Baseline Assessment - Stream Attributes

Reach S-D14 (ROW) Intermittent Spread H Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	N/A – No flow
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW looking SE, JB



Photo Type: US VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW looking NW, JB



Photo Type: LB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking SW, AW



Photo Type: RB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking NE, JB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking SE, AE

 $L: \ | 22000s | 22800 | 22865.06 | Admin | 05-ENVR | Field\ Data | Spread\ H | Field\ Forms | S-D14 | 0_Potesta\ Submission | Docs | Photo\ Document\ Template_V2.docx$

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain '	Valley Pipeline		COORDINATES: mal Degrees)	Lat.	37.121473	Lon.	-80.088457	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:	August 2	26, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size (acreage).			S-l	D14			MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./S (watershed size (acreage),			i:		Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	234	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)		ORDINATES: mal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS	None	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	Condition (Det	pit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Baselii	ne (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Proj Post Completion	ected at Five ' (Credit)	Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation I Post Completi	Projected at Ten Years on (Credit)	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projec	ted at Maturity (C	redit)
Stream Classification:	Intern	nittent	Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	d	1
Percent Stream Channel Sle		14.73	Percent Stream Channel Slo				Percent Stream Channel Slo		0	Percent Stream Channel	el Slope 0	Percent Stream Channel S		0
HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach o	iata forms):			HGM Score (attach d	lata forms):		HGM Score (attac	h data forms):	HGM Score (attach	data forms):	
	0.54	Average			Average				Average		Average			Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0.51	0.30666667	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	0.08 Biological Indic	cators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological India	cators		Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	f Biological In	dicators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical	and Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological Indic	ators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	dassifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all str	eams classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data She		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
Epitaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	1	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	
Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	0	3. Pool Variability	0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	12	Sediment Deposition	0-20			Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	3	6. Channel Alteration	0-20			6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	18	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	10	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	12	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & R 		 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 		
Total RBP Score	Poor	56	Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0
Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	t and Perennial St	0.28 treams)	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Stre	ams)		Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial S	0 treams)	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	mittent and Perennial Streams)	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Str	eams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)				WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		-	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Ger		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General		
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity		
100-199 - 85 points pH	0-90		pH	0-90			pH	0-90		pH	0-90	pH	0-90	
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	0-80			5-90 0-1				5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1		5-90 0-1	
DO	10-30		DO	10-30			DO	10-30		DO	10-30	DO	10-30	
Sub-Total	.5-30		Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total	.500	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	10-30	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ent and Perennial	Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial St	-		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Perenr		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to In		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	mittent and Perenni	al Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
0	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total	•	0	Sub-Total	•	0		Sub-Total	•	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and U	nit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score			PART II - Index and I	Unit Score		PART II - Index at	nd Unit Score	PART II - Index and	Unit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.423	234	99.06	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0

Ver. 10-20-17

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V_{CCANOPY} (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

Location: Franklin County

Sampling Date: 8/26/21 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Intermittent Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-D14

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.51
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.33
Habitat	0.08

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V _{CCANOPY}	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V _{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.53	0.29
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V_{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
V_{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V _{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V _{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	100.00	1.00
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V _{DETRITUS}	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	3.13	0.04
V _{HERB}	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	95.63	1.00
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.98	1.00

