Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-EF33 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread H Roanoke County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Low flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	√
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW looking NE, ES



Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW looking W, ES



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking S, ES



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking N, ES



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking E, ES

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	Mountain \	Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.179186	Lon.	-80.141	WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	September	7, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE (watershed size (acreage), unaltered or im		S-EF	33		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS (watershed size {acreag						Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH: 148	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		0.05"	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing Condition ((Debit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Con	ndition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pr Post Completion		e Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (cted at Ten Yea Credit)	ars	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projecte	d at Maturity (Cre	dit)
Stream Classification: Int	termittent	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	C		Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel Slope	9.21	Percent Stream Channel Slop	ie		Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel Sle	оре	0	Percent Stream Channel Sl	оре	0
HGM Score (attach data forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ita forms):		HGM Score (attach	n data forms)	:	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	
	Average		Average				Average			Average			Average
Hydrology 0.56		Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		
Biogeochemical Cycling 0.45 Habitat 0.11	0.37333333	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological II	ndicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and B	Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical a	and Biological	Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indic	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicat	ors
Points Scale Ra	ange Site Score	,	Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Ra	nge Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications	s)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams da	assifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20		USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover		
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20 Embeddedness 0-20	14		0-20		Epitaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		Epiraunai Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		Epiraunai Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-20	8		0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
4. Sediment Deposition 0-20	17		0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status 0-20	18		0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	4	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
6. Channel Alteration 0-20	18		0-20		Channel Alteration	0-20		Channel Alteration	0-20		Channel Alteration	0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 0-20	14		0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 0-20	18		0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 0-20 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-20	12	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	
Total RBP Score Suboptim	132	Total RBP Score	Poor 0		Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0	Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0	Total RBP Score Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0
Sub-Total	0.66	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	FOOI	Ö	Sub-Total	FOOI	0	Sub-Total	FOOI	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennia		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and	nd Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennia	Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial St	treams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Stres	ıms)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		
Specific Conductivity 0-90	73.5	Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90	
<=99 - 90 points pH	70.0	pH			pH	-		pH			pH		
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	6.6		5-90			5-90	-1		5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1	
10-30	8.86	DO	10-30		DO	10-30		DO	10-30		DO	10-30	
>5.0 = 30 points Sub-Total	1	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	10-00	0	Sub-Total	10-00	0	Sub-Total	10-30	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Peren	nnial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interr	mittent and Per	ennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perenn	ial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ittent and Perennial	Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
0-100	0-1		0-100 0-1			0-100	-1		0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and Unit Score		PART II - Index and Ur	nit Score		PART II - Index an	d Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score	
Index Linear Fe	eet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Fe	et Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.602 148	89.0466667	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Ver. 10-20-17

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V_{CCANOPY} (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

Location: Roanoke County

Sampling Date: 9/7/2021 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Intermittent Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-EF33

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.56
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.45
Habitat	0.11

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V _{CCANOPY}	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V _{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	2.21	0.54
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V_{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
V_{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	1.56	0.20
V_{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V_{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	70.31	1.00
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V _{DETRITUS}	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	27.50	0.34
V_{HERB}	V _{HERB} Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.		0.51
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.98	1.00

