Baseline Assessment - Stream Attributes

Reach S-IJ2 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread H Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	N/A –No water present
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No water present
Wolman Pebble Count	N/A – Silt & clay only
RiverMorph Data Sheet	N/A – Silt & clay only
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW looking SW, JB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW looking NE, AW



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking NW, JB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking SE, JB



Photo Type: DS COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking W, JB

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		M	ountain V	alley Pipeline		COORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.092891	Lon.	-80.027593	WEATHER:	S	Sunny	DATE:	August 23	3, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage}				S-I	J2			MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./						Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	40	FORM (RESTORATION (Levels I-III)		OORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		0.23"	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existin	g Condition (Deb	bit)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Base	line (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pr Post Completion		/ears	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proj Post Completion (s	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projecte	d at Maturity (Cre	edit)
Stream Classification:	Interm	nittent		Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0		Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel S	lope	7.4		Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe			Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	оре	0	Percent Stream Channel Slo	оре	0
HGM Score (attach o	lata forms):			HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):			HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):	
		Average				Average				Average			Average			Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0.33 0.16	0.2		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	II	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0
Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	0.11 I Biological Indic	ators		Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Ind	icators		Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical ar	nd Biological Ind	licators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicate	ors	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and E	Biological Indicat	ors
	Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	lassifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	0		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20 0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20 0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	
Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	0		3. Pool Variability	0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	1		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20			4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	19		6. Channel Alteration	0-1			6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0		7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	18		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	12		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	
Total RBP Score	Marginal	71		Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0
Sub-Total		0.355		Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial Str	eams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Stre	eams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Str	eams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitted	nt and Perennial Strea	ams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Strear	ms)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	l)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity				WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	l)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity		
	0.00				0.00				0.00		Spoom conductivity			opsome conductivity		
100-199 - 85 points	0-90				0-90				0-90			0-90			0-90	
рН		43		pH		0		рН			рН			рН		
5050 45 14	0-80				5-90 0-1				5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1	
5.6-5.9 = 45 points		277		DO				DO			DO.			DO		
									T		50					
	10-30				10-30				10-30			10-30			10-30	
Sub-Total				Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Perennial S	Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitted	nt and Perennial S	Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perenn	ial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennial	l Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ttent and Perennial	Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
	0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and l	Jnit Score			PART II - Index and L	Jnit Score			PART II - Index and	I Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	Init Score		PART II - Index and Un	nit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.290	40	15.55		0	0	•		0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0

Ver. 10-20-17

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V_{CCANOPY} (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

Location: Franklin County **Sampling Date:** August 27, 2021

Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Select Stream Type on Data Form

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-IJ2

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary: Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.33
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.16
Habitat	0.11

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V _{CCANOPY}	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V _{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.00	0.10
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V _{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
V _{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	4.55	0.57
V _{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V _{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	68.18	1.00
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V _{DETRITUS}	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	15.83	0.19
V _{HERB}	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	100.00	1.00
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.34	0.36

