# **Baseline Assessment - Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-RR11 (Pipeline ROW) Ephemeral Spread H Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Low flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	<b>√</b>
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Photo Type: DS VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW looking S downstream, TC



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW looking E upstream, TC



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On right bank at pipe centerline looking S at left streambank, TC



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On left bank at pipe centerline looking NW at right streambank, TC



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW looking SW upstream, TC

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain V	alley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.101127	Lon.	-80.039653	WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	August 24	4, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID AND 8 (watershed size (acreage), unaltered			S-Ri	R11		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (watershed size (acreage), unalitered or impairments)					Comments:			
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	77 FORI		RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)		Lon.			PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		0.03"	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing Condi	tion (Debit)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Condition - Baseline (Credit)			Column No. 3- Mitigation Projected at Five Years Post Completion (Credit)			ected at Ten Ye Credit)	ars	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projected at Maturity (Credit)		
Stream Classification:	Ephemeral		Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel Slope	8.05		Percent Stream Channel Slop	ре		Percent Stream Channel	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	оре	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	оре	0
HGM Score (attach data for	ms):		HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (atta	ch data forms	):	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	
	Average			Average				Average			Average			Average
	.65		Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		
	0.47666667		Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Hahitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	-	0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biolog			PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemica	l and Biologica	I Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological India	cators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicat	tors
Points Sca	de Range Site Score			Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale	tange Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classific	ations)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	lassifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stres	ams classifications	:)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)  1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)  1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	t)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)  1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)  1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover		
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20 2. Embeddedness 0-20			Epitaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		Epitaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20		Epitaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20	
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-20			3. Pool Variability	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
4. Sediment Deposition 0-20			Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status 0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0.4	<ol><li>Channel Flow Status</li></ol>	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
6. Channel Alteration 0-20			6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 0-20			7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 0-20 10. Rinarian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-20	16 12		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
	optimal 92		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 <b>0</b>		<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> <li>Total RBP Score</li> </ol>	) 0-20 Poor	0	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0	<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> <li>Total RBP Score</li> </ol>	0-20 Poor	_
Sub-Total	0.76666667	7	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	Poor	0	Sub-Total	POOL	0	Sub-Total	Pool	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Pe			CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent a	and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ittent and Perenni	al Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittee	nt and Perennial S	treams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	it and Perennial Stres	
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gene	eral)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	I)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90	
<=99 - 90 points 0-90	62.9		pH	0-90		pН	0-90		nH	0-90		ρΗ	0-90	
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	0-1 <b>7.08</b>			5-90 0-1			5-90	0-1		5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1	
DO			DO			DO			DO			DO		
>5.0 = 30 points 10-30 Sub-Total	6.8		Sub-Total	10-30		Sub-Total	10-30		Sub-Total	10-30	0	Sub-Total	10-30	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and	Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inte	ermittent and Pe	rennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	nittent and Perenr	-	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ittent and Perennial	
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
0-100	0-1			0-100 0-1		,	0-100	0-1		0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and Unit Sco	re		PART II - Index and U	Init Score		PART II - Index a	and Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	Init Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score	
Index Line	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear F	eet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.680	77 52.36		0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Ver. 10-20-17

#### FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V<sub>CCANOPY</sub> (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

**Project Name:** Mountain Valley Pipeline **Location:** Spread H, Franklin County

Sampling Date: 8/24/21 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

**Ephemeral Stream** 

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-RR11

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

**Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator** 

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.65
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.46
Habitat	0.32

#### Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V <sub>EMBED</sub>	Average embeddedness of channel.	2.33	0.58
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	2.80	1.00
V <sub>BERO</sub>	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
$V_{LWD}$	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>TDBH</sub>	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	43.10	0.66
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	5.00	0.06
V <sub>HERB</sub>	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	95.00	1.00
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.99	1.00

