Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-EF55 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread H Roanoke County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	N/A – Low flow
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Low flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	√
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Photo Type: DS VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW looking E, KB



Photo Type: US VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW looking W, KB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking S, KB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking N, KB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking E, KB

IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: S-EF55 MITIGATION STREAM CLASS/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION:		August 19, 2021	
(watershed size (acreage), unaltered or impairments) (watershed size (acreage), unaltered or impairments)	Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH: 33 FORM OF MITIGATION: RESTORATION (Levels I-III) MIT COORDINATES: Lat. Lon. PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS: 1.45"	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing Condition (Debit) Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Condition - Baseline (Credit) Column No. 3- Mitigation Projected at Five Years Post Completion (Credit) Column No. 4- Mitigation Projected at Ten Years Post Completion (Credit)	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projected at Maturity (Credit)		
Stream Classification: Intermittent Stream Classification: O Stream Classification: 0 Stream Classification: 0 Stream Classification:	lassification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel Slope 11.16 Percent Stream Channel Slope 0 Percent Stream Channel Slope 0	Percent Stream Channel Slope	0	
HGM Score (attach data forms):	HGM Score (attach data form	ns):	
Average Average Average		Average	
Hydrology 0.88 Hydrology 9 Hydrology	nemical Cycling		
Biogeochemical Cycling 0.57 0.67 Biogeochemical Cycling 0 Biogeochemical Cycling 0 Biogeochemical Cycling 0 Biogeochemical Cycling 0 Biogeochemical Cycling 1 Biogeochemica	nemical Cycling	0	
	PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biologic	cal Indicators	
From how Page Stations From how Reg Stations From how Reg Station From h	Points Sca	cale Range Site Score	
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)	L INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifica	ations)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) USEPA RBP (HIGH Gradient	tBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
Epfianal Substrate/Available Cover 0.20 10 Epfianal Substrate/Available Cover 0.20 1. Epfianal Substrate/Av			
	y/ Depth Regime 0-20		
4. Sediment Deposition 0-20 19 4. Sediment Deposition 0-20 5.	ent Deposition 0-20		
	el Flow Status 0-20		
	el Alteration 0-20		
	ncy of Riffles (or bends) 0-20		
	tability (LB & RB) 0-20		
9. Vegetable Protection (I.B. 8.RB) 0.20 12 9. Vegetable Protection (I.B. 8.RB) 0.20 10. Repair wegetable Zoze Width (I.B. 8.RB) <t< td=""><td>tive Protection (LB & RB) 0-20 an Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-20</td><td></td></t<>	tive Protection (LB & RB) 0-20 an Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-20		
Uk. Apprison Vegelative Zone Width (List A Hts) 0.30 12 1 Uk. Apprison Vegelative Zone Width (List A Hts) 0.30 TU. Apprison Vegelative Zone Width (List		Poor 0	
Total 100 Sub-Total 0 Sub-Total 1 O		0	
	AL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Per	rennial Streams)	
	Water Quality Indicators (General)		
	Conductivity	4	
100-199 - 85 points 0-90 0-90 0-90 0-90 0-90 0-90 0-90 0-9	0-90	_	
040 04 550 04 550 04	5-90	0-1	
5.6-5.9 = 45 points DO DO DO DO DO DO DO DO DO D		_	
10-30 10-30 10-30 10-30	10-30	0	
Sub-Total Sub-Total O Sub-Total O Sub-Total O Sub-Total		0	
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)	CAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and	d Perennial Streams)	
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	im Condition Index (WVSCI)		
0-100 0-1 0-100 0-1 0-100 0-1	0-100	0 0-1	
Sub-Total 0<		0	
PART II - Index and Unit Score PART II - Index and Unit Score PART II - Index and Unit Score	PART II - Index and Unit Score		
Index Linear Feet Unit Score Index Linear Feet Unit Score Index Linear Feet Unit Score	Index Line	ear Feet Unit Score	
0.708 33 23.3475 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0 0	