			High-G		Headwat			•	a		
	Team:	AW, JB		i icia i	Juliu Ono	ot and o			M Northina:	37.092891	
Pro	oject Name:		alley Pipelir	ne					-	-80.027593	3
	Location:	Franklin Co	ounty					San	npling Date:	August 27,	2021
SA	AR Number:	S-IJ2	Reach	Length (ft):	22	Stream Ty	/pe: Ephe	emeral/Interm	ittent (circle	one)	▼
	Top Strata:	Sh	rub/Herb Str	rata	(determined	d from perce	ent calculate	d in V _{CCANOR}	_{9Y})		
Site	and Timing:	Project Site				▼	Before Proje	ct			▼
Sample	Variables										
1	V _{CCANOPY}	equidistant 20%, enter	points along at least one	the stream value betw	el by tree and . Measure of een 0 and 1	only if tree/s	apling cove	r is at least :		0,	Not Used, <20%
		cent cover r	neasuremer	nts at each p	oint below:						, l
	0										
2	V _{EMBED}	Average en	nbeddednes	s of the stre	am channe	l. Measure	at no fewer	than 30 roug	hly equidis	tant points	
	LINGLE	surface and to the follow of 1. If the	d area surro ving table. I bed is comp	unding the p f the bed is posed of bed	from the be particle that i an artificial s drock, use a	is covered b surface, or o rating score	y fine sedim composed of e of 5.	ent, and en f fine sedime	ter the rating ents, use a r	g according rating score	_
		Minshall 19	83)		obble and bo	ouider partic	cies (rescale	d from Platt	s, Meganan	i, and	Measure at least
		Rating	Rating Des	•	overed arm	rounded a:-	huried by f	o codiment	(or had-as-	1	30 points
		5 4			overed, surrice covered,					<i>'</i>)	
		3	26 to 50 pe	rcent of sur	face covered	d, surrounde	ed, or buried	by fine sed	iment		
		<u>2</u> 1			face covered covered, su					al ourfooo\	
	List the rati	ngs at each			covereu, su	mounded, o	i builed by i	ine seumei	it (Or artificia	ai suriace)	1
	1	1									
	1	1									
	1	1									
	1										
3	1	Median etre	am channe	Leubetrate r	particle size.	Measure	t no fewer t	aan 30 roug	hly equidiet	ant points	
	Enter partic	along the s	tream; use t ches to the i	he same po nearest 0.1	ints and par inch at each	ticles as use	ed in V _{EMBED}				0.08 in
ĺ		as 0.0 in, s	and or finer	particles as	0.08 in):						, l
	0.08	0.08									
	0.08	0.08									
	0.08										
	0.08										
4	V_{BERO}		e total perce	entage will b	annel bank. e calculated	I If both bar		led, total er			0 %
5	V _{LWD}	Number of stream read	down woody	y stems (at l e number fr	east 4 inche om the entir lated.	es in diamete e 50'-wide b	er and 36 in	ches in leng thin the cha	th) per 100	feet of	4.5
6	V_{TDBH}	inches (10	cm) in diam	eter. Enter	y if V _{CCANOP} , tree DBHs in ridual trees (_Y tree/saplin n inches.	g cover is a	t least 20%)		at least 4	Not Used
1		the stream	below: Left Side		1			Right Side			7
	0		Leit Side			0		ragni Side			
	J					3					
											l l
7	V _{SNAG}				nd 36" tall) ¡ per 100 fee			Enter numb	er of snags	on each	0.0
			Left Side:		0		Right Side:)		
8	V_{SSD}	tree cover i		nter numbei	oody stems of saplings ed.						68.2

