Reach S-RR09 (Pipeline ROW) Ephemeral Spread H Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	\checkmark
SWVM Form	\checkmark
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	\checkmark
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	\checkmark
Water Quality Data	N/A – Low flow
RBP Habitat Form	\checkmark
RBP Benthic Form	\checkmark
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Low flow
Wolman Pebble Count	\checkmark
RiverMorph Data Sheet	\checkmark
USM Form (Virginia Only)	\checkmark
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	\checkmark

Stream S-RR09 (ROW)

Franklin County



Photo Type: DS VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW looking SW, TC



Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW looking NE, TC

DEQ Permit #21-0416

Spread H

Stream S-RR09 (ROW)

Franklin County



Photo Type: LB CL Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking N, TC



Photo Type: RB CL Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking SE, TC

Stream S-RR09 (ROW)

Franklin County



Photo Type: DS COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking SW, TC

L:\22000s\22800\22865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread H\Field Forms\S-RR09\Photo Document_S-RR09.docx

West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	Mount	ain Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.102491	Lon.	-80.041046	WEATHER:	Cloudy	DATE:	August 24, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size (acreage).	O AND SITE DESCRIPTION: unaltered or impairments)	S-F	R09		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS (watershed size (acreage			:		Comments:	
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	77 FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:	0.03"	Mitigation Length:	
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	g Condition (Debit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation F Post Completi	Projected at Five Y on (Credit)	ears	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proj Post Completion	jected at Ten Years (Credit)	Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ed at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Ephemeral	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel SI	lope 7.96	Percent Stream Channel Sig	ope		Percent Stream Channel	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel S	lope 0	Percent Stream Channel S	ilope 0
HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):	HGM Score (attach o	data forms):		HGM Score (attac	h data forms):		HGM Score (attach d	lata forms):	HGM Score (attach o	lata forms):
	Average		Average				Average		Average		Average
Hydrology	0.51	Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		Hydrology	
Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0.31 0.3 0.08	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical	and Biological Inc	licators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators
	Points Scale Range Silte Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	is classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 2. Embeddedness	0-20 0 0-20 8	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 2. Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 2. Embeddedness	0-20		1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 2. Embeddedness	0-20	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 2. Embeddedness	0-20
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0	3. Pool Variability	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20 5	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		 Sediment Deposition 	0-20		 Sediment Deposition 	0-20	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1 0	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 18	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20 0 0-20 20	7. Channel Sinuosity 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20 20 0-20 16	Bank Stability (LB & RB) 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		 Bank Stability (LB & RB) Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20 16	 Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 	0-20		 Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 			 Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 	0-20	 Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 	0-20
Total RBP Score	Suboptimal 83	Total RBP Score	Poor 0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0
Sub-Total	0.69166667	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	•	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittee		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt		reams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	1)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gener Specific Conductivity	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	al)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	4)
openine conductivity	0-90	opeenie oonduetinty	0-90		opeene conductivity	0-90		opecine conductivity	0-90	opeonie oonadeurity	0-90
100-199 - 85 points	0-90		0-90			0-90			0-90		0-90
pH	0.1	pH	01		pH	0-1		рН		pH	0.1
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	0-80		5-90			5-90			5-90		5-90
DO		DO			DO			DO		DO	
	10-30		10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30
Sub-Total		Sub-Total			Sub-Total	- I I	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ttent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Perenni	ial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
0	0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1
Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
PART II - Index and U	Jnit Score	PART II - Index and	Unit Score	1	PART II - Index ar	nd Unit Score	1	PART II - Index and U	Unit Score	PART II - Index and	Jnit Score
Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score
0.523	77 40.2645833	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0
			1 1 1	U		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			H	

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V_{CCANOPY} (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

 Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

 Location: Franklin County

 Sampling Date: 8/24/21

 Project Site

 Before Project

 Subclass for this SAR:

 Ephemeral Stream

 Uppermost stratum present at this SAR:

 SAR number:

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.51
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.31
Habitat	0.08