Ver. 10-20-17

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V_{CCANOPY} (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

Location: Roanoke County

Sampling Date: 8/19/2021 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Intermittent Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-EF55

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.88
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.57
Habitat	0.56

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V _{CCANOPY}	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V _{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	4.20	0.90
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	3.50	1.00
V _{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
V_{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	5.00	0.63
V _{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V _{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	17.50	0.27
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V _{DETRITUS}	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	15.00	0.18
V_{HERB}	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	60.00	0.80
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	1.00	1.00

High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia Field Data Sheet and Calculator															
	Team:	SB, KB						Latitude/UT	M Northing:	37.181506					
Pro	oject Name:	Mountain V	'alley Pipelir	ne			L	.ongitude/U	ΓM Easting:	-80.149497	•				
	Location:	Roanoke C	ounty					San	npling Date:	8/19/2021					
SA	AR Number:	S-EF55	Reach	Length (ft):	40	Stream Ty	/pe: Inter	mittent Strea	m		▼				
	Top Strata:	Sh	rub/Herb Str	ata	(determined	d from perce	ent calculate	d in V _{CCANO}	_{PY})						
Site and Timing: Project Site ▼ Before Project															
Sample	Sample Variables 1-4 in stream channel														
1	V _{CCANOPY} Average percent cover over channel by tree and sapling canopy. Measure at no fewer than 10 roughly equidistant points along the stream. Measure only if tree/sapling cover is at least 20%. (If less than 20%, enter at least one value between 0 and 19 to trigger Top Strata choice.)														
		cent cover measurements at each point below:													
	0														
2	V_{EMBED}				am channe						4.2				
					from the be particle that i										
		to the follow of 1. If the	ving table. I bed is comp	f the bed is oosed of bed	an artificial s Irock, use a	surface, or o	composed of e of 5.	f fine sedime	ents, use a i	ating score	1				
		Embedded Minshall 19	-	or gravel, c	obble and be	oulder partio	cles (rescale	d from Platt	s, Megahan	, and	Measure at least				
		Rating	Rating Des		overed a	counded c	buriod bu fin	o oodimat	(or bodes -1	1	30 points				
		5 4			overed, surr					.)					
		3	26 to 50 pe	rcent of sur	face covered	d, surrounde	ed, or buried	by fine sed	iment						
		2			face covered					.1					
	List the rati	ngs at each			covered, su	rrounded, o	i buried by t	irie sedimer	it (or artificia	и ѕипасе)	J				
	5	3	5								Ì				
	5	5	5												
	3	5	3												
	5	2	5												
3	5	2 Median stre	5	l aubatrata r	artiala aiza	Magazina	t na fairear t	20 5015	bly oggidiet	nt nainta					
	Enter partic	along the s cle size in in	tream; use t ches to the i	he same po nearest 0.1	ints and par inch at each	ticles as use	ed in V _{EMBED}				3.50 in				
		as 0.0 in, s		particles as	0.08 in):						ì				
	6.00 5.00	2.00 3.50	3.70 7.00												
	0.30	0.30	0.40												
	4.00	3.00	4.00												
	0.40	3.80	0.50												
4	V _{BERO}		e total perce		nnel bank. e calculated						0 %				
		may be up	Left Bank:	0	ft		Right Bank:	0	ft						
		5-9 within t													
5	V_{LWD}	stream read		e number fr		e 50'-wide b	uffer and wi	thin the cha	nnel, and th		5.0				
6	V_{TDBH}	Average dh	h of trees (r	measure onl	Number of y if V _{CCANOP}		ody stems:		Trees are	at least /					
	▼ TDBH	inches (10	cm) in diam	eter. Enter	tree DBHs ir vidual trees (n inches.				at icast 4	Not Used				
		the stream	below:	onto or man		a. 10431 7 111	,		JIGO 01		1				
			Left Side					Right Side							
	0														
7	V _{SNAG}				nd 36" tall) ¡ per 100 fee			Enter numb	er of snags	on each	0.0				
			Left Side:		0		Right Side:		0						
8	V_{SSD}	tree cover i	saplings and s <20%). E	d shrubs (wo	oody stems of saplings		es dbh) per	100 feet of	stream (mea		17.5				
		per 100 ft o	of stream will	pe calculat	ed.		Diaht Cida		2						

