## Reach S-J22 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread D Nicholas County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	$\checkmark$
SWVM Form	$\checkmark$
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	$\checkmark$
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	$\checkmark$
Water Quality Data	✓ Low flow
RBP Habitat Form	$\checkmark$
RBP Benthic Form	$\checkmark$
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Low flow
Wolman Pebble Count	$\checkmark$
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	$\checkmark$
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	$\checkmark$

### Spread D Stream S-J22 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County



Photo Type: DS, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, SM/JM Lat: 38.233718 Long: -80.708268





Photo Type: DS, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, SM/JM Lat: 38.233718 Long: -80.708268





Photo Type: US View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Upstream View, SM/JM Lat: 38.233718 Long: -80.708268





Photo Type: DS View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: ROW Center, Downstream View, SM/JM Lat: 38.233718 Long: -80.708268





Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, SM/JM Lat: 38.233718 Long: -80.708268

Spread D Stream S-J22 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County



Photo Type: US, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, SM/JM Lat: 38.233718 Long: -80.708268

#### West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain	Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	38.233718 Lon.	-80.708268	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:	09/02/2	2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage}			S-,	22		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./SITE ID AND (watershed size (acreage), unaltered or in				Comments:	N/A - WVSCI (	(Low flow)
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	85	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existin	g Condition (De	bit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Projected at Fiv Post Completion (Credit)	ve Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (	ected at Ten Years Credit)	Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ed at Maturity (Cre	edit)
Stream Classification:	Inter	nittent	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel SI	lope	9	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe		Percent Stream Channel Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel Sl	ope 0	Percent Stream Channel S	оре	0
HGM Score (attach d	iata forms):		HGM Score (attach c	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach data forms)	):	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):	
		Average		Average			Average		Average			Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0.69	0.44333333	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling			Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0
Habitat	0.21		Habitat			Habitat		Habitat		Habitat		ů
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	1 Biological Indi	cators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological	Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicate	ors
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Ru	inge Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	lassifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	
2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	14	2. Pool Substrate Characterization 3. Pool Variability	0-20		2. Embeddedness 0-20		2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	19	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-20 4. Sediment Deposition 0-20		<ol> <li>Velocity/ Depth Regime</li> <li>Sediment Deposition</li> </ol>	0-20	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.1		5. Channel Flow Status 0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.4	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	19	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration 0-20	0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-10	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	18	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	16	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	16	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	
Total RBP Score	Marginal	102	Total RBP Score	Poor 0		Total RBP Score Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0
Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	-1 1 D 1-1 O	0.51	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent)	0		Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial	0	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	0	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter		0
		reams)		and Perennial Streams)			Streams)					ms)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	i)	1	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity	)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity		
specific conductivity	0-90	240	Specific conductivity	0-90		0.90		Specific conductivity	0-90	Specific Conductivity	0-90	
200-299 - 80 points	0-90	240		0-90		0-90			0-90		0-90	
pH			pH			pH		pH		pH		
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	0-80	6.98		5-90 0-1		5-90	A1		5-90 0-1		5-90 0-1	
DO			po			DO		DO		po	· · · · ·	
	10-30	7.12		10-30		10-30			10-30		10-30	
>5.0 = 30 points Sub-Total		0.95	Sub-Total			Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total		Sub-Total		0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ittent and Perennial		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Pere	ennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	aittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	ittent and Perennial	Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		olicano	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	and referring of carries		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		ou camby
WV Silean Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1		WY Stream Condition Index (WYSCI)	0-100 0-1			54		0-100 0-1	Wy Stream Condition Index (WySci)	0-100 0-1	
0 Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	I I I	0
		•		÷	-		b					
PART II - Index and U	Unit Score		PART II - Index and I	Jnit Score		PART II - Index and Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	Init Score	PART II - Index and U	nit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	1	Index Linear Fe	et Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.587	85	49.8666667	0	0 0	1	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
μ	1	·	L		t	μ		ļ		μ	ı	

#### FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V<sub>CCANOPY</sub> (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: MVP Stream Assessment Location: Nicholas, Spread D Sampling Date: 9/2/21	Project Site	Before Project
Subclass for this SAR: Intermittent Stream		
Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: Shrub/Herb Strata	SAR number:	S-J22

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.69
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.43
Habitat	0.21

#### Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:


Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
VCCANOPY	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V <sub>EMBED</sub>	Average embeddedness of channel.	2.00	0.46
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	20.00	0.10
V <sub>BERO</sub>	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
V <sub>LWD</sub>	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	9.52	1.00
V <sub>TDBH</sub>	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	128.57	1.00
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	8.75	0.11
V <sub>HERB</sub>	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	73.75	0.98
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.79	0.83

			High-G		Headwat Data She				•	a	Versio	n 10-20-17
	Team:	Team: <mark>SM, JM</mark>						L	atitude/UTI	A Northing:	38.233718	
Pro	oject Name:	MVP Strea	m Assessm	ent				L	ongitude/UT	M Easting:	-80.708268	1
Location: Nicholas, Spread D									Sam	pling Date:	9/2/21	
SA	R Number:	S-J22		Length (ft):		Stream Ty			nittent Strea			•
	Top Strata:		rub/Herb Sti	ata	(determine	d from perce				PY)		
	and Timing:	10.0000000	8			•	Before	Proje	ct			•
Sample 1	V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	s 1-4 in stream channel Average percent cover over channel by tree and sapling canop equidistant points along the stream. Measure only if tree/saplii 20%, enter at least one value between 0 and 19 to trigger Top					sapling	g cov	er is at leas			Not Used, <20%
	List the per	cent cover	measureme	nis al each	point below							l I
	U											
2	V <sub>EMBED</sub>	points alon the surface according t rating score	nbeddednes g the strean and area s o the follow e of 1. If the ness rating	n. Select a urrounding ing table. If bed is com	particle from the particle f the bed is a uposed of be	n the bed. E that is cover an artificial s edrock, use	Before i red by surface a rating	movii fine s , or c g sco	ng it, detern sediment, ar composed o re of 5.	nine the per- nd enter the f fine sedim	centage of rating ents, use a	2.0
		Minshall 19	983)	<u> </u>		ouidei parti	cies (it	escal	eu itoiti Fia	us, weyana	in, anu	
		Rating 5	Rating Des		covered our	rounded c	huriad	l by f	no sodimer	t (or bodro	sk)	
		5			covered, sur ace covered						<i></i> )	
		3	26 to 50 pe	rcent of sur	face covere	d, surround	ed, or b	ourie	d by fine se	diment		
		2			face covere covered, su				,		ial surface)	
	List the rati		point belov		Sovereu, Sl	arounueu, (		JUDY	inic seuline		nai sunace)	I
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	
3		along the s	eam channe tream; use f	he same po	oints and pa	rticles as us	ed in V	/ <sub>EMBE</sub>	D.			20.00 in
			ches to the 0.0 in, sanc				w (bed	rock	should be c	ounted as §	99 in,	
	24.00	18.00	22.00	5.00	14.00	27.00	25.0	00	12.00	4.00	6.00	
	20.00	22.00	20.00	7.00	14.00	29.00	23.0	_	19.00	20.00	21.00	
	5.00	24.00	17.00	17.00	7.00	24.00	25.0	00	13.00	23.00	24.00	
4	V <sub>BERO</sub>		ent of erodeo e total perco to 200%. Left Bank:	entage will I		d If both ba		e ero	oded, total e			0 %
Sample 5	Variables		t <b>he entire ri</b> down wood <sup>,</sup>	-								
	LWD	stream rea	ch. Enter th et of stream	e number fr	rom the entinulated.		buffer a	and w	ithin the ch			9.5
6	V <sub>TDBH</sub>		oh of trees (		ly if V <sub>CCANOF</sub>	₀ <sub>Y</sub> tree/sapliı					e at least 4	Not Used
			cm) in diam n measurem below:				n) withi	in the	buffer on e	ach side of		Not Used
			Left Side						Right Side			
	0					0						
_		Man 1				100 1			Feet			
7	V <sub>SNAG</sub>		snags (at le stream, and						Enter num	ber of snag	s on each	0.0
			Left Side:		0		Right S	Side:	(	)		
8	V <sub>SSD</sub>	if tree cove	saplings an r is <20%). <sup>.</sup> 100 ft of st	Enter numb	per of saplin	gs and shru						128.6
		amount per	Left Side:				Right S	Side:	8	0		