			High-G				ms in Ap		a		
	Team:	AW, JB		i ioia i	Julu Ono	ot and o			M Northing:	37.121473	
Pro	oject Name:		alley Pipelir	ie					-	-80.088457	
	Location:	Franklin Co	unty					San	npling Date:	8/26/21	
SA	AR Number:			Length (ft):	70	Stream Ty		mittent Strea			_
	Top Strata:		rub/Herb Str	ata	(determined	d from perce	ent calculate	d in V _{CCANO}	_{>Y})		
Site	and Timing:	Project Site				~	Before Proje	ct			•
Sample	Variables			avar shann	al buttena an	ad a a milimar a	anopy. Mea	aura at na f	auras than 1	O roughly	
'	V _{CCANOPY}	equidistant 20%, enter	points along at least one	the stream value betw	. Measure een 0 and 1	only if tree/s	anopy. Mea apling cove Top Strata c	r is at least :		0 ,	Not Used, <20%
	0	cent cover r	neasuremer	its at each p	oint below:						1
1											
2	V_{EMBED}	along the si surface and to the follow of 1. If the	tream. Sele I area surro ving table. I bed is comp	ct a particle unding the p f the bed is losed of bed	from the be particle that in an artificial strock, use a	ed. Before noise covered be surface, or contact, and rating score		termine the lent, and en f fine sedime	percentage ter the rating ents, use a r	of the g according rating score	1.5
		Minshall 19	83)		obbie and bi	ouider partic	cles (rescale	d Irom Platt	s, meganan	, and	
		Rating 5	Rating Des <5 percent		overed sur	rounded or	buried by fir	ne sediment	(or bedrock	:)	
		4	5 to 25 per	ent of surfa	ce covered,	surrounded	l, or buried b	y fine sedir	nent	,	
		3 2					ed, or buried ed, or buried				
		1					r buried by f	,		al surface)	
		ngs at each									,
	1	1	1	1	1	1					
	1	1	1	1	1	1					
	1	1	1	1	3	3					
3	2	1 Median stre	1	5	5	4					
		cle size in ine as 0.0 in, s	ches to the i	nearest 0.1	inch at each		ed in V _{EMBED}		unted as 99	in, asphalt	
	1.70	1.90	2.00	0.08	0.08	0.08					
	4.00	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08					
	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08					
	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	3.50	0.08					
4	V_{BERO}		e total perce				ital number onks are eroo				0 %
			Left Bank:	0	ft		Right Bank:	0	ft		
Sample 5	Variables V _{LWD}	Number of stream read	down woody	/ stems (at I e number fr	east 4 inche	s in diamete	e stream cher and 36 incommers	ches in leng	th) per 100	feet of	0.0
6	V_{TDBH}	-	h of trees (r cm) in diam		y if V _{CCANOP}	_Y tree/saplin	oody stems: g cover is a		. Trees are	at least 4	Not Used
		List the dbh the stream		ents of indiv	idual trees ((at least 4 in) within the	buffer on ea	ch side of		
			Left Side					Right Side			
7	V _{SNAG}		snags (at le stream, and				of stream. culated.	Enter numb	er of snags	on each	0.0
0	V	Number of	Left Side:		ody stems	un to 4 imal-	Right Side:) stream (mer	eure orbi if	
8	V _{SSD}	tree cover i		nter number	of saplings		on each side			asure only if e amount	100.0

