Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-L76 (Permanent Access Road) Perennial Spread B Lewis County, West Virginia

| Data | Included |
|--|--|
| Photos | \checkmark |
| SWVM Form | \checkmark |
| FCI Calculator and HGM Form | N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope <4%) |
| RBP Physical Characteristics Form | \checkmark |
| Water Quality Data | \checkmark |
| RBP Habitat Form | \checkmark |
| RBP Benthic Form | ✓- Collected 9/22/2021 |
| Benthic Identification Sheet | \checkmark |
| Wolman Pebble Count | \checkmark |
| Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data | \checkmark |
| Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections | \checkmark |

Spread B S-L76 (Permanent Access Road) Lewis County



Photo Type: DS, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251



Photo Type: DS, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251

Spread B S-L76 (Permanent Access Road) Lewis County



Photo Type: US View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Upstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251



Photo Type: DS View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: ROW Center, Downstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251

Spread B S-L76 (Permanent Access Road) Lewis County



Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251



Photo Type: US, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251





Photo Type: Riffle, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream of Riffle, Downstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251



Photo Type: Riffle, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream of Riffle, Upstream View, LC, KP, PL, DD Lat: 38.929761 Long: -80.575251

West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

| USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015) | | Mountain V | /alley Pipeline | IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees) | Lat. | 38.929761 | Lon. | -80.575251 | WEATHER: | Cloudy | DATE: | 9/22/ | 2021 |
|--|----------------------------|------------|---|---|------|---|--|----------------|---|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------|
| IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID A (watershed size (acreage), ur | | ION: | S-L | .76 | | MITIGATION STREAM CLA (watershed size (ac | ASS./SITE ID AND creage), unaltered or im | | | | Comments: | Water qualit date of bent | |
| STREAM IMPACT LENGTH: | | FORM OF | RESTORATION (Levels I-III) | MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees) | Lat. | | Lon. | | PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS: | | Mitigation Length: | | |
| Column No. 1- Impact Existing C | Condition (Debit) | | Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co | endition - Baseline (Credit) | | Column No. 3- Mitigatio Post Comp | on Projected at Five eletion (Credit) | Years | Column No. 4- Mitigation Proj Post Completion (| | Column No. 5- Mitigation Project | ted at Maturity (C | redit) |
| Stream Classification: | Perennial | | Stream Classification: | | | Stream Classification: | | 0 | Stream Classification: | 0 | Stream Classification: | C | J |
| Percent Stream Channel Slop | pe 2 | 2 | Percent Stream Channel Slo | pe | | Percent Stream Chann | nel Slope | 0 | Percent Stream Channel SI | lope 0 | Percent Stream Channel | lope | 0 |
| HGM Score (attach dat | a forms): | | HGM Score (attach d | ata forms): | | HGM Score (at | ttach data forms): | | HGM Score (attach d | ata forms): | HGM Score (attach | lata forms): | |
| | Avera | | | Average | | | | Average | | Average | | | Averag |
| Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling | 0 | | Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling | 0 | | Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling | | 0 | Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling | 0 | Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling | | 0 |
| -labitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and Bi | iological Indicators | | Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and | Biological Indicators | | Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemic | cal and Biological Ir | ndicators | Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and | Biological Indicators | Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical an | Biological Indica | ators |
| 1 | Points Scale Range Site Sc | icare | | Points Scale Range Site Score | | | Points Scale Rang | e Site Scare | | Puinta Scale Range Site Score | | Paints Scale Range | Site Scor |
| HYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams cl | lassifications) | | PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams c | assifications) | | PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all str | reams classifications) | | PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams | s classifications) | PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream | s classifications) | |
| SEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) | | | USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet) | | | USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data She | | | USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) | | USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) | | |