			High-G		Headwa				а		
	-	00.50		Field [Data She	et and C				07.470400	
Dr	:ream :oject Name	SB,ES	/alloy Dipolir						M Northing: TM Easting:		
FI	-	Roanoke C		ie			_	•	npling Date:		
SA	AR Number:		•	Length (ft):	64	Stream Ty	/pe: Into	mittent Strea		OTTIZOZI	
	Top Strata:		rub/Herb Sti	• , ,		d from perce	· inter				
Site	and Timing:				`	_	Before Proje		,		_
		-					Delote 1 roje	-			
5ampie	V _{CCANOPY}		ercent cover	over chann	el hy tree an	nd sanling c	anony Mea	sure at no f	ewer than 1	0 roughly	
•		equidistant 20%, enter	points along at least one measuremer	g the stream value betw	n. Measure een 0 and 1	only if tree/s 9 to trigger	apling cove	r is at least		0,	Not Used, <20%
	0										1
2	V _{EMBED}		nbeddednes								2.2
		surface and to the follow	tream. Sele d area surro wing table. I bed is comp	unding the p	oarticle that i an artificial s	is covered b surface, or c	y fine sedim composed of	ent, and en	ter the rating	g according	2.2
			ness rating			•		d from Platt	s, Megahan	, and	Measure at least
		Rating	Rating Des								30 points
		5			overed, sur					()	
		3			ce covered, face covered						1
		2			face covered			_			1
		1	>75 percen	t of surface	covered, su					al surface)]
			point below								.
	1	5	5	1	5	4	1	3	1	1	
	1	5	1	5 1	1	1	1	5	1	1	
		5	-	'							
3		along the s	eam channe tream; use t	he same po	ints and par	ticles as use	ed in V _{EMBED}				0.08 in
			ches to the and or finer			point below	/ (bedrock s	hould be co	unted as 99	in, asphalt	
	0.08	2.20	0.90	0.08	1.20	0.70	0.08	0.30	2.50	0.08	1
	0.08	0.08	0.08	1.00	0.20	0.08	0.60	0.30	0.08	0.08	
	0.08	4.50	0.08	0.08							
4	V _{BERO}		ent of eroded								2.07
		may be up	e total perce to 200%.	entage will b	e calculated	ı ii bolii bar	iks are eroc	ied, total en	osion for the	siream	0 %
			Left Bank:	0	ft		Right Bank:	0	ft		
ample	e Variables	5-9 within t	the entire ri	parian/buff	er zone adja	acent to the	stream ch	annel (25 f	eet from ea	ch bank).	
5	V_{LWD}		down wood ch. Enter th								1.6
		per 100 fee	et of stream	will be calcu		f dawaad wa			1		
6	V_{TDBH}	Average di	oh of trees (r	measure on		f downed wo		t least 20%)	Trees are	at least 4	
ŭ	· IDBH	inches (10 List the dbh	cm) in diam n measurem	eter. Enter	tree DBHs ir	n inches.					Not Used
		the stream	below: Left Side			1		Right Side			1
			Len Side					ragni Side			-
											•
											1
7	V_{SNAG}	Number of	snags (at le	ast 4" dbb a	nd 36" tall)	per 100 feet	of stream	Enter numb	er of spage	on each	
•	SNAG		stream, and					o. mumb	o. or oriago	J.1 00011	0.0
							.				
8	V	Number of	Left Side: saplings and		0 oody stems	un to 4 inch	Right Side:		0 etream (mer	neura only if	
0	V_{SSD}		sapiings and is <20%). E								70.3
		per 100 ft o	of stream wil		ed.		Right Side:	2	26		