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
VCCANOPY	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V _{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.42	0.25
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V _{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
V _{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V _{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V _{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	94.94	1.00
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	0.00	0.00
V _{HERB}	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	100.00	1.00
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	1.00	1.00

						ter Strea et and C			-		
	Team:	KB and TC						Latitude/UT	M Northing:	37.102491	
Projec	t Name:	Mountain V	alley Pipelir	ie			L	.ongitude/U	TM Easting:	-80.041046	6
L	ocation:	Franklin Co	ounty					San	npling Date:	8/24/21	
SAR	Number:	S-RR09	Reach	Length (ft):	79	Stream Ty	/pe: Ephe	emeral Strean	n		
То	p Strata:	Sh	rub/Herb Str	ata	(determined	d from perce	ent calculate	d in V _{CCANO}	_{PY})		
Site and	Timing:	Project Site				•	Before Proje	ct			•
nple Va	ariables	1-4 in strea	m channel								
-	 V_{CCANOPY} Average percent cover over channel by tree and sapling canopy. Measure at no fewer than 10 roughly equidistant points along the stream. Measure only if tree/sapling cover is at least 20%. (If less than 20%, enter at least one value between 0 and 19 to trigger Top Strata choice.) List the percent cover measurements at each point below: 							Not Us <20%			
Lis		cent cover r	neasuremer	nts at each p	oint below:						1
	0										
2 V _E	MBED	Average er	nbeddednes	s of the stre	am channe	I. Measure	at no fewer	than 30 roue	ahlv equidis	tant points	
	WIBED					ed. Before n					1.4
						is covered b					
						surface, or c		f fine sedim	ents, use a	rating score	
						rating score					-
			•	or gravel, c	obble and be	oulder partic	les (rescale	d from Platt	is, Megahan	, and	Measu
		Minshall 19	,								at lea
		Rating	Rating Des		overed	rounded -	buried by P	0.0041	(or bad '	0	30 poir
		5				rounded, or				()	-
		3				d, surrounde					1
		2	51 to 75 pe	rcent of sur	ace covered	d, surrounde	ed, or buried	by fine sed	iment]
		1			covered, su	rrounded, o	r buried by f	ine sedimer	nt (or artificia	al surface)	J
Lis			point below								•
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	
	4	4	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	
	1	1	1	1							
Vs	UBSTRATE					Measure a ticles as use			hly equidist	ant points	0.08 i
En	ter partic	le size in in	ches to the i	nearest 0.1	inch at each	point below	/ (bedrock s	hould be co	unted as 99	in, asphalt	
or	concrete	as 0.0 in, s	and or finer	particles as	0.08 in):						-
	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	35.00	37.00	3.00	
	4.00	5.10	0.08	0.08	0.08	6.20	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	
_	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08							
1 V _{BI}	ERO	Total perce	nt of eroded	stream cha	innel bank.	Enter the to	tal number	of feet of er	oded bank o	n each	
				entage will b	e calculated	I If both bar	nks are eroo	ded, total er	osion for the	e stream	0 %
		may be up									
			Left Bank:	0	ft		Right Bank:	0	ft		
nple Va	ariables	5-9 within t	he entire ri	oarian/buff	er zone adia	acent to the	e stream ch	annel (25 f	eet from ea	ch bank).	
5 Vii		Number of	down wood	(stome (at)	east 4 inche	es in diamete	ar and 36 in	ches in leng	th) per 100	feet of	
5 V _{L\}	ND					e 50'-wide b					0.0
			t of stream								
						f downed wo			0		
6 V _{τι}	DBH					_Y tree/saplin	g cover is a	t least 20%)	. Trees are	at least 4	Not Us
			cm) in diam								
		List the dbł the stream		ents of indiv	idual trees ((at least 4 in) within the	buffer on ea	ach side of		
		uic sucam	Left Side			r		Right Side			1
			2011 0100					. agint oldo			
											1
											1
											1
											1
											1
											1
											1
											1
7 V _{SI}	NAG	Number of	snags (at le	ast 4" dbh a	nd 36" tall) (per 100 feet	of stream.	Enter numb	er of snags	on each	
2.						, et will be cal			-		0.0
			1 - 4 0' '		2		Diskt O' I		0		
		Number of	Left Side:) odv.stems	up to 4 inch	Right Side:		0 stream (me	sure only #	
2 \/		TO 1901110FL	sapiiriys and	ມ ຣາເເບນຣ (Wi	July stems	up to 4 Inch	es upri) per	I UU IEEL OF	suediii (Mêâ	asure only if	
s V _s	SD	tree cover i	s <20%\ ⊏	nter number	of sanlings	and shrubs	on each sid	le of the stre	eam and the	e amount	94.0
3 V _S	SD		s <20%). E f stream wil			and shrubs	on each sid	le of the stre	eam, and th	e amount	94.9
8 V _s	SD			be calculat			on each sic Right Side:		eam, and the	e amount	9

