Baseline Assessment - Stream Attributes

Revisit

*Additional information was collected on 2/9/2022.

Reach S-KL25 (Pipeline ROW)* Intermittent Spread H Roanoke County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	√*
SWVM Form	√*
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Headwater stream, <4% slope
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	√*
Water Quality Data	√*
RBP Habitat Form	√*
RBP Benthic Form	√*
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No assessable reach
Wolman Pebble Count	√*
RiverMorph Data Sheet	√*
USM Form (Virginia Only)	√*
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	N/A – Limited access (Security pending)

Stream S-KL25 (ROW)

Roanoke County



Photo Type: DS VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of LOC looking S, TC



Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of LOC looking S, TC

Stream S-KL25 (ROW)

Roanoke County



Photo Type: LB CL Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking W, TC



Photo Type: RB CL Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking E, TC

DEQ Permit #21-0416

Stream S-KL25 (ROW)

Roanoke County



Photo Type: DS COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of LOC looking S, TC

West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain	Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.160173	Lon.	-80.134173	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:	February	y 9, 2022
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size (acreage), t			S-KL25 (0.16 acre)		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS (watershed size (acreas				L	Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	82	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:	0.16"	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	Condition (Debi	it)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation F Post Completion	rojected at Fir on (Credit)	re Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (0	cted at Ten Years Credit)	Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ed at Maturity (Cr	redit)
Stream Classification:	Interm	ittent	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel Slo	ope	3	Percent Stream Channel Sic	pe		Percent Stream Channel	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel Slo	ope 0	Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0
HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach o	iata forms):		HGM Score (attac	n data forms	:	HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):	HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):	
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indica	Average 0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical an	Average 0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical	and Biologica	Average 0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Average 0 Biological Indicators	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indica	Average 0
	Pointa Scalo Rango	Site Score	·····,	Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale R	nge Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)	
USERA RABP (High Gradient Data Sheet) LEpfand Stocket Available Cover 2. Embeddedmiss 3. Veidodly Olgoth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition 5. Channel Flow Status 6. Channel Alexation 5. Channel Alexation 6. Saturated Alexation 7. Status 6. Channel Alexation 6. Bank Status 6. Bank Status 6. Weight Regime 7. Vegetable Protection (LB & RB) 10. Right Regime 20.6 'Chall CAE Conductivity <=98 - 90 points		10 10 10 11 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	USEPA RAP (Low Gradient Data Sheet) L Epfanal Sociatrativa Available Occer 2. Pool Substratus Characterization 3. Pool Variability 4. Sectiment Deposition 5. Channel Flow Status 6. Charanel Avariation 5. Charanel Avariation 5. Charanel Avariation 4. Sectiment Avariation 5. Charanel Avariation 5. Charanel Avariation 5. Status (LB & RB) 10. Repart Vegetative Zone Woth (LB & RB) 10. Repart Vegetative Zone Woth (LB & RB) 10. Repart Vegetative Zone Woth (LB & RB) 20.6 Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Apples to Intermittent WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity Sub-Total	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		USEPA KRP (High Gradient Data Sheed) L Epfanal Stortal-Valable Cover 2. Embeddedness 3. Vielody/Dept Regime 4. Sediment Deposition 5. Channel Flow Status 6. Channel Alexation 5. Channel Alexation 5. Channel Alexation 5. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 10. Regianal Vapelative Zone Wath (LB & RB) 10. Regianal Vapelative Zone Vapela			USEPA REP (High Cradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifurani Substrate/Available Cover 2. Ernbeidderhass 3. Velocity (Derh Regime 4. Sediment Deposition 6. Channel Alteration 1. Frequency of Refles (or bends) 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 10. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 10. Regarant Vegetative Core Work (LB & RB) 10. Regarant Vegetat		USEPA RBP (High Cradient Data Sheet) 1. Eptitumal Substrate(Available Cover 2. Enbeddedness 3. Velocity (Dept Regime 4. Sestimant Deposition 5. Channel Alteration 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 8. Bank Stabilly (LB & RB) 9. Vegatiant Vegetality Cover Wath (LB & RB) 10. Total Code RBP Socie Sub-Total DC DC		0 0 0 reams)
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial S	Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Per	ennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennia	al Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) 0 Sub-Total	0-100 0-1	0	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) Sub-Total	0-100 0-1 O		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) Sub-Total	0-100	L1 0	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) Sub-Total	0-100 0-1 0	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) Sub-Total	0-100 0-1	0
PART II - Index and U	nit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index ar	d Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score	PART II - Index and I	Jnit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Fe	et Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.725	82	59.45	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-KL25		LOCATION Roanoke Co	LOCATION Roanoke County						
STATION # 12838+07 R	VERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent							
LAT 37.160173 LC	ONG -80.134799	RIVER BASIN Upper Ro	anoke						
STORET #		AGENCY VADEQ							
INVESTIGATORS KB AB	3								
FORM COMPLETED BY	KB	DATE 2/9/22 TIME 10:25 AM	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment						
WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now	Past 24 hours	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?						
	│ rain (│ showers % │ %c	a (heavy rain) (steady rain) s (intermittent) cloud cover ear/sunny	Air Temperature <u>-6.7</u> ⁰ C Other						
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the sit	te and indicate the areas sam	pled (or attach a photograph)						
STDFAM	Forested	Row Bank	Field/dense Herbecaus Garage Auburg side						
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Stream Origin Glacial Non-glacial montance Swamp and bog	Spring-fed	Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater Catchment Area ^{0.16} km ²						