9	V _{SRICH}	Group 1 in	er 100 feet a	nd the subin				ara			
			p 1 = 1.0	na the subin	IGCX WIII DC	calculated	TOTTI GICGC G		2 (-1.0)		
	Acer rubrui			Magnolia tri	ipetala		Ailanthus a			Lonicera jaj	ponica
	Acer sacch	arum		Nyssa sylva			Albizia julib	rissin		Lonicera ta	
=	Aesculus fl			Oxydendrum			Alliaria peti			Lotus cornie	
	Asimina tril			Prunus sero			•			Lythrum sa	
	Betula alleg			Quercus all			Alternanthe philoxeroide			Microstegium	
	_										
	Betula lenta			Quercus imbrinaria			Aster tatari			Paulownia 1	
	Carya alba			Quercus imbricaria Cerastium fontanum				Polygonum o			
	Carya glab		Ш	Quercus pri			Coronilla va			Pueraria m	
	Carya oval	is		Quercus rul	bra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa multif	lora
	Carya ovat	а		Quercus ve	lutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum ha	alepense
	Cornus flor	rida		Sassafras a	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena br	asiliensis
	Fagus gran	ndifolia		Tilia americ	ana		Ligustrum ob	tusifolium			
	Fraxinus ar	mericana		Tsuga cana	adensis		Ligustrum s	sinense			
7	Liriodendron	tulipifera	Ш	Ulmus ame	ricana						
	Magnolia a	cuminata									
			0	0 4							
		1	Species in	Group 1				1	Species in	Group 2	
				subplots (4 ed roughly e					zone within	25 feet fron	n each
	V _{DETRITUS}	Average pe	ercent cover	of leaves, st	ticks, or oth	er organic n	naterial. Wo	ody debris	<4" diamete	er and <36"	15.83 %
		long are inc		the percent	t cover of th	e detrital lay		•		,	13.03 /
		45		Side		40	Right	Side			
		15 10	20			10 20	20				
11	V_{HERB}		rcentage co	ver of herba	aceous vege		sure only if t	ree cover is	s <20%). D	o not	
1.1	include woody stems at least 4" dbh and 36" tall. Because there may be several layers of ground, cover							e several la	ayers of grou	und cover	100 %
11		each subpl	each subplot.								
. 1		each subpl		Side		Γ	Right	Side		1	
		each subpl		Side		100	Right	Side] '	
	Variable 1	100 100 2 within the	Left 100 e entire cate	Side chment of the		100		Side			0.34
ample		100 100 2 within the	Left 100 e entire cate Average of F	chment of the	for watersh	100		Side	Runoff	% in Catch-	0.34 Running
ample		100 100 2 within the	Left 100 e entire cate Average of F	chment of th	for watersh	100		Side	Runoff	% in Catch- ment	Runnin Percen
ample	V _{WLUSE}	100 100 2 within the	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F	chment of the	for watersh	100		Side			
ample	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate Average of F Land	chment of the	for watersh	100		Side	Score	ment	Runnin Percen (not >10
ample	VwLuse Forest and n Impervious a	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground glots, roofs, d	Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	for watersh	100		Side	Score 1 0	13 13	Running Percen (not >100 13
ample	Forest and n Impervious a	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover) Iriveways, etc)	for watersh	100		 	1 0 0.1	13 13 5	Runnin Percen (not >10) 13 26 31
ample	Forest and n Impervious a	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	for watersh	100		Side	Score 1 0	13 13	Runnin Percen (not >10 13
ample	Forest and n Impervious a	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover) Iriveways, etc)	for watersh	100		 	1 0 0.1	13 13 5	Runnin Percen (not >10) 13 26 31
ample	Forest and n Impervious a	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover) Iriveways, etc)	for watersh	100		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 0 0.1	13 13 5	Runnin Percen (not >10) 13 26 31
ample	Forest and n Impervious a	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover) Iriveways, etc)	for watersh	100		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 0 0.1	13 13 5	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31
ample	Forest and n Impervious a	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover) Iriveways, etc)	for watersh	100		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 0 0.1	13 13 5	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31
ample	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover) Iriveways, etc)	for watersh	100	100	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 0 0.1	13 13 5	Runnin Percen (not >10) 13 26 31
ample	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	chment of the control	e From Dro	ned:	100	**************************************	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3	ment 13 13 5 69	Runnin Percen (not >10) 13 26 31 100
ample 12	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space	100 100 2 within the Weighted A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	chment of the control	e From Dro	ned: p List)	No pleted using	*** *** *** *** *** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	Score	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover	Runnin Percen (not >10 13 26 31 100
12	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space	100 100 2 within the Weighted A mative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) S-IJ2 Value Not Used,	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land >75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	chment of the control	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands:	ned: p List)	No oleted using imagery an	es: I the 2019 d other su	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover ary datasets	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100