					if eet of stream reach. Check all species present from ic and invasive species present in all strata. Species e calculated from these data.						
			p 1 = 1.0	nd the Subindex will be	calculated I	rom these a		2 (-1.0)			
	Acer rubrui		p 1 – 1.0	Magnolia tripetala		Ailanthus a		72 (-1.0)	Lonicera ja	nonica	
	Acer sacch			Nyssa sylvatica		Albizia julib			Lonicera ta		
\vdash				•		Alliaria peti					
Ш	Aesculus fl			Oxydendrum arboreum		Alliaria peti	olata		Lotus corni		
Ц	Asimina trii		Ш	Prunus serotina		Alternanthe		Ш	Lythrum sa		
Ш	Betula alleg	haniensis		Quercus alba		philoxeroid	es		Microstegiun	n vimineum	
	Betula lent	а		Quercus coccinea		Aster tatari	cus		Paulownia	tomentosa	
	Carya alba Quercus i					Cerastium	fontanum		Polygonum o	cuspidatum	
	Carya glabra Quercus prinus					Coronilla va	aria		Pueraria m	ontana	
	Carya oval	is		Quercus rubra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa multif	lora	
	Carya ovat	a	Ш	Quercus velutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum h	alepense	
	Cornus flor	rida		Sassafras albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena br	asiliensis	
_	Fagus grar		_	Tilia americana		Ligustrum ob		_			
	Fraxinus a			Tsuga canadensis		Ligustrum s					
Н				-		Ligustium	Sirierise				
=	Liriodendron	•		Ulmus americana							
	Magnolia a	cuminata									
		0	Species in	Group 1			0	Species in	Group 2		
			•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				•		
ample	e Variables	10-11 within	n at least 8	subplots (40" x 40", o	r 1m x 1m)	in the ripar	ian/buffer	zone within	25 feet from	n each	
		bplots shou	ıld be place	d roughly equidistant	ly along ea	ch side of t	he stream				
10	$V_{DETRITUS}$			of leaves, sticks, or oth				<4" diamete	er and <36"	15.00 %	
		long are inc		the percent cover of th	e detritar iay				1		
		40	10	Side	0	10	Side				
		40	10		U	10			-		
11	V _{HERB}	Average pe	rcentage co	ver of herbaceous vege	etation (mea	sure only if	ree cover i	s <20%) D	o not		
	TEKB			t least 4" dbh and 36" ta						60.9/	
		-		up through 200% are a	accepted. E	nter the per	cent cover	of ground ve	egetation at	60 %	
	each subplot.			Cida		Diahi	Cida		, I		
		40		Side	100		Side				
		40	10		100	90					
ample	e Variable 1	2 within the	entire cate	chment of the stream.							
12	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A		unoff Score for watersh							
12	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A								1.00	
12	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	verage of R	tunoff Score for watersh	ned:			Runoff	% in Catch	Running	
12	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	verage of R		ned:			Runoff Score	% in Catch-	Running Percent	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	ned:			Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12		Weighted A	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	ned:		•			Running Percent	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	ned:			Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	ned:			Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	ned:			Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	ned:		**************************************	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	ned:		**************************************	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	ned:		**************************************	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	ned:		**************************************	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh	ned:		**************************************	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12		•	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh	ned:		**************************************	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12	Forest and r	native range (:	verage of F	tunoff Score for watersh	ned:		**************************************	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)	
12	Forest and r	•	verage of F	unoff Score for watersh Use (Choose From Dro	p List)		V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Score 1	ment 100	Running Percent (not >100)	
	Forest and r	native range (:	verage of F	Use (Choose From Dro	p List)	oleted using	g the 2019	Score 1 National L	ment 100 and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used,	verage of R Land -75% ground	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands:	p List) was compat satellite	oleted using imagery ar	the 2019 d other su	Score 1 National Lupplementa	ment 100 and Cover ary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V: V _C	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20%	Land -75% ground VSI Not Used	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V. V. V.	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used,	verage of R Land -75% ground	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands:	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V: V _C V _E	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20%	Land -75% ground VSI Not Used	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V _C V _C V _E V _S	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V _C V _C V _E V _S	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2	VSI Not Used 0.90	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V _C V _C V _E V _S	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V _C V _C V _S V _S V _B V _L	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in 0 %	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V _C V _E V _S V _B V _L V _T	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in 0 % 5.0 Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00 0.63 Not Used	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V _C V _E V _S V _B V _L V _T	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in 0 % 5.0	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00 0.63	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V _C V _E V _S V _B V _L V _T	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in 0 % 5.0 Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00 0.63 Not Used	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V: V _C V _B V _S V _B V _{L'} V _T V _S V _S	Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in 0 % 5.0 Not Used 0.0 17.5	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00 0.63 Not Used 0.10 0.27	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V3 VC VEI VSI VB VLI VTI VSI VSSI VSI	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in 0 % 5.0 Not Used 0.0 17.5 0.00	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00 0.63 Not Used 0.10 0.27 0.00	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V. V _C V _E V _S V _B V _L V _T V _S V _S V _D V _D	Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in 0 % 5.0 Not Used 0.0 17.5	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00 0.63 Not Used 0.10 0.27	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V. V _C V _E V _S V _B V _L V _T V _S V _S V _D	Forest and r	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in 0 % 5.0 Not Used 0.0 17.5 0.00	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00 0.63 Not Used 0.10 0.27 0.00	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	
V _C V _C V _S V _S V _{TI} V _S V _S V _S V _S V _S V _D V _D	Forest and r Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	-EF55 Value Not Used, <20% 4.2 3.50 in 0 % 5.0 Not Used 0.0 17.5 0.00 15.0 %	VSI Not Used 0.90 1.00 0.63 Not Used 0.10 0.27 0.00 0.18	Use (Choose From Dro cover) Land Cover Analysis (NLCD), from Lands Watershed boundari	p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	the 2019 nd other so	Score 1 National L upplementated stream	and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 100	

