Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-G32 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread G Giles County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	N/A – No flow
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Photo Type: DS VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW looking S, ES



Photo Type: US VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW looking E, ES



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking NW, ES



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking SE, ES



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking SW, ES

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: Mountain (v2.1, Sept 2019)	i Valley Pipeline IMPACT COORDINATE (in Decimal Degrees)	S: Lat.	37.349095 Lo	on.	-80.65204	WEATHER:	Pa	irtly Cloudy	DATE:	August 19), 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (watershed size (acreage), unaltered or impairments)	S-G32		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS/SIT (watershed size (acreage), unz						Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH: 110 FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III) MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	Lo	on.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		1.26"	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing Condition (Debit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Condition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Project Post Completion (Cr		Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Project Post Completion (C	cted at Ten Yea Credit)	ars	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projecte	d at Maturity (Cre	edit)
Stream Classification: Intermittent	Stream Classification:		Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:)	Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel Slope 5.7	Percent Stream Channel Slope		Percent Stream Channel Slope	Ð	0	Percent Stream Channel Slo	ope	0	Percent Stream Channel Sl	оре	0
HGM Score (attach data forms):	HGM Score (attach data forms):		HGM Score (attach dat	ta forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ita forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ita forms):	
Average	Average				Average			Average			Average
Hydrology 0.66 Biogeochemical Cycling 0.46 0.51	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		•
Biogeochemical Cycling 0.46 0.51 Habitat 0.41	Biogeochemical Cycling 0		Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		•	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		۰	Habitat		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and B	Biological In	dicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and E	Biological Indic	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicat	ors
Points Scale Range Sits Score	Points Scale Range Site Score		Pol	oints Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams class	ssifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20 0	USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Eoifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover		
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20 0 2. Embeddedness 0-20 13	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20 Pool Substrate Characterization 0-20			0-20		Epilauriai Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		Embeddedness	0-20	
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-20 0	3. Pool Variability 0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
4. Sediment Deposition 0-20 14	4. Sediment Deposition 0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status 0-20 0-1	5. Channel Flow Status 0-20 0-1			0-20 0-1		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1	
6. Channel Alteration 0-20 18	6. Channel Alteration 0-20			0-20		Channel Alteration	0-20		Channel Alteration	0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 0-20 0 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 0-20 13	7. Channel Sinuosity 0-20			0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 0-20			0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 0-20 9 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-20 9	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 0-20 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-20			0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	
Total RBP Score Marginal 76	Total RBP Score Poor 0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0
Sub-Total 0.38	Sub-Total 0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and	d Perennial S	treams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Perennial St	treams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Strea	ams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General))		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		
Specific Conductivity 0-90	Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90	
100-199 - 85 points	pH		pH	0-90		pН	0-90		pH	0-90	
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	5-90 0-1			5-90			5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1	
5.6-5.9 – 45 points DO	DO		DO			DO			DO		
10-30	10-30			10-30			10-30			10-30	
Sub-Total Sub-Total	Sub-Total 0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR(Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	nt and Pereni	nial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ittent and Perenn	ial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Perennial	Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
0-100 0-1	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total 0	Sub-Total 0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and Unit Score	PART II - Index and Unit Score		PART II - Index and Uni	it Score		PART II - Index and Un	nit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score	
Index Linear Feet Unit Score	Index Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.550 110 60.5	0 0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Ver. 10-20-17

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V_{CCANOPY} (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

Location: Giles County **Sampling Date:** 8/19/2021

Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Intermittent Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-G32

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.66
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.46
Habitat	0.41

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V _{CCANOPY}	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V _{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	2.33	0.58
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	2.05	1.00
V _{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	25.25	0.94
V_{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	1.01	0.13
V _{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V _{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	45.45	0.70
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V _{DETRITUS}	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	34.13	0.42
V _{HERB}	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	59.38	0.79
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.91	0.96

