newly grade Forest and r Open space S 'ariable VccANOPY VEMBED VSUBSTRATE	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare native range (: (pasture, lawn G-H95 Value 60 % 1.3 0.08 in	verage of R Land soil, no vege 575% ground ns, parks, etc.) VSI 0.63 0.22 0.04	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 V V	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space Open space S Yariable VccaNOPY VEMBED VSUBSTRATE VBERO	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, lawn construct, lawn construc	verage of R Land soil, no vege 55% ground ns, parks, etc. VSI 0.63 0.22 0.04 1.00	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
V V	VwLUSE Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable VccANOPY VEMBED VSUBSTRATE VBERO VLWD VTDBH	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, lawn 60 % 1.3 0.08 in 4 % 21.0 13.5	Verage of R           Land           soil, no vege           75% ground           ns, parks, etc.)           0.63           0.22           0.04           1.00           0.99           1.00	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
v v	V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space Graniable Vccanopy VemBeD Vsubstrate VBERO VLWD	2 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, lawn G-H95 Value 60 % 1.3 0.08 in 4 % 21.0	verage of R Land soll, no vege 75% ground ns, parks, etc.) VSI 0.63 0.22 0.04 1.00 0.99	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 12	V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space Graniable Vccanopy VEMBED Vsubstrate VBERO VLWD VTDBH VSNAG VSSD	2 within the Weighted A and areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, lawn ) Construction ) Construc	Verage of R           Land           soil, no vege           75% ground           ns, parks, etc.)           VSI           0.63           0.22           0.04           1.00           1.00           1.00           Not Used	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 12	V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space Grariable V <sub>CCANOPY</sub> V <sub>EMBED</sub> V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub> V <sub>BERO</sub> V <sub>LWD</sub> V <sub>TDBH</sub> V <sub>SNAG</sub> V <sub>SSD</sub>	2 within the Weighted A ad areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, lawn G-H95 Value 60 % 1.3 0.08 in 4 % 21.0 13.5 1.3 Not Used 0.00	Verage of R           Land           soil, no vege           75% ground           ns, parks, etc.)           0.63           0.22           0.04           1.00           0.99           1.00           Not Used           0.00	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
	VwLUSE Newly grade Forest and r Open space Graniable VccaNOPY VEMBED VccANOPY VEMBED VsUBSTRATE VBERO VLWD VTDBH VSNAG VSSD VSRICH VSRICH	2 within the Weighted A ad areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, lawn 60 % 1.3 0.08 in 4 % 21.0 13.5 1.3 Not Used 0.00 16.9 %	Verage of R           Land           soil, no vege           75% ground           ns, parks, etc.)           VSI           0.63           0.22           0.04           1.00           0.99           1.00           Not Used           0.00           0.21	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98
12 12	V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space Grariable V <sub>CCANOPY</sub> V <sub>EMBED</sub> V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub> V <sub>BERO</sub> V <sub>LWD</sub> V <sub>TDBH</sub> V <sub>SNAG</sub> V <sub>SSD</sub>	2 within the Weighted A ad areas (bare hative range (: (pasture, lawn G-H95 Value 60 % 1.3 0.08 in 4 % 21.0 13.5 1.3 Not Used 0.00	Verage of R           Land           soil, no vege           75% ground           ns, parks, etc.)           0.63           0.22           0.04           1.00           0.99           1.00           Not Used           0.00	chment of f Runoff Score Use (Choos tation or pav cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro vement)	0 ned:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Runoff Score 0 1	Catch- ment 0.73 98.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.73 98.98

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION		
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS		
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN		
STORET #	AGENCY		
INVESTIGATORS			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY	



## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Predominant Surrounding Landuse       Local Watershed NPS Pollution         Forest       Commercial         Field/Pasture       Industrial         Agricultural       Other         Residential       Other         Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present       Herbaceous         Trees       Shrubs       Grasses         Dominant species present       Herbaceous
INSTREAM FEATURES	Dominant species present
LARGE WOODY	LWDm <sup>2</sup>
DEBRIS	Density of LWDm <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> (LWD/ reach area)
AQUATIC	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
VEGETATION	Rooted emergent       Rooted submergent       Rooted floating       Free floating         Floating Algae       Attached Algae       Booted floating       Free floating       Free floating         Dominant species present
WATER QUALITY (DS, US)	Temperature0 C       Water Odors Normal/None       Sewage         Specific Conductance       Petroleum Fishy       Chemical Other         Dissolved Oxygen       Water Surface Oils Slick       Sheen None       Globs       Flecks         pH       Turbidity (if not measured) Clear       Slightly turbid       Turbid Turbid       Turbid Opaque       Turbid
SEDIMENT/	Odors
SUBSTRATE	Normal     Sewage     Petroleum     Deposits       Chemical     Anaerobic     None     Sludge     Sawdust     Paper fiber     Sand       Other     Other     Epoking at stones which are not deeply embedded are the undersides black in color?     How are the undersides black in color?

INC	ORGANIC SUBSTRATE (should add up to		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)				
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area		
Bedrock			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant			
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			materials (CPOM)			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic			
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")			(FPOM)			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments			
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm						
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)						

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION		
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS		
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN		
STORET #	AGENCY		
INVESTIGATORS			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY	

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted i	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
uram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Rapid Bioassessment Protocols For Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates, and Fish, Second Edition - Form 2

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat		Condition	1 Category						
Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
<ul> <li>SCORE</li> <li>8. Bank Stability (score each bank)</li> <li>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.</li> <li>SCORE (LB)</li> <li>SCORE (RB)</li> <li>9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)</li> </ul>	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
<b>10. Riparian</b> <b>Vegetative Zone</b> <b>Width</b> (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					

Total Score \_\_\_\_\_

### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION							
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS							
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN							
STORET #		AGENCY							
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER						
FORM COMPLETED	BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY						
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present         Cobble%       Snags%       Vegetated Banks%       Sand%         Submerged Macrophytes%       Other (       )%								
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Indicate the number of jab	lected? wading fi ps/kicks taken in each habitat ty lags Vegetated B	anks Sand						
GENERAL COMMENTS									

### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS


Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)


Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						


### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County:NicholasStream ID:S-H95Stream Name:UNT to Big Beaver CreekHUC Code:Basin:Survey Date:9/10/2021Surveyors:RFC, COCImpact:72.5 mType:Bankfull Channel

			LE COUNT				1
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cum
	Silt/Clay	<.062	S/C	▲ ▼	79	79.00	79.00
	Very Fine	.062125		▲ ▼	0	0.00	79.00
	Fine	.12525		•	0	0.00	79.00
	Medium	.255	S A N D	•	0	0.00	79.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		•	0	0.00	79.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		▲ ▼	0	0.00	79.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		•	0	0.00	79.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		•	0	0.00	79.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		• •	0	0.00	79.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		• •	1	1.00	80.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	G R A V E L	▲ ▼	0	0.00	80.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		•	0	0.00	80.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		•	0	0.00	80.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		•	2	2.00	82.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		▲ ▼	3	3.00	85.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		•	3	3.00	88.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	COBBLE	•	2	2.00	90.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	▲ ▼	5	5.00	95.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		▲ ▼	4	4.00	99.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		•	1	1.00	100.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		* •	0	0.00	100.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	B O U L D E R	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	Vry Large 2048 -4096		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	•	0	0.00	100.00
				Totals:	100		
	Total Tally:						







- 2138

2136

- 2134

- 2132

- 2130

- 2128

- 2126

2124

- 2122

- 2120

- 2118

SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON SEPTEMBER 10, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE.
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

