# Reach S-EF19 (Pipeline ROW) Ephemeral Spread H Montgomery County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	$\checkmark$
SWVM Form	$\checkmark$
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	$\checkmark$
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	$\checkmark$
Water Quality Data	N/A – No water present
RBP Habitat Form	$\checkmark$
RBP Benthic Form	$\checkmark$
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No water present
Wolman Pebble Count	$\checkmark$
RiverMorph Data Sheet	$\checkmark$
USM Form (Virginia Only)	$\checkmark$
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	$\checkmark$

## Stream S-EF19 (ROW) Montgomery County



Photo Type: DS VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW looking W downstream, AW



Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW looking E upstream, AW

**DEQ Permit #21-0416** 

## Stream S-EF19 (ROW) Montgomery County



Photo Type: LB CL Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On left bank at pipe centerline looking N at right streambank, AW



Photo Type: RB CL Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On right bank at pipe centerline looking S at left streambank, AW

# **DEQ Permit #21-0416**

# Spread H

# Stream S-EF19 (ROW) Montgomery County



Photo Type: DS COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW looking W downstream, AW

 $L: |22000s| 22800| |22865.06| Admin| 05-ENVR| Field Data| Spread H| Field Forms| S-EF19| 1\_QAQC| Photo Document\_S-EF19. docx and the set of t$ 

#### West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	Mountain	/alley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.216102 L	.on.	-80.19739	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:	August 30, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size (acreage).		S-E	F19		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./SI (watershed size (acreage), ur					Comments:	
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	79 FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	L	.on.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:	0.05"	Mitigation Length:	
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	Condition (Debit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (C	cted at Five redit)	Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Project Post Completion (C	cted at Ten Years redit)	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projecte	ed at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Ephemeral	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel Slo	ope 10.56	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe		Percent Stream Channel Slop	e	0	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe 0	Percent Stream Channel St	ope 0
HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	HGM Score (attach o	lata forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):		HGM Score (attach dat	ta forms):	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):
	Average		Average				Average		Average		Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0.51 0.21 0.28 0.12	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and		PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and I	Biological I	ndicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and E	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators
	Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		,	oints Scale Rang	p Sita Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	dassifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams cla	ssifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)
USEPA RIP (High Gradient Data Sheet) I: Epforum Storata Available Cover 2. Embeddedness 4. Sediment Deposition 5. Oharnel Flow Status 6. Oharnel Alexed Status 6. Oharnel Alexed Status 6. Oharnel Alexed Status 9. Deposition (J. B. & RB) 10. Repark Vegatalete Zore Wideh (J. B. & RB) 10. Repark Vegatalete Zore Vegat	,	USEPA RAP (Low Gradient Data Sheet) USEPA RAP (Low Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epfaural Stortate Analable Cover 2. PoS Substrate Characterization 3. Pool Variability 4. Sediment Deposition 5. Channel RAP Status 6. Charanel Alexatolin (Li & A RA) 5. Charanel Alexatolin (Li & A RA) 5. Charanel Shouthy (Li & A RB) 10. Ripera Vegetative Zone Wohn (Li & A RB) 5. Xol-Total Stab-Total	5-20		2. Embeddedness 3. Velock/J DepR Regime 4. Sediment Deposition 5. Channel Flow Status 6. Channel Alloration 7. Frequency of Hitles (or bends) 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 10. Repartin Vegetables Conductivity Sub-Total GREMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent a WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity pH 00 00	0-20 0-20 0-20 0-20 0-20 0-20 0-20 0-20	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	,	USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaural Substrate/Available Cover 2. Embeddedness 3. Valocity Dopt Regime 1. Sediment Deposition 5. Charnel Flore Status 6. Charnel Alteration 1. Frequency of Rifles (or bends) 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 10. Regraina Vegetalve Zone Widn (LB & RB) 10. CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Apples to Intermitter WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity PH DO	
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perer	inial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ttent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) 0 Sub-Total	0-100 0-1 0	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) Sub-Total	0-100 0-1 <b>0</b>		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) Sub-Total	0-100 0-1	0	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) Sub-Total	0-100 0-1 <b>0</b>	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) Sub-Total	0-100 0-1 0
PART II - Index and U	nit Score	PART II - Index and I	Jnit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score		PART II - Index and Un	iit Score	PART II - Index and U	nit Score
Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Fee	t Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score
0.519	79 41.0141667	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0

### FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V<sub>CCANOPY</sub> (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

 Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

 Location: Montgomery County

 Sampling Date: 8/30/21

 Project Site

 Before Project

 Subclass for this SAR:

 Ephemeral Stream

 Uppermost stratum present at this SAR:

 Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.51
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.21
Habitat	0.12


#### Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
VCCANOPY	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V <sub>EMBED</sub>	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.00	0.10
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V <sub>BERO</sub>	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
V <sub>LWD</sub>	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>TDBH</sub>	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	3.45	0.96
V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	68.97	1.00
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
VDETRITUS			0.75
V <sub>HERB</sub>	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	95.50	1.00
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.95	1.00

				Field [	Data She	et and C	alculato	r			
	Team:	ES/AW						Latitude/UT	M Northing:	37.216102	
Pro			alley Pipelir	ne			L	•	TM Easting:		
	Location:	Montgome	ry County					San	npling Date:	8/30/21	
SA	R Number:	S-EF19	Reach	Length (ft):	29	Stream Ty	vpe: Ephe	emeral Strean	ı		· 
	Top Strata:	Sh	rub/Herb Sti	ata	(determine	d from perce	ent calculate	d in V <sub>CCANO</sub>	>Y)		
Site	and Timing:	Project Site				•	Before Proje	ct			•
			m channel								
1	V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	equidistant 20%, enter	points along at least one	the stream value betw	een 0 and 1	nd sapling ca only if tree/s 9 to trigger	apling cove	r is at least			Not Use <20%
		cent cover r	neasuremer	nts at each p	point below:						1
	0										
2	V <sub>EMBED</sub>	Average er	nbeddednes	s of the stre	am channe	I. Measure	at no fewer	than 30 rou	ahly equidis	tant points	
-	EMBED					d. Before n					1.0
						is covered b					
						surface, or c		f fine sedim	ents, use a	rating score	
						rating score					
		Embedded Minshall 19		or gravel, c	obble and b	oulder partic	ies (rescale	a from Platt	s, Megahan	, and	Measu
		-	,	a rin 41							at lea 30 poi
		Rating 5	Structure Rating Design of the second sec		overed our	rounded, or	huried by fir	e sediment	(or hedrock	.)	20 h0i
		4				, surrounded				)	
		3	26 to 50 pe	rcent of sur	face covered	d, surrounde	d, or buried	by fine sed	iment		
		2				d, surrounde					
	List the rati	T nas at each	>75 percent point below		coverea, su	irrounded, o	r buried by t	ine seaimer	it (or artificia	al surface)	l
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	l I
	1	1	1	1	1					1	
3	VSUBSTRATE					Measure a			hly equidist	ant points	0.00
		along the s	tream; use t	he same po	ints and par	ticles as use	ed in V <sub>EMBED</sub>				0.08 i
	Enter partic	le size in in	ches to the	nearest 0.1	inch at each	n point below	/ (bedrock s	hould be co	unted as 99	in, asphalt	
			and or finer	particles as	0.08 in):		1	1			
	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.80	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	
	0.08	0.30	0.08	0.08	0.08						
1	V <sub>BERO</sub>	Total perce	nt of eroder	stream cha	nnel bank	Enter the to	tal number	of feet of er	oded bank o	n each	
	BERO	•				If both bar					0 %
		may be up	to 200%.								
			Left Bank:	0	ft		Right Bank:	0	ft		-
nple	Variables	5-9 within t	he entire ri	parian/buff	er zone adj	acent to the	e stream ch	annel (25 f	eet from ea	ch bank).	
5	V <sub>LWD</sub>	Number of	down wood	/ stems (at I	east 4 inche	es in diamete	er and 36 in	ches in leng	th) per 100	feet of	
						e 50'-wide b	uffer and wi	thin the cha	nnel, and th	e amount	0.0
		per 100 tee	et of stream	will be calcu		f downed wo	odv stoms:		0		
6	V <sub>TDBH</sub>	Average dr	h of trees (r	neasure on		<sub>Y</sub> tree/saplin			-	at least 4	
	• IDBH		cm) in diam				g 0010. 10 u				Not Us
		List the dbl	n measurem	ents of indiv	vidual trees (	(at least 4 in	) within the	buffer on ea	ch side of		
		the stream				,	,				_
			Left Side					Right Side			
	Vau	Number of	snage (at la	ast 4" dbb o	nd 36" tall)	per 100 feet	of stream	Enter numb	er of space	on each	_
7	V <sub>SNAG</sub>					et will be cal			or or snags	UI COUL	3.4
7											
7			Stream, and	the amount			Julatou.				
			Left Side:		1		Right Side:		0		
3	V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of	Left Side: saplings and	d shrubs (we	1 Dody stems	up to 4 inch	Right Side: es dbh) per	100 feet of	stream (mea		
	V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of tree cover i	Left Side: saplings and is <20%). E	d shrubs (wo	1 Dody stems r of saplings		Right Side: es dbh) per	100 feet of	stream (mea		69.0
	V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of tree cover i	Left Side: saplings and	d shrubs (we nter number l be calculat	1 Dody stems r of saplings	up to 4 inche and shrubs	Right Side: es dbh) per	100 feet of a le of the stre	stream (mea		69.0

