Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-L76 (Permanent Access Road) Perennial Spread B Lewis County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	\checkmark
SWVM Form	\checkmark
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope <4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	\checkmark
Water Quality Data	\checkmark
RBP Habitat Form	\checkmark
RBP Benthic Form	✓- Collected 9/22/2021
Benthic Identification Sheet	\checkmark
Wolman Pebble Count	\checkmark
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	\checkmark
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	\checkmark

Spread B S-L76 (Permanent Access Road) Lewis County



Photo Type: DS, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251



Photo Type: DS, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251

Spread B S-L76 (Permanent Access Road) Lewis County



Photo Type: US View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Upstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251



Photo Type: DS View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: ROW Center, Downstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251

Spread B S-L76 (Permanent Access Road) Lewis County



Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251



Photo Type: US, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251





Photo Type: Riffle, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream of Riffle, Downstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251



Photo Type: Riffle, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream of Riffle, Upstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251

West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain V	/alley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	38.929761	Lon.	-80.575251	WEATHER:	Cloudy	DATE:	9/22/	2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID A (watershed size (acreage), ur		ION:	S-L	.76		MITIGATION STREAM CLA (watershed size (ac	ASS./SITE ID AND creage), unaltered or im				Comments:	Water qualit date of bent	
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:		FORM OF	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing C	Condition (Debit)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	endition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigatio Post Comp	on Projected at Five eletion (Credit)	Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proj Post Completion (Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ted at Maturity (C	redit)
Stream Classification:	Perennial		Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	C	J
Percent Stream Channel Slop	pe 2	2	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe		Percent Stream Chann	nel Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	lope 0	Percent Stream Channel	lope	0
HGM Score (attach dat	a forms):		HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (at	ttach data forms):		HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):	HGM Score (attach	lata forms):	
	Avera			Average				Average		Average			Averag
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0
-labitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and Bi	iological Indicators		Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators		Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemic	cal and Biological Ir	ndicators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical an	Biological Indica	ators
1	Points Scale Range Site Sc	icare		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Rang	e Site Scare		Puinta Scale Range Site Score		Paints Scale Range	Site Scor
HYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams cl	lassifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams c	assifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all str	reams classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)	
SEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data She			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
	0-20 2	2	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	
Embeddedness	0-20 11		2. Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20	2. Embeddedness	0-20	
	0-20 13		3. Pool Variability 4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	
			5. Channel Flow Status			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.4	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
		6	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	
	0-20 8		7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
	0-20 18		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
	0-20 18		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
	0-20 18		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & R			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	
	Suboptimal 13		Total RBP Score	Poor 0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0
ib-Total	0.6		Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	FOOI	0	Sub-Total	P 001 0	Sub-Total	FUU	-
HEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent a			CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent a	and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	rmittent and Perennial S	treams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial Stre	ams)
VDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gen	neral)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	I)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	I)	
ecific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity		
	0-90 30)3		0-90			0-90			0-90		0-90	
300-399 - 70 points			-11						-11		-11		
1	0.1		pn	0.1		рп	0.1		рн	01	pn	0.1	
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	0-80 7.3	39		5-90			5-90			5-90		5-90	
0.0-0.0 - 00 points			no			no			DO		DO		
	10-30 7.6		50	10-30		50	10-30		50		50	10-30	
>5.0 = 30 points	10-30 7.6	.0		10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30	_
b-Total	0.9	9	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total		0
OLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	nt and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	t and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to In	Intermittent and Perer	inial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	nittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	nittent and Perenni	al Stream
V Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	1	
Good	0-100 0-1 72	2	II	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1	
Good Sub-Total	0.7	72	Sub-Total	0	l	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	1 1	0
PART II - Index and Uni	it Score		PART II - Index and L	Init Score	ſ	PART II Index	x and Unit Score	1	PART II - Index and U	Init Score	PART II - Index and	Unit Score	
PART II - Index and Oni			Pact # - Mdex and C			PART II - IIIde	x and omit ocore		PART II - Index and 0		PART II - Index and	onn ocore	
Index	Linear Feet Unit S	Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Fee	t Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Sc
													4

0.767

25.3

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION						
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS						
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	RIVER BASIN					
STORET #	AGENCY						
INVESTIGATORS							
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY					

WEATHER CONDITIONS SITE LOCATION/MAP	Now Past 24 hours Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes Storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) % cloud cover clear/sunny Air Temperature ° C % cloud cover clear/sunny % Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
	S-L76 P O O I
	LOD Access, road Bridge Riffle LOD
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Tidal Stream Type Perennial Intermittent Tidal Stream Origin Coldwater Warmwater Glacial Spring-fed Non-glacial montane Mixture of origins Swamp and bog Other

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Predominant Surrounding Landuse Local Watershed NPS Pollution Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural Other Residential Other Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Herbaceous Trees Shrubs Grasses Dominant species present Herbaceous
INSTREAM FEATURES	Dominant species present
LARGE WOODY	LWDm ²
DEBRIS	Density of LWDm ² /km ² (LWD/ reach area)
AQUATIC	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
VEGETATION	Rooted emergent Rooted submergent Rooted floating Free floating Floating Algae Attached Algae Booted floating Free floating Free floating Dominant species present
WATER QUALITY (DS, US)	Temperature0 C Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Specific Conductance Petroleum Fishy Chemical Other Dissolved Oxygen Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Globs Flecks pH Turbidity (if not measured) Clear Slightly turbid Turbid Turbid Turbid Opaque Turbid
SEDIMENT/	Odors
SUBSTRATE	Normal Sewage Petroleum Deposits Chemical Anaerobic None Sludge Sawdust Paper fiber Sand Other Other Epoking at stones which are not deeply embedded are the undersides black in color? How are the undersides black in color?

