### **Baseline Assessment - Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-NN19 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread H Montgomery County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	N/A – No flow
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Photo Type: DS VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW looking W, JB



Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW looking NE, JB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking NW, JB




Photo Type: RB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking SE, JB

# **Spread H**

# Stream S-NN19 (ROW) Montgomery County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking W, JB

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		M	ountain V	alley Pipeline		cimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.244319	Lon.	-80.206995	WEATHER:	Clo	oud cover 25%	DATE:	August	it 25, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE (watershed size {acreag				S-l	NN19			MITIGATION STREAM CLAS (watershed size {acre	SS./SITE ID AND eage}, unaltered or im					Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	76	FORM (		RESTORATION (Levels I-III)		OORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		None	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Exist	ing Condition (Del	oit)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	ondition - Base	eline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Post Comple	Projected at Fivition (Credit)	re Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Pro Post Completion	jected at Ten Ye (Credit)	ears	Column No. 5- Mitigation Proje	ted at Maturity (	(Credit)
Stream Classification:	Intern	nittent		Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:		0
Percent Stream Channel	Slope	22.8		Percent Stream Channel SI	оре			Percent Stream Channe	I Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0	Percent Stream Channel	Slope	0
HGM Score (attach	data forms):			HGM Score (attach	data forms):			HGM Score (atta	ch data forms)	:	HGM Score (attach o	data forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):	
		Average				Average				Average			Average			Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0.65 0.4 0.25	0.43333333		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical a		cators		PART I - Physical, Chemical ar	nd Biological Inc	dicators		PART I - Physical, Chemica	I and Biological	Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological Indi	licators	PART I - Physical, Chemical ar	d Biological Indi	icators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Ran	ange Site Score		Points Scale Range	e Site Score		Points Scale Range	ge Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stres	ams classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stre	ams classifications)	)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet				USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Shee			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20	13		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20	
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	0		3. Pool Variability	0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
Sediment Deposition	0-20	14		Sediment Deposition	0-20			Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	19		6. Channel Alteration	0-20			6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0		7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	12		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	10		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> </ol>		17		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	_		<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RE</li> </ol>			<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> </ol>		_	<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> </ol>		
Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Marginal	85 0.425		Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor	0		Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor	0	Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor	0	Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	Itlent and Perennial SI			SUD-10tal  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial St	-		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	nittent and Perennia		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial (		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	lent and Perennial S	
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gene	eral)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gen	eral)	-	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General		
Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		
100-199 - 85 points	0-90				0-90				0-90			0-90			0-90	
nH	_			nH	_			nH			nH			nH		
	0-80				5-90 0-1				5-90	М		5-90 0-1			5-90	1
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	0-00				5-30				5-50			5-50			5-50	
DO				DO				DO			DO	_		DO	_	
	10-30				10-30				10-30			10-30			10-30	
Sub-Total  BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittant and Darannial	Strooms)		Sub-Total  BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit)	tent and Berennial	O Strooms)		Sub-Total  BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Int	termittent and Ber	0	Sub-Total  BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittant and Baron	O anial Streams)	Sub-Total  BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inte	mittent and Baren	0
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	macin and referring	Ou cums)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	cit uid i ciciiiui	ou cums)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	armittent and rere	innui Gutuma)	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	Intern and refer	ina orcans,	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	mittent und r erem	mai ou cams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1			WV Stream Condition index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0,100 0.1		WV Stream Condition index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1	
0	0-100 0-1	_		0.1.7.1	0-100 0-1			0.1.7.1.1	0-100 0-	-1	0.1.7.1.1	0-100 0-1		0.1.7.1.	0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total		U	ı	Sub-Total		U		Sub-Total		U	Sub-Total		U	Sub-Total		
PART II - Index and	d Unit Score		1	PART II - Index and	Unit Score		Ì	PART II - Index	and Unit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Fee	et Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	t Unit Score
0.523	76	39.7416667		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Ver. 10-20-17

#### FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V<sub>CCANOPY</sub> (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