V <sub>SRICH</sub>	richness pe	the tallest s or 100 feet a	stratum. Check and the subinde	ex will be	calculated	d from these				
	Grou	p 1 = 1.0					Group	2 (-1.0)		
Acer rubru	m		Magnolia tripe	etala		Ailanthus a	ltissima		Lonicera ja	aponica
Acer saccl	harum		Nyssa sylvatic	a		Albizia julib	rissin		Lonicera ta	atarica
Aesculus f	lava		Oxydendrum a	rboreum		Alliaria peti	olata		Lotus corn	iculatus
Asimina tri	loba		Prunus serotin	na		Alternanthe	ra		Lythrum sa	alicaria
Betula alle	ahaniensis		Quercus alba			philoxeroid		2	Microstegiu	
Betula len	-		Quercus cocci	inea		Aster tatari	cus		Paulownia	
Carya alba			Quercus imbri			Cerastium			Polygonum	
-								_		
Carya glat			Quercus prinu			Coronilla va			Pueraria n	
Carya ova	lis		Quercus rubra	a		Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa mult	iflora
Carya ova	ta		Quercus velut	tina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum I	halepense
Cornus flo	rida		Sassafras albi	idum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena b	rasiliensi
Fagus gra	ndifolia		Tilia american	a		Ligustrum of	otusifolium			
Fraxinus a	mericana		Tsuga canade	ensis		Ligustrum :	sinense			
Liriodendro	n tulipifera		Ulmus america	ana						
Magnolia a	acuminata									
5										
	<b>bplots sho</b> Average pe	uld be plac ercent cover	subplots (40' ed roughly eq of leaves, stick	uidistan ks, or oth	<b>tly along o</b> ner organio	each side of material. W	<b>the strean</b> oody debri	<b>ı.</b> s <4" diame		om each 8.75 9
			Enter the perc	ent cove	i oi the de			υι.	7	
	10	Left 15	Side 15	F	10	Right	Side	10		
	10	15	15	5	10	5	0	10	-	
				uu% are	accepted.					
	at each sub	Left	Side		accepted.	Right	Side	-	]	
ole Variable <sup>7</sup>	85 12 within the	Left 65 e entire cat		85 stream.	60			75		0.79
	85 12 within the	Left 65 e entire cat	Side 50 cchment of the	85 stream.	60 ned:	Right	Side	75 Runoff	% in Catch-	Percer
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	85 12 within the Weighted /	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land	Side 50 control of the Runoff Score for Use (Choose F	85 • stream. • or watersl	60 ned:	Right	Side	75 Runoff Score	% in Catch- ment	Runnin Percer (not >10
V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade	85 12 within the Weighted A	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F	85 • stream. • or watersl	60 ned:	Right	Side	75 Runoff Score 0	% in Catch- ment 17.71	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71
V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade	85 12 within the Weighted /	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F	85 • stream. • or watersl	60 ned:	Right	Side	75 Runoff Score	% in Catch- ment	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71
V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side	75 Runoff Score 0	% in Catch- ment 17.71	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71
V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space	85 12 within the Weighted / ed areas (bare ative range (2 (pasture, lawn	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space	85 12 within the Weighted / ed areas (bare native range (: (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
V <sub>wLUSE</sub> Newly grade Forest and r Open space	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare ative range (2 (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used,	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege •75% ground ns, parks, etc.	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Variable Vccanopy	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare ative range (: (pasture, lawn (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20%	Left 65 e entire cat Average of f Land soil, no vege 75% ground rs; parks, etc. VSI Not Used	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space	85 12 within the Weighted / ed areas (bare native range (a (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0	Left 65 e entire cat Average of f Land soil, no vege •75% ground ns, parks, etc.	