			High-G		Headwa				а							
	T	KD 8TC		Field	Data She	et and C			M Narthina	27 101127						
Pro	oject Name:	KB &TC	/allev Pinelir	ne					M Northing: ΓM Easting:		3					
	-		Franklin Cou				-	-	npling Date:		<u>,                                      </u>					
SA	AR Number:			Length (ft):	58	Stream Ty	/pe: Ephe	emeral Strean	-		▼					
	Top Strata:	Sh	rub/Herb Sti	rata	(determine	d from perce	ent calculate	d in V <sub>CCANO</sub>	<sub>PY</sub> )							
Site	and Timing:	Project Site	•			•	Before Proje	ct			•					
Sample	e Variables	oles 1-4 in stream channel  Py Average percent cover over channel by tree and sapling canopy. Measure at no fewer than 10 roughly														
1	V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	equidistant 20%, enter	ercent cover points along at least one measuremer	g the stream value betw	. Measure een 0 and 1	only if tree/s	apling cove	r is at least		0 ,	Not Used, <20%					
	0										]					
_			L													
2	V <sub>EMBED</sub>	Average embeddedness of the stream channel. Measure at no fewer than 30 roughly equidistant points along the stream. Select a particle from the bed. Before moving it, determine the percentage of the surface and area surrounding the particle that is covered by fine sediment, and enter the rating according to the following table. If the bed is an artificial surface, or composed of fine sediments, use a rating score of 1. If the bed is composed of bedrock, use a rating score of 5.  Embeddedness rating for gravel, cobble and boulder particles (rescaled from Platts, Megahan, and														
		Embeddedness rating for gravel, cobble and boulder particles (rescaled from Platts, Megahan, and Minshall 1983)														
		Rating 5	Rating Des		overed, sur	rounded or	huried by fir	ne sediment	(or hedrock	.)	30 points					
		4			ce covered,					·)	1					
		3	26 to 50 pe	rcent of sur	face covered	d, surrounde	ed, or buried	by fine sed	iment							
		<u>2</u> 1			face covered covered, su					al surface)	-					
	List the rati		point below		Joveneu, Su	Juniucu, U	. Duricu Dy I	ino souimei	it (or artificia	ai suriace)	J					
	5	1	1	3	2	2	3	1	3	1	Ī					
	1	3	3	2	1	2	3	3	2	4						
	4	1	4	1												
3	\/	Modion otre	eam channe	Loubetrate	ortiolo oizo	Magaura	t no fower t	20 roug	bly oquidiote	ant points						
		cle size in in	tream; use t ches to the and or finer	nearest 0.1	inch at each				unted as 99	in, asphalt	2.80 in					
	2.70	1.10	3.60	2.40	2.90	2.20	3.50	0.70	1.60	0.10	1					
	0.08	3.40	2.20	3.70	9.70	0.20	13.50	4.70	3.20	2.60	]					
	4.00	7.70	0.80	4.70												
4	V <sub>BERO</sub>		ent of eroded e total perce								0 %					
		may be up		_	ft				ft	, sucam	0 %					
							Right Bank:									
5	V <sub>LWD</sub>	Number of stream rea	down woody ch. Enter the	y stems (at l e number fr	east 4 inche om the entir	es in diamete	er and 36 in	ches in leng	th) per 100	feet of	0.0					
	.,					downed wo			0							
6	$V_{TDBH}$	inches (10 List the dbh	oh of trees (r cm) in diam n measurem	eter. Enter	tree DBHs in	n inches.				at least 4	Not Used					
		the stream	below: Left Side					Right Side			ĭ					
			Leit Side					ragni Side			ł					
											İ					
											1					
											]					
											I					
											l					
											I					
7	$V_{SNAG}$		snags (at le stream, and					Enter numb	er of snags	on each	0.0					
			1 -# 0: !		0		D:l-4 O: 1		2							
8	V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of	Left Side: saplings and		oodv stems	up to 4 inch	Right Side: es dbh) per		ostream (mea	asure only if						
J	* 880	tree cover i	is <20%). E of stream wil	nter number I be calculat	r of saplings			le of the stre			43.1					