**Project Name:** Mountain Valley Pipeline **Location:** Montgomery County

Sampling Date: 8/24/21 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Intermittent Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-NN19

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

**Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator** 

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.65
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.40
Habitat	0.25

#### Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
$V_{EMBED}$	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.87	0.41
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.30	0.15
$V_{BERO}$	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	60.98	0.75
$V_{LWD}$	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	3.66	0.46
$V_{TDBH}$	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	24.39	0.38
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	48.33	0.59
$V_{HERB}$	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	50.00	0.67
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	1.00	1.00

			High-G		Headwa				a		
	Team:	AW JB						Latitude/UT	M Northing:	37.244319	
Pro	oject Name:	Mountain V	alley Pipelir	ne			L	.ongitude/U	ΓM Easting:	-80.206995	i
	Location:	Montgomer	y County					San	npling Date:	8/24/21	
SA	AR Number:	S-NN19	Reach	Length (ft):	82	Stream Ty	/pe: Inter	mittent Strea	m		_
	Top Strata:	Sh	rub/Herb Str	ata	(determine	d from perce	ent calculate	d in V <sub>CCANO</sub>	<sub>&gt;Y</sub> )		
Site	and Timing:	Project Site	1			•	Before Proje	ct			•
Sample	Variables									<u> </u>	
1	V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>		points along at least one	the stream value betw	. Measure een 0 and 1	only if tree/s	sapling cove	r is at least :			Not Used, <20%
	0	CCITE COVELLE	icasarcinci	no at caon p	DOINT DCIOW.						Ì
	0										
2	V <sub>EMBED</sub>	along the si surface and to the follow of 1. If the	tream. Sele I area surro ving table. I bed is comp	ect a particle unding the p f the bed is posed of bed	eam channe from the be particle that in an artificial in drock, use a pubble and be	ed. Before nois covered be surface, or contact rating score	noving it, de by fine sedim composed of e of 5.	termine the nent, and en f fine sedime	percentage ter the rating ents, use a r	of the g according rating score	1.9
		Minshall 19	83)		obble and b	ouider partic	Sies (Tescale	u IIOIII FIAIL	s, iviegariari	, and	Measure at least
		Rating 5	Rating Des <5 percent		overed, sur	rounded. or	buried by fir	ne sediment	(or bedrock	:)	30 points
		4			ce covered,					,	
		3 2	_		face covered face covered						
					covered, su					al surface)	
	List the rati	ngs at each	point below	:			Ť		,	,	•
	1	2	5	1	1						
	5	2	1	1	1						
	1	2	4	1	1						
	1	1	4	4							
3	Enter partic	Median stream along the size in include as 0.0 in, size	tream; use t ches to the i	he same po nearest 0.1	ints and par inch at each	ticles as use	ed in V <sub>EMBED</sub>				0.30 in
	1.00	1.30	99.00	0.20	6.10						1
	99.00	2.10	0.08	0.30	0.08						
	0.08	4.40	3.10	0.08	0.08						
	0.08	0.08	0.30	0.30							
	0.08	0.08	10.00	0.08		F 1 11 1					
4	V <sub>BERO</sub>	side and the may be up	e total perce to 200%. Left Bank:	entage will b	e calculated	I If both bar	nks are eroo	ded, total ero	osion for the	stream	61 %
5	V <sub>LWD</sub>	Number of stream read	down woody	y stems (at l e number fr	east 4 inche	es in diamete	er and 36 in	ches in leng	th) per 100	feet of	3.7
6	$V_{TDBH}$	inches (10	cm) in diam	eter. Enter	Number of y if V <sub>CCANOP</sub> tree DBHs in ridual trees (	<sub>y</sub> tree/saplin n inches.		t least 20%)		at least 4	Not Used
		the stream			- '			Right Side			1
			20.1 0140					. ag. a cide			
7	V <sub>SNAG</sub>		stream, and	the amount	nd 36" tall)   per 100 fee		culated.			on each	0.0
n	W	Number	Left Side:		0	up to 4 :'	Right Side:		otroom (mor	noure activit	
8	$V_{SSD}$	tree cover i		nter numbei	oody stems of saplings ed.			le of the stre			24.4