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET

		(FRONT)						
STREAM NAME S-EF55		LOCATION Roanoke County						
STATION #_12755+00 R	IVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent						
LAT 37.181506 LO	ONG80.149497	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke						
STORET#		AGENCY VADEQ						
INVESTIGATORS SB, KE	3							
FORM COMPLETED BY	SB	DATE 8/19/2021 REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment						
WEATHER CONDITIONS	rain shower	Past 24 hours Past 24 hours Yes No No No No No No No No						
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the sin	Gary Away Side						

coming in side

Stream Type Coldwater

Catchment Area 0.10

■Warmwater

 $\,\mathrm{km}^2$

☐ Spring-fed
✓ Mixture of origins
☐ Other

Stream Origin
Glacial
Non-glacial montane
Swamp and bog

STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Predom ✓ Fores ☐ Field/ ☐ Agric ☐ Resid	Pasture Industria Utural Other	rcial	Local Watershed NPS ☑ No evidence ☐ Son ☐ Obvious sources — Local Watershed Erosi ☑ None ☐ Moderate	ne potential sources			
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION		e the dominant type and		minant species present ☐ Grasses ☐ He	rbaceous			
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	km² (m²x1000) ed Stream Depth Velocitym	m m² km² m	Canopy Cover Partly open Part High Water Mark Proportion of Reach Remorphology Types Riffle Pool 10 % Channelized Yes Dam Present Yes				
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	0.6 m ² of LWDm	1 ² /km ² (LWD / 1	reach area)				
AQUATIO VEGETA		Roote Floati	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Rooted emergent Rooted submergent Rooted floating Free floating Floating Algae Attached Algae Dominant species present Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation %						
WATER (QUALITY	Temperature NA O C Specific Conductance NA Specific N							
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils	ical Anaerobic	Petroleum None Profus	— Lρoking at stones whic are the undersides blac	□Paper fiber □Sand □Other h are not deeply embedded, k in color?			
INC		STRATE (COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add				
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area			
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")		0 5	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5	"-10")	50	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	0			
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2	2.5")	40		(1 1 01/1)	U			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)	3	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0			
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm		1]					
Clav	< 0.004 mm (sli	ck)	1						

Notes: Low flow, thus no water quality data

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-EF55	LOCATION Roanoke County					
STATION #_12755+00 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent					
LAT <u>37.181506</u> LONG <u>-80.149497</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke					
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ					
INVESTIGATORS SB, KB						
FORM COMPLETED BY SB	DATE 8/19/2021 TIME 4:00 PM AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment					

	Habitat		Condition	Category				
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.			
	SCORE 10	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
ı sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.			
ted in	SCORE 17	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).			
ıram	_{SCORE} 5	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
P ₂	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.			
	SCORE 19	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.			
	SCORE 10	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			

Notes: Low flow

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition Category											
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor									
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.									
	SCORE 18	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0									
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.									
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	SCORE 17	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0									
	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing deventram.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.									
e ev	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
to b	SCORE 10	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.									
	SCORE 4	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
	SCORE 8	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.									
	SCORE 5	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
	SCORE 7	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									

138 Notes: Low flow

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-EF55					LOCATION	LOCATION Roanoke County											
STATION # 12755+00	R	IVE	RM	ILE_		STREAM CI	STREAM CLASS Intermittent										
LAT 37.181506	_ L	ONO	J -80	.14949	7	RIVER BAS	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke										
STORET#						AGENCY V	AGENCY VADEQ										
INVESTIGATORS S	B, K	В				-	LOT NUMBER										
FORM COMPLETED BY SB						DATE 8/19/2 TIME 4:00 I				I	REAS	SON FOR SURVEY B	aselir	ne A	sses	ssm	ent
HABITAT TYPES	▮∟	Cob	ble_		_%	tage of each habitat t	\square V	eget	nt cated Other	Bani	ks	%	%				
SAMPLE	G	ear	used		D-fi	rame kick-net			Other								
COLLECTION																	
	Н	How were the samples collected? □ wading □ from bank □ from boat															
		Cob	ble			r of jabs/kicks taken Snags ophytes	$\square \vee$	eget	bitat ated Other	Ban	e. ks	Sand)	_				
GENERAL	1	ow.	flo	\//													
COMMENTS	-	O V V		• • •													
QUALITATIVE I Indicate estimated Dominant					0 = 2		ved, 1		Rare	2, 2	= C	ommon, 3= Abuno		1		3	4
Filamentous Algae					0	1 2 3 4		Ma	croi	nve	rtebi	rates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes					0	1 2 3 4		Fis	h				0	1	2	3	4
	l ab	und	anc	e:	0 = org	Absent/Not Obser anisms), 3= Abund	lant (>10	org	anis	sms)	rganisms), 2 = Coi , 4 = Dominant (>:	50 oı	rgar	ism		
Porifera						Anisoptera						Chironomidae			2		
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda		1				Corydalidae	0	1									
Amphipoda Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae Empididae	0	1	2 2	3	4 4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
21,41,114	U	1	_	5	т	Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						
								_	_								

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Roanoke County Stream ID: S-EF55

Stream Name: UNT to Bottom Creek

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/19/2021 Surveyors: SB/KB Type: Representative