9	V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Group 1 in richness pe	r 100 feet a	nd the subir	ndex will be	calculated f	rom these da	ala.				
		Grou	p 1 = 1.0					Gro	ıp 2 (-1.0	))		
	Acer rubrui	n		Magnolia ti	ripetala		Ailanthus a	ltissima	7	1	Lonicera ja	onica
_	Acer sacch	arum		Nyssa sylv	atica		Albizia julib	rissin		_	Lonicera ta	arica
_	Aesculus fl	ava		Oxydendrun			Alliaria peti			1	Lotus cornic	culatus
_	Asimina tril			Prunus ser						Lythrum sali		
_	Betula alleg			Quercus al			Alternanthe philoxeroide			Microstegium		
_	_								Ľ	_		
_	Betula lenta			Quercus co			Aster tatari			Paulownia to		
_	Carya alba			Quercus in			Cerastium			_	Polygonum c	
	Carya glab	ra		Quercus pi	rinus		Coronilla va	aria			Pueraria mo	ontana
	Carya oval	is		Quercus ru	ıbra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata			Rosa multif	lora
	Carya ovat	а		Quercus ve	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor			Sorghum ha	alepens
	Cornus flor	rida		Sassafras	albidum	4	Lespedeza	cuneata			Verbena bra	asiliensi
	Fagus gran	ndifolia		Tilia americ	cana		Ligustrum ob	tusifolium				
	Fraxinus ar	mericana		Tsuga can	adensis		Ligustrum s	inense				
_	Liriodendron	tulipifera		Ulmus ame	ericana							
_	Magnolia a		_									
	magnona a	oummata										
		0	Species in	Group 1				3	Speci	es in	Group 2	
ık. T	e Variables Γhe four sul	bplots shou	ıld be place	d roughly	equidistant	ly along ea	ch side of t	he streaı	n.		25 feet fron	n each
10	V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>				sticks, or oth				s <4" dia	mete	r and <36"	5.00
		iong are mo		Side	t cover of th	o uculial ia)		-			1	
		0	Lett 0	Side 10	10	10	Right 10	Side 0	(	)	1	
		U	U	10	10	10	10	U		,		
1	V <sub>HERB</sub>	include woo	ody stems a percentages	t least 4" db	aceous vege oh and 36" ta n 200% are a	all. Because	there may b	e severa	layers o	f grou	and cover	95 %
						_						
				Side				Side			] '	
	e Variable 1		100 e entire cate	90 chment of t	90 the stream.		Right	Side 100	10	00		
		2 within the	100 e entire cate verage of F	90 chment of t	the stream.	ned:					% in Catch	
		2 within the	100 e entire cate verage of F	90 chment of t	the stream.	ned:			Rur Sco	noff	% in Catch- ment	Runni
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the	e entire cate verage of R	90 chment of t Runoff Score	the stream.	ned:			Rur	noff ore		Runni
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the Weighted A	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:			Rur	noff ore	ment	Runnii Perce (not >1)
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:			Rur Sco	noff ore	ment 3	Runnii Perce (not >1)
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the Weighted A	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:			Rur Sco	noff ore	ment 3	Runnii Perce (not >1)
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the Weighted A	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:			Rur Sco	noff ore	ment 3	Runni Perce (not >1
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the Weighted A	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:		100	Rur Sco	noff ore	ment 3	Runnii Perce (not >1)
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the Weighted A	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:		100	Rur Sco	noff ore	ment 3	Runnii Perce (not >1)
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the Weighted A	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:		100	Rur Sco	noff ore	ment 3	Runni Perce (not >1
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the Weighted A	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:		100	Rur Sco	noff ore	ment 3	Runni Perce (not >1
	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	2 within the Weighted A	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:		100	Rur Sco	noff ore	ment 3	Runni Perce (not >1
	Forest and n	2 within the Weighted A	a entire cate  Average of F  Land	90 Chment of the Runoff Score Use (Choose cover)	the stream.	ned:	90	100	Rur Sco	noff ore	ment 3	Runni Perce (not >1
112	Forest and n	2 within the Weighted A anative range (:	e entire cate verage of F  Land <50% ground >75% ground	90  chment of the control of the con	the stream. e for watersh	ned:	90 No	100	Rur Scc	noff pore 5	ment 3	Runni Perce (not >1 3 100
Va	Forest and n Forest and n Some	2 within the Weighted A native range (:	Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI	90  chment of the tunoff Score  Use (Choose Cover)  cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), fi	er Analysis	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite	90 Not objected using imagery and	100  les:  g the 20'd other	Rur Sco	noff pore .5	ment  3  97  and Cover ry datasets	Runni Perce (not >1 3 100
Ve	Forest and n	2 within the Weighted A anative range (:	e entire cate verage of F  Land <50% ground >75% ground	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
2 Ve	Forest and n Forest and n Some	2 within the Weighted A native range (- native range (- Value Not Used,	Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	ment  3  97  and Cover ry datasets	Runnin Percección 1 3 1000
Va V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>EF</sub>	Forest and n Forest and n Seriable CANOPY MBED	2 within the Weighted A mative range (: mative range (: value Not Used, <20% 2.3	Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.58	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
Va V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>St</sub>	Forest and n Forest and n Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE	2 within the Weighted A hative range (- hative	Land VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
Va V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>St</sub>	Forest and n Forest and n Seriable CANOPY MBED	2 within the Weighted A mative range (: mative range (: value Not Used, <20% 2.3	Land <50% ground >75% ground VSI Not Used 0.58	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
Va V <sub>CC</sub> V <sub>St</sub>	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE	2 within the Weighted A hative range (- hative	Land VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
Valver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver V	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n  Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	2 within the Weighted A mative range (s)	VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00 1.00 0.00	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
Va Vcc Vsc Vsc Vsc Vsc Vsc Vsc Vsc Vsc Vsc	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD	2 within the Weighted A  native range (- native range (- native range (- 20% 2.3 2.80 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
Valver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver V	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD	2 within the Weighted A mative range (s)	VSI Not Used 0.58 1.00 1.00 0.00	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
Value	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n  Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG	2 within the Weighted A  native range (- native range (- native range (- 20% 2.3 2.80 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
Valvalia Val	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n  Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	PRR11 Value Not Used, <20% 2.3 2.80 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 43.1	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 0.66	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 1000
Valvering Valver	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n  Forest and n  Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH	RR11 Value Not Used, <20% <2.80 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 43.1 0.00	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 0.66 0.00	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 100
Value	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Solution Solution Solution Forest and n Fore	PRR11 Value Not Used, <20% 2.3 2.80 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 43.1	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 0.66	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	Runni Percec (not >1 3 100
Valver Vsi	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n  Forest and n  Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH	RR11 Value Not Used, <20% <2.80 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 43.1 0.00	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 0.66 0.00	Use (Choose cover)  Land Cov (NLCD), f Watershe	er Analysis rom Lands:	ned:  p List)  s was compat satellite es are bas	90  Not letted using imagery and ed off of field the second secon	tes:  j the 20'd other Id deline	Rur Sco	noff pore .5 1	and Cover ry datasets impacts.	100