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Variable Vccanopy	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare ative range (: (pasture, lawn (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20%	Left 65 e entire cat Average of f Land soil, no vege 75% ground rs; parks, etc. VSI Not Used	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Variable Vccanopy VEMBED	85 12 within the Weighted / ed areas (bare native range (a (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege 75% ground ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Variable Vccanopy Vembed Vsubstratte VBERO	85 Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (a (pasture, lawn s-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0 20.00 in 0 %	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege 75% ground rs, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46 0.10 1.00	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Vccanopy Vembed Vsubstrate Vbero VLwd	85 12 within the Weighted / ed areas (bare hative range (2 (pasture, lawn (pasture, lawn SS-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0 20.00 in	Left 65 e entire cat Average of f Land soil, no vege •75% ground ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46 0.10	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Variable Vccanopy Vembed Vsubstratte VBERO	85 Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (a (pasture, lawn s-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0 20.00 in 0 %	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege 75% ground rs, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46 0.10 1.00	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Vccanopy Vembed Vsubstrate Vbero VLWD VtDBH	85 12 within the Weighted / ed areas (bare hative range (2 (pasture, lawn (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0 20.00 in 0 % 9.5 Not Used	Left 65 e entire cat Average of f Land soil, no vege •75% ground ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46 0.10 1.00 1.00 Not Used	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Vccanopy VEMBED Vsubstratte VBERO VLWD VTDBH VSNAG	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (s (pasture, lawn (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0 20.00 in 0 % 9.5 Not Used 0.0	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege >75% ground 15, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46 0.10 1.00 1.00 Not Used 0.10	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Vccanopy Vembed Vsubstrate Vbero VLWD VtDBH	85 12 within the Weighted / ed areas (bare hative range (2 (pasture, lawn (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0 20.00 in 0 % 9.5 Not Used	Left 65 e entire cat Average of f Land soil, no vege •75% ground ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46 0.10 1.00 1.00 Not Used	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Vccanopy VEMBED Vsubstratte VBERO VLWD VTDBH VSNAG	85 12 within the Weighted A ed areas (bare hative range (s (pasture, lawn (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0 20.00 in 0 % 9.5 Not Used 0.0	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege >75% ground 15, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46 0.10 1.00 1.00 Not Used 0.10	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Vccanopy Vsubstrate VBERO VLWD VLWD VTDBH VSNAG VSSD VSRICH	85 Weighted / ed areas (bare native range (a (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0 20.00 in 0 % 9.5 Not Used 0.0 128.6	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege 75% ground 1s, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46 0.10 1.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly gradu Forest and r Open space Open space Variable Vccanopy Vembed Vsubstrate VBERO VLWD VLWD VTDBH VSNAG VSSD VSRICH VDETRITUS	85           12 within the           Weighted /           ed areas (bare           ative range (a           (pasture, lawn           S-J22           Value           Not Used, <20%	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege -75% ground ns, parks, etc. 	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnin Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48
VwLuse Newly grade Forest and r Open space Variable Vccanopy Vsubstrate VBERO VLWD VLWD VTDBH VSNAG VSSD VSRICH	85 Weighted 7 ed areas (bare ative range (a (pasture, lawn (pasture, lawn S-J22 Value Not Used, <20% 2.0 20.00 in 0 % 9.5 Not Used 0.0 128.6 0.00	Left 65 e entire cat Average of F Land soil, no vege 75% ground 1s, parks, etc. 75% ground 1s, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.46 0.10 1.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 Not Used 0.10	Side 50 chment of the Runoff Score fo Use (Choose F Use (Choose F itation or pavement tation or pavement	85 e stream. From Dro ent)	60 ned:	Right	Side 90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75 Runoff Score 0 1	% in Catch- ment 17.71 78.77	Runnir Percer (not >10 17.71 96.48