		richness pe	er 100 feet a	nd the subi		calculated f	rom these da				
			p 1 = 1.0	na the sabi	HOOK WIII DO		TOTTI GIOGO GO		2 (-1.0)		
	Acer rubrui			Magnolia t	ripetala	7	Ailanthus a			Lonicera ja	ponica
	Acer sacch			Nyssa sylv			Albizia julib	rissin		Lonicera ta	
=	Aesculus fl				n arboreum		Alliaria peti			Lotus corni	
	Asimina trii			Prunus sei			•			Lythrum sa	
							Alternanthe philoxeroide			•	
Ш	Betula alleg			Quercus a						Microstegiun	
Ш	Betula lent	а	Ш	Quercus co	Quercus coccinea		Aster tatario	cus	~	Paulownia	tomentosa
	Carya alba		Quercus imbricaria		nbricaria		Cerastium t	fontanum		Polygonum o	cuspidatum
	Carya glabra Quercus prinus		rinus		Coronilla va	aria		Pueraria m	ontana		
	Carya oval	is		Quercus ru	ubra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa multif	lora
	Carya ovat	а		Quercus ve	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum h	alepense
	Cornus flor	rida		Sassafras	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena br	asiliensis
2	Fagus grar	ndifolia		Tilia ameri	cana		Ligustrum ob	tusifolium			
_	Fraxinus ai			Tsuga can	adensis		Ligustrum s				
	Liriodendron			Ulmus ame			g				
				Ollilus allie	ciicaiia						
	Magnolia a	cummata									
		1	Species in	Group 1				3	Species in	Group 2	
		bplots shoι	ıld be place	d roughly	equidistant	ly along ea	in the ripari ch side of the material. Wo	he stream.			
	- DETRITUS						yer at each s				27.50 %
			Left	Side			Right	Side]	
		10	10	55		5	55	30			
11 V _{HERB} Average percentage cover of herbaceous vegetation (measure only if tree cover is <20%). Do <i>not</i> include woody stems at least 4" dbh and 36" tall. Because there may be several layers of ground cover vegetation percentages up through 200% are accepted. Enter the percent cover of ground vegetation at each subplot.						38 %					
			1 - 44	O: 4-		I	D:I-4	0:4-		1	
				Side		90		Side] '	
	e Variable 1	15 2 within the	5 e entire cate	25 chment of		90	Right 35	Side 60			
ampl	e Variable 1	15 2 within the	5 e entire cate exerage of F	25 chment of t	the stream. e for watersh	ned:			Runoff	% in Catch- ment	Percent
	Vwluse	15 2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F	25 chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose	e for watersh	ned:			Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	Vwluse	15 2 within the	5 e entire cate verage of F	chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose	e for watersh	ned:					Running Percent
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	15 2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate Average of F Land	25 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	e for watersh	ned:			Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	VwLusE Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover	se From Dro	ned:			Score 1	ment 98	Running Percent (not >100
	VwLusE Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover	se From Dro	ned:			1 0.1	98 1	Running Percent (not >100 98
	VwLusE Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover	se From Dro	ned:		60 •	1 0.1	98 1	Running Percent (not >100 98
	VwLusE Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover	se From Dro	ned:			1 0.1	98 1	Running Percent (not >100 98
	VwLusE Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover	se From Dro	ned:		60 •	1 0.1	98 1	Running Percent (not >100 98
	VwLusE Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover	se From Dro	ned:		60 •	1 0.1	98 1	Running Percent (not >100 98
	VwLusE Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover	se From Dro	ned:		60 •	1 0.1	98 1	Running Percent (not >100) 98
	VwLusE Forest and r Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover	se From Dro	ned:		60 •	1 0.1	98 1	Running Percent (not >100) 98
	Forest and r Open space Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 chment of the control of the cont	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75%	p List)	35 Not	60 V	Score 1 0.1 0.3	98 1 1 1	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
12	Forest and r Open space Open space	2 within the Weighted A	5 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc.	25 chment of the control of the con	se From Dro r <50% r >75%	p List)	Not poleted using	60 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Score	ment 98 1 1 and Cover	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
12 V	Forest and r Open space Open space	2 within the Weighted A mative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) -EF33 Value Not Used,	s entire cate Average of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc.	Use (Choose cover)), grass cover), grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands	p List) p was compat satellite	Not oleted using imagery an	es: the 2019 d other su	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 98 1 1 and Cover	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
12 V V _c	Forest and r Open space Open space	2 within the Weighted A mative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) -EF33 Value Not Used, <20%	se entire cate Average of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
12 V V _c	Forest and r Open space Open space	2 within the Weighted A mative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) -EF33 Value Not Used,	s entire cate Average of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc.	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not oleted using imagery an	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
VVVc VE	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable	2 within the Weighted A mative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) -EF33 Value Not Used, <20%	se entire cate Average of F Land >75% ground ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 98 99 100
V V _C V _E V _S	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY CHBED CUBSTRATE	2 within the Weighted A Mative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) -EF33 Value Not Used, <20% 2.2 0.08 in	VSI Not Used 0.54 0.04	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
V V C V E V S V B	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY CHARGE	2 within the Weighted A mative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) EF33 Value Not Used, <20% 2.2 0.08 in 0 %	VSI Not Used 0.54 0.04 1.00	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
V V _C V _E V _S	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY CHARGE	2 within the Weighted A Mative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) -EF33 Value Not Used, <20% 2.2 0.08 in	VSI Not Used 0.54 0.04	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
V V _C V _E V _S V _B V _L	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY CHARGE	2 within the Weighted A mative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) EF33 Value Not Used, <20% 2.2 0.08 in 0 %	VSI Not Used 0.54 0.04 1.00	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
V _C V _E V _S V _B V _L V _T	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY MBED GUBSTRATE JERO WD	2 within the Weighted A wative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) Value Not Used, <20% 2.2 0.08 in 0 % 1.6 Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.20 Not Used	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
V V C V E V S V L V T V S	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE BERO WD DBH	2 within the Weighted A mative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 2.24 0.08 in 0 % 1.6	VSI Not Used 0.54 0.020	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
VC VE VS VB VL VT	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE BERO WD DBH	2 within the Weighted A wative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) Value Not Used, <20% 2.2 0.08 in 0 % 1.6 Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.20 Not Used	Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 98 99 100
V Vc Vs Vs Vs	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CCANOPY MBED UBSTRATE SERO WD DBH SNAG	2 within the Weighted A weighted	VSI Not Used 0.20 Not Used 0.10 1.00	25 chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover), grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 98 99 100
V	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE BERO WD DBH INAG SSD BRICH	2 within the Weighted A weighted	VSI Not Used 0.20 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	25 chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover), grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 98 99 100
V	Forest and r Open space Open space Open space Cariable CANOPY MBED CUBSTRATE EERO WD DBH CNAG SSD GRICH EERITUS	2 within the Weighted A wative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 2.2 0.08 in 0 % 1.6 Not Used 0.0 70.3 0.00 27.5 %	VSI Not Used 0.20 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00 0.34	25 chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover), grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100
V	Forest and r Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE BERO WD DBH INAG SSD BRICH	2 within the Weighted A weighted	VSI Not Used 0.20 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	25 chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover), grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 98 99 100
12 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Forest and r Open space Open space Open space Cariable CANOPY MBED CUBSTRATE EERO WD DBH CNAG SSD GRICH EERITUS	2 within the Weighted A wative range (: (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 2.2 0.08 in 0 % 1.6 Not Used 0.0 70.3 0.00 27.5 %	VSI Not Used 0.20 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00 0.34	25 chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover)), grass cover), grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	e for watersh se From Dro r <50% r >75% ver Analysis from Lands, ad boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are bas	Not bletted using imagery an aed off of fie	es: g the 2019 d other suld delinear	Score 1 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 98 1 1 and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 98 99 100