INC	ORGANIC SUBSTRATE (should add up to			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)							
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area						
Bedrock				sticks, wood, coarse plant							
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			materials (CPOM)							
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic							
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")			(FPOM)							
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments							
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm										
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)										

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION					
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN					
STORET #	AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS						
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY				

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category						
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor					
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.					
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.					
ted i	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).					
uram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
P	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.					
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.					
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					

Rapid Bioassessment Protocols For Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates, and Fish, Second Edition - Form 2

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
 SCORE 8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE (LB) SCORE (RB) 9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) 	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score _____

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-L	76	LOCATION Lewis County								
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Perennial								
LAT <u>38.929761</u>	LONG80.575251	RIVER BASIN								
STORET #		AGENCY WVDEP								
INVESTIGATORS R	H VM		LOT NUMBER							
FORM COMPLETED	^{BY} RH	DATE 09-22-21 TIME 0910	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment							
			•							
HABITAT TYPES	I ☑ Cobble <u>30</u> [^] % □Sn	ndicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble 30 % Snags % Vegetated Banks % Sand % Submerged Macrophytes % Other ()_%								
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used □D-frame How were the samples coll Indicate the number of jat Cobble 4 □ Sn Submerged Macrophytes	lected? ☑ wading ☐ f ps/kicks taken in each habitat ty bags □ Vegetated B	rrom bank ☐from boat y pe. sanks □Sand							
GENERAL COMMENTS		DO: 7.6 mg/L, SPC:	: 303 us/cm, pH: 7.34 : 303 us/cm, pH: 7.39							

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)


Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						ſ
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						ſ
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						ſ
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						ſ
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						ſ
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						ļ
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

ole ID 1		Wes	t Virginia Stream Co	onditi	on In	dex (WV	SCI) ORG ID REIC2
							ons correctly! All individuals that are part
			ignated as such in the Sam	ple Me	ethodol	gy column o	on the Benthic ID forms (Family or Genus)
	Count -	Internet and the second se		N	WVSCI	Metrics and	Scores ORG ID REIC2513
Aeshnidae 🤜	1	3		100			
Baetidae	4	4				WVSCI Standardized	
Caenidae	10	7				core w 8SV	Benthic Density
Ceratopogonidae	7	6		Metrics	BSV	1996-2001	
Chironomidae	2	6	and the second		No. Contractor		# of grids Picked 54 Total # of grids 10
Coenagrionidae	11	9 5	% 2 Dominant Taxa (Family)	50.90	37.3	78.31	
Dryopidae	16 84		% Chironomidae	0.90	1.7	100.81	Total IBI Individuals 222
Elmidae Ephemerellidae	2	4 3	% EPT (Family)	19.82	89.3	22.19	
Gomphidae		3	HBI (Family)			8- C	# of Organisms per Grid 4.11
Helicopsychidae	4	3		4.83	2.61	69.98	Organisms per Sq cm 0.0411
Heijcopsychidae	20	4	# EPT Taxa (Family)	8	13	61.54	Organisms per Sq m 411.11
Hydrachnidae	1	6	# Total Taxa (Family)	23	22	104.55	
Hydrophilidae	1	5	lu lu				
Hydropsychidae	Å	5		BSV 199		72.00	
Leptophlebiidae	1	2		Sectors and a	ter benereter i		
Lymnaeidae	1	7	WVSCI Catego	ry 📃	Unimpair	ed-Good	
Oligochaeta	i	10		W	SCI The	esholds	
Physidae	15	8		Uni	npaired =	= >68.00	
Polycentropodidae	1	6		Gray Zo	one = 60.	.61 to 68.00	
Psephenidae	29	4		Im	paired =	<60.61	
Tipulidae	4	3	<u>L.</u>				
Veliidae	1	6					


WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County:	Lewis	Stream ID:	S-L76
Stream Name:	INDIAN FORK		
HUC Code:		Basin:	
Survey Date:	9/15/2021		
Surveyors:	PL, KP, DD, LC	Impact Reach 12.3m	
Type:	Bankful Channel		


PEBBLE COUNT									
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cum		
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	▲ ▼	11	11.00	11.00		
	Very Fine	.062125		▲ ▼	6	6.00	17.00		
	Fine	.12525	S A N D	▲ ▼	5	5.00	22.00		
	Medium			▲ ▼	6	6.00	28.00		
	Coarse	.50-1.0		▲ ▼	5	5.00	33.00		
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		▲ ▼	4	4.00	37.00		
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		▲ ▼	2	2.00	39.00		
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		▲ ▼	0	0.00	39.00		
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		▲ ▼	4	4.00	43.00		
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	GRAVEL	▲ ▼	1	1.00	44.00		
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16		▲ ▼	3	3.00	47.00		
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		▲ ▼	2	2.00	49.00		
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		* *	4	4.00	53.00		
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		* *	3	3.00	56.00		
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		* *	6	6.00	62.00		
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		▲ ▼	7	7.00	69.00		
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	CODDIE	▲ ▼	9	9.00	78.00		
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	▲ ▼	13	13.00	91.00		
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		▲ ▼	6	6.00	97.00		
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362	BOULDER	▲ ▼	3	3.00	100.00		
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
	Bedrock		BDRK	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
				Totals:	100				
	Total Tally:								



Bankfull Channel Pebble Count, S-L76




ke X/OBD/_Pitchurgh/BGT/157 - MP/Croacheg Permits/Neet Vrydnia W391 Croacheg/Croacheg/Access Road/Compiled/2021-06-27 - S-L76 STIEM TOPO_MP 88.9/S-L76 - 58.80 MP - 224 by Dah/Time: Cot Oit, 2021 - 247mii



SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON AUGUST 26, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE.
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.



NOTE: ALL SECTIONS VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