			High-G		Headwat Data She				a		
	Team:	ES, EM		1 1010 2	Juliu Ollo	or and o			M Northing:	-37.349095	;
Pro	oject Name:		alley Pipelir	ie				ongitude/U	_		
	Location:	Giles Coun	ty					San	pling Date:	8/19/2021	
S <i>A</i>	AR Number:	S-G32	Reach	Length (ft):	99	Stream Ty	rpe: Inter	mittent Strea	m		_
	Top Strata:	Sh	rub/Herb Str	ata	(determined	d from perce	ent calculate	d in V _{CCANO}	₉ Y)		
	and Timing:	-				•	Before Proje	ct			▼
Sample 1	V _{CCANOPY}		m channel rcent cover	over chann	el hy tree an	nd sanling ca	anony Mea	sure at no f	ewer than 1	0 roughly	
·	CCANOPY	equidistant	points along at least one	the stream	. Measure	only if tree/s	apling cove	r is at least :			Not Used, <20%
	List the per	cent cover r	neasuremer	its at each p	oint below:						
	0										
2	V _{EMBED}	Average en	nbeddednes	s of the stre	am channe	. Measure	at no fewer	than 30 rou	ghly equidis	tant points	0.0
			tream. Sele								2.3
		to the follow	l area surro ving table. I bed is comp	f the bed is	an artificial	surface, or c	omposed of				
		Embeddedi Minshall 19	ness rating t	or gravel, co	obble and bo	oulder partic	les (rescale	d from Platt	s, Megahan	, and	
		Rating 5	Rating Des	•	overed, surr	ounded or	huried by fir	ne sediment	(or bedrook	1)	
		4			ce covered,					·)	
		3	26 to 50 pe	rcent of surf	ace covered	d, surrounde	ed, or buried	by fine sed	iment		
		<u>2</u> 1			ace covered covered, su					al surface)	
	List the rati		point below		0010104, 04	rroundou, o	Duriou by i	ino ocalino	it (or aranoic	ar ourrace)	I
	3	5	1	4	1	1	4	4	5	4	
	1	1	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	
	1	4	5	1	3	4	4	1	1	1	
3	Vounarnare	Median stre	eam channe	substrate r	article size	Measure a	t no fewer th	nan 30 roug	hly equidist:	ant points	
		along the s	tream; use t	he same po	ints and par	ticles as use	ed in V _{EMBED}			•	2.05 in
			and or finer								
	3.90	0.65	2.00	1.60	0.45	20.20	3.40	3.70	2.10	4.80	
	5.50 10.20	1.90 4.80	2.40 0.60	2.10 0.08	0.08	6.80 0.35	5.40 0.40	0.40 2.80	0.08	8.60 0.08	
4	V _{BERO}		nt of eroded								05.07
		may be up		_		i ii botii bar	iks are eroc			stream	25 %
			Left Bank:	15	5 ft		Right Bank:	10) ft		
Sample	Variables •			'				•			
5	V_{LWD}	stream read	down woody ch. Enter that of stream	e number fr	om the entir						1.0
					Number of		ody stems:		1		
6	V_{TDBH}	-	h of trees (r cm) in diam				g cover is at	t least 20%)	. Trees are	at least 4	Not Used
		List the dbh the stream	n measurem below:	ents of indiv	idual trees (at least 4 in) within the	buffer on ea	ch side of		
			Left Side					Right Side			
7	V _{SNAG}	Number of	snags (at le	ast 4" dbh a	nd 36" tall) i	per 100 feet	of stream	Enter numb	er of snags	on each	
-	UNAG		stream, and								0.0
8	V _{SSD}	Number of	Left Side:		oody stems		Right Side:) stream (mea	asure only if	
J	* SSD	tree cover i	sapilitys and s <20%). E f stream will	nter number	of saplings						45.5