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-EF33		LOCATION Roanoke County			
STATION #_12761+27 RI	IVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent	t		
LAT <u>37.179186</u> LO	ONG80.141	RIVER BASIN Upper Roand	oke		
STORET#		AGENCY VADEQ			
INVESTIGATORS SB,ES					
FORM COMPLETED BY	SB	DATE 9/7/2021 TIME 10:00 AM	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment		
WEATHER	Now		Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?		

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now Past 24 hours Yes ✓ No Air Temperature 17 ° C Other Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph) (OUMSTWAN) AR AR AR AR AR AR AR AR AR A
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Predom Fores Field Agric Resid	Pasture Industria	duse rcial al	Local Watershed NPS ☑ No evidence ☐ Son ☐ Obvious sources ☐ Local Watershed Erosi ☑ None ☐ Moderate	ne potential sources		
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION		e the dominant type and s		minant species present ☐ Grasses ☐ He	rbaceous		
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	ted Stream Depth 0.05 Velocitym	m m² km² m	High Water Mark	☐ Partly open ☐ Partly shaded ☐ Shaded High Water Mark ○15 m Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle 50 % Run 40 % Pool 10 % Channelized ☐ Yes ☑ No		
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	of LWDm	n²/km² (LWD/	reach area)			
AQUATIC VEGETA		✓ Roote Floati	e the dominant type and ded emergent Rang Algae At ant species present Sambu of the reach with aquat	ooted submerge tached Algae	nt Rooted floating	☐Free floating		
WATER ((DS, US)	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH 6.6, 6.	rature 14.2, 14.6 C c Conductance 73.5, 71.8 ed Oxygen 8.86, 9.15 9 city strument Used VA-1			Chemical Other Globs Flecks		
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Absen	nical Anaerobic	Petroleum None	— Εροking at stones whic are the undersides blac	□Paper fiber □Sand Other □Sand h are not deeply embedded, k in color?		
INC		STRATE (COMPONENTS		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C			
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area		
Bedrock			0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	15		
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")		0		· · ·	10		
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5	5"-10")	20	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	0		
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2	2.5")	30		` <i>'</i>	U		
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)	50	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0		
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm		0]				
Clav	< 0.004 mm (sli	ck)	0					