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Predominant Surrounding Landuse Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural Other Residential Industrial Indicate the dominant type and record the domin Trees Indicate the dominant species present	Local Watershed NPS Pollution Image: Providence image: Poly of the sources Image: Poly of the sources Local Watershed Erosion Image: Poly of the sources Image: Poly of the
INSTREAM FEATURES	Estimated Reach Length 10.4 m Estimated Stream Width 0.5 m Sampling Reach Area 5.2 m² Area in km² (m²x1000) km² Estimated Stream Depth 0.06 m Surface Velocity (at thalweg) m/sec	Canopy Cover □Partly shaded □Shaded I Partly open □Partly shaded □Shaded High Water Mark 0.15 m Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle % Pool % Channelized Yes Dam Present Yes
LARGE WOODY DEBRIS	LWDm ² Density of LWDm ² /km ² (LWD/ read	ch area)
AQUATIC VEGETATION	Indicate the dominant type and record the domin Rooted emergent Floating Algae Dominant species present Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation 10	nant species present ☐Rooted floating ☐Free floating _%
WATER QUALITY	Temperature 3.6 0 C Specific Conductance 64.3 uS/cm Dissolved Oxygen 0.05 mg/L pH 725 Turbidity WQ Instrument Used	Water Odors Petroleum Chemical Pishy Other Water Surface Oils Globs Slick Sheen Other Turbidity (if not measured) Clear Slightly turbid Opaque Stained
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE	Odors Sewage Petroleum Chemical Anaerobic None Other Oils Profuse	Deposits □Sludge □Sawdust □Paper fiber □Sand □Relict shells □Other □ Lpoking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color? □ Yes ☑ No

INC	ORGANIC SUBSTRATE (should add up to		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)						
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic % Compositio Sampling Ar					
Bedrock			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant	15				
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			materials (CPOM)	15				
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	10	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic					
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	20		(FPOM)					
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	60	Marl	grey, shell fragments					
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	10							
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	10							

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-KL25	LOCATION Roanoke County				
STATION #_12838+07RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent				
LAT <u>37.160173</u> LONG <u>-80.134799</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke				
STORET #	AGENCY VADEQ				
INVESTIGATORS KB AB					
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE 2/9/22 TIME 10:25 AM AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment				

	Habitat		Condition	Category				
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.			
	_{score} 10	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.			
ted ii	_{score} 10	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).			
ıram	_{score} 10	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
P	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.			
	_{score} 11	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.			
	_{score} 15	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			

Notes: High flow conditions due to recent rainfall/snow melt

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.				
	_{SCORE} 20	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.				
amp	_{SCORE} 10	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing deventment. SCORE 5	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.				
be e	SCORE 5	Left Bank109Right Bank109	8 7 6 8 7 6	5 4 3 5 4 3	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Parameters t	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.				
	SCORE 5	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.				
	SCORE 2	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	SCORE 2	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				

Total Score 110

Notes: High flow conditions due to recent rainfall/snow melt

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-K	L25	LOCATION Roanoke County	/						
STATION # 12838+07	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent	STREAM CLASS Intermittent						
LAT 37.160173	LONG80.134799	RIVER BASIN Upper Roano	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke						
STORET #		AGENCY VADEQ							
INVESTIGATORS K	B AB		LOT NUMBER						
FORM COMPLETED	BY KB	DATE 2/9/22 TIME 10:25 AM	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment						
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand% Submerged Macrophytes% Other ()%								
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame		rom bank 🗍 from boat						
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. CobbleSnags Vegetated BanksSand Submerged Macrophytes Other ()								
GENERAL COMMENTS	Benthics not colle habitat	ected due to short re	each and not enough kick						