| | 0-20 2 | 2 | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover | 0-20 | | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover | | | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover | 0-20 | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover | 0-20 | |
| Embeddedness | 0-20 11 | | 2. Pool Substrate Characterization | 0-20 | | 2. Embeddedness | 0-20 | | 2. Embeddedness | 0-20 | 2. Embeddedness | 0-20 | |
| | 0-20 13 | | 3. Pool Variability 4. Sediment Deposition | 0-20 | | 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition | 0-20 | | 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition | 0-20 | 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition | 0-20 | |
| | | | 5. Channel Flow Status | | | 5. Channel Flow Status | 0-20 | | 5. Channel Flow Status | 0-20 0.4 | 5. Channel Flow Status | 0-20 | |
| | | 6 | 6. Channel Alteration | 0-20 0-1 | | 6. Channel Alteration | 0-20 0-1 | | 6. Channel Alteration | 0-20 0-1 | 6. Channel Alteration | 0-20 0-1 | |
| | 0-20 8 | | 7. Channel Sinuosity | 0-20 | | 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) | 0-20 | | 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) | 0-20 | 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) | 0-20 | |
| | 0-20 18 | | 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) | 0-20 | | 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) | 0-20 | | 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) | 0-20 | 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) | 0-20 | |
| | 0-20 18 | | 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) | 0-20 | | 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) | 0-20 | | 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) | 0-20 | 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) | 0-20 | |
| | 0-20 18 | | 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) | 0-20 | | 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & R | | | 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) | 0-20 | 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) | 0-20 | |
| | Suboptimal 13 | | Total RBP Score | Poor 0 | | Total RBP Score | Poor | 0 | Total RBP Score | Poor 0 | Total RBP Score | Poor | 0 |
| ib-Total | 0.6 | | Sub-Total | 0 | | Sub-Total | FOOI | 0 | Sub-Total | P 001 0 | Sub-Total | FUU | - |
| HEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent a | | | CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent a | and Perennial Streams) | | CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter | rmittent and Perennial S | treams) | CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter | nt and Perennial Streams) | CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte | nt and Perennial Stre | ams) |
| VDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) | | | WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) | | | WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gen | neral) | | WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General | I) | WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General | I) | |
| ecific Conductivity | | | Specific Conductivity | | | Specific Conductivity | | | Specific Conductivity | | Specific Conductivity | | |
| | 0-90 30 |)3 | | 0-90 | | | 0-90 | | | 0-90 | | 0-90 | |
| 300-399 - 70 points | | | -11 | | | | | | -11 | | -11 | | |
| 1 | 0.1 | | pn | 0.1 | | рп | 0.1 | | рн | 01 | pn | 0.1 | |
| 6.0-8.0 = 80 points | 0-80 7.3 | 39 | | 5-90 | | | 5-90 | | | 5-90 | | 5-90 | |
| 0.0-0.0 - 00 points | | | no | | | no | | | DO | | DO | | |
| | 10-30 7.6 | | 50 | 10-30 | | 50 | 10-30 | | 50 | | 50 | 10-30 | |
| >5.0 = 30 points | 10-30 7.6 | .0 | | 10-30 | | | 10-30 | | | 10-30 | | 10-30 | _ |
| b-Total | 0.9 | 9 | Sub-Total | 0 | | Sub-Total | | 0 | Sub-Total | 0 | Sub-Total | | 0 |
| OLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten | nt and Perennial Streams) | | BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter | t and Perennial Streams) | | BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to In | Intermittent and Perer | inial Streams) | BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm | nittent and Perennial Streams) | BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter | nittent and Perenni | al Stream |
| V Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | | | WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | | | WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | | | WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | | WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | 1 | |
| Good | 0-100 0-1 72 | 2 | II | 0-100 0-1 | | | 0-100 0-1 | | | 0-100 0-1 | | 0-100 0-1 | |
| Good Sub-Total | 0.7 | 72 | Sub-Total | 0 | l | Sub-Total | | 0 | Sub-Total | 0 | Sub-Total | 1 1 | 0 |
| PART II - Index and Uni | it Score | | PART II - Index and L | Init Score | ſ | PART II Index | x and Unit Score | 1 | PART II - Index and U | Init Score | PART II - Index and | Unit Score | |
| PART II - Index and Oni | | | Pact # - Mdex and C | | | PART II - IIIde | x and omit ocore | | PART II - Index and 0 | | PART II - Index and | onn ocore | |
| Index | Linear Feet Unit S | Score | Index | Linear Feet Unit Score | | Index | Linear Fee | t Unit Score | Index | Linear Feet Unit Score | Index | Linear Feet | Unit Sc |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 |