Value Voca	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space	100 100 2 within the Weighted A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d lots, parks, etc. VSI Not Used	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of tran	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover ary datasets	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100 Databas
Vacuus Venus	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space	100 100 2 within the Weighted A mative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 5-IJ2 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.10	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of tran	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100
Vacuus Venus	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space	100 100 2 within the Weighted A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d lots, parks, etc. VSI Not Used	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100
Vacuus Venus	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space	100 100 2 within the Weighted A mative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 5-IJ2 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.10	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100
Vac Ver Vst Vbe	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space Ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	100 100 2 within the Weighted A wative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land 75% ground g lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100 Databas
Vac. Val. Val. Val.	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	100 100 2 within the Weighted A mative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 4.5	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00 0.57	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100
Vat Vat Vate Vate Vate Vate Vate Vate Va	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	100 100 2 within the Weighted A mative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 1.0 0.08 in 0 %	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land 75% ground glots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Running Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100 Database .
Vac. Val. Val. Val.	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space Canopy MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD	100 100 2 within the Weighted A mative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 4.5	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00 0.57	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Running Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100 Database .
Value	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space Canopy MBED UBSTRATE ERO ND DBH	100 100 2 within the Weighted A wative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 4.5 Not Used	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc. Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00 0.57 Not Used	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100
Value	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space Ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO MD DBH NAG SD	100 100 2 within the Weighted A mative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 4.5 Not Used 0.0 68.2	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc. 100 VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00 0.57 Not Used 0.10 1.00	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100
Value Volument Value Volument Value	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	100 100 2 within the Weighted A mative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 4.5 Not Used 0.0 68.2 0.00	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00 0.57 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Runnin Percen (not >10 13 26 31 100 Databas
Value Volume Vol	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space Cariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO ND DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	100 100 2 within the Weighted A wative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 4.5 Not Used 0.0 68.2 0.00 15.8 %	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc. Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00 0.57 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00 0.19	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Runnin Percer (not >10 13 26 31 100 Databas
Value	Forest and n Impervious a Open space Open space Cariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO ND DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	100 100 2 within the Weighted A mative range (: areas (parking (pasture, law) (pasture, law) (pasture, law) 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 4.5 Not Used 0.0 68.2 0.00	Left 100 e entire cate verage of F Land lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc. ns, parks, etc. VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 1.00 0.57 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e From Dro <50% >75% er Analysis om Lands: d boundari	ned: p List) s was compat satellite es are bas	No letted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: If the 2019 d other st. Id delinea	Score 1 0 0.1 0.3 National L pplementated stream	ment 13 13 5 69 and Cover any datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 13 26 31 100 Databas