9		Group 1 in	er 100 feet a	nd the subing	dex will be	calculated fi	rom these da	ata				
			p 1 = 1.0						oup 2	2 (-1.0)		
T	Acer rubrui			Magnolia trip	petala		Ailanthus a		<u> </u>		Lonicera ja	oonica
_	Acer sacch	arum		Nyssa sylva			Albizia julib	rissin			Lonicera ta	
_	Aesculus fl			Oxydendrum			Alliaria peti				Lotus cornic	
_				Prunus sero			•				Lythrum sai	
_						Alternanthe philoxeroide				•		
_	Betula alleg			Quercus alb							Microstegium	
	Betula lenta	9		Quercus cod	ccinea		Aster tatari			4	Paulownia t	tomentos
	Carya alba			Quercus imb	oricaria		Cerastium	fontanun	n		Polygonum c	uspidatur
Carya glabra Quercus prinus			nus		Coronilla va	aria			Pueraria mo	ontana		
Carya ovalis Quercus rubra			ra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata			Rosa multif	lora		
	Carya ovat	а		Quercus vel	utina		Lespedeza	bicolor			Sorghum ha	alepense
	Cornus flor	ida		Sassafras a	lbidum		Lespedeza	cuneata	1		Verbena bra	asiliensi
	Fagus gran	difolia		Tilia america	ana		Ligustrum ob	tusifoliun	n			
_	Fraxinus ar	mericana		Tsuga canad	densis		Ligustrum s	sinense				
_	Liriodendron			Ulmus amer								
_	Magnolia a			Olinas amer	icana							
	Magriolia a	cummata										
		0	Species in	Group 1				1	;	Species in	Group 2	
mple	e Variables	10-11 withi	n at least 8	subplots (40	0" x 40", o	r 1m x 1m)	in the ripar	ian/buff	er zo	ne within	25 feet fron	n each
nk. T		oplots shou	ıld be place	of leaves, sti	quidistant	ly along ea	ch side of t	he strea	ım.			
	* DETRITUS			the percent								48.33
			Left	Side			Right	Side			]	
		60	50	100		25	45	10				
11	V <sub>HERB</sub>	include woo	ody stems a percentages ot.	over of herbac t least 4" dbh s up through	and 36" ta	II. Because	there may be inter the per	e severa cent cov	al lay	ers of grou	and cover	50 %
		90		Side				Side				
		90	55	30				EΟ				
				chment of th		40 ed:	35	50				
	Variable 1			chment of th			35	50		Dunoff	IV in Cotab	1.00 Runnir
			verage of R		for watersh	ed:	35	50		Runoff Score	% in Catch- ment	
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>		Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35	50	<b>▼</b>			Runnir
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35	50	<b>▼</b>	Score	ment	Runnir Perce (not >10
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35	50	<b>*</b>	Score	ment	Runnir Perce (not >10
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35	50	<b>*</b>	Score	ment	Runnii Perce (not >10
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35	50	*   *   *   *   *	Score	ment	Runnii Perce (not >10
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score	ment	Runnii Perce (not >10
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35		~ ~	Score	ment	Runni Perce (not >1
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score	ment	Runni Perce (not >1
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Score	ment	Runni Perce (not >1
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:	35		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score	ment	Runni Perce (not >1
	Forest and n	Weighted A	Average of R Land	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed:			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score	ment	Runni Perce (not >1
112	Forest and n	Weighted A	Land	Use (Choose cover)	From Dro	ed: p List)	No	tes:	* * *	Score 1	ment 100	Runnin Perce (not >11
Ve	Forest and n	Weighted Anative range (2	Land >75% ground	Use (Choose Cover)	for watersh	ed: p List)	No pleted using	tes:	▼ ▼ ■	Score 1	ment	Runni Perce (not >1 100