				nd the subi							
			p 1 = 1.0						2 (-1.0)		
	Acer rubru			Magnolia t	ripetala		Ailanthus a		Z (1.0)	Lonicera jaj	ponica
	Acer sacch			Nyssa sylv			Albizia julib	rissin		Lonicera ta	
_	Aesculus fi			Oxydendrun			Alliaria peti			Lotus corni	
	Asimina tri			Prunus sei			•				
Ш							Alternanthe philoxeroide			Lythrum sa	
Ш	Betula alleg			Quercus a						Microstegium	
Ш	Betula lent			Quercus co			Aster tatario			Paulownia i	
Ш	Carya alba			Quercus in	nbricaria		Cerastium t	fontanum		Polygonum d	uspidatum
	Carya glab	ra		Quercus p	rinus		Coronilla va	aria		Pueraria m	ontana
	Carya oval	is		Quercus ru	ıbra	1	Elaeagnus u	mbellata	1	Rosa multif	lora
	Carya ovat	а		Quercus ve	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum ha	alepense
	Cornus flor	rida		Sassafras	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena br	asiliensis
	Fagus grar	ndifolia		Tilia ameri	cana		Ligustrum ob	tusifolium			
	Fraxinus a	mericana		Tsuga can	adensis	7	Ligustrum s	inense			
	Liriodendron	tulipifera		Ulmus ame	ericana						
_	Magnolia a		_								
	magnona a	oummata									
		0	Species in	Group 1				6	Species in	Group 2	
		bplots shou	ıld be place	d roughly	equidistant	ly along ea	in the ripari ach side of the material. Wo	he stream.			
		long are inc	clude. Enter	the percen	t cover of th	e detrital lay	yer at each s	ubplot.		_	34.13 %
				Side			Right				
		30	30	15	20	90	80	5	3		
11	V	Averes no		ver of borb		tation (mag	aura anhy if t		<200%) D		
	Average percentage cover of herbaceous vegetation (measure only if tree cover is <20%). Do not include woody stems at least 4" dbh and 36" tall. Because there may be several layers of ground cover vegetation percentages up through 200% are accepted. Enter the percent cover of ground vegetation at each subplot.								59 %		
		each subple		Side		Ī	Right	Side		1	
	e Variable 1	50 2 within the	Left 50 e entire cate			40	Right 80	Side 40	80		
ampl	e Variable 1	50 2 within the	Left 50 e entire cate werage of R	70 chment of t	the stream.	ned:				% in Catch	0.91
		50 2 within the	Left 50 e entire cate werage of R	70 chment of t	the stream.	ned:			80 Runoff Score	% in Catch- ment	
	Vwluse	50 2 within the	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R	chment of the Runoff Score	the stream.	ned:			Runoff		Running Percent
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	50 2 within the Weighted A	Left 50 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the confession of th	the stream.	ned:			Runoff Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	Forest and r	50 2 within the Weighted A mative range (:	Left 50 e entire cato everage of R Land <50% ground	Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ned:			Runoff Score 0.5	ment 1 88	Running Percent (not >100 1
	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious	50 2 within the Weighted A mative range (careas (parking)	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground glots, roofs, d	tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ned:		40	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 1 88 3	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92
	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious	50 2 within the Weighted A mative range (:	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground glots, roofs, d	tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ned:			Runoff Score 0.5	ment 1 88	Running Percent (not >100 1
	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious	50 2 within the Weighted A mative range (careas (parking)	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground glots, roofs, d	tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ned:		40	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 1 88 3	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92
	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious	50 2 within the Weighted A mative range (careas (parking)	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground glots, roofs, d	tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ned:		40 •	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 1 88 3	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92
	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious	50 2 within the Weighted A mative range (careas (parking)	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground glots, roofs, d	tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ned:		40 •	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 1 88 3	Running Percent (not >100 1 89
	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious	50 2 within the Weighted A mative range (careas (parking)	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground glots, roofs, d	tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ned:		40 •	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 1 88 3	Running Percent (not >100 1 89
	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious	50 2 within the Weighted A mative range (careas (parking)	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground glots, roofs, d	tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ned:		40 •	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 1 88 3	Running Percent (not >100 1 89
	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space	50 2 within the Weighted A mative range (careas (parking)	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground glots, roofs, d	tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ned:		40 ~	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 1 88 3	Running Percent (not >100 1 89
12	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space	2 within the Weighted A mative range (contained and parties areas (parking (pasture, law))	Left 50 e entire cato verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.;	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc.	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro	p List)	80 Not	40	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3	ment 1 88 3 8	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92 100