		PEBBI	LE COUNT				
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cum
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	^	0	0.00	0.00
	Very Fine	.062125		^	0	0.00	0.00
	Fine	.12525		•	0	0.00	0.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	•	0	0.00	0.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		•	0	0.00	0.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		4	0	0.00	0.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		4	11	11.00	11.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		4	9	9.00	20.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		4	9	9.00	29.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	GRAVEL	4	18	18.00	47.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16		•	7	7.00	54.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		•	9	9.00	63.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		4	4	4.00	67.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		4	4	4.00	71.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		•	6	6.00	77.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		•	10	10.00	87.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	CORRIE	•	7	7.00	94.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	•	5	5.00	99.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		A	0	0.00	99.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		A	1	1.00	100.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		A	0	0.00	100.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	4	0	0.00	100.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		4	0	0.00	100.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096		4	0	0.00	100.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	^	0	0.00	100.00
				Totals	100		
	Total Tally:						

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: UNT to Bottom Creek Reach Name: S-EF55 Representative 08/19/2021

Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	0 0 0 0 0 0 11 9 9 18 7 9 4 4 6 10 7 5 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 11.00 9.00 9	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 11.00 20.00 29.00 47.00 54.00 63.00 67.00 71.00 77.00 87.00 94.00 99.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	4.94 9.1 13.31 82.2 138.4 361.99 0 77 22 1		

Total Particles = 100.