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-EF33	LOCATION Roanoke County			
STATION #_12761+27 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent			
LAT <u>37.179186</u> LONG <u>-80.141</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke			
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ			
INVESTIGATORS SB,ES				
FORM COMPLETED BY SB	DATE 9/7/2021 TIME 10:00 AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment			

	Habitat				
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE 8	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE 14	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE 8	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P ₂	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE 17	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE 18	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	II-b:4-4		Condition Category							
	Habitat Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor					
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
	score 18	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
ampl	score 14	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing dewnstream. SCORE 9	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
pe e	SCORE 9		8 7 6 8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
Parameters t	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	Right Bank 10 9 More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
	SCORE 6	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
	SCORE 6	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
	SCORE 2	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
	SCORE 3	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

Platyhelminthes 0 1 2 3 4 Hemiptera 0 1 2 3 4 Trichoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Trichoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Other 0 1 2 3 4	STREAM NAME S-EF33					LOCATIO	LOCATION Roanoke County											
AGENCY VADEQ NYESTIGATORS SB_ES DATE MABITAT TYPES Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble % Sand % S	STATION # 12761+27 RIVERMILE				STREAM													
The composition of the composi	LAT 37.179186 LONG -80.141				RIVER B													
DATE	STORET#					AGENCY	AGENCY VADEQ											
HABITAT TYPES	INVESTIGATORS S	B,ES	3				•]	LOT	NUMBER					
Cobble % Sanags % Vegetated Banks % Submerged Macrophytes % Other Mow were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. Cobble Submerged Macrophytes Other (FORM COMPLETED BY SB					DATE -]						ssm	ent	
How were the samples collected?	HABITAT TYPES		Cob	ble	•	%	☐Snags %	at type p	/ege	tated	Ban	ks		%				
How were the samples collected?	SAMPLE	G	ear	used		D-fr	ame kick-net			Other								
Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.	COLLECTION	,,			41		1			_	16	1	1.	_				
Cobble Snage Other Oth		Н	ow v	vere	tne	samp	nes conecteu?	wadii	ıg] iroi	n bar	ik lirom boa	I.				
QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant			Cob	ble			Snags		/ege	tated	Ban		Sand)	_				
QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant 2 3 4 Slimes 0 1 2 3 4 Macroinvertebrates 0 1 2 3 4 Mac		L	OW	flo	W	anc	l lack of end	ough i	iffl	e h	ab	itat						
Periphyton	COMMENTS																	
Periphyton																		
Periphyton	l																	
Nacrophytes	Indicate estimated Dominant					0 = 1	Absent/Not Obs	erved,				= C	ommon, 3= Abuno				3	4
FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)						-						rtebr	rates	-		_		
Porifera	_															_		
Hydrozoa 0 1 2 3 4 Zygoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Platyhelminthes 0 1 2 3 4 Hemiptera 0 1 2 3 4 Turbellaria 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Hirudinea 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Oligochaeta 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0	Indicate estimated	l ab	und	anc	e:	0 = org	Absent/Not Obsanisms), 3= Abu	ındant (>10	org	anis	sms)	, 4 = Dominant (>:	50 oı	rgar	ism		
Platyhelminthes 0 1 2 3 4 Hemiptera 0 1 2 3 4 Trichoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Turbellaria 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Other 0 1 2 3 4 Hirudinea 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Oligochaeta 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tripulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2<							_											
Turbellaria 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Other 0 1 2 3 4 Hirudinea 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Oligochaeta 0 1 2 3 4 Sialidae 0 1 2 3 4 Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tipulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4	•		_															4
Hirudinea 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Sialidae 0 1 2 3 4 Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4	•		-				^						-		-			4
Oligochaeta 0 1 2 3 4 Sialidae 0 1 2 3 4 Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tipulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4							_						Other	0	1	2	3	4
Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tipulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4			-															
Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tipulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4	-		-						-									
Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4	-		-						_									
Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4							_		-									
Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4	•		1				_											
	-		1															
Limitation II I I I I I I	DIValvia	U	1	2	3	4	Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

