# Reach S-N14 (1) (Timber Mat Crossing) Perennial Spread D

# Nicholas County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	$\checkmark$
SWVM Form	$\checkmark$
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	NA - Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope <4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	$\checkmark$
Water Quality Data	$\checkmark$
RBP Habitat Form	$\checkmark$
RBP Benthic Form	$\checkmark$
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Lack of habitat
Wolman Pebble Count	$\checkmark$
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	$\checkmark$
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	$\checkmark$




Photo Type: DS, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.297014 Long: -80.676341



Photo Type: DS, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.297014 Long: -80.676341



Photo Type: US View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center ROW, Upstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.297014 Long: -80.676341



Photo Type: DS View at Center Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: ROW Center, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.297014 Long: -80.676341



Photo Type: US, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Upstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.297014 Long: -80.676341



Photo Type: US, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream Edge of ROW, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.297014 Long: -80.676341



Photo Type: Pool, DS View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream of Pool, Downstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.297014 Long: -80.676341



Photo Type: Pool, US View Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream of Pool, Upstream View, JM/SM Lat: 38.297014 Long: -80.676341

#### West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	ject Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline		IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	38.297014	Lon.	-80.676341	WEATHER:	Mostly cloudy	DATE:	8/30/21
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID ANI (watershed size (acreage), unali		S-N'	14 (1)		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS (watershed size (acreage					Comments:	
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	22         FORM OF MITIGATION:         RESTORATION (Levels I-III)         MITICOCRDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)         Lat.         Lon.					PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		Mitigation Length:			
Column No. 1- Impact Existing Col	ondition (Debit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation P Post Completion		Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (C		Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ted at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Perennial	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel Slope	0.6	Percent Stream Channel Slo	ope		Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel Sic	ope O	Percent Stream Channel S	lope 0
HGM Score (attach data f	forms):	HGM Score (attach o	data forms):		HGM Score (attac	h data forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):	HGM Score (attach d	lata forms):
	Average		Average				Average		Average		Average
Hydrology		Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		Hydrology	
Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biol	logical Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological Indicators		Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical a	nd Biological In	dicators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and B	Biological Indicators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators
Poir	nta Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams class	sifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	is classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	
	0-20 14 0-20 1	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 2. Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 2. Embeddedness	0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 2. Embeddedness	0-20	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 2. Embeddedness	0-20
	0-20 11	2. Pool Substrate Characterization 3. Pool Variability	0-20		2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20
	0-20 9	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20
	0-20 0-1 9	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.1		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.1
	0-20 19	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 0	0-20 9	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	<ol><li>Frequency of Riffles (or bends)</li></ol>	0-20
	0-20 16	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20
	0-20 10	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20
	0-20 13 Marginal 111	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor 0		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor 0	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor 0
Sub-Total	0.555	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	FOOI	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial S	reams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittee	nt and Perennial Streams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	aD		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	n
Specific Conductivity	750-999 - 30 points	Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity	
750.000.20	0-90 755		0-90			0-90			0-90		0-90
750-999 - 30 points		nH			nH			nH		nH	
	0-80 0-1 7.04		5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1		5-90 0-1
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	7.04				L						
DO		DO			DO			DO		DO	
>5.0 = 30 points	10-30 <b>6.8</b>		10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30
Sub-Total	0.7	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent a	and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Peren	nial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
0	0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1		1	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1
0 Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
u					U			row	ů v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	u	
PART II - Index and Unit S	Score	PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index an	d Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score	PART II - Index and I	Jnit Score
Index L	inear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score
0.628	22 13.805	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION						
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS						
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN						
STORET #	AGENCY						
INVESTIGATORS							
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY					

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now     Past 24 hours     Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes       Storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) %     Air Temperature0 C       % cloud cover clear/sunny    %
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
Stream and flow direction Poeline and flow direction ROW	K M
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Stream Type Perennial Intermittent Tidal Coldwater Warmwater
	Stream Origin     Catchment Area     km²       Glacial     Spring-fed     km²       Non-glacial montane     Mixture of origins     km²       Swamp and bog     Other     km²