9	V _{SRICH}	Group 1 in	the tallest st		ck all exotic	and invas	ive species p	resent in		•		0.00
			p 1 = 1.0	ind the subin	idex will be	calculated	from these da		un 2	(-1.0)		
	Acer rubru		p i – 1.0	Magnolia tri	inetala		Ailanthus a		up z	(-1.0)	Lonicera jaj	onica
	Acer sacch			Nyssa sylva			Albizia julib				Lonicera ta	
	Aesculus f			Oxydendrum			Alliaria peti				Lotus corni	
	Asimina tri			Prunus sero							Lythrum sa	
	Betula alleg			Quercus alt			Alternanthe philoxeroide				Microstegium	
	-										-	
	Betula lent			Quercus co			Aster tatario				Paulownia	
_	Carya alba			Quercus im			Cerastium f		1		Polygonum c	
	Carya glab			Quercus pri			Coronilla va				Pueraria m	
	Carya oval	lis		Quercus rul	bra		Elaeagnus u				Rosa multif	lora
	Carya ovai	ta		Quercus ve	lutina		Lespedeza	bicolor			Sorghum h	alepense
	Cornus flo	rida		Sassafras a	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata			Verbena br	asiliensis
	Fagus grai	ndifolia		Tilia americ	ana		Ligustrum ob	otusifolium	1			
	Fraxinus a	mericana		Tsuga cana	adensis		Ligustrum s	sinense				
~	Liriodendror	n tulipifera		Ulmus ame	ricana							
	Magnolia a	acuminata										
			On e ele e in	0				0	-		<u> </u>	
		1	Species in	Gloup I				2	5	Species in	Group 2	
		bplots shou Average pe	IId be place	of leaves, st	equidistant ticks, or oth	ly along e er organic) in the ripari ach side of the material. Wo	he strea ody deb	m.			n each 0.00 %
		long are ind		•	cover of th	e detrital la	ayer at each s				,	
		0	Left	Side 0		0		Side				
		0	0	0		0	U	0	_			
11	V _{HERB}	include woo	ody stems a percentages	t least 4" dbł	h and 36" ta	II. Becaus	asure only if t e there may b Enter the per	e severa	al laye	ers of grou	und cover	100 %
			Left	Side		Right Side] .	
		100	100	100								
				chment of th		100	100	100				
amp 12	le Variable 1 V _{WLUSE}		Verage of R	chment of th Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	100	100		Runoff	% in Catch	
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	100	100		Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE}		Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	100	100	•			Running Percent
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	100	100	•	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	100		•	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:	100		*	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:			* * *	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:			* * *	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:			*	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:			* * * *	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:			* * * * *	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose	for watersh	ed:			•	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score	for watersh	ed: p List)	Not	tes:	• •	Score 1	ment 100	Running Percent (not >100
12	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score Use (Choose I cover) Land Cove	e From Dro	ed: p List) was com	Not	tes: 9 the 20	▼ ▼ 19 N	Score 1 I	ment 100	Running Percent (not >100
12	V _{WLUSE} Forest and r	-RR09 Value Not Used,	Land	Land Cover (NLCD), fr	e From Dro er Analysis om Lands:	ed: p List) was corr at satellite	Not	tes: 1 the 20 d other	▼ ■ 19 N supj	Score 1 lational L plementa	ment 100	Running Percent (not >100
	VwLUSE Forest and r S Variable	Weighted A	Verage of F Land	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using a imagery an	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CCANOPY SMBED	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4	Verage of F Land >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.25	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
12 12	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Substrate	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20%	Verage of F Land >75% ground VSI Not Used	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
12 12	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r S ariable CCANOPY SMBED	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4	Verage of F Land >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.25	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Substrate	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4 0.08 in	Verage of F Land >75% ground >75% local Not Used 0.25 0.04	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
12 12 V ₆ V ₇ V ₇	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Sariable Canopy EMBED SUBSTRATE SERO	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4 0.08 in 0 %	Verage of F Land >75% ground >75% local Not Used 0.25 0.04 1.00	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
12 12	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Salars (ariable CCANOPY SUBSTRATE BERO SUBSTRATE BERO	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.25 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
12 12 V ₁ V ₂ V ₁ V ₁ V ₁ V ₂	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Substrate Sero Substrate Sero Substrate Sero	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0	Verage of F Land >75% ground >75% ground 0.25 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used 0.10	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
12 12 V ₁ V ₂ V ₁ V ₁ V ₂ V ₁ V ₂ V ₃	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Solution Substrate Substrate Seco WD Solution Solut	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 94.9	Verage of F Land >75% ground >75% ground 0.25 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
12 12	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Solution (ariable CCANOPY EMBED SUBSTRATE SERO WD TOBH SNAG SSD SRICH	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 94.9 0.00	Verage of F Land >75% ground >75% ground 0.25 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100 Database
12 12 V ₁ V ₂ V ₃ V ₄ V ₅ V ₅ V ₅ V ₅ V ₅	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Souther states Substrate BERO SUBSTRATE BERO SUBSTRATE SUBSTRAT	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 94.9	Verage of F Land >75% ground >75% ground 0.25 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 100
12 12	VwLUSE Forest and r Forest and r Solution (ariable CCANOPY EMBED SUBSTRATE SERO WD TOBH SNAG SSD SRICH	-RR09 Value Not Used, <20% 1.4 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 94.9 0.00 0.0 %	Verage of F Land >75% ground >75% ground 0.25 0.04 1.00 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Land Cover (NLCD), fr Watershec	e From Dro er Analysis om Landsi d boundari	ed: p List) was com at satellitt es are ba	Not pleted using imagery an sed off of fie	tes: the 20 d other ld deline	▼ ■ 19 N supj eate	Score 1 lational L plementa d stream	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 100 Database