Ve	Forest and n	Weighted A	Land	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100 Databa
Vav.	Forest and n	Weighted A sative range (: -NN19 Value Not Used,	Land >75% ground	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	ment  100  and Cover ry datasets	Runnin Perce (not >11 100 Databa
Vacuut Venture	Forest and n	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9	VSI Not Used 0.41	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100 Databa
Vacuut Venture	Forest and n	NN19 Value Not Used, <20%	Land >75% ground  VSI  Not Used	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100 Databa
Vacuut Venture	Forest and n	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9	VSI Not Used 0.41	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100
Va Vcc Ven Vsu	Forest and n  Sariable  CANOPY  MBED  UBSTRATE  ERO	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9 0.30 in	VSI Not Used 0.41 0.15	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >10 100
Val Voca Ven Volu	Forest and n  Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9 0.30 in 61 % 3.7	VSI Not Used 0.41 0.15 0.75	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100
Value Volume Value	Forest and n  Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9 0.30 in 61 %	VSI Not Used 0.41 0.15 0.75	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100
Val Vol Vel Vel Vel Vel Vel Vel Vel Vel Vel Ve	Forest and n  Sariable  CANOPY  MBED  UBSTRATE  ERO  WD	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9 0.30 in 61 % 3.7	VSI Not Used 0.41 0.15 0.75	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100
Van Voor Ven Voor Voor Voor Voor Voor Voor Voor Voo	Forest and n  Forest and n  Sariable  CANOPY  MBED  UBSTRATE  ERO  WD  DBH  NAG	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9 0.30 in 61 % 3.7 Not Used 0.0	VSI Not Used 0.41 0.15 0.75 0.46 Not Used 0.10	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100
Val V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>EM</sub> V <sub>St</sub> V <sub>TC</sub> V <sub>SN</sub> V <sub>SN</sub>	Forest and n  Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9 0.30 in 61 % 3.7 Not Used 0.0 24.4	VSI Not Used 0.41 0.15 0.75 0.46 Not Used 0.10 0.38	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100
Val VCC VEN VSU VLV VTC VSN VSS VSS	Forest and n  Forest and n  Seriable  CANOPY  MBED  UBSTRATE  ERO  WD  DBH  NAG  SD  RICH	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9 0.30 in 61 % 3.7 Not Used 0.0	VSI Not Used 0.41 0.15 0.75 0.46 Not Used 0.10	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >10 100
Val VCC VEN VSU VLV VTC VSN VSS VSS	Forest and n  Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9 0.30 in 61 % 3.7 Not Used 0.0 24.4	VSI Not Used 0.41 0.15 0.75 0.46 Not Used 0.10 0.38	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >11 100
Valver Vst. Vst. Vst. Vst. Vst. Vst. Vst. Vst.	Forest and n  Forest and n  Seriable  CANOPY  MBED  UBSTRATE  ERO  WD  DBH  NAG  SD  RICH  ETRITUS	NN19 Value Not Used, <20% 1.9 0.30 in 61 % 3.7 Not Used 0.0 24.4 0.00	VSI Not Used 0.41 0.15 0.75 0.46 Not Used 0.10 0.38 0.00	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cove (NLCD), frow Watershed	r Analysis boundari	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 20 d other	119 No suppeate	Score  1  National L plementa	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runnin Perce (not >10 100

