#### **Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-I44 (Timber Mat Crossing) Perennial Spread D Nicholas County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope <4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓ - Low flow
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Low flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	<b>√</b>
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

### Spread D Stream S-I44 (Timber Mat Crossing) Nicholas County



Photo Type: DS, US View
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, JM/SM
Lat: 38.291332 Long: -80.679265

Spread D Stream S-I44 (Timber Mat Crossing) Nicholas County



Photo Type: DS, DS View
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, JM/SM
Lat: 38.291332 Long: -80.679265

Spread D Stream S-I44 (Timber Mat Crossing) Nicholas County



Photo Type: US View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Upstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.291332 Long: -80.679265

Spread D Stream S-I44 (Timber Mat Crossing) Nicholas County



Photo Type: DS View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.291332 Long: -80.679265

Spread D Stream S-I44 (Timber Mat Crossing) Nicholas County




Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.291332 Long: -80.679265

Spread D Stream S-I44 (Timber Mat Crossing) Nicholas County



Photo Type: US, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.291332 Long: -80.679265

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountair	n Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES:	Lat.	38.291332	Lon.	-80.679265	WEATHER:	99% Cloud Cover	DATE:		
(V2.1, Sept 2016)				(in Decimal Degrees)								8/31/20	.021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID			S	-144	+	MITIGATION STREAM CLAS					Comments:		
(watershed size (acreage),	, unaltered or impairme	ints)				(watershed size {acre	age), unaltered	or impairments)					
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	22	FORM OF		MIT COORDINATES:	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		Mitigation Length:		
		MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	(in Decimal Degrees)									
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	g Condition (Debit	)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	Condition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Post Comple		Five Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (		Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ed at Maturity (Cre	edit)
Stream Classification:	Perenn	nial	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel SI	оре	2.6	Percent Stream Channel SI	ope		Percent Stream Channel	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel Sle	ope 0	Percent Stream Channel S	оре	0
HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (atta	ch data forn	is):	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):	
		Average		Average				Average		Average			Average
Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		Hydrology		
Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Biogeochemical Cycling		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicate	ors	PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemica	and Biologic	al Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicate	itors
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale	Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stres	ıms classificatio	16)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet	)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	12	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	
2. Embeddedness	0-20	5	2. Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20	2. Embeddedness	0-20	
Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20	10 19	Pool Variability     Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20	Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.1	10	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.4	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.1	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	19	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	5	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	18	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	16	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	14	<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> </ol>	0-20		<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> </ol>			<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> </ol>	0-20	<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> </ol>	0-20	
Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Suboptimal	128 0.64	Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor 0		Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Por		Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor 0	Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Stream		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Peren	ial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	nt and Perennial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	t and Perennial Stream	ams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	n		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	ı		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gene	ral)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)	
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity	,		Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity		
	0-90	38		0-90			0-90			0-90		0-90	
<=99 - 90 points						-11			-11		-11		
рп	0-1		рп	0-1		рп		0-1	pn	0-1	pn	0-1	
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	0-80	6.37		5-90			5-90			5-90		5-90	
DO			DO			DO			DO		DO		
	10-30	7.6		10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30	
>5.0 = 30 points Sub-Total	1 1	1	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	1 1	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Perennial Stre	•	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt			BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Int	ermittent and F		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial	
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	,		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		,	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	,	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
,	0-100 0-1		(.7700)	0-100 0-1		(HVOS)	0-100	0-1	(1100)	0-100 0-1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0-100 0-1	
0												1 11 11	
Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and U	Init Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index a	and Unit Scor	В	PART II - Index and U	nit Score	PART II - Index and L	Init Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear	Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Scor
0.820	22	18.04	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION			
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS			
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN			
STORET#	AGENCY			
INVESTIGATORS				
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY		

WEATHER CONDITIONS  SITE LOCATION/MAP	Now  storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) %cloud cover clear/sunny  Draw a map of the site and indicate	Past 24 hours Yes No Air Temperature 0 C Other 6 Other 6 C the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
	Stream and flow direction  Pipeline and flow direction  ROW  Timber mats	
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Origin	Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater  Catchment Areakm² e of origins