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-RR09		LOCATION Franklin Count	iy			
	VERMILE	STREAM CLASS Ephemeral				
LAT 37.102491 LO	ONG -80.041046	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke				
STORET #		AGENCY VADEQ				
INVESTIGATORS KB/TC						
FORM COMPLETED BY	KB	DATE 8/24/21 TIME 10:40 AM	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline A	ssessment		
WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now	Past 24	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 \checkmark Yes \square No	/ days?		
CONDITIONS	storm	(heavy rain)	Air Temperature ³³ C			
	showers	steady rain)	Other			
		loud cover 30 %				
SITE LOCATION/MAP		e and indicate the areas samp	led (or attach a nhotogranh)			
2212 20011101 (mm	a map of the sit	inateure the areas samp				
			.UC			
			115			
			\backslash			
	Alala).		γ			
	XNXX	P	KILV	$+\lambda$		
)	Г		TV		
			(PS			
			el 12 Film all			
		11490	7 17 191012			
STREAM	Stream Subsystem	_	Stream Type			
CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem	ermittent T Idal	Stream Type Coldwater			
	Stream Origin	Spring-fed	Catchment Area_0.24 km ²			
	Non-glacial montane	✓ Other Precipitation				

Notes: Low flow.

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Predominant Surrounding Landuse Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural Other Residential Other Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Wingstem, Grapeberry	Local Watershed NPS Pollution I No evidence Some potential sources Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate Heavy ant species present Grasses
INSTREAM FEATURES	Estimated Reach Length 18.6 m Estimated Stream Width 0.25 m Sampling Reach Area 4.7 m² Area in km² (m²x1000) km² Estimated Stream Depth 0 m Surface Velocity (at thalweg) m/sec	Canopy Cover □Partly shaded □Shaded □Partly open □Partly shaded □Shaded High Water Mark 0.1 m Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle % Run 100 % Pool % No Dam Present Yes No
LARGE WOODY DEBRIS	LWDm ² Density of LWDm ² /km ² (LWD/ reac	ch area)
AQUATIC VEGETATION	Indicate the dominant type and record the domin Rooted emergent Floating Algae Dominant species present Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation	Rooted floating Free floating
WATER QUALITY	Temperature NA 0 C Specific Conductance NA Dissolved Oxygen NA pH NA Turbidity NA WQ Instrument Used NA	Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other Water Surface Oils Globs Slick Sheen None Other Turbidity (if not measured) Turbid Clear Slightly turbid Opaque Stained
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE	Odors Petroleum Normal Sewage Petroleum Chemical Anaerobic None Other Oils Pofuse	Deposits □Sludge Sawdust Paper fiber ☑Sand □Relict shells □Other

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)				
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area		
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant	4		
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	5		materials (CPOM)			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	5	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic	0		
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0		(FPOM)	0		
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	10	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0		
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	40]		0		
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	40					

Notes: Low flow. No water quality measurements were taken due to low flow.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-RR09	LOCATION Franklin County			