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

Basin:

County:Roanoke CountyStream Name:UNT to Mill CreekHUC Code:03010101Survey Date:2/9/2022Surveyors:KB, ABType:Representative Bankfull

Stream ID: S-KL25

Upper Roanoke


PEBBLE COUNT Inches PARTICLE Millimeters Particle Total # Item % % Cum Count Silt/Clay < .062 S/C ۸ 0 0.00 0.00 -Very Fine .062-.125 ٠ 0 0.00 0.00 • Fine .125-.25 ٠ 13.00 13.00 13 • Medium .25-.5 ٠ SAND 13 13.00 26.00 • Coarse .50-1.0 ۸ 14 14.00 40.00 -.04-.08 Very Coarse 1.0-2 ۸ 17 17.00 57.00 • .08 -.16 Very Fine 2 - 4 ٠ 6.00 63.00 6 • .16 - .22 Fine 4 - 5.7 ٠ 8 8.00 71.00 • .22 - .31 5.7 - 8 Fine ۲ 1 1.00 72.00 -.31 - .44 8 -11.3 Medium ۸ 10 10.00 82.00 • .44 - .63 Medium 11.3 - 16 ٠ GRAVEL 0 0.00 82.00 • .63 - .89 Coarse 16 - 22.6 ٠ 4 4.00 86.00 • .89 - 1.26 Coarse 22.6 - 32 ۸ 92.00 6 6.00 -1.26 - 1.77 Vry Coarse 32 - 45 ۸ 4 4.00 96.00 1.77 -2.5 Vry Coarse 45 - 64 0 0.00 96.00 • 2.5 - 3.5 Small 64 - 90 ۸ 3 3.00 99.00 • 3.5 - 5.0 90 - 128 Small ۲ 1.00 100.00 1 -COBBLE 5.0 - 7.1 128 - 180 Large 0 0.00 100.00 • 7.1 - 10.1 Large 180 - 256 0 0.00100.00 • 10.1 - 14.3 Small 256 - 362 0 0.00 100.00 • 14.3 - 20 Small 362 - 512 0 0.00 100.00 -20 - 40 Medium 512 - 1024 BOULDER 0 0.00 100.00 -40 - 80 Large 1024 - 2048 ٠ 0 0.00 100.00 • 80 - 160 2048 - 4096 Vry Large ٠ 0 0.00 100.00 • **BDRK** Bedrock ۸ 0 0.00 100.00 -Totals 100 Total Tally:

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: Survey Date:	UNT to Mill Cr S-KL25 Representative 02/09/2022	eek Bankfull	
Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062		$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 00\\ 0. \ 00\\ 13. \ 00\\ 13. \ 00\\ 13. \ 00\\ 14. \ 00\\ 17. \ 00\\ 6. \ 00\\ 8. \ 00\\ 1. \ 00\\ 10. \ 00\\ 0. \ 00\\ 4. \ 00\\ 6. \ 00\\ 4. \ 00\\ 0. \ 00\\ 3. \ 00\\ 1. \ 00\\ 0. \ 0. \$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 13.00\\ 26.00\\ 40.00\\ 57.00\\ 63.00\\ 71.00\\ 72.00\\ 82.00\\ 82.00\\ 82.00\\ 86.00\\ 92.00\\ 96.00\\ 96.00\\ 96.00\\ 96.00\\ 99.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00\\ 100.00 \end{array} $
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Boulder (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.31 0.82 1.59 19.3 41.75 128 0 57 39 4 0 0		

Total Particles = 100.