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-IJ2	LOCATION Franklin County				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent				
LAT <u>37.092891</u> LONG <u>-80.027593</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roand	ke			
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ				
INVESTIGATORS AW, JB					
FORM COMPLETED BY AW	DATE 8/23/21 TIME 2:04 PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment			

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now Past 24 hours Yes No Air Temperature 32 ° C Other Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	PROW DENSE HERBACEOUS VEH FROW DENSE HERBACEOUS VEH PROW DENSE RIPARIAN PRE- VECTETATION ASSOCIATION ACCESS VOICTIONS IN CONING
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Tidal Coldwater Warmwater Stream Origin Glacial Spring-fed Non-glacial montane Swamp and bog Other Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater Catchment Area Other

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Predom ✓ Fores — Field/ — Agric ✓ Resid	Pasture Industria	rcial	Local Watershed NPS ☑ No evidence ☐ Son ☐ Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosi ☑ None ☐ Moderate	ne potential sources
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	ΓΙΟΝ		e the dominant type and s S ant species present Rosam		minant species present Grasses He	rbaceous
INSTREA FEATURI	Estimated Reach Length Estimated Stream Width Sampling Reach Area Area in km² (m²x1000) Estimated Stream Depth Surface Velocity (at thalweg)				Canopy Cover Partly open □Part High Water Mark ○ Proportion of Reach Re Morphology Types Riffle ○ % Pool ○ % Channelized □Yes Dam Present □Yes	
LARGE W DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	0.25 m ² of LWDm	1 ² /km ² (LWD / 1	reach area)	
AQUATIC VEGETA		✓ Roote Floati	e the dominant type and demergent Reng Algae At the three types and species present Rengation of the reach with aquat	poted submerge tached Algae ns capensis, Leersia oryzo	nt Rooted floating	Free floating
WATER (QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	rature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty ttrument Used			Chemical Other Globs Flecks red)
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE Odors Norm Chen Othe Oils Ols OAbse			ical Anaerobic	Petroleum None	Lρoking at stones whic are the undersides blac	□Paper fiber □Sand Other
INC			COMPONENTS		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add	
(should add up to Substrate Diameter Type			% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock Boulder	0 > 256 mm (10") 0			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	10
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2	"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	0
Sand Silt	0.06-2mm (gritt 0.004-0.06 mm		0 90	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0
Clay	< 0.004 mm (sli	ck)	10			