9	V _{SRICH}	Group 1 in richness pe	er 100 feet a	ina the subii		caiculated t	rom these da				
			p 1 = 1.0						2 (-1.0)		
	Acer rubrui			Magnolia ti	ripetala	7	Ailanthus a		- (114)	Lonicera jaj	ponica
_	Acer sacch	arum		Nyssa sylv			Albizia julib	rissin		Lonicera ta	
=	Aesculus fl			Oxydendrun			Alliaria peti			Lotus corni	
	Asimina trii			Prunus ser			•			Lythrum sa	
_							Alternanthera philoxeroides			•	
_	Betula alleg			Quercus al						Microstegium	
	Betula lent	а		Quercus co	occinea		Aster tatario	cus	Ш	Paulownia i	
	Carya alba			Quercus in	nbricaria		Cerastium t	fontanum		Polygonum o	cuspidatum
	Carya glab	ra		Quercus pi	rinus		Coronilla va	aria		Pueraria m	ontana
	Carya oval	is		Quercus ru	ıbra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa multif	lora
	Carya ovat	а		Quercus ve	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum ha	alepense
	Cornus flor	rida		Sassafras	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena br	asiliensis
	Fagus grar	ndifolia		Tilia ameri	cana		Ligustrum ob	tusifolium			
_	Fraxinus ai			Tsuga can	adensis		Ligustrum s	inense			
	Liriodendron			Ulmus ame			g				
_				Ollilus allie	aricaria						
	Magnolia a	cummata									
		0	Species in	Group 1				3	Species in	Group 2	
									-		
							in the ripari		one within	25 feet fron	n each
10	V _{DETRITUS}						naterial. Wo		<4" diamete	er and <36"	3.13 %
		long are inc		•	t cover of th	e detrital lay	yer at each s				3.13 /0
				Side	_		Right		_		
		10	0	0	0	15	0	0	0		
11	V	Average pe	rcentage co	ver of berb	aceons veds	tation (mea	asure only if t	ree cover is	<20%) D	o not	
	V_{HERB}						there may b				
				s up through	n 200% are a	accepted. E	Enter the per	cent cover o	of ground ve	egetation at	96 %
	each subplot.										
		i cacii cabpii				1	B	2.1		, !	
			Left	Side	100	75	Right		100	<u>ן</u> '	
ample	e Variable 1	90 2 within the	Left 100	100	100	75	Right 100	100	100		
ample	e Variable 1 V _{WLUSE}	90 2 within the	Left 100 e entire cate	100 chment of t					100		0.98
		90 2 within the	Left 100 e entire cate Average of R	100 chment of t	the stream.	ed:			Runoff Score	% in Catchment	Running Percent
	V _{WLUSE}	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R	chment of t	the stream.	ed:			Runoff Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:			Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:			Runoff Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:			Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:			Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:		100 	Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:		100	Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:		100 	Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:		100 	Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:		100	Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:		100 	Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover)	the stream.	ed:		100	Runoff Score	ment 5	Running Percent (not >100)
12	Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted Amative range (:	Left 100 e entire cato Average of R Land	Use (Choose cover)	the stream. e for watersh	ed: p List)	100	100 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Runoff Score 0.5	ment 5 95	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
12 V:	Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A mative range (:	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis	ed: p List) was compat satellite	Not oleted using imagery an	100 V V V V V V V des:	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
12 V:	Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A stative range (:	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
Vi V _c	Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not oleted using imagery an	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
Vi V _C C V _E I	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
V: V _C V _S	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE	90 2 within the Weighted A wative range (** 3-D14 Value Not Used, <20% 1.5 0.08 in	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.29 0.04	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
V: V _C V _S	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r	90 2 within the Weighted A wative range (Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.29	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
V: V _C V _S	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Canopy MBED UBSTRATE ERO	90 2 within the Weighted A wative range (** 3-D14 Value Not Used, <20% 1.5 0.08 in	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.29 0.04	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
Vi V _C , V _B , V _L ,	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	90 2 within the Weighted A wative range (Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.29 0.04 1.00 0.00	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
V: Vcc Vsi Vsi VLV	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD	90 2 within the Weighted A wative range (stative r	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land >75% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.29 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
V: Vcc Vsi Vsi VLV	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	90 2 within the Weighted A wative range (Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.29 0.04 1.00 0.00	Use (Choose cover)	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
VSI	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG	90 2 within the Weighted A wative range (stative r	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land >75% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.29 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used	Use (Choose cover) Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
V: V _C V _{SI} V _{SI} V _{SI} V _{SI} V _{SI}	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Gariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	90 2 within the Weighted A Weighted A A wative range (Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground >75% ground 1.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 1.00	Use (Choose cover) Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
Value	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Gariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH	90 2 within the Weighted A wative range (stative r	Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land >75% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.29 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	Use (Choose cover) Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
Value	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Gariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	90 2 within the Weighted A Weighted A A wative range (Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land <50% ground >75% ground >75% ground 1.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 1.00	Use (Choose cover) Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 d other suld delineat	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
Vi V _C · V _B · V _L · V _S · V _S · V _S · V _S · V _S ·	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Gariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH	90 2 within the Weighted A Particle range (Left 100 e entire cate verage of R Land >75% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.29 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	Use (Choose cover) Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	100 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100
VSI	Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	90 2 within the Weighted A wative range (stative r	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.04	Use (Choose cover) Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not letted using imagery an ed off of fie	100 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Runoff Score 0.5 1	ment 5 95 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 5 100

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-D14		LOCATION Franklin County			
STATION # I	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent	t		
LAT <u>37.121473</u> I	LONG80.088457	RIVER BASIN Upper Roand	oke		
STORET#		AGENCY VADEQ, WVDEP	AGENCY VADEQ, WVDEP		
INVESTIGATORS AW,	JB				
FORM COMPLETED BY	AW, JB	DATE 8/26/21 TIME 2:00 PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment		
WEATHER	Now		Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?		