		•	Strean	Unified St	tream Method	dology for use	in Virginia		' /		
					Cowardin	assified as interm			Impact	Impact	
Project #	roject # Project Name (App		,		Locality Class. HUC		Date SAR #		Length Factor		
22865.06		'alley Pipeline ey Pipeline, L	•	Roanoke County	R4	03010101	8/19/2021	S-EF55	33	1	
Name	e(s) of Evaluat		Stream Name		tion				SAR Length		
	SB,KB		Unnamed Tri	butary to Bot	tom Creek				4	0	
. Channel C	ondition: Asse	ss the cross-secti	on of the stream a								
	Opti	imal	Subo	ptimal	Conditional Catego Mar	ginal	Po	or	Sev	vere	
	Very little incision or			ew areas of active		less than Severe or		ised. Vertically /	Deeply incised		
Channel Condition	100% stable banks, protection or natur. (80-100%). AND/OF bankfull benches ar to their original fil developed wide ban channel bars and tr Transient sediment less than 10°	al rock, prominent R Stable point bars / re present. Access loodplain or fully ukfull benches. Mid- ransverse bars few. t deposition covers	of banks are st Vegetative protect prominent (60- Depositional feat stability. The bar channels are well do has access to ba newly developed portions of the r	ted banks. Majority table (60-80%). Ition or natural rock -80%) AND/OR ures contribute to hkfull and low flow efined. Stream likely inkfull benches, or floodplains along each. Transient 0-40% of the stream lom.	or Poor due to le Erosion may be pr both banks. Vege 40-60% of banks. S vertical or und 40-60% Sediment transient, contral Deposition that cc may be forming/p shaped channel protection on 3 - 40 depositional feature	stable than Severe wower bank slopes. resent on 40-60% of tative protection on Streambanks may be lercut. AND/OR may be temporary / ribute instability. ntribute to stability, resent. AND/OR V-s have vegetative % of the banks and res which contribute mes which contribute	further. Majority of vertical. Erosion pr banks. Vegetative on 20-40% of bank to prevent erosion. the stream is cove Sediment is temp nature, and contril AND/OR V-shap vegetative protect	a. Likely to widen both banks are near essent on 60-80% of protection present s, and is insufficient AND/OR 6-80% of ered by sediment. orary / transient in outing to instability. ed channels have ion is present on > und stable sediment is absent.	incision, flow contain Streambed below av majority of banks Vegetative protecti than 20% of banks erosion. Obvious present. Erosion/raw AND/OR Aggradin	vertage rooting depth, vertical/undercut. ion present on less s, is not preventing s bank sloughing v banks on 80-100%. Ig channel. Greater a bed is covered by uting to instability. channels and/or	
Saaraa	3	•	2	.4		2	1	.6	1	1	CI
Scores	•	3		.4			1	.6	,	l	3.00
. RIPARIAN	I BUFFERS: A		Con	areas along the enditional Cate	gory	ı measurements of	-	ay be acceptable)	NOTES>>		
2. RIPARIAN Riparian Buffers		imal 3 inches) present, e canopy cover. within the riparian	Con Subo	ptimal Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with	gory	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production,	-	, ,	NOTES>>		
Riparian	Option Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located	imal 3 inches) present, e canopy cover. within the riparian	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dth > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbb - 3 inches) present, with <30%	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, tralls, or other comparable	NOTES>>		
Riparian	Option Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located	imal > 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as.	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.	ditional Cate ptimal Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation).	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover.	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.	NOTES>>		
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ	Option Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located are	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh. > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian aneas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the seed of the seed	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.	NOTES>>		
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R	Tree stratum (dbh vith > 60% tree Wetlands located are	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh. > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian aneas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the seed of the seed	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5	NOTES>>		
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R	Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located are	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring score for each riparian score for each riparian.	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng arian category in the	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh. > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian aneas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the seed of the seed	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums		and the same	