STATION #_13352+23 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Ephemeral			
LAT <u>37.102491</u> LONG <u>-80.041046</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke			
STORET #	AGENCY VADEQ			
INVESTIGATORS KB/TC				
FORM COMPLETED BY KB	DATE <u>8/24/21</u> TIME <u>10:40 AM</u> AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment			

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	_{score} 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	score 8	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
aram	_{score} 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	_{score} 5	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Notes: Low flow

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Conditio	n Category	
	Habitat Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	_{score} 18	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
samp	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing dewastreem.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE 10	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
to b	SCORE 10	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE 8	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE 8	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

83

Notes: Low flow

Total Score

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-R	R09	LOCATION Franklin County							
STATION #_13352+23	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Ephemeral	STREAM CLASS Ephemeral						
LAT	LONG80.041046	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke							
STORET #		AGENCY VADEQ							
INVESTIGATORS KE	B/TC		LOT NUMBER						
FORM COMPLETED	^{BY} KB	DATE 8/24/21 TIME 10:40 AM	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment						
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand% Submerged Macrophytes% Other (
SAMPLE	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other								
COLLECTION	How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat								
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. CobbleSnagsVegetated BanksSand Submerged MacrophytesOther (
GENERAL COMMENTS	Low flow. Benthic habitat.	cs not collected due	to absence of appropriate						

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

Franklin County County: Stream ID: Stream Name: UNT to North Fork Blackwater River HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke Survey Date: 8/24/2021 Surveyors: KB

Representative

Total Tally:

Type:

PEBBLE COUNT PARTICLE Total # Inches Millimeters Particle Item % % Cum Count Silt/Clay < .062 S/C ٠ 38 38.00 38.00 • Very Fine .062-.125 ٠ 0 0.00 38.00 • Fine .125-.25 38.00 0 0.00• .25-.5 Medium ▲ ▼ S A N D5 43.00 5.00 .50-1.0 Coarse ▲ ▼ 22 22.00 65.00 .04-.08 Very Coarse 1.0-2 ٠ 6 6.00 71.00 • .08 -.16 Very Fine 2 -4 ٠ 3 3.00 74.00 • .16 - .22 4 -5.7 Fine 1 1.00 75.00 • .22 - .31 Fine 5.7 - 8 0 0.00 75.00 • .31 - .44 Medium 8 -11.3 ۸ 0 0.00 75.00 • .44 - .63 11.3 - 16 Medium ٠ GRAVEL 0 0.00 75.00 • .63 - .89 16 - 22.6 Coarse ٠ 0 0.00 75.00 • 22.6 - 32 .89 - 1.26 Coarse ٠ 0 0.00 75.00 • Vry Coarse 1.26 - 1.77 32 - 45 ٠ 2 2.00 77.00 • 1.77 -2.5 45 - 64 Vry Coarse • 3 3.00 80.00 2.5 - 3.5 Small 64 - 90 ٠ 9 9.00 89.00 • 3.5 - 5.0 Small 90 - 128 ٠ 7 7.00 96.00 • COBBLE 5.0 - 7.1 Large 128 - 180 • 1 1.00 97.00 7.1 - 10.1 Large 180 - 256 ٠ 0 0.00 97.00 • 10.1 - 14.3 Small 256 - 362 0 0.00 97.00 • 14.3 - 20 362 - 512 Small • 1 1.00 98.00 20 - 40 Medium 512 - 1024 ▲ ▼ BOULDER 2 2.00 100.00 40 - 80 Large 1024 - 2048 ٠ 100.00 0 0.00 • 80 - 160 Vry Large 2048 - 4096 ٠ 0 0.00 100.00 • BDRK ۸ Bedrock 0 0.00100.00 -Totals 100