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now Past 24 hours Yes ✓ No Air Temperature 32.8 ° C Other Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph) TONING TONING TONING TONING TONING TONING STOLL LOSS BIB, dense veg; and assessment c 701 COUNG TONING
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem

Notes: No water present. Stream previously relocated on 6/21/21 per NWP NAO-2015-00898.

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		✓ Fores	Pasture Industri	rcial	Local Watershed NPS ☑ No evidence ☐ Son ☐ Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosi ☑ None ☐ Moderate	ne potential sources		
	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present ☐ Trees							
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	ted Stream Depth o	m m² km²	High Water Mark	☑ Partly open ☐ Partly shaded ☐ High Water Markm Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle % Pool% Channelized ☐ Yes ☑ No		
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	2 m ² of LWDn	n²/km² (LWD /	reach area)			
AQUATIC VEGETA		Floati	e the dominant type and ded emergent RA Allare Allare Allare of the reach with aquat	ooted submerge ttached Algae	ent Rooted floating	☐Free floating		
WATER ((DS, US)	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH N/A Turbidi	cature NA 0 C c Conductance NA ed Oxygen NA ity NA strument Used NA			Chemical Other_NA Globs Flecks		
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils	nical Anaerobic	Petroleum None	— Lpoking at stones whic are the undersides blac	□Paper fiber □Sand Other NA h are not deeply embedded, k in color?		
INC	ORGANIC SUBS (should a	STRATE dd up to 1	COMPONENTS		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add			
Substrate Type Diameter			% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area		
Bedrock			0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	5		
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")		0		<u> </u>	0		
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5		5	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	0		
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2		5					
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0		
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm		90					
Clav	< 0.004 mm (sli	ck)	0			1		

Notes: No water present. Stream previously relocated on 6/21/21 per NWP NAO-2015-00898. Water quality measurements not taken.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-D14	LOCATION Franklin County			
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent			
LAT <u>37.121473</u> LONG <u>-80.088457</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke			
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ, WVDEP			
INVESTIGATORS AW, JB				
FORM COMPLETED BY AW, JB	DATE 8/26/21 REASON FOR SURVEY TIME 2:00 PM AM PM Baseline Assessment			

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE 1	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
$P_{\mathcal{E}}$	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE 12	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Notes: No water present. Stream previously relocated on 6/21/21 per NWP NAO-2015-00898. Water quality measurements not taken.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category		
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor	
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.	
	score 3	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
ding reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.	
amb	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing development.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.	
e eva	SCORE 9	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
to b	SCORE 9	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.	
	SCORE 5	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
	SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.	
	SCORE 4	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
	SCORE 8	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	

Notes: No water present. Stream previously relocated on 6/21/21 per NWP NAO-2015-00898.