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-NN19	LOCATION Montgomery County			
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent			
LAT <u>37.244319</u> LONG <u>-80.206995</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roand	oke		
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ			
INVESTIGATORS AW, JB				
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE 8/25/21 TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment		

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now    Past 24   Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?   Ves No     Storm (heavy rain)   rain (steady rain)   showers (intermittent)   %cloud cover   clear/sunny   25 %   Other     Other   Characteristics   Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Packic AWA  PRIVATE TRIVEWAY Fonce  Silf socks  Silf force silf sock
	1—COMING IN
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem  □ Perennial □ Intermittent □ Tidal □ Coldwater □ Warmwater  Stream Origin □ Spring-fed □ Mixture of origins □ Swamp and bog □ Other

Notes: No flow

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		✓ Fores	Pasture Industrultural Other	ercial ial	Local Watershed NPS  ☑ No evidence ☐ Son ☐ Obvious sources  Local Watershed Erosi ☑ None ☐ Moderate	ne potential sources	
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION		e the dominant type and s		minant species present ☐ Grasses ☐ He	rbaceous	
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	km² (m²x1000)  red Stream Depth  Velocity  output  property  velocity	m m² km²	_	Run%	
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	of LWDr	m²/km² (LWD/	reach area)		
AQUATIC VEGETA		Roote Floati	e the dominant type and demergent RA Algae RA Ant species present NA of the reach with aqua	ooted submerge ttached Algae		□Free floating	
WATER ((DS, US)	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH N/A Turbidi	ed Oxygen NA	-		Chemical  Other_NA    Globs Flecks    ured	
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Absen		Petroleum None	— Lρoking at stones whic are the undersides blace	□Paper fiber □Sand Other h are not deeply embedded, k in color?	
INC		STRATE dd up to 1	COMPONENTS	ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)			
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area	
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	)	25	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	25	
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2		20 20	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	0	
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)		Marl	grey, shell fragments	0	
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm		20	1		0	
Clay	< 0.004 mm (sli						

Notes: No flow, no water quality data collected

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-NN19	LOCATION Montgomery County			
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent			
LAT <u>37.244319</u> LONG <u>-80.206995</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke			
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ			
INVESTIGATORS AW, JB				
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE MINISTRUCTION AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY TIME MINISTRUCTION AM PM Baseline Assessment			

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE 13	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P <sub>2</sub>	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE 14	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Notes: No flow

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE 19	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
amp	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e ev	SCORE 7	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to k	SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE 5	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE 7	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
1	SCORE 10	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Notes: No flow

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-I	NN19						LOC	ATIO	N M	lonto	gom	ery C	Coun	nty						
STATION#	R	IVE	RMI	LE_			STRE	EAM	CLA	SS I	nter	mitte	ent							
LAT 37.244319	_ L(	ONC	j -80.	20699	5		RIVE	R BA	ASIN	Up	per	Roa	noke	е						
STORET#							AGE	NCY	VAD	EQ										
INVESTIGATORS A	W, JI	3												LOT	NUMBER					
FORM COMPLETE							DAT! TIME	_	25/21	_				REAS	SON FOR SURVEY Ba	aselir	ne A	.sse:	ssm	ent
HABITAT TYPES		Cob	ble_		%	tage of e	gs	%	ıt typ	Ūν	eget	nt ated Other	Ban	ıks	%	%				
SAMPLE	G	ear	used	T	lD-fr	ame 🗌	kick-	net			Пс	ther								
COLLECTION						les colle			□w						nk 🔲 from boa	t				
		Cob	ble			r of jabs Snaphytes_	gs			$\square V$	eget		Ban		Sand					
GENERAL COMMENTS	N	o f	low	/																
Dominant					0 = A	Absent/	Not (	Obse	erve	d, 1				2 = C	common, 3= Abund					
Periphyton						1 2						mes				-	1	_	3	
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes	•					1 2 1 2						icroi h		rtebi	rates	-	1	_	3	4
FIELD OBSERV				e:	ACI 0 =	ROBEN Absent	NTH(	OS Obse		d,	1 =	Rar	e (1		rganisms), 2 = Cor , 4 = Dominant (>5	nmo	n (3	-9		
Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Aniso	ptera			0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygop	_			0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemij	otera			0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleo	ptera			0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepid	opter	a		0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialid	ae			0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Coryd	alida	e		0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipuli	dae			0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empi	lidae			0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simul				0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabin				0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcio	lae			0	1	2	3	4						

#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Montgomery County Stream ID: S-NN19

Stream Name: UNT to Roanoke River

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/25/2021
Surveyors: AW JB
Type: Representative