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Predominant Surrounding Landuse       Local Watershed NPS Pollution         Forest       Commercial         Field/Pasture       Industrial         Agricultural       Other         Residential       Other         Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present       Herbaceous         Trees       Shrubs       Grasses         Dominant species present       Herbaceous
INSTREAM FEATURES	Dominant species present
LARGE WOODY	LWDm <sup>2</sup>
DEBRIS	Density of LWDm <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> (LWD/ reach area)
AQUATIC	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
VEGETATION	Rooted emergent       Rooted submergent       Rooted floating       Free floating         Floating Algae       Attached Algae       Booted floating       Free floating       Free floating         Dominant species present
WATER QUALITY (DS, US)	Temperature0 C       Water Odors Normal/None       Sewage         Specific Conductance       Petroleum Fishy       Chemical Other         Dissolved Oxygen       Water Surface Oils Slick       Sheen None       Globs       Flecks         pH       Turbidity (if not measured) Clear       Slightly turbid       Turbid Turbid       Turbid Opaque       Turbid
SEDIMENT/	Odors
SUBSTRATE	Normal     Sewage     Petroleum     Deposits       Chemical     Anaerobic     None     Sludge     Sawdust     Paper fiber     Sand       Other     Other     Epoking at stones which are not deeply embedded are the undersides black in color?     How are the undersides black in color?

INC	ORGANIC SUBSTRATE (should add up to			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)							
Substrate Type	Sampling Reach		Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area						
Bedrock			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant							
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			materials (CPOM)							
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic							
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")			(FPOM)							
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments							
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm										
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)										

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION						
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS						
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	RIVER BASIN					
STORET #	AGENCY						
INVESTIGATORS							
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY					

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category						
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor					
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.					
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.					
ted iı	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is $< 0.3$ m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).					
Iram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
P	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.					
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.					
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					

Rapid Bioassessment Protocols For Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates, and Fish, Second Edition - Form 2

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat		Condition	ı Category				
Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.			
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.			
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
<ul> <li>SCORE</li> <li>8. Bank Stability (score each bank)</li> <li>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.</li> <li>SCORE (LB)</li> <li>SCORE (RB)</li> <li>9. Vegetative</li> <li>Protection (score each bank)</li> </ul>	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.			
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.			
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
<b>10. Riparian</b> <b>Vegetative Zone</b> <b>Width</b> (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.			
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			

Total Score \_\_\_\_\_

### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION								
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS								
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN								
STORET #		AGENCY								
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER							
FORM COMPLETED	BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY							
HABITAT TYPES	Cobble% Sn	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present         Cobble%       Snags%       Vegetated Banks%       Sand%         Submerged Macrophytes%       Other (       )%								
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Indicate the number of jab	lected? wading fi ps/kicks taken in each habitat ty lags Vegetated B	anks Sand							
GENERAL COMMENTS										

### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS


Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						


### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County:	Nicholas	Stream ID:	S-N14 (1)
Stream Name:	Granny Run (1)		
HUC Code:		Basin:	
Survey Date:	8/30/2021		
Surveyors:	SM, JM	Reach:	22.9 m
Type:	Bankfull Channel		

PEBBLE COUNT									
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cum		
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	▲ ▼	0	0.00	0.00		
	Very Fine	.062125		▲ ▼	100	100.00	100.00		
	Fine	.12525	SAND	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
	Medium	.255		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
	Coarse	.50-1.0		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	1	• •	0	0.00	100.00		
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6	1	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32	1	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	- COBBLE	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		• •	0	0.00	100.00		
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	BOULDER	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024		• •	0	0.00	100.00		
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096		▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
	Bedrock		BDRK	▲ ▼	0	0.00	100.00		
			1	Totals:	100				
	Total Tally:								



### Bankfull Channel Pebble Count, S-N14 (1), Granny Run (1)





- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO

- 6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