0.767

25.3

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

| STREAM NAME | LOCATION | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| STATION # RIVERMILE | STREAM CLASS | | | | | | |
| LAT LONG | RIVER BASIN | RIVER BASIN | | | | | |
| STORET # | AGENCY | | | | | | |
| INVESTIGATORS | | | | | | | |
| FORM COMPLETED BY | DATE TIME | REASON FOR SURVEY | | | | | |

| WEATHER CONDITIONS SITE LOCATION/MAP | Now Past 24 hours Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes Storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) % cloud cover clear/sunny Air Temperature ° C % cloud cover clear/sunny % Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph) |
|--|--|
| | S-L76 P O O I |
| | LOD Access, road Bridge Riffle LOD |
| STREAM CHARACTERIZATION | Stream Subsystem Tidal Stream Type Perennial Intermittent Tidal Stream Origin Coldwater Warmwater Glacial Spring-fed Non-glacial montane Mixture of origins Swamp and bog Other |

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

| WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer) | Predominant Surrounding Landuse Local Watershed NPS Pollution Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural Other Residential Other Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Herbaceous Trees Shrubs Grasses Dominant species present Herbaceous |
|--|--|
| INSTREAM FEATURES | Dominant species present |
| LARGE WOODY | LWDm ² |
| DEBRIS | Density of LWDm ² /km ² (LWD/ reach area) |
| AQUATIC | Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present |
| VEGETATION | Rooted emergent Rooted submergent Rooted floating Free floating Floating Algae Attached Algae Booted floating Free floating Free floating Dominant species present |
| WATER QUALITY (DS, US) | Temperature0 C Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Specific Conductance Petroleum Fishy Chemical Other Dissolved Oxygen Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Globs Flecks pH Turbidity (if not measured) Clear Slightly turbid Turbid Turbid Turbid Opaque Turbid |
| SEDIMENT/ | Odors |
| SUBSTRATE | Normal Sewage Petroleum Deposits Chemical Anaerobic None Sludge Sawdust Paper fiber Sand Other Other Epoking at stones which are not deeply embedded are the undersides black in color? How are the undersides black in color? |

| INC | ORGANIC SUBSTRATE (should add up to | | | ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%) | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Substrate Type | Diameter | % Composition in Sampling Reach | Substrate Type | Characteristic | % Composition in Sampling Area | | | | | | |
| Bedrock | | | | sticks, wood, coarse plant | | | | | | | |
| Boulder | > 256 mm (10") | | | materials (CPOM) | | | | | | | |
| Cobble | 64-256 mm (2.5"-10") | | Muck-Mud | black, very fine organic | | | | | | | |
| Gravel | 2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5") | | | (FPOM) | | | | | | | |
| Sand | 0.06-2mm (gritty) | | Marl | grey, shell fragments | | | | | | | |
| Silt | 0.004-0.06 mm | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clay | < 0.004 mm (slick) | | | | | | | | | | |

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

| STREAM NAME | LOCATION | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| STATION # RIVERMILE | STREAM CLASS | | | | | |
| LAT LONG | RIVER BASIN | | | | | |
| STORET # | AGENCY | | | | | |
| INVESTIGATORS | | | | | | |
| FORM COMPLETED BY | DATE TIME AM PM | REASON FOR SURVEY | | | | |

| | Habitat | | Condition | ı Category | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Parameter | Optimal | Suboptimal | Marginal | Poor | | | | | |
| | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover | Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient). | 40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). | 20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. | Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. | | | | | |
| | SCORE | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | | | |
| n sampling reach | 2. Embeddedness | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment. | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment. | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. | | | | | |
| ted i | SCORE | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | | | |
| Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach | 3. Velocity/Depth Regime | All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) | Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). | Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). | Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep). | | | | | |
| uram | SCORE | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | | | |
| P | 4. Sediment Deposition | Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. | Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. | Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. | Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. | | | | | |
| | SCORE | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | | | |
| | 5. Channel Flow Status | Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. | Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. | Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. | Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. | | | | | |
| | SCORE | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | | | |

Rapid Bioassessment Protocols For Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates, and Fish, Second Edition - Form 2

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

| Habitat | | Condition | ı Category | |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| Parameter | Optimal | Suboptimal | Marginal | Poor |
| 6. Channel Alteration | Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern. | Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present. | Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted. | Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely. |
| SCORE | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 |
| 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) | Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important. | Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15. | Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25. | Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25. |
| SCORE | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 |
| SCORE 8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE (LB) SCORE (RB) 9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) | Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. | Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion. | Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods. | Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars. |
| SCORE (LB) | Left Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 |
| SCORE (RB) | Right Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 |
| 9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) | More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. | 70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. | 50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. | Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height. |
| SCORE (LB) | Left Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 |
| SCORE (RB) | Right Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 |
| 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) | Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone. | Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally. | Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal. | Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities. |
| SCORE (LB) | Left Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 |
| SCORE(RB) | Right Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 |