		PEBBI	LE COUNT				
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cum
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>^</b>	27	27.00	27.00
	Very Fine	.062125		•	1	1.00	28.00
	Fine	.12525		<b>4</b>	0	0.00	28.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	•	0	0.00	28.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		•	0	0.00	28.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		•	1	1.00	29.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		•	1	1.00	30.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		•	3	3.00	33.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		•	4	4.00	37.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		•	4	4.00	41.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	•	2	2.00	43.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		•	1	1.00	44.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		•	6	6.00	50.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45	_	•	6	6.00	56.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		•	3	3.00	59.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		•	2	2.00	61.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	COBBLE	•	4	4.00	65.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBEE	•	1	1.00	66.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		•	3	3.00	69.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		•	0	0.00	69.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		•	0	0.00	69.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>-</b>	0	0.00	69.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	69.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	69.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	<b>4</b>	31	31.00	100.00
	T . 1 T 11			Totals	100		
	Total Tally:						

#### RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: UNT to Roanoke River Reach Name: S-NN19 Representative Survey Date: 08/25/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	27 1 0 0 0 1 1 3 4 4 2 1 6 6 3 2 4 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 2.00 1.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 3.00 2.00 4.00 3.00 0.00	27.00 28.00 28.00 28.00 29.00 30.00 37.00 41.00 43.00 44.00 50.00 56.00 59.00 61.00 65.00 66.00 69.00 69.00 69.00 69.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.04 6.85 32 Bedrock Bedrock 27 2 30 10 0		

Total Particles = 100.

AW JB Unnamed Tributary to Roanoke River  1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation)  Conditional Category  Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor  Very little incision or active erosion; 80- 100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or natural rock, prominent (60-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars / banks are stable (60-80%). Vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR banks Depositional features contribute to both banks. Vegetative protection on banks, and is insufficient of banks, and is insufficient on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient on 20-40% of banks.	Impact Impact Factor 76 1  SAR Length 32  Severe	
Name(s) of Evaluator(s)  AW JB  Unnamed Tributary to Roanoke River  1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation)  Conditional Category  Optimal  Optimal  Suboptimal  Suboptimal  Suboptimal  Often incised, but less than Severe or protection or autrior existable than Severe or Prosion or unprotected banks. Majority or Poor due to lower bank slopes. Vegetative protection or natural rock, prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully  Depositional features contribute to Often incised, but less than Severe or Poor due to lower bank slopes. Erosion may be present on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be to their original floodplain or fully  Depositional features contribute to Often incised, but less than Severe or Poor due to lower bank slopes. Erosion may be present on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient on 20-40% of banks.	76 1 SAR Length 32 Severe Deeply incised (or excavated),	
Name(s) of Evaluator(s)  AW JB  Unnamed Tributary to Roanoke River  1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation)  Conditional Category  Optimal  Optimal  Suboptimal  Suboptimal  Marginal  Poor  Overwidened/incised. Vertically / Iaterally unstable. Likely to widen or Poor due to lower bank slopes. Vegetative protection or natural rock, prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars / bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully  Depositional features contribute to Often incised, but less than Severe or Poor due to lower bank slopes. Erosion may be present on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be to their original floodplain or fully  Overwidened/incised. Vertically / Iaterally unstable. Likely to widen or Poor due to lower bank slopes. Erosion may be present on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be oth banks. Vegetative protection on a banks. Vegetative protection on a University of both banks, and is insufficient on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient on 20-40% of banks.	Severe  Deeply incised (or excavated),	
Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation)  Conditional Category  Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor  Very little incision or active erosion; 80- 100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or natural rock, prominent of banks are stable (60-80%). AND/OR Stable point bars / bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully  Depositional features contribute to 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient on 20-40% of banks.	Severe  Deeply incised (or excavated),	
Channel Condition  Channel Condi	Deeply incised (or excavated),	
Channel Condition  Optimal  Suboptimal  Suboptimal  Suboptimal  Marginal  Poor  Overwidened/incised. Vertically / laterally unstable. Likely to widen or protection or antural rock, prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully  Often incised, but less than Severe or Poor. Banks more stable than Severe or Poor. Banks and stable some or Poor. Banks and Stabl	Deeply incised (or excavated),	
Channel Condition  100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or natural rock, prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars / bankfull benches are present. Access their original floodplain or fully  100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or natural rock, prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock bankfull benches are present. Access their original floodplain or fully  100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or unprotected banks. Majority of borns are stable (60-80%). AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Stable point bars / vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (6		
less than 10% of bottom.  newly developed floodplains along portions of the reach. Transient sediment covers 10-40% of the stream bottom.  Deposition that contribute to stability, may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection on > 40% of the banks and depositional features which contribute 40% of the banks and deposition is absent of depositions fleatures which contribute to stability.  AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > 40% of the banks and stable sediment deposition is absent.	vertical/lateral instability. Severe nicision, flow contained within the banks Streambed below average rooting depth majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less than 20% of banks, is not preventing erosion. Obvious bank sloughing oresent. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100% AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. Multiple thread channels and/or subterranean flow.	6.
to stability.   Scores   3   2.4   2   1.6	1	2.40
Scores 3 2.4 2 1.0	<u>'</u>	2.40
Riparian Buffers  Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas.  **Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas.  **Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas.  **Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.  **Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and candaining both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.  **Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and candaining both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.  **Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and candaining both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.  **Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and candaining both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.  **Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained and ense herbaceous vegetation with with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and candaining both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.  **Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover with 30 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy		
High Low High Low High Low		
Scores         1.5         1.2         1.1         0.85         0.75         0.6         0.5		
Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors.  Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below.  Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below.  Determine square footage for each riparian category in the blocks below.  Blocks equal 100		
% Riparian Area > 90% 10% 10%		
Right Bank Score > 0.85 0.5		
	CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2	- ~
Left Bank   % Riparian Area> 80% 20% 100%   100%	Rt Bank CI > 0.82  Lt Bank CI > 0.78	0.80
. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut ba		0.80
complexes, stable reatures.	NOTES>>	7
3 ,		
	Stream Gradient	CI