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-RR11		LOCATION Fran	klin County	
STATION #_13518+14 R	IVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	Ephemeral	
LAT 37.101127 LO	ONG -80.039653	RIVER BASIN U	Jpper Roan	oke
STORET#		AGENCY VADEO	)	
INVESTIGATORS KB and	d TC			
FORM COMPLETED BY	KB	DATE 8/24/21 TIME 11:30 AM		REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment
WEATHER CONDITIONS	rain ( showers		ours	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?  Yes No  Air Temperature 34 0 C  Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the sit		reas sample	ed (or attach a photograph)
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem  Perennial Into Stream Origin  Glacial Non-glacial montane Swamp and bog	☐Spring-fed	Crigins	Stream Type  ☐Coldwater ☑Warmwater  Catchment Area 0.30 km²

Notes: Low flow.

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Predon Fores Field Agric Resid	Pasture Industria	rcial al	Obvious sources Local Watershed Eros	✓ No evidence				
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	Trees		hrubs	<del>-</del>					
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	km² (m²x1000)  red Stream Depth  Velocity  NA m	m m² km² m	High Water Mark  Proportion of Reach R  Morphology Types  Riffle Pool  Channelized  Yes	☐ Partly open				
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	<u>°</u> m² of LWD <u>°</u> m	1 <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> (LWD/	reach area)					
AQUATION VEGETA		Domina	Rooted emergent							
WATER ( (US meas	QUALITY urement)	Specific Dissolve pH 7.08 Turbidi	ed Oxygen 68  ity NA  strument Used YSIVA#4			Chemical Other				
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Absen		Petroleum None	— Εροking at stones which are the undersides black	Other				
INC		STRATE dd up to 1	COMPONENTS		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE ( (does not necessarily add					
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area				
Bedrock	> 256 (10!!)		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	0				
Boulder Cobble	> 256 mm (10") 64-256 mm (2.5		10 25	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic					
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2		30	TATUCK-IVIUU	(FPOM)	0				
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt		25	Marl	grey, shell fragments					
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	• /	10		0					
Clay	< 0.004 mm (sli		0	1						

Notes: Low flow. Only upstream water quality measurements were taken due to low flow.

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-RR11	LOCATION Franklin County						
STATION # 13518+14 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Ephemeral						
LAT <u>37.101127</u> LONG <u>-80.039653</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke						
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ						
INVESTIGATORS KB and TC							
FORM COMPLETED BY KB	DATE 8/24/21 REASON FOR SURVEY TIME 11:30 AM PM Baseline Assessment						

	Habitat		Condition	Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.				
	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.				
ted in	SCORE 14	20 19 18 17 16	5 4 3 2 1 0						
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).				
ıram	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
P <sub>2</sub>	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.				
	SCORE 14	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.				
	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				

Notes: Low flow.