S-EF33 Stream ID:

County: Roanoke County
Stream Name: UNT to Bottom Creek
HUC Code: 03010101 Upper Roanoke Basin:

Survey Date: 9/7/2021 Surveyors: Type: SB,ES Representative

· ·	D . DEVOY E		LE COUNT	- I	70 1. //	T. 0/	0/ 0
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	^	35	35.00	35.00
	Very Fine	.062125		4	0	0.00	35.00
	Fine	.12525	7	4	1	1.00	36.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	A	0	0.00	36.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0	1	•	12	12.00	48.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2	1	•	2	2.00	50.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		^	1	1.00	51.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		4	4	4.00	55.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	1	A	2	2.00	57.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	1	^	11	11.00	68.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	A	3	3.00	71.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		A	8	8.00	79.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32	1	A	4	4.00	83.00
.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		A	3	3.00	86.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		A	3	3.00	89.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		A	6	6.00	95.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		A	3	3.00	98.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	^	1	1.00	99.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		A	1	1.00	100.0
0.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		A	0	0.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	A	0	0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	A	0	0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	A	0	0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	A	0	0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	<u> </u>	0	0.00	100.0
				Totals	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

UNT to Bottom Creek

River Name: UNT to Bottom (Reach Name: S-EF33 Sample Name: Representative 09/07/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	35 0 1 0 12 2 1 4 2 11 3 8 4 3 3 6 3 1 1 0 0 0	35.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 12.00 2.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 11.00 3.00 8.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 6.00 3.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	35.00 35.00 36.00 36.00 48.00 50.00 51.00 55.00 57.00 68.00 71.00 79.00 83.00 86.00 89.00 95.00 98.00 99.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.03 0.06 2 36.33 90 255.99 35 15 39 11 0		

Total Particles = 100.