	Stream I	mpact A	ssessn	nent For	rm Page	2		
Project #	Project Name (Applicant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	нис	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)	Montgomery County	R4	03010101	8/24/21	S-NN19	76	1
4. CHANNEL	ALTERATION: Stream crossings, riprap, concre	te, gabions, or con	ncrete blocks, strai	ightening of chann	nel, channelization	, embankments, s	poil piles, constriction	ons, livestock

			Condition	al Category			NOTES>>
	Negligible	Mir	nor	Mod	erate Severe		
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	disrupted by any of the channel	is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If	60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not recovered.		
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.5	

CI 1.50

REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REA

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >>

1.18

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 90

CR = RCI X L<sub>I</sub> X IF

#### **INSERT PHOTOS:**


(WSSI Photo Location L:\22000s\22800\22865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread H\Field Forms\S-NN19\Photos\S-NN19\_2021-08-24\_09-51-07\_DS VIEW.jpg)




Looking downstream within ROW. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.

ח	FS	CRI	RF	PR	ΩP	OSF	ו ח=	IMP	AC.	Г٠


PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER







# **SURVEY NOTES:**

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on September 9, 2019.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross section C shot at location of pipe centerline (based on field stakes).





CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-NN19 CROSS SECTION C (PIPE CL)									
	PR	POST-CF	ROSSING						
DT LOC	NORTHING	FASTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.				
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.				
TS-L	13524471.68	1871176.74	1344.38						
BS-L	13524481.77	1871167.61	1337.19						
THW	13524482.58	1871166.80	1337.00						
BS-R	13524483.30	1871166.30	1337.04						
TS-R	13524488.78	1871160.47	1341.84						



0+10 0+20 0+30 0+40 0+50 0+60 0+70 0+80

DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)

0+00





PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM TO THE SOUTHWEST ON 09/09/2019




PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM TO THE EAST-NORTHEASTON 09/09/2019

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS PENDING CROSSING PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING



7

N19

Vertical Datum: NAVD 88 Boundary and Topo Source:

WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Draft Approved NAS PFS JSF Sheet # 1 of 1

Computer File Name: Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread H Work Dwgs