Total Score _____

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

| STREAM NAME S-L | 76 | LOCATION Lewis County | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| STATION # | RIVERMILE | STREAM CLASS Perennial | | | | | | | | |
| LAT <u>38.929761</u> | LONG80.575251 | RIVER BASIN | | | | | | | | |
| STORET # | | AGENCY WVDEP | | | | | | | | |
| INVESTIGATORS R | H VM | | LOT NUMBER | | | | | | | |
| FORM COMPLETED | ^{BY} RH | DATE 09-22-21 TIME 0910 | REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | | | | |
| HABITAT TYPES | I ☑ Cobble <u>30</u> [^] % □Sn | ndicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble 30 % Snags % Vegetated Banks % Sand % Submerged Macrophytes % Other ()_% | | | | | | | | |
| SAMPLE COLLECTION | Gear used □D-frame How were the samples coll Indicate the number of jat Cobble 4 □ Sn Submerged Macrophytes | lected? ☑ wading ☐ f ps/kicks taken in each habitat ty bags □ Vegetated B | rrom bank ☐from boat y pe. sanks □Sand | | | | | | | |
| GENERAL COMMENTS | | DO: 7.6 mg/L, SPC: | : 303 us/cm, pH: 7.34 : 303 us/cm, pH: 7.39 | | | | | | | |

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

| Periphyton | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Slimes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Filamentous Algae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Macroinvertebrates | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Macrophytes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Fish | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)


| Porifera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Anisoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Chironomidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Hydrozoa | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Zygoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Ephemeroptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Platyhelminthes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Hemiptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Trichoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Turbellaria | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Coleoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Other | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Hirudinea | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Lepidoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | ſ |
| Oligochaeta | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Sialidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | ſ |
| Isopoda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Corydalidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | ſ |
| Amphipoda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tipulidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Decapoda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Empididae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | ſ |
| Gastropoda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Simuliidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | ſ |
| Bivalvia | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tabinidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | ļ |
| | | | | | | Culcidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |

| ole ID 1 | | Wes | t Virginia Stream Co | onditi | on In | dex (WV | SCI) ORG ID REIC2 |
|---------------------------|----------|--|--|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | ons correctly! All individuals that are part |
| | | | ignated as such in the Sam | ple Me | ethodol | gy column o | on the Benthic ID forms (Family or Genus) |
| | Count - | Internet and the second se | | N | WVSCI | Metrics and | Scores ORG ID REIC2513 |
| Aeshnidae 🤜 | 1 | 3 | | 100 | | | |
| Baetidae | 4 | 4 | | | | WVSCI Standardized | |
| Caenidae | 10 | 7 | | | | core w 8SV | Benthic Density |
| Ceratopogonidae | 7 | 6 | | Metrics | BSV | 1996-2001 | |
| Chironomidae | 2 | 6 | and the second | | No. Contractor | | # of grids Picked 54 Total # of grids 10 |
| Coenagrionidae | 11 | 9 5 | % 2 Dominant Taxa (Family) | 50.90 | 37.3 | 78.31 | |
| Dryopidae | 16 84 | | % Chironomidae | 0.90 | 1.7 | 100.81 | Total IBI Individuals 222 |
| Elmidae Ephemerellidae | 2 | 4 3 | % EPT (Family) | 19.82 | 89.3 | 22.19 | |
| Gomphidae | | 3 | HBI (Family) | | | 8- C | # of Organisms per Grid 4.11 |
| Helicopsychidae | 4 | 3 | | 4.83 | 2.61 | 69.98 | Organisms per Sq cm 0.0411 |
| Heijcopsychidae | 20 | 4 | # EPT Taxa (Family) | 8 | 13 | 61.54 | Organisms per Sq m 411.11 |
| Hydrachnidae | 1 | 6 | # Total Taxa (Family) | 23 | 22 | 104.55 | |
| Hydrophilidae | 1 | 5 | lu lu | | | | |
| Hydropsychidae | Å | 5 | | BSV 199 | | 72.00 | |
| Leptophlebiidae | 1 | 2 | | Sectors and a | ter benereter i | | |
| Lymnaeidae | 1 | 7 | WVSCI Catego | ry 📃 | Unimpair | ed-Good | |
| Oligochaeta | i | 10 | | W | SCI The | esholds | |
| Physidae | 15 | 8 | | Uni | npaired = | = >68.00 | |
| Polycentropodidae | 1 | 6 | | Gray Zo | one = 60. | .61 to 68.00 | |
| Psephenidae | 29 | 4 | | Im | paired = | <60.61 | |
| Tipulidae | 4 | 3 | <u>L.</u> | | | | |
| Veliidae | 1 | 6 | | | | | |


WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

| County: | Lewis | Stream ID: | S-L76 |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stream Name: | INDIAN FORK | | |
| HUC Code: | | Basin: | |
| Survey Date: | 9/15/2021 | | |
| Surveyors: | PL, KP, DD, LC | Impact Reach 12.3m | |
| Type: | Bankful Channel | | |


| PEBBLE COUNT | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|---------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Inches | PARTICLE | Millimeters | | Particle Count | Total # | Item % | % Cum | | |
| | Silt/Clay | < .062 | S/C | ▲ ▼ | 11 | 11.00 | 11.00 | | |
| | Very Fine | .062125 | | ▲ ▼ | 6 | 6.00 | 17.00 | | |
| | Fine | .12525 | S A N D | ▲ ▼ | 5 | 5.00 | 22.00 | | |
| | Medium | | | ▲ ▼ | 6 | 6.00 | 28.00 | | |
| | Coarse | .50-1.0 | | ▲ ▼ | 5 | 5.00 | 33.00 | | |
| .0408 | Very Coarse | 1.0-2 | | ▲ ▼ | 4 | 4.00 | 37.00 | | |
| .0816 | Very Fine | 2 -4 | | ▲ ▼ | 2 | 2.00 | 39.00 | | |
| .1622 | Fine | 4 -5.7 | | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 39.00 | | |
| .2231 | Fine | 5.7 - 8 | | ▲ ▼ | 4 | 4.00 | 43.00 | | |
| .3144 | Medium | 8 -11.3 | GRAVEL | ▲ ▼ | 1 | 1.00 | 44.00 | | |
| .4463 | Medium | 11.3 - 16 | | ▲ ▼ | 3 | 3.00 | 47.00 | | |
| .6389 | Coarse | 16 -22.6 | | ▲ ▼ | 2 | 2.00 | 49.00 | | |
| .89 - 1.26 | Coarse | 22.6 - 32 | | * * | 4 | 4.00 | 53.00 | | |
| 1.26 - 1.77 | Vry Coarse | 32 - 45 | | * * | 3 | 3.00 | 56.00 | | |
| 1.77 -2.5 | Vry Coarse | 45 - 64 | | * * | 6 | 6.00 | 62.00 | | |
| 2.5 - 3.5 | Small | 64 - 90 | | ▲ ▼ | 7 | 7.00 | 69.00 | | |
| 3.5 - 5.0 | Small | 90 - 128 | CODDIE | ▲ ▼ | 9 | 9.00 | 78.00 | | |
| 5.0 - 7.1 | Large | 128 - 180 | COBBLE | ▲ ▼ | 13 | 13.00 | 91.00 | | |
| 7.1 - 10.1 | Large | 180 - 256 | | ▲ ▼ | 6 | 6.00 | 97.00 | | |
| 10.1 - 14.3 | Small | 256 - 362 | BOULDER | ▲ ▼ | 3 | 3.00 | 100.00 | | |
| 14.3 - 20 | Small | 362 - 512 | | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 | | |
| 20 - 40 | Medium | 512 - 1024 | | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 | | |
| 40 - 80 | Large | 1024 -2048 | 1 | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 | | |
| 80 - 160 | Vry Large | 2048 -4096 | 1 | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 | | |
| | Bedrock | | BDRK | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 | | |
| | | | | Totals: | 100 | | | | |
| | Total Tally: | | | | | | | | |



Bankfull Channel Pebble Count, S-L76




ke X/OBD/_Pitchurgh/BGT/157 - MP/Croacheg Permits/Neet Vrydnia W391 Croacheg/Croacheg/Access Road/Compiled/2021-06-27 - S-L76 STIEM TOPO_MP 88.9/S-L76 - 58.80 MP - 224 by Dah/Time: Cot Oit, 2021 - 247mii



SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON AUGUST 26, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE.
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.



NOTE: ALL SECTIONS VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