12 V	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space	2 within the Weighted A mative range (- mative range (sareas (parking (pasture, lawn)) G-G32 Value	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground p 10ts, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.; VSI	Cover)	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro	p List)	80	40 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92 100
12 V	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space	2 within the Weighted A mative range (contained and parties areas (parking (pasture, law))	Left 50 e entire cato verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.;	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92 100
12 V V _c	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space	weighted A weighted A mative range (- mative	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground p 10ts, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.; VSI	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92 100
12 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space	2 within the Weighted A mative range (- mative	Left 50 e entire catch verage of R Land >75% ground glots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.) VSI Not Used 0.58	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
V V _C V _S	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space Gariable CCANOPY EMBED	2 within the Weighted A wative range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land >75% ground glots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.; VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
V V _C V _S	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space	2 within the Weighted A mative range (- mative	Left 50 e entire catch verage of R Land >75% ground glots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.) VSI Not Used 0.58	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
V V _C V _E V _S V _E	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space Gariable CCANOPY EMBED	2 within the Weighted A wative range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land >75% ground glots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.; VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
VC VE VS VE VL	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space Gariable CCANOPY EMBED BUBSTRATE BERO	2 within the Weighted A mative range (- mative range (see a see a	Left 50 e entire catch verage of R Land >75% ground glots, roofs, dns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00 0.94 0.13	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
VC VE VS VE VL VT	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space (ariable CANOPY EMBED SUBSTRATE BERO SWD	2 within the Weighted A mative range (- mative	Left 50 e entire cato verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground glots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.; VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00 0.94	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
VC VE VS VE VL VT	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space Gariable CCANOPY EMBED BUBSTRATE BERO	2 within the Weighted A mative range (- mative range (see a see a	Left 50 e entire catch verage of R Land >75% ground glots, roofs, dns, parks, etc.) VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00 0.94 0.13	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space (ariable CANOPY EMBED SUBSTRATE BERO SWD	2 within the Weighted A wative range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (antive range (Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land >75% ground glots, roofs, dglots, roofs, dglots, roofs, dglots, parks, etc.; VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00 0.94 0.13 Not Used	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
VVVVSVSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space Gariable CCANOPY EMBED BUBSTRATE BERO BUMD CDBH SNAG	2 within the Weighted A weighted A wative range (continue range) (continue	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land > 75% ground glots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.) VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00 0.94 0.13 Not Used 0.10 0.70	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
V	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space Gariable CCANOPY EMBED SUBSTRATE BERO WD DBH SNAG SSD SRICH	S-G32 Value Not Used, <20% 2.05 in 25 % 1.0 Not Used 0.0 45.5 0.00	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land >75% ground glots, roofs, dns, parks, etc.) VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00 0.94 0.13 Not Used 0.10 0.70 0.00	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92 100
12 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space Gariable CCANOPY EMBED SUBSTRATE BERO WD FORBH ENAG SIGN SIGN SIGN SIGN SIGN SIGN SIGN SIG	s-G32 Value Not Used 2.3 2.05 in 25 % 1.0 Not Used 0.0 45.5 0.00 34.1 %	VSI Not Used 0.10 0.70 0.00 0.42	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 1 89 92 100
12 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space Gariable CCANOPY EMBED SUBSTRATE BERO WD DBH SNAG SSD SRICH	S-G32 Value Not Used, <20% 2.05 in 25 % 1.0 Not Used 0.0 45.5 0.00	Left 50 e entire cate verage of R Land >75% ground glots, roofs, dns, parks, etc.) VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00 0.94 0.13 Not Used 0.10 0.70 0.00	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92 100
V	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Impervious Open space Gariable CCANOPY EMBED SUBSTRATE BERO WD FORBH ENAG SIGN SIGN SIGN SIGN SIGN SIGN SIGN SIG	s-G32 Value Not Used 2.05 in 2.05 in 25 % 1.0 Not Used 0.0 45.5 0.00 34.1 %	VSI Not Used 0.10 0.70 0.00 0.42	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover) triveways, etc. y, grass cover	the stream. e for watersh se From Dro 2) 2 > 75% er Analysis from Lands d boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not pleted using imagery an ed off of fie	40 v v v v tes: g the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementaed stream	ment 1 88 3 8 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 1 89 92 100