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY



# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Predominant Surrounding Landuse       Local Watershed NPS Pollution         Forest       Commercial       No evidence       Some potential sources         Field/Pasture       Industrial       Obvious sources       Obvious sources         Agricultural       Other       Local Watershed Erosion       None         None       Moderate       Heavy         Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present       Herbaceous         Trees       Shrubs       Grasses       Herbaceous	
INSTREAM FEATURES	Dominant species present	
LARGE WOODY DEBRIS	LWDm <sup>2</sup> Density of LWDm <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> (LWD/ reach area)	
AQUATIC VEGETATION	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present       Rooted submergent       Rooted submergent       Rooted floating         Floating Algae       Attached Algae       Free floating         Dominant species present	
WATER QUALITY (DS ONLY)	Temperature0 C       Water Odors Normal/None       Sewage         Specific Conductance       Petroleum Fishy       Chemical Other         Dissolved Oxygen       Water Surface Oils Slick       Sheen Other         pH       Slick       Sheen Other         Turbidity       Turbidity (if not measured) Clear □ Slightly turbid       Turbid Other	_
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE	Odors Normal     Sewage     Petroleum     Deposits       Chemical     Anaerobic     None     Sludge     Sawdust     Paper fiber     Sawdust       Other     Other     Epoking at stones which are not deeply embedde       Oils     Absent     Slight     Moderate     Profuse	and  ded,

INC	ORGANIC SUBSTRATE (should add up to		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)				
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area		
Bedrock			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant			
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			materials (CPOM)			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic			
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")			(FPOM)			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments			
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm						
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)						

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted iı	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is $< 0.3$ m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
Iram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Rapid Bioassessment Protocols For Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates, and Fish, Second Edition - Form 2

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat	Condition Category										
Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor							
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.							
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0							
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.							
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0							
<ul> <li>SCORE</li> <li>8. Bank Stability (score each bank)</li> <li>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.</li> <li>SCORE (LB)</li> <li>SCORE (RB)</li> <li>9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)</li> </ul>	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.							
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0							
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0							
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.							
SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0							
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0							
<b>10. Riparian</b> <b>Vegetative Zone</b> <b>Width</b> (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.							
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0							
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0							

Total Score \_\_\_\_\_

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION					
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN					
STORET #		AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER				
FORM COMPLETED	BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY				
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present         Cobble%       Snags%       Vegetated Banks%       Sand%         Submerged Macrophytes%       Other (       )%						
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Indicate the number of jab	lected? wading fi ps/kicks taken in each habitat ty lags Vegetated B	anks Sand				
GENERAL COMMENTS							

#### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS


Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						


#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County:	Nicholas	Stream ID:	S-J22
Stream Name:	UNT to Little Laurel Creek		
HUC Code:		Basin:	
Survey Date:	9/2/2021		
Surveyors:	SM JM	Impact Reach:	25.6 m
Type:	Bankfull Channel		

			LE COUNT				
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cum
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>•</b>	0	0.00	0.00
	Very Fine	.062125		•	0	0.00	0.00
	Fine	.12525		▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
	Medium	.255	S A N D	<b>•</b>	0	0.00	0.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		<b>•</b>	0	0.00	0.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		<b>•</b>	0	0.00	0.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		<b>•</b>	0	0.00	0.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		<b>•</b>	0	0.00	0.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		•	0	0.00	0.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	COBBLE	<b>•</b>	0	0.00	0.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>•</b>	0	0.00	0.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		<b>•</b>	0	0.00	0.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		•	0	0.00	0.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	]	▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096		▲ ▼	100	100.00	100.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00
				Totals:	100		
	Total Tally:						



### Bankfull Channel Pebble Count, S-J22, UNT to Little Laurel Creek



- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