Note: No flow

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-IJ2	LOCATION Franklin County				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent				
LAT <u>37.092891</u> LONG <u>-80.027593</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke				
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ				
INVESTIGATORS AW, JB					
FORM COMPLETED BY AW	DATE 8/23/21 TIME 2:04 PM AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment				

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE 1	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P_{ϵ}	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	score 1	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Notes: No flowing water within stream reach.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	score 19	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ding reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
amp	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing development.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE 9	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
to be	SCORE 9	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE 6	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE 6	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE 10	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE 10	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score _____ Notes: No flowing water within stream reach.

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-IJ2																	
STATION # RIVERMILE				STREAM C	STREAM CLASS Intermittent												
LAT 37.092891 LONG -80.027593					RIVER BAS	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke											
STORET#						AGENCY V	/ADEQ										
INVESTIGATORS AW, JB							LOT NUMBER										
FORM COMPLETED	D/11L					REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment						ent					
HABITAT TYPES		Cob	ble_	_	%	tage of each habitat Snags% phytes%	ΪŪν	eget	ated]	Banl		%	%				
SAMPLE	G	ear	used		D-fr	rame kick-net		□c	ther				_				
COLLECTION	н	OW V	voro	the	samr	oles collected?	wadin	σ	П	fron	ı ban	k 🔲 from box	at				
									_				ıı				
		Cob	ble			r of jabs/kicks taken Snags pphytes	$\square V$	eget		Bank		Sand)					
GENERAL	N	o f	ΟW	/in/	1 W.	ater within st	ream	ı re	ac	h							
COMMENTS	'	No flowing water within stream reach.															
QUALITATIVE I							ved, 1		Rare	. 2	= C	ommon. 3= Abun	dant	4:	=		
Indicate estimated Dominant Periphyton Filamentous Algae	l abı				0 = A 0 0	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4	rved, 1	Slii	nes croii			ommon, 3= Abun	0	1	2 2	3	4
Indicate estimated Dominant Periphyton	l abı				0 = A 0 0	Absent/Not Obser	rved, 1	Slin	nes croii			·	0	1	2 2	3	4 4 4
Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV. Indicate estimated	ATI(ONS	S Ol	F M	$0 \\ 0 \\ 0$ ACI $0 = 0$	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Observanisms), 3= Abun	rved, dant (3	Slin Ma Fis	nes croin 1 Rare	e (1-	tebr	ates rganisms), 2 = Co , 4 = Dominant (>	0 0 0 mmo 50 o	1 1 1 n (3	2 2 2 2	3 3	4 4
Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV Indicate estimated	ATIO O	ONS und	S Olanco	F M e:	0 0 0 0 ACI 0 = org:	Absent/Not Obser 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Obser anisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera	rved, dant (3	Slin Ma Fis 1 = >10	mes croin 1 Rare orga	e (1-	-3 or ms)	rganisms), 2 = Co , 4 = Dominant (>	0 0 0 0 mmo 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2-9 nisn	3 3 1s)	4 4
Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa	AATIO O O	ONS Ind	S Olanco	F M e: 3	0 0 0 0 ACI 0 = orgs	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Obseranisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera Zygoptera	rved, dant (2	Slin Ma Fiss 1 = >10	Rarcorga	anis	-3 or ms)	rganisms), 2 = Co , 4 = Dominant (> Chironomidae Ephemeroptera	0 0 0 0 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4
Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes	ATIO 0 0	ONS and	S Olanco	3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 ACI 0 = 0 0 q	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Obseranisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera Zygoptera Hemiptera	rved, dant (3	Slin Ma Fis 1 = ->10 1 1 1	Rarcorga	3 3 3	-3 on ms)	rganisms), 2 = Co, 4 = Dominant (> Chironomidae Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0 0 0 0 mmmo 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2 nism	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Indicate estimated Dominant Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria	AATIO 0 0 0 0	ONS ind	S Olanco	F M e: 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 4	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Obseranisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera Zygoptera Hemiptera Coleoptera	0 0 0 0	Slin Ma Fis 1 = >10	Rare orga	3 3 3 3	-3 on ms)	rganisms), 2 = Co , 4 = Dominant (> Chironomidae Ephemeroptera	0 0 0 0 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4
Indicate estimated Dominant Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea	0 0 0 0	DNS ind 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 ACI 0 = orgs	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Obseranisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera Zygoptera Hemiptera Coleoptera Lepidoptera	rved, dant (2)	Slin Ma Fis 1 = >10	Rarcorga 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	-3 or ms) 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), 2 = Co, 4 = Dominant (> Chironomidae Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0 0 0 0 mmmo 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2 nism	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta	0 0 0 0 0	ONS and 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 ACI 0 = org:	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Obseranisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera Zygoptera Hemiptera Coleoptera Lepidoptera Sialidae	0 0 0 0 0 0	Slin Ma Fis 1 = >10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Rarcoorga 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), 2 = Co, 4 = Dominant (> Chironomidae Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0 0 0 0 mmmo 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2 nism	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta Isopoda	0 0 0 0 0 0	DNS ind 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 ACI 0 = org3	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Obseranisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera Zygoptera Hemiptera Coleoptera Lepidoptera Sialidae Corydalidae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Slin Ma Fis 1 = >10	Rarcorga 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	-3 on 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), 2 = Co, 4 = Dominant (> Chironomidae Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0 0 0 0 mmmo 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2 nism	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta Isopoda Amphipoda	0 0 0 0 0 0	ONS and 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Observanisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera Zygoptera Hemiptera Coleoptera Lepidoptera Sialidae Corydalidae Tipulidae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Slin Ma Fis 1 = >10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	mes eroin 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	-3 orms) 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), 2 = Co, 4 = Dominant (> Chironomidae Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0 0 0 0 mmmo 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2 nism	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Indicate estimated Dominant Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta Isopoda Amphipoda Decapoda	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ONS and 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 ACI 0 = org3	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Obseranisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera Zygoptera Hemiptera Coleoptera Lepidoptera Sialidae Corydalidae Tipulidae Empididae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Slin Ma Fis 1 = >10	Rarcorga 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	-3 on 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), 2 = Co, 4 = Dominant (> Chironomidae Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0 0 0 0 mmmo 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2 nism	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Periphyton Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERV Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta Isopoda Amphipoda	0 0 0 0 0 0	ONS and 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 ACI 0 = org : 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 ROBENTHOS Absent/Not Observanisms), 3= Abun Anisoptera Zygoptera Hemiptera Coleoptera Lepidoptera Sialidae Corydalidae Tipulidae	rved, adant (2)	Slin Ma Fis. 1 = >10 1	mes eroin 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), 2 = Co, 4 = Dominant (> Chironomidae Ephemeroptera Trichoptera	0 0 0 0 mmmo 50 o	1 1 1 n (3 rga	2 2 2 2 2 nism	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4