9	V <sub>SRICH</sub>		the tallest s	tratum. Cheo			ive species p		all strata. S		0.00
				ind the subin	dex will be	calculated	from these da		n 2 ( 1 0)		
	Acer rubru		p 1 = 1.0	Magnolia tri	netala	7	Ailanthus a		p 2 (-1.0)	Lonicera jaj	nonica
	Acer sacch						Albizia julib				
_				Nyssa sylva						Lonicera ta	
	Aesculus f			Oxydendrum			Alliaria peti	olata		Lotus corni	
	Asimina tri	loba		Prunus serotina			Alternanthe			Lythrum sa	licaria
	Betula alleg	phaniensis		Quercus alba			philoxeroide	es	1	Microstegium	n vimineum
	Betula lent	а		Quercus co	Quercus coccinea		Aster tatario	cus	~	Paulownia	tomentosa
	Carya alba	1		Quercus imi	bricaria		Cerastium I	fontanum		Polygonum d	uspidatum
7	Carya glab	ra		Quercus prinus			Coronilla va	aria		Pueraria m	ontana
_	Carya oval	lis		Quercus rub			Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa multif	lora
	Carya ova			Quercus vel			Lespedeza			Sorghum h	
	Cornus flor			Sassafras a						Verbena br	
_							Lespedeza			verbena br	asilierisis
_	Fagus grai			Tilia america			Ligustrum ob				
	Fraxinus a	mericana		Tsuga cana	densis		Ligustrum s	inense			
1	Liriodendror	n tulipifera		Ulmus amer	ricana						
	Magnolia a	ncuminata									
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>							
		1	Species in	Group 1				3	Species i	n Group 2	
		Average pe	IId be place	ed roughly e	<b>quidistant</b> icks, or oth	<b>ly along e</b> er organic	) <b>in the ripar</b> ach side of t material. Wo yer at each s	h <b>e strean</b> ody debri:	1.	n 25 feet fron ter and <36"	n each 61.25 %
			Left	Side			Right	Side			
		50	95			70	30				
11	<ol> <li>V<sub>HERB</sub> Average percentage cover of herbaceous vege include woody stems at least 4" dbh and 36" ta vegetation percentages up through 200% are a each subplot.</li> </ol>			II. Because	e there may b	e several	layers of gr	ound cover	96 %		
		each subpl		0:4-			Dist	0:1-			
			Left	Side		05	-	Side		] '	
-		100 2 within the	Left 98 e entire cate	chment of th		85	Right 99	Side			
ample 12	e Variable 1 V <sub>wLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the	Left 98 e entire cat	chment of th Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	-	Side	Runoff	% in Catch-	0.95 Running Percent
-		100 2 within the	Left 98 e entire cat	chment of th	for watersh	ed:	-	Side	Runoff Score	% in Catch- ment	
-	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the	Left 98 e entire cate verage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-	Side			Running Percent
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-	Side	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100) 11
-	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-	Side	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
-	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-	Side	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100) 11
-	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-	Side	Score 0.5 1	ment	Running Percent (not >100 11
-	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-		Score 0.5 1	ment	Running Percent (not >100 11
-	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-		Score 0.5 1	ment	Running Percent (not >100 11
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-		Score 0.5 1	ment	Running Percent (not >100 11
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-		Score 0.5 1	ment	Running Percent (not >100 11
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-		Score 0.5 1	ment	Running Percent (not >100 11
	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (:	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	99		Score 0.5 1	ment	Running Percent (not >100 11
	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r	100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	-		Score 0.5 1	ment	Running Percent (not >100 11
12	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (:	Left 98 e entire cato werage of F Land	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose d cover) d cover) d cover)	for watersh	ed: p List) was com	99 Not	ites:	Score 0.5 1 9 National	ment 11 89	Running Percent (not >100 11 100
Va	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Sariable	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: -EF19 Value Not Used,	Left 98 e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground ~75% ground	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), fro	For watersh	ed: p List) was com	99 Not pleted using imagery an	tes: 1 the 201 d other s	Score 0.5 1	ment 11 89	Running Percent (not >100 11 100
12 V∂ Vcc	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CANOPY	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: -EF19 Value Not Used, <20%	Left 98 e entire cat verage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground <75% ground	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
12 V∂ Vcc	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Sariable	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: -EF19 Value Not Used,	Left 98 e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground >75% ground	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