				tream Method able channels cla	ssified as interm		al			
Project #	Project Name (Ap	plicant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR #	Impact/SAR Length	Impact Factor	
22865.07	Mountain Valley Pipel Valley Pipeline	•	Roanoke County	R4	03010101	2/9/2022	S-KL25	82	1	
Nam	e(s) of Evaluator(s)	Stream Name	e and Informa	tion				SAR Length		
	KB, TC, NF	UNT to Mill C	Creek					82		
Channel C	Condition: Assess the cross-se	ction of the stream a		dition (erosion, agg Conditional Catego						
	Optimal	Suboptimal		Marginal		Poor		Severe		
Channel Condition	Very little incision or active erosion; 100% stable banks. Vegetative surfi protection or natural rock, promine (80-100%). AND/OR Stable point ba bankfull benches are present. Acco to their original floodplain or fully developed wide bankfull benches. M channel bars and transverse bars fe Transient sediment deposition cover less than 10% of bottom.	ce erosion or unprotected banks. Majority of banks are stable (60-80%). Vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to stability. The bankfull and low flow channels are well defined. Stream likely		 transient, contribute instability. Deposition that contribute to stability, may be forming/present. AND/OR V- 		further. Majority of both banks are near vertical. Erosion present on 60-80% of banks. Vegetative protection present on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% of the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in nature, and contributing to instability. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > 40% of the banks and stable sediment		Streambed below average rooting depth, majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less than 20% of banks, is not preventing erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Forsion/raw banks on 80-100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability.		CI
Scores	3	-	4		-					C
		2	.4		2	1	.6	1		2.40
	N BUFFERS: Assess both bar	k's 100 foot riparian	areas along the er	ntire SAR. (rough						2.4
		≺'s 100 foot riparian Cor	areas along the er aditional Cate	ntire SAR. (rough	measurements of	l length & width ma	y be acceptable)	NOTES>>		2.4
NOTES>> RIPARIAN Riparian Buffers	N BUFFERS: Assess both bar Optimal Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) prese with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparia areas.	Ks 100 foot riparian Cor Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60%	areas along the er nditional Cate ptimal Low Suboptimal:	tire SAR. (rough gory High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh s. 2 incheo)	measurements of ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production,	l length & width ma				2.4
RIPARIAN	Optimal Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) prese with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparia	K's 100 foot riparian Cor Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh ≫ tree stratum (dbh ≫ tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent	tire SAR. (rough gory High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrwbl layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30%	measurements of ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained	length & width ma PC High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable	y be acceptable) Dor Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable			2.4
RIPARIAN	Optimal Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) prese with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparia	k's 100 foot riparian Cor Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > it, sinches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.	areas along the er aditional Cate ptimal Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation).	htire SAR. (rough gory High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover.	measurements of ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, pords, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory.	length & width ma Provide the second	y be acceptable) Dor Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, denuded surfaces, conditions.			2.4
RIPARIAN Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Delermine sq	Optimal Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) prese with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparia areas. 1.5 arian areas along each stream bar uare footage for each by measuri	k's 100 foot riparian Cor Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaccous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 k into Condition Cat g or estimating long	Areas along the er inditional Cate ptimal Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Conditional th and width. Cale	tire SAR. (rough gory High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 tion Scores using	measurements of ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense hetbaccous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, treesent, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors.	length & width ma Provide the second	y be acceptable) por Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 the sums Riparian			2.4
RIPARIAN Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine sq	Optimal Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) prese with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparia areas. 1.5 1.5 arian areas along each stream bar uare footage for each by measuri Riparian Area and Score for each	 k's 100 foot riparian Cor Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 k into Condition Cat g or estimating leng parian category in th 	Areas along the er inditional Cate ptimal Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Conditional th and width. Cale	tire SAR. (rough gory High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 tion Scores using	measurements of ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense hetbaccous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, treesent, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors.	length & width ma Provide the second	y be acceptable)			2.4
RIPARIAN Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine sq Enter the % R	Optimal Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) prese with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparia areas. 1.5 arian areas along each stream bar uare footage for each by measuri Sparian Area 20%	 k's 100 foot riparian Cor Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaccous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 k into Condition Cat g or estimating leng parian category in th 80% 	Areas along the er inditional Cate ptimal Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Conditional th and width. Cale	tire SAR. (rough gory High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 tion Scores using	measurements of ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense hetbaccous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, treesent, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors.	length & width ma Provide the second	y be acceptable) por Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. Low 0.5 the sums Riparian			2.4
RIPARIAN Riparian Buffers Scores Delineate ripa Determine sq	Optimal Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) prese with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparia areas. 1.5 arian areas along each stream bar uare footage for each by measuri Riparian Area and Score for each % Riparian Area>	 k's 100 foot riparian Cor Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. High 1.2 k into Condition Cat g or estimating leng parian category in th 	Areas along the er inditional Cate ptimal Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). Low 1.1 egories and Conditional th and width. Cale	tire SAR. (rough gory High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. High 0.85 tion Scores using	measurements of ginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense hetbaccous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, hay production, treesent, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. Low 0.75 the descriptors.	length & width ma Provide the second	y be acceptable)			2.4



Reach R3-R4 File: L:\22000s\22800\\2865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread H\Field Forms\S-KL25\1_QAQC\HGM_HG_R4R6_USM_Wolman_KL25.xlsx