Stream Assessment Form (Form 1) Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia For use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin **Impact Impact** Project # **Project Name (Applicant)** Locality HUC Date SAR# Length **Factor** Class Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R4 03010101 8/23/21 S-IJ2 40 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County Name(s) of Evaluator(s) Stream Name and Information SAR Length AW, JB Unnamed Tributary to North Fork Blackwater River Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Severe Slightly incised, few areas of active erosion or unprotected banks. Majority Often incised, but less than Severe or Poor. Banks more stable than Severe Overwidened/incised. Vertically laterally unstable. Likely to widen Very little incision or active erosion; 80 100% stable banks. Vegetative surfac Deeply incised (or excavated), vertical/lateral instability. Severe Channel protection or natural rock, prominent of banks are stable (60-80%). or Poor due to lower bank slopes urther. Majority of both banks are ne ncision, flow contained within the bank 80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of vertical. Erosion present on 60-80% of Streambed below average rooting depth Condition bankfull benches are present. Access prominent (60-80%) AND/OR both banks. Vegetative protection on banks. Vegetative protection present majority of banks vertical/undercut. to their original floodplain or fully eveloped wide bankfull benches. Mid-40-60% of banks. Streambanks may b vertical or undercut. AND/OR on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% of Vegetative protection present on less than 20% of banks, is not preventing Depositional features contribute to stability. The bankfull and low flow 40-60% Sediment may be temporary transient, contribute instability. Deposition that contribute to stability, the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in nature, and contributing to instability. erosion. Obvious bank sloughing resent. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100% AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater channel bars and transverse bars few. annels are well defined. Stream likel Transient sediment deposition covers less than 10% of bottom. has access to bankfull benches,or newly developed floodplains along portions of the reach. Transient liment covers 10-40% of the street may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. bottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 40% of the banks and stable sediment Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow. to stability. CI 3 2.4 2.40 1.6 1 **Scores** NOTES>> 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Marginal Low Marginal: High Poor: Lawn Non-maintained mowed, and High Suboptimal: Low Suboptimal High Marginal: lense herbaceou maintained areas I ow Poor Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh Non-maintained, egetation, ripariar nurseries; no-till Impervious lense herbaceou eas lacking shrul cropland; actively surfaces, mine 3 inches) present. 3 inches) present, vegetation with Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present and tree stratum grazed pasture spoil lands Riparian with 30% to 60% with 30% to 60% with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian ither a shrub layer hay production, enuded surfac parsely vegetate tree canopy cover tree canopy cover **Buffers** or a tree layer (dbh onds, open wate non-maintained row crops, active ind containing bot and a maintained area, recently areas. > 3 inches) If present, tree feed lots, trails, or herbaceous and shrub layers or a nderstory. Recer cutover (dense present, with <30% stratum (dbh >3 seeded and other comparable inches) present, with <30% tree tabilized, or othe tree canopy cover conditions. non-maintained vegetation). comparable understory anopy cover with condition. maintained understory High Low High Low High Low Scores 1.5 0.5 1.2 1.1 0.85 0.75 0.6 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below of % Riparian Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 30% 50% 20% 100% Right Bank 1.5 0.85 0.5 Score > CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 70% 10% 20% 100% Rt Bank CI > 0.98 CI Left Bank 1.5 0.85 0.5 Lt Bank CI > 0.85 0.91