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field Agric	Pasture Industria	rcial	No evidence Sor Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosi None Moderate	ne potential sources
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	ΓION	Trees	e the dominant type and Sl ant species present	hrubs	Grasses He	brbaceous
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	red Stream Depthm	m m² km² m	Canopy Cover Partly open Part  High Water Mark  Proportion of Reach R  Morphology Types Riffle Pool 9  Channelized Yes  Dam Present Yes	epresented by Stream Run% No
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		m² of LWDm	1 <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> ( <b>LWD</b> / 1	reach area)	
AQUATIO VEGETA		Domina			minant species present nt Rooted floating	Ü
WATER ((DS, US)	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	rature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty trument Used		Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Fishy  Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Other  Turbidity (if not measu Clear ☐ Slightly tu Opaque Stained	Chemical Other Globs Flecks
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Abser	al Sewage nical Anaerobic 		are the undersides blac	th are not deeply embedded,
INC	ORGANIC SUBS (should a		COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add	
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock				Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Boulder Cobble	> 256 mm (10") 64-256 mm (2.5			Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic	
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2			IVIUCK-IVIUU	(FPOM)	

Sand

Silt

Clay

0.06-2mm (gritty)

< 0.004 mm (slick)

0.004-0.06 mm

grey, shell fragments

Marl

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category				
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.			
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).			
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	n Category				
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.			
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.			
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.			
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.			
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
ĺ	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			

Total	Caama	
i otai	Score	

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION					
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN					
STORET#		AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER					
FORM COMPLETED BY		DATE REASON FOR SURVEY TIME					
HABITAT TYPES  Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble % Snags % Vagatated Ronks % Sand %							

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand%  Submerged Macrophytes% Other ( )%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other
	How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.  Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )
GENERAL COMMENTS	

#### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Nicholas Stream ID: S-I44

Stream Name: Big Run

Basin:


HUC Code: Survey Date: Surveyors: Type: 8/31/2021 JM SM

Impact Reach: 21.34 m

Bankfull Channel

			LE COUNT				
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	0.00
	Very Fine	.062125		<b>^</b>	41	41.00	41.00
	Fine	.12525	]	<b>*</b>	0	0.00	41.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	<b>*</b>	0	0.00	41.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0	7	<b>*</b>	0	0.00	41.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2	1	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	41.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		<b>^</b>	3	3.00	44.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7	1	<b>^</b>	2	2.00	46.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	1	<b>^</b>	1	1.00	47.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	1	<b>^</b>	11	11.00	58.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	<b>A</b>	10	10.00	68.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		<b>*</b>	4	4.00	72.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		<b>*</b>	0	0.00	72.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		<b>A</b>	1	1.00	73.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64	1	<b>*</b>	4	4.00	77.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>*</b>	5	5.00	82.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	Ī	<b>A</b>	10	10.00	92.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>A</b>	4	4.00	96.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	<b>A</b>	2	2.00	98.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>A</b>	2	2.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		







Size (mm)						
	D16	0.082	_			
	D35	0.11				
	D50	8.7				
	D65	14				
	D84	97				
	D95	170				


Size Distr	ibution
mean	2.8
dispersion	58.6
skewness	-0.28

silt/clay	ype 0%	
sand	41%	
gravel	36%	
cobble	21%	
boulder	2%	





AS-BUILT TABLE: S-144 CROSS SECTION A								
	PI	AS-BUILT						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.			
TS-L	14151702.06	1756077.10	842.63					
BS-L	14151708.86	1756066.15	837.54					
THW	14151715.66	1756055.21	836.76					
BS-R	14151720.05	1756048.14	937.88					
TS-R	14151723.36	1756042.82	840.92					



#### SURVEY NOTES:

LEGEND

STUDY AREA (EASEMENT)

1176.87 十

EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG

EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION

- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON AUGUST 31, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE.
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM

FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PENDING CROSSING

Revision

Date

Eng.

CAD File No.


Checked

Drawing No


PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PRE-CROSSING

S-144 BASELINE CROSS-SECTION A RIFFLE



S-144 BASELINE CROSS-SECTION B



CROSS SECTION LEGEND — EXISTING GRADE CROSS SECTION
H: 1"=10'
V: 1"=5'

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.