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-G32	LOCATION Giles County					
STATION #_10697+78 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent	t				
LAT <u>37.349095</u> LONG <u>-80.65204</u>	RIVER BASIN Middle New					
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ					
INVESTIGATORS ES, EM						
FORM COMPLETED BY EM	DATE 8/19/2021 TIME 10:04 AM	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment				
	7	Has there been a beauty rain in the last 7 days?				
rain (shower 50 % ✓ %c	(heavy rain) (steady rain)	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes No Air Temperature 24.4 C Other				
SITE LOCATION/MAP Draw a map of the sit	Sudus Estabiliza Social Sudus Estabiliza Sudu	Overnead Euron				
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION Stream Subsystem Perennial Interpretation Stream Origin Glacial Non-glacial montane Swamp and bog	ermittent	Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater Catchment Area 1.45 km²				

Notes: No flow.

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		✓ Fores ☐ Field/ ☐ Agric	Predominant Surrounding Landuse ☐ Forest ☐ Commercial ☐ Field/Pasture ☐ Agricultural ☐ Residential ☐ Residential ☐ Commercial ☐ Dobyious sources ☐ Local Watershed Erosion ☐ None ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy						
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION		e the dominant type and Solidage		minant species present ☑ Grasses ☐ He	rbaceous			
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	km² (m²x1000) ed Stream Depth Velocity na m	m m² km² m	High Water Mark1	☑ Partly open ☐ Partly shaded ☐ High Water Mark _15m Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle% Riffle% Run% Pool% Channelized ☐ Yes ☐ No			
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	_3m² of LWDm	n ² /km ² (LWD/	reach area)				
AQUATIC VEGETA		Roote Floati	e the dominant type and demergent Reng Algae At the three types and species present NA of the reach with aquat	ooted submerge tached Algae	nt Rooted floating	☐Free floating			
WATER (QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH N/A Turbidi	ed Oxygen NA ty NA trument Used NA			Chemical Other_NA Globs Flecks			
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Absen	ical Anaerobic	Petroleum None	— Lρoking at stones whic are the undersides blac	□Paper fiber □Sand Other □Sand h are not deeply embedded, k in color?			
INC		STRATE of	COMPONENTS .00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)				
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area			
Bedrock			0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	30			
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")		5		` ′	00			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5	5"-10")	30	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	0			
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2	2.5")	40		` <i>'</i>	U			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)	5	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0			
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm		15]					
Clav	< 0.004 mm (sli	ck)	5	I					

Notes: No flow. No water quality measurements were taken due to no flow.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-G32	LOCATION Giles County				
STATION #_10697+78 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent				
LAT <u>37.349095</u> LONG <u>-80.65204</u>	RIVER BASIN Middle New				
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ				
INVESTIGATORS ES, EM					
FORM COMPLETED BY EM	DATE 8/19/2021 TIME 10:04 AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment				

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE 13	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P ₂	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE 14	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Notes: No flow, some deposition

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	n Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.		
	SCORE 18	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.		
amp	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing development.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.		
eva	SCORE 6	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
to be	SCORE 7	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.		
	SCORE 4	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.		
	SCORE 4	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	SCORE 5						

Total Score 76

Notes: No flow. Seeded and stabilized with erosion control netting. One area of erosion observed through netting. Slight sediment deposition in stream

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STATION # 10697+78 RIVERMILE STREAM CLASS Intermittent LAT 37.349095 LONG -80.65204 RIVER BASIN Middle New								
GEODET #	RIVER BASIN Middle New							
STORET# AGENCY VADEQ	AGENCY VADEQ							
INVESTIGATORS ES, EM LOT NUMBER								
FORM COMPLETED BY EM DATE 10:04 AM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Asset	essm	nent						
HABITAT TYPES Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble%								
SAMPLE Gear used D-frame kick-net Other								
COLLECTION How were the samples collected?								
How were the samples collected? ☐ wading ☐ from bank ☐ from boat								
Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. □ Cobble □ Snags □ Vegetated Banks □ Sand □ Submerged Macrophytes □ Other () □ □								
GENERAL COMMENTS No flow								
	3							
Filamentous Algae 0 1 2 3 4 Macroinvertebrates 0 1 2		4						
Macrophytes 0 1 2 3 4 Fish 0 1 2	3	4						
FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)	ns)							
Porifera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Chironomidae 0 1 2								
Hydrozoa 0 1 2 3 4 Zygoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2								
Platyhelminthes 0 1 2 3 4 Hemiptera 0 1 2 3 4 Trichoptera 0 1 2								
Turbellaria 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Other 0 1 2	3	4						
Hirudinea 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Oligochaeta 0 1 2 3 4 Sialidae 0 1 2 3 4								
1 ^								
^								
1 1 - 0 0 Tropo 0 0 1 1 1 1 4 / 1 Nama 1 1 1 1 1 1 / 1 1								
Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4								

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Giles County Stream ID: S-G32

