#### **Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-J25 (Pipeline ROW) Ephemeral Spread D Nicholas County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – slope <4%
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Lack of habitat
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

Spread D Stream S-J25 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County



Photo Type: DS, US View
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, JM/SM
Lat: 38.256682 Long: -80.687348

Spread D Stream S-J25 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County




Photo Type: DS, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.256682 Long: -80.687348

Spread D Stream S-J25 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County



Photo Type: US View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Upstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.256682 Long: -80.687348

Spread D Stream S-J25 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County



Photo Type: DS View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.256682 Long: -80.687348

Spread D Stream S-J25 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County



Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.256682 Long: -80.687348

Spread D Stream S-J25 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County



Photo Type: US, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.256682 Long: -80.687348

Spread D Stream S-J25 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County



Photo Type: Pool, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream of Pool, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.256682 Long: -80.687348

Spread D Stream S-J25 (Pipeline ROW) Nicholas County



Photo Type: Pool, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream of Pool, Upstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.256682 Long: -80.687348

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain	Valley Pipeline IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)		Lat.	38.256682 Lon80.687348		WEATHER: Steady Rain		DATE:	09/0	02/21	
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage}.			S.	-J25		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS. (watershed size {acreag					Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	77	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	g Condition (Deb	bit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	Condition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pr Post Completio		ve Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (C		Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ted at Maturity (f	Credit)
Stream Classification:	Ephen	meral	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:		0
Percent Stream Channel SI	lope	0.1	Percent Stream Channel SI	ope		Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0	Percent Stream Channel Slo	ope 0	Percent Stream Channel	Slope	0
HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms	:):	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	HGM Score (attach	data forms):	
		Average		Average				Average		Average			Average
Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		0	Hydrology	0	Hydrology		4 .
Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	_	0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	U U	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	+	- "
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indica	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical at	nd Biologica	I Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and I	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Indic	cators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale 8	kange Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range	a Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications	)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)	1
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	<ol> <li>Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover</li> </ol>	0-20	
2. Embeddedness	0-20	1 0	2. Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20	2. Embeddedness	0-20	
Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20	19	Pool Variability     Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20	Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0.20	0	Sediment Deposition     Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	19	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	18	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0.20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	18	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	12	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	
Total RBP Score	Suboptimal	87	Total RBP Score	Poor 0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0
Sub-Total		0.725	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total		0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Stre	eams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitted	nt and Perennia	il Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Str	reams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	D.		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	1)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity	)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	d)	
	0-90	70	,	0-90			0-90		,,	0-90	,	0-90	
<=99 - 90 points													
pn	0-1		pn	0-1		pn		0-1	pn	0-1	pn	0-1	
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	0-80	6.5		5-90			5-90			5-90		5-90	
DO			DO			DO			DO		DO		
>5.0 = 30 points	10-30	8.75		10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30	
Sub-Total		1	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total		0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Perennial S	Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Pe	rennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	nittent and Perenn	iial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	T		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	1		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		_
0	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100	0-1		0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and U	Unit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index and	d Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score	PART II - Index and	Unit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear F	eet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.863	77	66.4125	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
0.863	17	66.4125	1 0	0		II 0	0	0	1 0	0 0	1 0	0	0

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION			
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS			
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN			
STORET#	AGENCY			
INVESTIGATORS				
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY		

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now  storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) —%  —%  clear/sunny	Past 24 hours  Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?  Yes No  Air Temperature   Other
Stream and flow direction  Plowing and flow direction  Timbler mass	Draw a map of the site and indicate	e the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Origin Glacial Spring Non-glacial montane Mixtu	Tidal Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater  Catchment Area km² g-fed re of origins

### PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field/ Agric	Pasture Industr	ercial	No evidence Some potential sources Obvious sources  Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate Heavy						
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	Trees	dicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Trees Shrubs Grasses Herbaceous ominant species present								
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	km² (m²x1000)  ed Stream Depth  Velocity	m m² km² m	Canopy Cover Partly open Part  High Water Mark  Proportion of Reach R Morphology Types Riffle % Pool	epresented by Stream Run% No					
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		of LWD	m <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> (LWD/	reach area)						
AQUATIO VEGETA		Roote Floati <b>Domin</b> a	ed emergent Fing Algae A	Rooted submerge Attached Algae		Ü					
WATER QUALITY (DS, US)  Temperature   Specific Conductance  Dissolved Oxygen  pH  Turbidity  WQ Instrument Used				_	Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Fishy  Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Other  Turbidity (if not measu Clear □ Slightly tu Opaque Stained	Chemical Other  Globs Flecks					
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE  Odors Normal Sewage Chemical Anaerobic Other  Oils Absent Slight Moderate					are the undersides blac	Othereh are not deeply embedded,					
INC	ORGANIC SUBS		COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C						
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area					
Bedrock	-			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)						
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")				materials (CI OWI)						
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5	"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)						

Gravel

2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category				
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.			
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).			
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Ps	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Conditi	on Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.				
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	areas of erosion; high erosion potential during	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.				
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
Parameters to	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potentia to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.				
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.				
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
1	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				

Total	Caama	
i otai	Score	

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION				
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#		AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER			
FORM COMPLETED BY		DATE REASON FOR SURVEY				
HABITAT TYPES Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobbbe % Space % Vacceted Ropks % Space %						

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand%  Submerged Macrophytes% Other ( )%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other
	How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.  Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )
GENERAL COMMENTS	

#### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

Basin:

County: Nicholas Stream ID: S-J25

Stream Name: UNT to Little Laurel Creek


HUC Code:

Survey Date: 9/2/2021

Surveyors: SM JM Reach 18.3 m

Type: Bankfull Channel


			BLE COUNT				
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cu
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	0.00
	Very Fine	.062125		<b>A</b>	70	70.00	70.00
	Fine	.12525		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	70.0
	Medium	.255	SAND	<b>-</b>	0	0.00	70.0
	Coarse	.50-1.0		<b>-</b>	0	0.00	70.0
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		<b>-</b>	0	0.00	70.0
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		<b>-</b>	0	0.00	70.0
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		<b>-</b>	4	4.00	74.0
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		<b>A</b>	5	5.00	79.0
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		<b>-</b>	6	6.00	85.0
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	<b>*</b>	8	8.00	93.0
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		<u> </u>	7	7.00	100.0
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		<b>*</b>	0	0.00	100.0
.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.0
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		<b>*</b>	0	0.00	100.0
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.0
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
0.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		




Size (n	nm)
D16	0.073
D35	0.088
D50	0.1
D65	0.12
D84	10
□95	18


Size Distri	bution
mean	0.9
dispersion	50.7
skewness	0.64

	Туре	
silt/clay	0%	
sand	70%	
gravel	30%	
cobble	0%	
boulder	0%	





AS-BUILT TABLE: S-J25 CROSS SECTION B							
	PI	AS-BUILT					
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.		
TS-L	13892177.5600	1730163.5500	2045.721'				
BS-L	13892174.9100	1730164.64801	2045.634'				
THW	13892171.4600	1730166.7510	2045.243'				
BS-R	13892163.7400	1730169.8190	2046.192'				
TS-R	13892161.3000	1730170.9740	2046.432'				



#### SURVEY NOTES:

LEGEND

STUDY AREA (EASEMENT)


1176.87 十

EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG


EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION

- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON SEPTEMBER 2, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE.
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

# S-J25 BASELINE CROSS-SECTION A RIFFLE



## S-J25 BASELINE CROSS-SECTION B POOL



CROSS SECTION LEGEND

— EXISTING GRADE

CROSS SECTION
H: 1"=10'
V: 1"=5'

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

#### PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS




PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM F
DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PRE-CROSSING

CROSSII

-SECTIONS (VEY)
NT TO LITTL
P 120.2)

CAD File No.

1

Drawing No.