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R	Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located are 1. rian areas along e- uare footage for ea iparian Area and S % Riparian Area>	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring score for each riparian and the stream bank ach by measuring 80% 0.75	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh. > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian aneas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the seed of the seed	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums ciparian qual 100 100%	NOTES>> CI= (Sum % RA * Sc Rt Bank CI >	ores*0.01//2	CI
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R	Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located are 1. rian areas along e- uare footage for ea tiparian Area and S % Riparian Area> Score >	imal 3 inches) present, canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring score for each riparian aow.	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh. > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian aneas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the seed of the seed	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums	CI= (Sum % RA * Sc		CI 0.70
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R Right Bank Left Bank	Tree stratum (dbh* with > 60% tree Wetlands located are 1. Trian areas along e. uare footage for ea siparian Area and S % Riparian Area> Score > 1 HABITAT: Va	imal 3 inches) present, o canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring score for each rips 80% 0.75	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 Into Condition Cate or estimating leng arian category in tr 20% 0.5	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy core and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calche blocks below.	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors. ded for you below.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure to the condition of the comparable condition of the comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 the sums tiparian qual 100 100%	CI= (Sum % RA * So Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI > banks; root mats; S	0.70 0.70	
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine square in the Square Right Bank Left Bank Signature in the Square in the Squa	Tree stratum (dbh with > 60% tree Wetlands located are Wetlands located are Score > M HABITAT: Vale features.	imal 3 inches) present, a canopy cover. within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring 80core for each riparian 80% 0.75 80% 0.75	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 into Condition Cate or estimating leng arian category in the 20% 0.5 20% 0.5 es, water velocity a	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutver (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calche blocks below.	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 ition Scores using culators are provided the control of the con	ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors. ded for you below.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure 1 of % F Blocks e	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 the sums stiparian qual 100 100% 100%	CI= (Sum % RA * So Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI >	0.70 0.70	
Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine squ Enter the % R Right Bank Left Bank	Tree stratum (dbh* with > 60% tree Wetlands located are 1. rian areas along e- uare footage for ea iparian Area and S % Riparian Area> Score > 1 HABITAT: Va e features.	imal 3 inches) present, a canopy cover, within the riparian as. 5 ach stream bank ach by measuring score for each riparian as. 80% 0.75 80% 0.75 ried substrate size imal	Con Subop High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 Into Condition Cate or estimating lenguarian category in the 20% 0.5 20% 0.5 Subop Stable habitat eler present in 30-50% cadequate for ne	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Cond th and width. Calc	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 ition Scores using culators are provided to the control of the	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors. ded for you below.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. High 0.6 Ensure 1 of % F Blocks e Habitat elements lacking or are u lements are typic	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 he sums tiparian qual 100 100%	CI= (Sum % RA * So Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI > banks; root mats; S	0.70 0.70 SAV; riffle/pool	