County: Giles County Stream Name: Dry Branch HUC Code: 02080201

HUC Code: 02080201 Basin: Middle New

Survey Date: 8/19/2021 Surveyors: ES, EM Type: Representative

T 1	D A DELCT E		LE COUNT	D .: 1	75 4 1 11	T. 0/	0/ C
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	A	17	17.00	17.00
	Very Fine	.062125		•	0	0.00	17.00
	Fine	.12525		•	0	0.00	17.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	•	0	0.00	17.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		•	0	0.00	17.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		•	2	2.00	19.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		•	6	6.00	25.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		•	2	2.00	27.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		•	7	7.00	34.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		•	6	6.00	40.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	•	7	7.00	47.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		•	5	5.00	52.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32]	4	5	5.00	57.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45]	•	4	4.00	61.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		A	7	7.00	68.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		4	12	12.00	80.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	COBBLE	•	7	7.00	87.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	CORRLE	•	7	7.00	94.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	•	3	3.00	97.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		•	1	1.00	98.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		•	0	0.00	98.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	•	2	2.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		•	0	0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096]	•	0	0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	•	0	0.00	100.0
				Totals	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: Dry Branch
Reach Name: S-G32
Sample Name: Representative
Survey Date: 08/19/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	17 0 0 0 0 0 2 6 2 7 6 7 5 5 4 7 12 7 7 3 1 0 2 0	17.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 6.00 2.00 7.00 6.00 7.00 5.00 4.00 7.00 12.00 7.00 3.00 1.00 0.00 2.00	17.00 17.00 17.00 17.00 19.00 25.00 27.00 34.00 40.00 47.00 52.00 57.00 61.00 68.00 80.00 87.00 94.00 97.00 98.00 98.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.06 8.55 19.96 111.71 205.33 1023.97 17 2 49 29 3		

Total Particles = 100.

RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable)		,	Strear		essm tream Method		-	orm 1	l)		
Channel	Project #	Project Name (Ann	licant)		Cowardin						
Name(s) of Evaluation(s) ES, EM Dry Branch Suboptimal Optimal	•	, , , , ,	•	,							
ES, EM Dry Branch Optimal Suboptimal Suboptimal Poor Suboptim						05050002	8/19/2021	S-G32		1	
Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of this stream and preventing condition (answers, agrinations) Channel Condition				e and informa	ition						
Channel Condition Channel Condition Channel Condition Condition	Channel C	·		and provailing con	dition (orosion, ag	aradation)			33		
Channel Condition Channel Condition Channel Condition Co	. Onamiei O				Conditional Catego	ory			0		
Condition All-Confessore years to make Long Annual Processors Confessors		Very little incision or active erosion; 80	Slightly incised, f	ew areas of active	Often incised, but	less than Severe or	Overwidened/inc	cised. Vertically /	Deeply incised	(or excavated),	
RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable)		(80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully developed wide bankfull benches. Midchannel bars and transverse bars few. Transient sediment deposition covers	Vegetative protect prominent (60 Depositional feat stability. The ban channels are well d has access to be newly developed portions of the sediment covers. 1	tition or natural rock I-80%) AND/OR tures contribute to hkfull and low flow efined. Stream likely ankfull benches, or I floodplains along reach. Transient 0-40% of the stream	Erosion may be pr both banks. Vege 40-60% of banks. S vertical or und 40-60% Sediment transient, com Deposition that cc may be forming/p shaped channel protection on > 40 depositional feature	resent on 40-60% of tattive protection on Streambanks may be sercut. AND/OR may be temporary / ribute instability. resent. AND/OR V- s have vegetative 'w of the banks and res which contribute	vertical. Erosion pr banks. Vegetative on 20-40% of bank to prevent erosion. the stream is cov- Sediment is temp nature, and contri AND/OR V-shap vegetative protect 40% of the banks a	esent on 60-80% of a protection present s, and is insufficient AND/OR 60-80% of ered by sediment, orary / transient in buting to instability, eved channels have in is present on > and stable sediment	Streambed below av majority of banks Vegetative protect than 20% of banks erosion. Obviou present. Erosion/rav AND/OR Aggradin than 80% of stream deposition, contrib Multiple thread	erage rooting depth, vertical/undercut. ion present on less s, is not preventing s bank sloughing v banks on 80-100%. g channel. Greater n bed is covered by uting to instability. channels and/or	CI
Conditional Category	Scores	3	2	.4		2	1	.6	,	1	2.40
Scores 1.5 1.2 1.1 0.85 0.75 0.6 0.5 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below. Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below. Right Bank Right Bank Riparian Area 50% 30% 20% 100%	•	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wellands located within the riparian	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained	Low Suboptimal Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recen cutover (dense	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with < 30%	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable	NOTES>>		
Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below. Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below. Blocks equal 100 Blocks equal 100 CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 Left Bank Right Bank CI > 0.71 CI Score > 0.6 0.5 INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/pool omplexes, stable features. Conditional Category Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Habitat elements are typically present in greater than 50% of the reach. Stable habitat elements are typically present in greater than 50% of the reach. Stream Gradient CI			High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	-		
Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below. Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below. Right Bank Michael Score Signature Signature	Scores	1.5	1.2	1.1	0.85	0.75	0.6	0.5			
Right Bank	. Determine squ	uare footage for each by measuring	or estimating leng	th and width. Cal	Ĭ	•	of % F	Riparian			
Score > 0.85 0.6 0.5			<u> </u>					r e			
Left Bank	NIGHT DANK	Score > 0.85	0.6	0.5					Cl= (Sum % BA * C-	ores*0.01\/2	
Score > 0.6 0.5 0.75 Lt Bank Cl > 0.60 0.65 INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embeddness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/pool omplexes, stable features. Conditional Category NOTES>>		% Riparian Area> 65% 20% 15%					100%				
Instream Habitat/ Available Cover Available Cover Cover	Left Bank			+					Lt Bank CI >	0.60	0.65
Instream Habitat/ Available Cover Habitat elements are typically present in 30-50% of the reach. Stable habitat elements are typically present in 30-50% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. Stable habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. Stream Gradient CI			es, water velocity a	and depths; wood	y and leafy debris;	stable substrate;	low embededness	; shade; undercut	banks; root mats; \$	SAV; riffle/pool	
Habitat/ Available Cover Habitat elements are typically present in greater than 50% of the reach. Stable habitat elements are typically present in 30-50% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. Stable habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. Habitat elements listed above are lacking or are unstable. Habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. Stream Gradient CI									NOTES>>		
Available Cover Habitat elements are typically present in greater than 50% of the reach. Habitat elements are typically present in 30-50% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. Stable habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. Stable habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. Habitat elements listed above are lacking or are unstable. Habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. Stream Gradient CI		Optimal	Subo	ptimal	Mar	ginal	Poor				
	Available		present in 30-50% adequate for r	of the reach and are maintenance of	present in 10-30% adequate for	of the reach and are maintenance of	lacking or are unstable. Habitat elements are typically present in less than 10% of the reach.		Gradient	CI	
	Scores	1.5	1	.2	0	.9	0	.5			0.90