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category						
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor					
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
	SCORE 18	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
ding reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.							
samp	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing development.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
e eva	SCORE 9	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
to p	SCORE 9	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
	SCORE 8	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
	SCORE 6	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
	SCORE 6	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					

Total Score 92 Notes: Low flow.

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-RR11							LOCATION Franklin County												
STATION # 13518+14	_ F	RIVE	RM	ILE_			STREAM CLASS Ephemeral												
LAT 37.101127	_ L	ONO	j -80.	03965	3		RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke												
STORET#							AGE	ENCY V	'ADEQ										
INVESTIGATORS KI	B an	d T	2				LOT NUMBER												
FORM COMPLETED	BY	K	В				DAT TIM	E 8/24/				]	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessm						
HABITAT TYPES		Col	ble	-	%	tage of Sna	ags	nabitat	type pi	ege!	n <b>t</b> tated Other	Ban	ks	%	_%				
SAMPLE	G	ear	used		D-fr	ame	kick	-net			Other								
COLLECTION																			
	н	ow v	were	tne	samp	oles coll	ectea	í L	wadir	ıg		Iroi	n bar	ik Irom boa	Į.				
		Col	ble			r of jab Snaphytes_	ags	s taken —		ege		Ban		Sand )	_				
GENERAL COMMENTS	ш				ffle		es n	ot co	llect	ed	du	e to	o tr	ne absence of	4 K	ICK	ar	eas —	<del></del>
QUALITATIVE I Indicate estimated Dominant									ved,	<b>l</b> = ]	Rar	e, 2	= C	ommon, 3= Abunc	lant,	4 =	=1		
Periphyton					0	1 2	2 3	4		Sli	mes				0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae					0	1 2	2 3	4		Ma	acroi	nve	rtebi	rates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes					0	1 2	2 3	4		Fis	sh				0	1	2	3	4
					0 =	Absen	t/Not	Obser						rganisms), 2 = Cor , 4 = Dominant (>5				18)	
Porifera			2				optera	-					4				2		
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygo	_		0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hem	_		0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Cole	_		0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepio	_	ra	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4 4	Sialio Cory		ne.	0	1	2	3	4 4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipu		ac	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Emp			0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simu			0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabii			0	1	2	3	4						
						Culc			0	_1	2	3	4						

#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-RR11

Stream Name: UNT to North Fork Blackwater River

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/24/2021
Surveyors: KB/TC
Type: Representative

PEBBLE COUNT									
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cum		
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>A</b>	3	3.00	3.00		
	Very Fine	.062125		•	5	5.00	8.00		
	Fine	.12525		<b>4</b>	1	1.00	9.00		
	Medium	.255	SAND	<b>4</b>	0	0.00	9.00		
	Coarse	.50-1.0		•	6	6.00	15.00		
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		<b>4</b>	5	5.00	20.00		
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		<b>4</b>	10	10.00	30.00		
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		<b>4</b>	3	3.00	33.00		
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		<b>4</b>	8	8.00	41.00		
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		<b>4</b>	4	4.00	45.00		
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	•	3	3.00	48.00		
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		<b>4</b>	0	0.00	48.00		
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		•	0	0.00	48.00		
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		<b>^</b>	7	7.00	55.00		
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64	1	<b>A</b>	3	3.00	58.00		
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>4</b>	11	11.00	69.00		
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	COBBLE	<b>4</b>	11	11.00	80.00		
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	CORRLE	<b>A</b>	11	11.00	91.00		
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	•	4	4.00	95.00		
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>4</b>	5	5.00	100.00		
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.00		
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.00		
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.00		
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096		<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.00		
	Bedrock		BDRK	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	100.00		
				Totals	100				
	Total Tally:								

#### RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

UNT to North Fork Blackwater River

S-RR11

River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: Sample Name: Representative Survey Date: 08/24/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	3 5 1 0 6 5 10 3 8 4 3 0 0 7 3 11 11 11 4 5 0 0	3.00 5.00 1.00 0.00 6.00 5.00 10.00 3.00 8.00 4.00 3.00 0.00 0.00 7.00 3.00 11.00 11.00 4.00 5.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	3.00 8.00 9.00 9.00 15.00 20.00 30.00 33.00 41.00 45.00 48.00 48.00 55.00 58.00 69.00 80.00 91.00 95.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	1.2 6.27 35.71 146.91 256 362 3 17 38 37 5		

Total Particles = 100.