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2										
Project #	Project # Project Name (Applicant) Locality Class. HUC Date SAR # Impact Length Factor									
22865.06	22865.06 Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Roanoke Valley Pipeline, LLC) R4 03010101 8/19/2021 S-EF55 33 1									
4. CHANNEL	ALTERATION: Stream crossings, riprap, concre	te. gabions, or cor	ncrete blocks, strai	ightening of chann	nel. channelization	. embankments. s	poil piles, constriction	ons, livestock		

		NOTES>>						
	Negligible	Negligible Minor		Moderate		Severe		
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	disrupted by any of the channel	is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in	60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not recovered.	Greater than 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines AND/OR 80% of banks shored with gabion, riprap, or cement.		CI
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.5		1.50
REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH								

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 1.28

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2) COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 42

CR = RCI X L_I X IF

INSERT PHOTOS:


(WSSI Photo Location "L:\22000s\22800\22865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread H\Field Forms\S-EF55\Photos\S-EF55_DS VIEW.jpg")





 $\label{eq:lower_power_$

MP	
----	--

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER







CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-EF55 & S-EF34b CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)									
	PR		POST-CROSSIN						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.				
TS-L	13501726.56	1888209.18	2955.18						
BS-L	13501724.06	1888209.75	2952.55						
THW	13501721.47	1888210.53	2952.54						
TS	13501715.86	1888212.31	2953.52						
THW	13501712.01	1888213.57	2952.96						
BS-R	13501710.64	1888213.86	2953.47						
TS-R	13501700.99	1888217.07	2955.53						

EX. THALWEG

EL.: 2955.5'

(S-EF55)

2966

2964

上 2962

2960

当 2958

2956

2954

2966

2964

SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on August 27, 2021.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.

EX. THALWEG

ÈL.: 2954.7


0+60


(S-EF34b OXBOW)

 $0+70 \quad 0+80$

6. Cross section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on field stakes).


LEGEND STUDY AREA (EASEMENT) EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED EDGE OF WATER (AS NECESSARY) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MAJOR) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MINOR) 2956.4 +EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION BENCHMARK POINT (WSSI)





4b

PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS S-EF34b





PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS S-EF55

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM ON



08/27/2021



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM ON

08/27/2021

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM ON 08/27/2021

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM @ IMPACT LIMITS AT LEFT BANK

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM @ IMPACT LIMITS AT LEFT BANK

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM @ IMPACT LIMITS AT RIGHT BANK

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM @ IMPACT LIMITS AT RIGHT BANK

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1 Vertical Datum: NAVD 88

Boundary and Topo Source: MVP WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Draft

Approved TLK TLK PFS Sheet # 1 of 1

Computer File Name: Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread H Work Dwgs 2865_03 S-H MP 241-244 Sheets.dwg

<u>__ 2962</u> _ 2960 **≶** 2958 [—] 2956 2954 EX. THALWEG 2952 (S-EF34b) (S-EF55) -ÈL.: 2953.0' EL.: 2952.5 0+30 0+40 0+50 $0+10 \quad 0+20$ 0+600+70DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT) S-EF55&EF34b BASELINE CROSS SECTION C 2964 H: 1"=10' 도 2960 V: 1"=5' ⊇ 2958 CROSS SECTION LEGEND 当 2956 EXISTING GRADE 2954 EX. THALWEG EX. THALWEG 2952 (S-EF55) (S-EF34b)EL.: 2952.1 EL.: 2951.6 0+10 0+20 0+30 0+40 0+50DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)

> NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

S-EF55&EF34b BASELINE CROSS SECTION A

EX. THALWEG

(S-EF34b) EL.: 2954.4'

DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)

S-EF55&EF34b BASELINE CROSS SECTION B

0 + 30