12 Va V <sub>CC</sub>	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CANOPY	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: -EF19 Value Not Used, <20%	Left 98 e entire cat verage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground <75% ground	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
V2 Vcc Vcc Vsu	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CANOPY WBED UBSTRATE	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in	Left 98 e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground >75% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
12 V2 Vcc Vcw Vsu Vsu Vsu	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CANOPY WBED UBSTRATE ERO	100         2 within the         Weighted A         native range (:         Not Used,         <20%	Left 98 e entire cato verage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground <75% ground VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
V∂ Vcc Vcs Vsu	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CANOPY WBED UBSTRATE ERO	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in	Left 98 e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground >75% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
12 Va V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>EM</sub> V <sub>SU</sub> V <sub>LV</sub>	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CANOPY WBED UBSTRATE ERO WD	100         2 within the         Weighted A         native range (:         Not Used,         <20%	Left 98 e entire cato verage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground <75% ground VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
Va Vcc Ver Vsu Vsu Vsu Vrt	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 0% 0.0 Not Used	Left 98 e entire cat verage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground <75% ground 0.0% 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
12 Va V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>EM</sub> V <sub>SU</sub> V <sub>LV</sub>	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: Native range (: Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0	Left 98 entire cat verage of F Land <50% ground >75% ground >75% ground >75% ground >75% ground >0.04 1.00 0.00	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
Va Vcc Ver Vsu Vsu Vsu Vrt	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CANOPY WBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 0% 0.0 Not Used	Left 98 e entire cat verage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground <75% ground 0.0% 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
12 V2 V <sub>C</sub> V <sub>E</sub> V <sub>S</sub> V <sub>S</sub> V <sub>S</sub>	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 3.4 69.0	Left 98 entire cat verage of F Land <50% ground -75% g	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
12 V2 V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>EM</sub> V <sub>SU</sub> V <sub>SS</sub> V <sub>SS</sub> V <sub>SS</sub>	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Sariable CANOPY WBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DOBH NAG SD RICH	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: Native range (: Not Used, 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 3.4 69.0 0.00	Left 98 entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground <75% ground 0.75% ground 0.10 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used 0.96 1.00 0.00	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
12 V2 V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>EM</sub> V <sub>SU</sub> V <sub>SS</sub> V <sub>SS</sub> V <sub>SS</sub> V <sub>SS</sub>	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DOBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	100           2 within the           Weighted A           native range (:           native range (:           native range (:           Not Used,           <20%	Left 98 e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground <75% ground 0.75% ground 0.04 1.00 0.04 1.00 0.96 1.00 0.00 0.75	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database
12 V∂ V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>EM</sub> V <sub>SU</sub> V <sub>SS</sub> V <sub>SS</sub> V <sub>SS</sub>	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DOBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	100 2 within the Weighted A native range (: native range (: Native range (: Not Used, 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 3.4 69.0 0.00	Left 98 entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground <75% ground 0.75% ground 0.10 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used 0.96 1.00 0.00	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose i cover) i cover) i cover) Land Cove (NLCD), frr Watershed	for watersh e From Droj er Analysis om Landsa I boundariu	ed: p List) was com at satellite es are bas	99 Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: 1 the 201 d other s Id deline	9 National upplemen ated stream	ment 11 89 	Running Percent (not >100 11 100 Database