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-D14				LC	LOCATION Franklin County														
STATION #	R	IVE	ERM	ILE_		ST	STREAM CLASS Intermittent												
LAT 37.121473	_ L	ONO	ਤੋਂ -80.	088457	7	RI	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke												
STORET#						AC	GENC	Y VA	DEQ	, W\	/DEI	Р							
INVESTIGATORS A	W, J	В										I	LOT	NUMBER					
FORM COMPLETE) BY	A	W	, ,	JB	DA TI	ATE ME	8/26/21 2:00 PM	1			I	REAS	SON FOR SURVEY B	aselir	ne A	sse	ssm	ent
HABITAT TYPES	▮∟	Col	ble_		%	tage of eacl Snags_ phytes	h hab	itat ty %	\square V	'eget	i t ated Other	Ban	ks	%	%				
SAMPLE	G	ear	used		D-fr	ame 🔲 ki	k-net	i.			ther								
COLLECTION																			
	н	ow v	were	tne	samp	les collecte	a?	П	vadin	g	_	Iror	n bar	ık from boa	ıt				
		Col	ble			r of jabs/ki Snags_ phytes		ıken in	\square V	eget	oitat ated Other	Ban	ks	Sand)					
GENERAL COMMENTS	N	0 V	vat	er	pre	sent.													
COMMENTS																			
QUALITATIVE Indicate estimated Dominant									ed, 1	[=]	Rare	e, 2	= C	ommon, 3= Abun	dant,	4 =	=		
Periphyton					•	'	3 4			Sli	mes				0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	:				0	1 2 3	3 4			Ma	croi	nve	rtebi	rates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes					0	1 2 3	3 4			Fis	h				0	1	2	3	4
	d ab	und	anc	e:	0 = org	Absent/N nnisms), 3	ot O = Al	bserv	nt (>10	org	anis	sms)	rganisms), 2 = Co , 4 = Dominant (>	50 oi	rgar	ism		
Porifera						Anisopte								Chironomidae		1			4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygopter			0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemipte			0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleopte			0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidop Sialidae	ıera		0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydali	doo		0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulida			0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empidid			0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliid			0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinida													
							ıe		0	- 1	2	3	4						

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-D14

Stream Name: UNT to North Fork Blackwater River

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/26/2021 Surveyors: AW JB Type: Representative

Y 1	D . D.TIGI E		LE COUNT	D		T =	a. ~
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	4	82	82.00	82.00
	Very Fine	.062125		•	0	0.00	82.00
	Fine	.12525		•	2	2.00	84.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	•	0	0.00	84.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		•	0	0.00	84.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		•	0	0.00	84.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		•	0	0.00	84.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		•	0	0.00	84.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		•	0	0.00	84.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	GRAVEL	•	0	0.00	84.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16		•	0	0.00	84.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		•	0	0.00	84.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		•	3	3.00	87.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		•	0	0.00	87.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		•	7	7.00	94.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		•	2	2.00	96.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	COBBLE	•	2	2.00	98.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	•	1	1.00	99.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		•	0	0.00	99.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		•	1	1.00	100.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		4	0	0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	4	0	0.00	100.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		4	0	0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096		4	0	0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	•	0	0.00	100.0
				Totals	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

UNT to North Fork Blackwater River

River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: S_D14 Representative 08/26/2021 Survey Date:

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	82 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 2 2 2 1 0 0 0 0	82.00 0.00 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3.00 0.00 7.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 0.00	82.00 82.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 87.00 87.00 97.00 99.00 99.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.01 0.03 0.04 0.25 77 361.99 82 2 10 5		

Total Particles = 100.