S-RR09

Reach Name: S-R	to North I R09 resentative 24/2021		ater River
Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	38 0 5 22 6 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 9 7 1 0 0 0 1 2 0 0	38.00 0.00 0.00 5.00 22.00 6.00 3.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 3.00 9.00 7.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.	38.00 38.00 38.00 43.00 65.00 71.00 74.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 77.00 80.00 89.00 96.00 97.00 97.00 97.00 98.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Gravel (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.03 0.06 0.66 75.56 122.57 1023.97 38 33 9 17 3 0		

Total Particles = 100.


				For us	e in ephemeral s	treams						
Project #	1	Project Name	•	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR #	Length Factor			
22865.06		alley Pipeline ey Pipeline, L	•	Franklin County	R6	03010101	8/24/21	S-RR09				
Nam	e(s) of Evaluat	tor(s)	Stream Name	and Informa	tion		•		SAR Length			
	КВ, ТС		UNT to North	Fork Blackw	ater River				79			
RIPARIA	N BUFFERS: As	ssess both bank's	100 foot riparian	areas along the e	ntire SAR. (rough	measurements of	f length & width ma	ay be acceptable)				
			Con	ditional Cate	gory				NOTES>>			
	Opti	mal	Subo	ptimal	Mar	ginal	Po	por				
Riparian Buffers	Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree can non-maintained unc are:	nopy cover and an lerstory. Wetlands	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with >30% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation).	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover.	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory.	nurseries; no-tili cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated anon-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.				
A 1111			High	Low	High	Low	High	Low				
Condition Scores	1.	5	1.2	1.1	0.85	0.75	0.6	0.5				
Determine sq	arian areas along ea uare footage for ea Riparian Area and S	ch by measuring	or estimating leng	th and width. Calo			of % F	the sums Riparian Ingual 100				
	% Riparian Area>	80%	20%					100%				
Right Bank	Score >	0.85	0.5						t			
	1								CI= (Sum % RA * Sc	ores*0.01)/2	1	
Loft Don's	% Riparian Area>	80%	20%					100%	Rt Bank CI >	0.78		
Left Bank	Score >	0.85	0.5						Lt Bank CI >	0.78		
		REACH (CONDITION I	NDEX and S	TREAM CO	NDITION UN	TS FOR THI	S REACH				
TE: The CIs and I	RCI should be rounded to	o 2 decimal places. Th	ne CR should be round	ed to a whole number.				THE REACH	CONDITION INC	DEX (RCI) >>	\vdash	
									CI= (Riparian CI)	. ,		
											_	
								COMPENSAT	ION REQUIREM	IENT (CR) >>		

INSERT PHOTOS:



Downstream view within the ROW. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER





1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on April 15, 2019.


2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.


3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).

4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.

5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.

6. Cross section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on field stakes).





CL ST/	AKEOUT POINTS: S-RR09	CROSS	SECTI

CLSI	CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-RR09 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)										
	PRI	POST-CI	ROSSING								
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.						
TS-L	13473297.43	1919986.56	1497.39								
BS-L	13473300.18	1919984.17	1494.60								
THW	13473301.27	1919983.08	1494.40								
BS-R	13473301.75	1919982.44	1494.80								
TS-R	13473312.64	1919973.30	1498.80								

LEGEND

STUDY AREA (EASEMENT)

1176.8 +

EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG


EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED EDGE OF WATER (AS NECESSARY)

EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MAJOR)

EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MINOR)

EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION

BENCHMARK POINT (WSSI)





H: 1"=10'

V: 1"=5'