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)



Notes: No water present

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Predominant Surrounding Landuse         Forest       Commercial         Field/Pasture       Industrial         Agricultural       Other         Residential       Industrial         Indicate the dominant type and record the domin       Trees         Dominant species present       Rubus sp. Microstegium vimineum	Local Watershed NPS Pollution         ☑ No evidence       □ Some potential sources         □ Obvious sources         Local Watershed Erosion         ☑ None       □ Moderate         □ Heavy         ant species present         □ Grasses
INSTREAM FEATURES	Estimated Reach Length       88 m         Estimated Stream Width       0.9 m         Sampling Reach Area       7.9 m²         Area in km² (m²x1000)       km²         Estimated Stream Depth       m         Surface Velocity (at thalweg)       m/sec	Canopy Cover       Partly shaded □Shaded         Partly open       □Partly shaded □Shaded         High Water Mark       •m         Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream         Morphology Types         Riffle       %         Pool       %         Channelized       Yes         Dam Present       Yes
LARGE WOODY DEBRIS	LWDm <sup>2</sup> Density of LWDm <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> (LWD/ reac	h area)
AQUATIC VEGETATION	Indicate the dominant type and record the domin Rooted emergent Floating Algae Dominant species present Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation	Rooted floating Free floating
WATER QUALITY (DS, US)	Specific Conductance NA	Water Odors         Normal/None       Sewage         Petroleum       Chemical         Fishy       Other NA         Water Surface Oils       Sheen         Slick       Sheen         None       Other NA         Turbidity (if not measured)       Turbid         Clear       Slightly turbid         Opaque       Stained
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE	Odors       Sewage       Petroleum         Chemical       Anaerobic       None         Other       Oils       Pofuse	Deposits         Sludge       Sawdust       Paper fiber       Sand         Relict shells       Other

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)			
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area	
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant	10	
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0		materials (CPOM)	10	
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic	0	
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0		(FPOM)	0	
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0	
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	0			0	
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	100				

Notes: No water present, thus no water quality data collected

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-EF19	LOCATION Montgomery County		
STATION #_12519 +05 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Ephemeral		
LAT <u>37.216102</u> LONG <u>-80.19739</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke		
STORET #	AGENCY VADEQ		
INVESTIGATORS ES/AW			
FORM COMPLETED BY ES/AW	DATE 8/30/21 TIME 12:00 PM AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment		

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	<sub>score</sub> 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted ir	score 2	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is $< 0.3$ m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
uram	<sub>score</sub> 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	<sub>SCORE</sub> 17	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Notes: No water present

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Conditio	n Category		
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor	
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.	
	score 18	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.	
sampl	<sub>score</sub> 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing deurostream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.	
e eva	SCORE 10	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
to b	SCORE 10	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.	
	SCORE 7	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
	SCORE 7	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.	
	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
	SCORE 7	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	

86

Notes: No water present

**Total Score** 

### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-E	F19	LOCATION Montgomery County				
STATION # 12519 +05	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Ephemeral				
LAT	LONG80.19739	RIVER BASIN Upper Roand	ke			
STORET #		AGENCY VADEQ				
INVESTIGATORS ES			LOT NUMBER			
FORM COMPLETED	<sup>BY</sup> ES/AW	DATE 8/30/21 TIME 12:00 PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment			
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of Cobble% Sn Submerged Macrophytes	ags%  Vegetated B				
SAMPLE COLLECTION		lected? □wading □fi s/kicks taken in each habitat ty lags □Vegetated B	rom bank			
GENERAL COMMENTS	No water present	:				

### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County:Montgomery CountyStream Name:UNT to Indian RunHUC Code:03010101Survey Date:8/30/2021Surveyors:ES/AWType:Representative