complexes, stable features

3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/pool

		NOTES>>								
Instream	Optimal Suboptimal			Mar	ginal	Po	or			
Habitat/ Available Cover	Habitat elements are typically present in greater than 50% of the reach.	Stable habitat eler present in 30-50% o adequate for m popula	of the reach and are naintenance of	present in 10-30% of adequate for n	ments are typically of the reach and are naintenance of ations.	lacking or are u	s listed above are nstable. Habitat ally present in less of the reach.	Stream Gradient		CI
Scores	1.5	1.	1.2		.9	0	.5	High		0.50
	S	tream Ir	npact A	ssessn	nent Fo	rm Page	2	•		
Project #	Project Name (App	licant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor	
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline	Franklin	R4	03010101	8/23/21	S-IJ2	40	1		
	Valley Pipeline, L	LC)	County							
. CHANNEI	L ALTERATION: Stream crossin	•	te, gabions, or cor			nel, channelization		spoil piles, constricti	ons, livestock	
. CHANNE	L ALTERATION: Stream crossin	gs, riprap, concret	te, gabions, or cor	al Category	ightening of chann		, embankments, s	spoil piles, constriction	ons, livestock	
. CHANNEI		•	te, gabions, or cor	al Category	ightening of chann	nel, channelization	, embankments, s		ons, livestock	
CHANNEI Channel Alteration	L ALTERATION: Stream crossin Negligible	gs, riprap, concret Mir Less than 20% of the stream reach is	te, gabions, or cor	40 - 60% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If	erate 60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel	Sev Greater than 80% of	, embankments, s //ere of reach is disrupted nel alterations listed uitdelines AND/OR ored with gabion,		ons, livestock	CI
Channel	Negligible Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an	Mir Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter	Conditiona Conditiona 100r 20-40% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter	al Category Mod 40 - 60% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not	erate 60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channellized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not	Greater than 80% of by any of the chann in the parameter g 80% of banks sh riprap, or	, embankments, s //ere of reach is disrupted nel alterations listed uitdelines AND/OR ored with gabion,		ons, livestock	<u>CI</u> 1.50
Channel Alteration	Negligible Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	Mir Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	Conditiona nor 20-40% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	al Category Mod 40 - 60% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not recovered. 0.9	erate 60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not recovered.	Greater than 80% of by any of the chair in the parameter g 80% of banks sh riprap, or	, embankments, s //ere of reach is disrupted nel alterations listed uidelines AND/OR ored with gabion, roement.		ons, livestock	

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >>

CR = RCI X L_I X IF

INSERT PHOTOS:


(WSSI Photo Location L:\22000s\22800\22865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread H\Field Forms\S-IJ2\Photos\S-IJ2\2021-08-23_14-03-09_US View.jpg)



 $Reach \ S\text{-IJ2 looking downstream within ROW.} \ Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.$

DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER



LEGEND STUDY AREA (EASEMENT) EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG

— −1900 — EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR - - \cdot 1904 \cdot - EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR

SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON AUGUST 23, 2021.
- 2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE.
- 3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
- 4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
- 5. CROSS SECTION A GENERATED USING SURFACE (NOT SURVEYED). ALL OTHERS WERE GENERATED FROM SURVEY DATA.

No.	Date	Eng.	Revision

SEPT. 2021 Date:

CAD File No.

Checked




5 ^d

Drawing No

N VALLEY PIPELINE, ERGY DRIVE, 2ND FL ONSBURG, PA 15317

FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

S-IJ2 BASELINE CROSS-SECTION A UPSTREAM OF TIMBER MAT (PIPELINE)



CROSS SECTION

H: 1"=10'

V: 1"=5'

CROSS SECTION LEGEND

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT

— EXISTING GRADE

FACING DOWNSTREAM.



PHOTO TAKEN AUGUST 23, 2021 LOOKING

PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS



PHOTO TAKEN AUGUST 23, 2021 LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

S-IJ2 BASELINE THALWEG PROFILE

0+20

DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)

PROFILE
H: 1"=10'
V: 1"=5'

EXISTING TIMBER MAT

0+10

1436 1436

0+30 0+35

- 1432

PROFILE LEGEND EXISTING STREAM PROFILE INVERT ALONG THALWEG

L 1436 —

1430 —

CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-IJ2 CROSS SECTION A (DOWNSTREAM) **PRE-CROSSING ELEV EASTING** DIFF. 13469853.22 | 1923959.73 | 1439.11 1923953.93 | 1437.92 13469860.49 13469863.52 1923949.04 1437.09 TS-R | 13469882.36 | 1923936.50 | 1439.10

TYPICAL 5-POINT CROSS-SECTION (FACING DOWNSTREAM) TS: TOP OF SLOPE BS: BOTTOM OF SLOPE THW: THALWEG (INVERT)