	,		Unified S	tream Method	lology for use			l)		
Project #	Project Name (App		For use in wadea	Cowardin Class.	ssified as interm	ittent or perennia	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor	
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline		Franklin	R4	03010101	8/26/21	S-D14	234	1	
Name	Valley Pipeline, Le(s) of Evaluator(s)		County and Informa	tion				SAR Length		
	AW, JB	Unnamed Tri	butary to Nor	th Fork Black	water River			234		
. Channel C	ondition: Assess the cross-secti	on of the stream a	nd prevailing cond	dition (erosion, ag	gradation)					
	Optimal	Subo	ptimal	Conditional Catego	ginal	Po	or	Sev	rere	
Channel Condition	Very little incision or active erosion; 80- 100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or natural rock, prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars? bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully developed wide bankfull benches. Mid-channel bars and transverse bars few. Transient sediment deposition covers less than 10% of bottom.	Slightly incised, fi erosion or unproted of banks are si Vegetative protec prominent (80 Depositional feat stability. The ban channels are well di has access to be newly developed portions of the r sediment covers 1	ew areas of active ted banks. Majority table (60-80%). tion or natural rock -80%) AND/OR urbal over the state of the high state of the state of the high state of the state of the high state of the state of the page of the state of the of the state of the state of the the state of the state of the state of the the state of the state of the state of the the state of the state of the state of the the state of the state of the state of the state of the the state of the state of the state of the state of the the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the the state of the state of t	Often incised, but Poor. Banks more or Poor due to k Erosion may be pr both banks. Vege 40-60% of banks. S vertical or und 40-60% Sediment transient, cont transient, cont may be forming/p shaped channel protection on > 40	less than Severe or stable than Severe wer bank slopes. sesent on 40-60% of tative protection on treambanks may be ercut. AND/OR may be temporary / ibute instability, ntribute to stability, resent. AND/OR V-s have vegetative % of the banks and es which contribute	Overwidened/inc laterally unstable further. Majority of vertical. Erosion pr banks. Vegetative on 20-40% of banks to prevent erosion. the stream is cove Sediment is temp nature, and contril	ised. Vertically / b. Likely to widen both banks are near seent on 60-80% of protection present s, and is insufficient AND/OR 60-80% of pred by sediment. brany / transient in puting to instability. ed channels have on is present on > not stable sediment.	Deeply incised vertical/lateral in incision, flow contain Streambed below av majority of banks Vegetative protect than 20% of banks	(or excavated), stability. Severe led within the banks, erage rooting depth, vertical/undercut, on present on less, is not preventing s bank sloughing v banks on 80-100%, g channel. Greater in bed is covered by uting to instability. channels and/or	
S	3	2	.4	to sta	ability.	deposition		Subterrain		CI
Scores	3		.4			1.	.0	1	•	2.40
			ditional Cato	aon/				NOTESSS		
Riparian Buffers	Optimal Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas.		Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation).	, ,		High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.	NOTES>>		
	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30%	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water, if present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable	NOTES>>		
	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation).	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover.	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with < 30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.	NOTES>>		
Scores 1. Delineate ripar 2. Determine squ	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas. 1.5 Tian areas along each stream bank ware footage for each by measuring siparian Area and Score for each riparian areas along score for each riparian areas and Score for each riparian Area and Score for each riparian areas areas areas and Score for each riparian areas areas areas areas areas areas areas areas ar	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 Into Condition Cat	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh- 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Cale	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure t of % 6	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5	NOTES>>		
Scores Delineate ripar Determine squ	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas. 1.5 Trian areas along each stream bank ware footage for each by measuring	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 Into Condition Cat	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh- 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Cale	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure t of % 6	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5	NOTES>>		
Scores Delineate ripar Determine squ Enter the % Ri	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas. 1.5 Trian areas along each stream bank ware footage for each by measuring iparian Area and Score for each ripa % Riparian Area > 100%	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 Into Condition Cat	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh- 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Cale	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure t of % 6	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5	NOTES>>	ores*0.01)/2	
Scores Delineate ripar Determine squ Enter the % Ri	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas. 1.5 Tian areas along each stream bank ware footage for each by measuring iparian Area and Score for each riparian Area and Score for each stream bank ware footage for each stream bank ware footage for each by measuring iparian Area and Score for each riparian Area and Score for each stream bank ware footage for each by measuring iparian Area and Score for each stream bank ware footage for each stre	Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cat or estimating leng	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calcue blocks below.	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure t of % 6	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5	CI= (Sum % RA * Sc Rt Bank CI >	0.85	CI
Scores Delineate ripar Determine squ Enter the % Ri Right Bank Left Bank INSTREAM	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas. 1.5 Tian areas along each stream bank ware footage for each by measuring diparian Area and Score for each riparian Area and Score for each stream bank score > 0.85 % Riparian Area > 35% % Score > 0.85	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cat or estimating leng arian category in the 25% 1.5	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Cale the blocks below. 40% 0.5	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors. led for you below.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure t of % 6 Blocks e	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums liparian qual 100 100%	CI= (Sum % RA * Sc Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI >	0.85 0.87	CI 0.86
Scores Delineate ripar Determine squ Enter the % Ri Right Bank Left Bank INSTREAM	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas. 1.5 Trian areas along each stream bank ware footage for each by measuring inparian Area and Score for each ripa % Riparian Area > 100% Score > 0.85 M Riparian Area > 35% Score > 0.85	Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 Into Condition Cater or estimating lenguarian category in the	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calcust belocks below. 40% 0.5 and depths; woody	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 ition Scores using culators are provide	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors. led for you below.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure t of % F Blocks e	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 the sums diparian qual 100 100% 100%	CI= (Sum % RA * Sc Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI >	0.85 0.87	
Scores Delineate ripar Determine squ Benter the % Ri Right Bank Left Bank	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas. 1.5 Tian areas along each stream bank ware footage for each by measuring diparian Area and Score for each riparian Area and Score for each stream bank score > 0.85 % Riparian Area > 35% % Score > 0.85	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (db. 73 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating lenguarian category in the category	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calculate blocks below. 40% 0.5	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 culators are provided and leafy debris; al Category Mar Stable habitat ele present in 10-30% adequate for i	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors. led for you below.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to discuss the blocks elements of % R Blocks elements are a recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 the sums diparian qual 100 100% 100% Ilisted above are stable. Habitat	CI= (Sum % RA * Sc Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI >	0.85 0.87 SAV; riffle/pool	