	S	tream Ir	npact A	ssessm	nent For	rm Page	2		
Project #	Project Name (Appl	licant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	нис	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)		Giles County	R4	05050002	8/19/2021	S-G32	110	1
I. CHANNEL	ALTERATION: Stream crossin	gs, riprap, concre	te, gabions, or con		ightening of chann	el, channelization,		poil piles, constriction	ons, livestock
4. CHANNEL	ALTERATION: Stream crossin		-	l Category	ightening of chann	el, channelization,			ons, livestock

0.9 REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

am has beer

channelized,

normal stable

stream meander pattern has not

stream has been channelized,

normal stable

stream meander pattern has not recovered.

0.7

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

the parameter

quidelines.

1.3

the channel erations listed i

hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized

1.5

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >>

1.05

1.30

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 116

CR = RCI X L_I X IF

in the parameter guidelines AND/OR 80% of banks shored with gabion,

riprap, or cement.

0.5

INSERT PHOTOS:

Scores

the parameter

guidelines.


1.1




 $\label{eq:constream} \mbox{Downstream view of ROW looking S. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.}$


DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER







CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-G32 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)								
	Р	RE-CROSSING	POST-CI	ROSSING				
PT. LOC.	NORTHING EASTING		ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.			
11.200.	Nontrinito	EASTING	LLLV	DIFF.	DIFF.			
TS-L	13561822.95	1741577.28	2070.36					
BS-L	13561824.17	1741573.51	2067.06					
THW	13561825.27	1741570.07	2066.05					
BS-R	13561827.34	1741562.67	2065.91					
TS-R	13561829.57	1741555.72	2066.47					





SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on July 30, 2021.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).









CROSS SECTION

SCALE: H: 1"=10'
V: 1"=5'

CROSS SECTION LEGEND

EXISTING GRADE

NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.



Wetland

202.

G32 Giles

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM ON 07/30/2021



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1

Vertical Datum: NAVD 88

Boundary and Topo Source:

MVP
WSSI 2' C.I. Topo

Design Draft Approved

TLK TLK PFS

Sheet #

1 of 1

Computer File Name: L:\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread G Work Dwgs 22865_03 S-G MP 198-207 Sheets.dwg