		,	Strean	Unified St	tream Method	lology for use	in Virginia		' /		
				For use in wadea	cowardin	ssified as interm	ittent or perennia	al	Impact	Impact	
Project #	, , , , ,			Locality	Class.	HUC	Date	SAR#	Length	Impact Factor	
22865.06	• • •			Roanoke County	R4	03010101	9/7/2021	S-EF33	148	1	
Name	e(s) of Evaluat		Stream Name		tion				SAR Length		
	SB,ES		Unnamed Tri	butary to Bot	tom Creek				9	1	
Channel C	ondition: Asse	ss the cross-secti	on of the stream a		, ,	• ,					
	Opti	mal	Subo	ptimal	Conditional Catego Mar	ginal	Po	or	Sev	ere	
	Very little incision or	white project 90	Slightly included	ew areas of active	Office lociced but	less than Severe or	Otopuidandlin	ised. Vertically /	Deeply incised	(or executed)	
Channel Condition	100% stable banks. protection or natur. (80-100%), AND/OF bankfull benches at to their original fi developed wide ban channel bars and tr Transient sediment less than 10°	Vegetative surface al rock, prominent & Stable point bars / re present. Access loodplain or fully kfull benches. Mid- ansverse bars few. t deposition covers	erosion or unprotect of banks are st Vegetative protect prominent (60-Depositional feat stability. The bar channels are well de has access to ba newly developed portions of the r	ted banks. Majority table (60-80%). Majority table (60-80%). MND/OR ures contribute to hkull and low flow fefined. Stream likely inkfull benches, or floodplains along reach. Transient 0-40% of the stream	Poor. Banks more or Poor due to It Erosion may be pr both banks. Vege 40-60% of banks. Se vertical or und 40-60% Sediment transient, control that cc may be forming/p shaped channell protection on > 40	stable than Severe ower bank slopes. besent on 40-60% of tative protection on other ambanks may be ercut. AND/OR may be temporary / ribute instability, resent. AND/OR V-ersent. AND/OR V-ersent. AND/OR V-60 wo of the banks and	laterally unstable further. Majority of vertical. Erosion pr banks. Vegetative on 20-40% of bank to prevent erosion. the stream is cow Sediment is temp nature, and contril AND/OR V-shap vegetative protect	s. Likely to widen oboth banks are near seent on 60-80% of protection present s, and is insufficient AND/OR 6-80% of ered by sediment. orary / transient in puting to instability. ed channels have ion is present on > mod stable sediment.	vertical/lateral in incision, flow contain Streambed below av majority of banks Vegetative protect the 200 of banks erosion. Obvious present. Erosion/70R Aggradin than 80% of stream deposition, contrib Multiple thread of Multiple thread of Multiple thread of procession of Multiple thread of Multiple	stability. Severe ted within the banks. erage rooting depth, vertical/undercut. on present on less is in other preventing is bank sloughing v banks on 80-100%. If other is overed by uting to instability. channels and/or	
					to sta	res which contribute ability.	deposition		subterran	ean flow.	CI
Scores	3	3	2	.4		2	1	.6	1		2.40
. RIPARIAN	BUFFERS: A		Con	areas along the enditional Cate	gory	measurements of		ay be acceptable)	NOTES>>		
RIPARIAN Riparian Buffers		a inches) present,	Con Subo	ptimal Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with	gory	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained		, ,	NOTES>>		
Riparian	Option Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located	a inches) present,	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.	ditional Cate ptimal Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation).	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover.	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.	NOTES>>		
Riparian	Option Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located	rainches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as.	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dth > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 Inches) present, with <30%	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable	NOTES>>		
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ	Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located are	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparia, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum, (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the condition of t	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.	NOTES>>		
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R	Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located are 1. Trian areas along e. uare footage for ea	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring score for each riparian aow.	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparia, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum, (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the condition of t	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5	NOTES>>		
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R	Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located are	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring score for each riparian riparian as.	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparia, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum, (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the condition of t	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums		ores*0.011/2	
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R	Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located are 1. Trian areas along e. uare footage for ea	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring score for each riparian aow.	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparia, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum, (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the condition of t	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums	NOTES>> CI= (Sum % RA * Sc Rt Bank CI >	ores*0.01)/2	CI
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R	Tree stratum (dbh with > 60% tree Wetlands located are 1. Trian areas along evaluate footage for eactionarian Area and S Riparian Area Score >	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring score for each riparian aow 0.75	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparia, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum, (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the condition of t	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums ciparian qual 100 100%	CI≕ (Sum % RA * Sc		CI 0.61
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R Right Bank Left Bank	Tree stratum (dbh with > 60% tree Wetlands located are 1. Trian areas along extra areas along extra areas along extra areas Riparian Area and S Riparian Area > Score > M HABITAT: Va	imal 3 inches) present, a canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring Score for each rips 80% 0.75 10% 0.75	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 Into Condition Cate or estimating leng arian category in tr 20% 0.5	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors. ded for you below.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland, actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the condition of t	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums ciparian qual 100 100%	CI= (Sum % RA * So Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI > banks; root mats; \$	0.70 0.53	
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R Right Bank Left Bank . INSTREAM	Tree stratum (dbh with > 60% tree Wetlands located are Wetlands located are Wetlands located are Score > Methods M	5 ach stream bank sich by measuring 80core for each rips 10% 0.75 ried substrate size	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (db) - 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 Into Condition Cate or estimating leng arian category in the 20% 0.5 90% 0.5 es, water velocity a	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutver (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calche blocks below.	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 Ition Scores using culators are provided to the control of the	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparia and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors. ded for you below.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure 1 of % F Blocks e	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums ciparian qual 100 100%	CI= (Sum % RA * Sc Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI >	0.70 0.53	
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R Right Bank	Tree stratum (dbh with > 60% tree Wetlands located are Wetlands located are Wetlands located are Score > Methodological Participation (dbh with > 60% tree Wetlands located are W	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ich by measuring score for each riparian as. 10% 0.75 10% 0.75 ried substrate size imal re typically present	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 Into Condition Cate or estimating lenguarian category in the 20% 0.5 90% 0.5 Subop Stable habitat eler present in 30-50% cadequate for negative for subop in the category in the condition of the condi	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 ition Scores using culators are provided to the control of the	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors. ded for you below.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure 1 of % F Blocks e Habitat elements lacking or are u lements are typic	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums ciparian qual 100 100%	CI= (Sum % RA * So Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI > banks; root mats; \$	0.70 0.53 SAV; riffle/pool	