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2										
Project #	Project Name (Applicant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor		
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)	Franklin County	R4	03010101	8/26/21	S-D14	234	1		
4. CHANNEL ALTERATION: Stream crossings, riprap, concrete, gabions, or concrete blocks, straightening of channel, channelization, embankments, spoil piles, constrictions, livestock										
	Conditional Category NOTES>>									

Negligible Minor Moderate Severe 60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any 40 - 60% of reach s disrupted by any of the channel Iterations listed i of the channel alterations listed i 20-40% of the stream reach is Less than 20% of Channel Greater than 80% of reach is disrupted the stream reach is the parameter the parameter Channelization, dredging, alteration, or disrupted by any o Alteration isrupted by any o by any of the channel alterations listed guidelines. If auidelines. If hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized the channel Iterations listed i the channel terations listed i in the parameter guidelines AND/OR 80% of banks shored with gabion, tream has beer channelized, stream has been channelized,

the parameter

guidelines.

1.1

0.50

0.9 REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

normal stable

stream meander pattern has not

normal stable

stream meander pattern has not recovered.

0.7

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

1.5

the parameter

quidelines.

1.3

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >>

0.85

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 199

CR = RCI X L_I X IF

riprap, or cement.

0.5

INSERT PHOTOS:

Scores


(WSSI Photo Location L:\22000s\22800\22865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread H\Field Forms\S-D14\Photos\2021-08-26_14-07-29.jpg)



Reach S-D14 looking upstream within ROW; stream previously relocated on 6/21/21 per NWP NAO-2015-00898. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.

DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPAG	:T:	
-------------------------	-----	--

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER





S-D14 BASELINE THALWEG


DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)

SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on September 7, 2021.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).









PENDING CROSSING PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING PENDING CROSSING


PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1

Vertical Datum: NAVD 88 Boundary and Topo Source: WSSI 2' C.I. Topo

Approved TLK TLK PFS Sheet # 1 of 1

Computer File Name: :\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread H Work Dwgs 2865_03 S-H MP 245-253 Sheets_2.dwg



0+30 0+40 0+50

PROFILE LEGEND

EXISTING STREAM PROFILE

INVERT ALONG THALWEG

BRIDGE

 $0+10 \quad 0+20$

1748

CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-D14 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)									
	PR	POST-CI	ROSSING						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.				
TS-L	13480082.60	1906084.39	1743.03						
BS-L	13480083.12	1906081.97	1742.87						
THW	13480083.69	1906079.36	1742.88						
BS-R	13480084.08	1906077.58	1743.31						
TS-R	13480087.86	1906059.23	1746.12						

0+60 0+70 0+80 0+90 1+00 1+10 1+20 1+30 1+40 1+50

V: 1"=5'