Stream ID: Basin: S-EF19

Upper Roanoke

PEBBLE COUNT Inches PARTICLE Millimeters Particle Total # Item % % Cum Count Silt/Clay < .062 S/C ٠ 90 90.00 90.00 • Very Fine .062-.125 0 0.00 90.00 -.125-.25 Fine ٠ 0 0.00 90.00 • Medium .25-.5 ۸ SAND 0 0.00 90.00 • .50-1.0 Coarse ٠ 0 0.00 90.00 • .04-.08 1.0-2 Very Coarse ٠ 2 2.00 92.00 • .08 -.16 Very Fine 2 - 4 ٠ 0 0.00 92.00 • .16 - .22 4 - 5.7 Fine ۸ 2 2.00 94.00 • .22 - .31 Fine 5.7 - 8 1 1.00 95.00 -.31 - .44 Medium 8 -11.3 ٠ 2 2.00 97.00 -.44 - .63 Medium 11.3 - 16 ٠ GRAVEL 1 1.00 98.00 • .63 - .89 Coarse 16 - 22.6 ٠ 2 2.00 100.00 • .89 - 1.26 22.6 - 32 Coarse ٠ 0 0.00 100.00 • 1.26 - 1.77 32 - 45 Vry Coarse ۸ 100.00 0 0.00 • 1.77 - 2.5 Vry Coarse 45 - 64 0 0.00 100.00 • 2.5 - 3.5 Small 64 - 90 0 0.00100.00 • 3.5 - 5.0 Small 90 - 128 0 0.00 100.00 • COBBLE 128 - 180 5.0 - 7.1 Large ۸ 0 0.00 100.00 • 7.1 - 10.1 Large 180 - 256 100.00 0 0.00 • 10.1 - 14.3 Small 256 - 362 0 0.00 100.00 • 14.3 - 20 Small 362 - 512 ۲ 0 0.00 100.00 -20 - 40 Medium 512 - 1024 ۲ BOULDER 0 0.00 100.00 -1024 - 2048 40 - 80 Large ۸ 0 0.00 100.00 -80 - 160 Vry Large 2048 - 4096 0 100.00 0.00 • BDRK ۸ Bedrock 0 0.00 100.00 • Totals 100 Total Tally:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

River Name: UNT Reach Name: S-E Sample Name: Rep Survey Date: 08/3	resentative		
Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	90 0 0 2 0 2 1 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	90.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.0	90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 92.00 92.00 94.00 95.00 97.00 98.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Gravel (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.01 0.02 0.03 0.06 8 22.6 90 2 8 0 0		

Total Particles = 100.

				For us	e in ephemeral s	treams				
Project #	F	Project Name	•	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR #	Impact Length	Impact Factor
22865.06		alley Pipeline y Pipeline, L	•	Montgomery County	R6	03010101	8/30/21	S-EF19	79	1
Nam	e(s) of Evaluat	or(s)	Stream Name	and Informa	tion				SAR Length	
	ES/AW		Unnamed Tri	butary to Indi	an Run				8	0
RIPARIA	N BUFFERS: As	sess both bank's	100 foot riparian	areas along the e	ntire SAR. (rough	measurements of	f length & width ma	ay be acceptable)		
			Con	ditional Cate	gory				NOTES>>	
	Opti	mal	Subo	ptimal	Mar	ginal	Po	or		
Riparian Buffers	Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree can non-maintained und area	opy cover and an erstory. Wetlands	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with >30% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation).	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover.	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.		
			High	Low	High	Low	High	Low		
Condition Scores	1.	5	1.2	1.1	0.85	0.75	0.6	0.5		
Determine sq	arian areas along ea uare footage for eac Riparian Area and So	ch by measuring	or estimating leng	th and width. Calo			Ensure t of % F Blocks e	Riparian		
	% Riparian Area>	40%	30%	30%				100%		
Right Bank	Score >	0.5	0.85	0.75					t	
	1								CI= (Sum % RA * So	cores*0.01)/2
	% Riparian Area>	40%	40%	20%				100%	Rt Bank CI >	0.68
Left Bank	Score >	0.85	0.6	0.5					Lt Bank CI >	0.68
	- <b>I</b>	REACH		NDEX and S	TREAM CON		TS FOR THIS	S REACH		
									CONDITION	
DTE: The CIs and I	RCI should be rounded to	2 decimal places. Th	e CR should be round	ed to a whole number.					CONDITION INE CI= (Riparian CI)	, ,


#### **INSERT PHOTOS:**


(WSSI Photo Location L:\22000s\22800\22865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread H\Field Forms\S-EF19\Photos\DS VIEW.jpg)



Looking downstrweam within the ROW. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER





## SURVEY NOTES:

1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on January 16, 2020.

2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.

3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).

4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.


5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.

6. Cross section C shot at location of pipe centerline (based on field stakes).


EW
1176.8 +
<u>A</u>

# LEGEND

STUDY AREA (EASEMENT) EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED EDGE OF WATER (AS NECESSARY) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MAJOR) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MINOR) EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION BENCHMARK POINT (WSSI)



CROSS SECTION C (PIPE CL)									
IG		POST-CROSSING							
		VERT.	HORZ.						
G	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.						
68	1659.10								
98	1649.85								
02	1649.68								
93	1649.90								
19	1655.18								



S SECTION LEGEND	
EXISTING GRADE	