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2											
Project #	Project Name (App	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor			
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline Valley Pipeline, I	•	Roanoke County	R4	03010101	9/7/2021	S-EF33	148	1		
. CHANNEL	. ALTERATION: Stream crossin	ngs, riprap, concre			ightening of chanr	el, channelization			ions, livestock		
1. CHANNEL			Condition	al Category				poil piles, constricti	ions, livestock		
1. CHANNEL	ALTERATION: Stream crossin Negligible			al Category Mod	erate	Sev			ions, livestock		
4. CHANNEL			Condition	al Category Mod 40 - 60% of reach	erate 60 - 80% of reach	Sev			ions, livestock		
4. CHANNEL		Mi	Conditiona	Mod 40 - 60% of reach is disrupted by any	erate 60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any	Sev			ions, livestock		
		Mi Less than 20% of	Conditionanor 20-40% of the	Modification 40 - 60% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel	erate 60 - 80% of reach	Sev	/ere	NOTES>>	ions, livestock		
Channel	Negligible	Less than 20% of the stream reach is	Conditionanor 20-40% of the stream reach is	Al Category Mod 40 - 60% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter	erate 60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter	Sev Greater than 80% of	/ere of reach is disrupted	NOTES>>	ions, livestock		
		Less than 20% of the stream reach is	Conditionanor 20-40% of the	40 - 60% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If	erate 60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If	Greater than 80% of by any of the channel	/ere	NOTES>>	ions, livestock		
Channel	Negligible Channelization, dredging, alteration, or	Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel	Conditionanor 20-40% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel	Al Category Mod 40 - 60% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter	erate 60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter	Greater than 80% of by any of the chain in the parameter g 80% of banks sh	/ere of reach is disrupted nel alterations listed	NOTES>>	ions, livestock		

0.9 REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

stream meander pattern has not

recovered.

guidelines.

stream meander pattern has not

recovered

0.7

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

1.5

guidelines.

1.3

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 1.14

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

CI

1.50

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 169

CR = RCI X L_I X IF

0.5

INSERT PHOTOS:

Scores


(WSSI Photo Location L:\22000s\22800\22865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread H\Field Forms\S-EF33\Photos\DS VIEW.jpeg)





Downstream view facing NE. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.

MP	
----	--

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER







CL S	I B (PIPE C	L)			
	PI		POST-C	ROSSING	
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.
PI. LUC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.
TS-L	13500944.70	1890586.20	2788.05		
BS-L	13500936.44	1890589.08	2782.57		
THW	13500932.52	1890590.49	2782.25		
BS-R	13500930.28	1890591.24	2782.26		
TS-R	13500922.77	1890593.88	2786.51		

2796

2794

SURVEY NOTES:

S-EF33 BASELINE CROSS SECTION A

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on August 31, 2021.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on field stakes).

LEGEND STUDY AREA (EASEMENT) EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MAJOR) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MINOR) 2785.2 **+** EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION

EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED EDGE OF WATER (AS NECESSARY) BENCHMARK POINT (WSSI)

PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS

Wetland



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM ON 08/31/2021



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM ON 08/31/2021

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM

PENDING CROSSING

H: 1"=10'

V: 1"=5'

CROSS SECTION LEGEND

EXISTING GRADE


PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1 Vertical Datum: NAVD 88

Boundary and Topo Source: MVP WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Draft Approved

TLK TLK PFS Sheet # 1 of 1

Computer File Name: :\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread H Work Dwgs



S-EF33 BASELINE CROSS SECTION C

2790 ₹ 2784 [□] 2782 2780 EL.: 2780.4' 0+00 0+10 0+20 0+30 0+40 0+50DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)

> NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.