#### **Ephemeral Stream Assessment Form (Form 1a)** Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia For use in ephemeral streams Cowardin **Impact** Impact Project # **Project Name** Locality HUC SAR# Date Class. Length **Factor** Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R6 03010101 8/24/21 S-RR11 77 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County Name(s) of Evaluator(s) Stream Name and Information SAR Length TC KB Unnamed Tributary to North Fork Blackwater River 79 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) NOTES>> **Conditional Category** Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Low Marginal: Non-maintained, High Poor: Lawns mowed, and High Suboptimal Low Suboptimal lense herbaceou Low Poor: High Marginal: naintained area Riparian areas witl Riparian areas wit Non-maintained egetation, riparia nurseries; no-till Impervious ee stratum (dbh : ee stratum (dbh lense herbaceou reas lacking shrub cropland: actively surfaces, mine 3 inches) present with 30% to 60% 3 inches) present Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover and an non-maintained understory. Wetlands vegetation with and tree stratum hay production, spoil lands, enuded surface grazed pasture Riparian with >30% tree arsely vegetate tree canopy cover canopy cover and a maintained **Buffers** or a tree laver (dbl onds, open wate non-maintained row crops, active and containing both herbaceous and > 3 inches) present, with <30% If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 area, recently seeded and feed lots, trails, o nderstory. Rece other comparable cutover (dense shrub layers or a tree canopy cover inches) present, tabilized, or othe conditions. non-maintained vegetation). with <30% tree canopy cover wit comparable condition. understory. maintained understory. High Low High Low High Low Condition 1.5 1.2 1.1 0.85 0.6 0.5 0.75 **Scores** 1. Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below of % Riparian 3. Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 85% 15% 100% Right Bank 0.85 0.5 CI= (Sum % RA \* Scores\*0.01)/2 15% CI % Riparian Area> 85% 100% Rt Bank CI > 0.80 Left Bank 0.85 0.5 Lt Bank CI > 0.80 0.80 REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

I should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> RCI= (Riparian CI)/2

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 31

0.40

CR = RCI X LF X IF


#### **INSERT PHOTOS:**


(WSSI Photo Location L:\22000s\22860.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread H\Field Forms\S-RR11\Photos\DS VIEW.JPG)



Looking downstream within the ROW. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.

DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:	
	PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER










PROFILE
H: 1"=10' | SCALE: V: 1"=5'

## **SURVEY NOTES:**

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on April 15, 2019.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on field stakes).












PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM

TO THE SOUTHWEST ON 04/15/2019


PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS

Wetland

252.8)

to \rankling

Profile



POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM @ IMPACT LIMITS AT LEFT BANK





PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM @ IMPACT LIMITS AT RIGHT BANK

CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-RR11 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)								
	PR	POST-CROSSING						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ			
PT. LUC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.			
TS-L	13482806.17	1920398.35	1485.28					
BS-L	13472811.33	1920393.78	1481.17					
THW	13472815.31	1920390.52	1480.54					
BS-R	13472815.52	1920390.20	1480.84					
TS-R	13472839.47	1920370.51	1492.85					




	Rev. App. By						
	Rev. By						
REVISIONS	υ					SCALE: AS NOTED	
	Description					DATE: September, 2021	
	No. Date					re: Sep	
	No.					DAI	
LLouis	1 1	Data	 MAD	1002 11	TM 77	ATE 17AT	


Horiz	zontal ]	Datı	ım:	NAD	1983 U	TM ZC	)NE
Verti	cal Da	tum	:	NA	VD	88	
_			_	~			

Boundary and Topo Source: WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Approved JSF NAS EJC Sheet # 1 of 1

Computer File Name: :\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread H Work Dwgs 2865\_03 S-H MP 245-253 Sheets.dwg



S-RR11 BASELINE CROSS SECTION B 1496 1494 1492 WATER SURFACE EL. =1481.2' (APRIL 2019) ₹ 1486 1484 1482 1480 EX. THALWEG EL.: 1480.5 1478 0+20 0+30 0+40 0+500 + 100+70



DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)

NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.