### **Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-A20 (Timber Mat Crossing) Perennial Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial Stream (not shadeable, slope >4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A- Mostly bedrock no benthic samples
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓




Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at S-A20 looking N upstream, DW



Photo Type: DS COND DS
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at S-A20 looking S downstream, DW




Photo Type: LB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at S-A20 pipe centerline looking W at left streambank, DW




Photo Type: RB CL
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at S-A20 pipe centerline looking S at right streambank,
DW



Photo Type: US COND
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at S-A20 looking N upstream, DW

Spread I Stream S-A20 (Timber Mat Crossing) Franklin County



Photo Type: DS VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at S-A20 looking SE downstream, DW

		IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	36.987715	Lon.	-79.698555	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:	8/25/2021		
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage},			S-A20/4	63.82 ac		MITIGATION STREAM CLAS	SS./SITE ID AND Streage}, unaltered or impa				Comments:	
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	20	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:	No	Mitigation Length:	
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	g Condition (Debit)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigatio Post Compl	n Projected at Five `letion (Credit)	/ears	Column No. 4- Mitigation Projec Post Completion (Cr		Column No. 5- Mitigation Proje	ected at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Perennia	al	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel SI	lope	1.99	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe		Percent Stream Channe	el Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel Slop	oe 0	Percent Stream Channel	Slope 0
HGM Score (attach d	lata forms):		HGM Score (attach d	lata forms):		HGM Score (att	ach data forms):		HGM Score (attach data	a forms):	HGM Score (attach	data forms):
		Average		Average				Average		Average		Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	l Biological Indicato	ors	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	l Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemic	al and Biological Ind	licators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and Bi	iological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical a	nd Biological Indicators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score	F	Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all str	reams classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ams classifications)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)  1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	12	USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)  1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	<b>et)</b> 0-20		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)  1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet  1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20
Embeddedness	0-20	20	Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20	2. Embeddedness	0-20
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	17	3. Pool Variability	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		, , ,	0-20	3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20
Sediment Deposition     Channel Flow Status	0-20	20 14	Sediment Deposition     Channel Flow Status	0-20		Sediment Deposition     Channel Flow Status	0-20		<del>                                     </del>	0-20	Sediment Deposition     Channel Flow Status	0-20
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	11	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1			0-20 0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20 0-1
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	20	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20			0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	18	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		<u> </u>	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	10	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		,	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	6	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & R			` ,	0-20	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB	
Total RBP Score	Suboptimal	148	Total RBP Score	Poor <b>0</b>		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0
Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ant and Parannial Stroam	0.74	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Parannial Strooms)		Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interior	mittent and Perennial St	0	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Parannial Streams)	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	0
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General		ms)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Ger		eams)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gene	
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity	
<=99 - 90 points <b>pH</b>	0-90	70.7	рН	0-90		рН	0-90		Hq	0-90	На	0-90
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	0-80	7.61		5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1		5-90 0-1
DO		5.0	DO	0		DO			DO		DO	
>5.0 = 30 points Sub-Total	10-30	7.89	Sub-Total	10-30		Sub-Total	10-30	0	Sub-Total	10-30	Sub-Total	10-30
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ittent and Perennial Stre	eams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to In	ntermittent and Perenr	ial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inte	ermittent and Perennial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
0	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1
Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	1	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
PART II - Index and L	Jnit Score		PART II - Index and t	Jnit Score		PART II - Index	and Unit Score		PART II - Index and Uni	t Score	PART II - Index and	d Unit Score
Index	Linear Feet U	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score
0.870	20	17.4	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS					
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE	REASON FOR SURVEY			

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now Past 24 hours Yes No  storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) %cloud cover clear/sunny  Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?  Yes No  Air Temperature O C  Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)  Pipe  Store  Store
	Timber Mat ROW
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Tidal  Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater  Stream Origin Glacial Spring-fed Non-glacial montane Swamp and bog Other

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field/ Agric	Pasture Industria	rcial	Local Watershed NPS Pollution  No evidence ☐ Some potential sources  Obvious sources  Local Watershed Erosion  None Moderate Heavy	
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	Trees	SI SI	hrubs	Ominant species present Grasses Herbaceous	
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	ed Reach Length ed Stream Width g Reach Area km² (m²x1000) ed Stream Depth Velocity m	m m m² km²	Canopy Cover Partly open Partly shaded Shaded  High Water Markm  Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle % Run% Pool%  Channelized Yes No  Dam Present Yes No	
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		m²	n <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> (LWD/	reach area)	
AQUATION VEGETA		Roote Floati <b>Domin</b> a	e the dominant type and d emergent Re ng Algae At unt species present of the reach with aquat	ooted submerge tached Algae		
WATER QUALITY  Temperature Specific Conductance_ Dissolved Oxygen pH Turbidity WQ Instrument Used _					Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other	
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE  Odors Normal Sewage Chemical Anaerobic Other  Oils Absent Slight Modera					Relict shells Other	_
INC	ORGANIC SUBS		COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)	
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic % Composition in Sampling Area	
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2			Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)		Marl	grey, shell fragments	

Silt

Clay

0.004-0.06 mm

< 0.004 mm (slick)

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS					
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY			

	Habitat	Condition Category									
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor						
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.						
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).						
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat	Condition Category									
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor						
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.						
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.						
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0						
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0						
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.						
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0						
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0						
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.						
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0						
ĺ	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0						

Total	Caama	
i otai	Score	

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME	LOCATION							
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS							
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN							
STORET#	AGENCY							
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER						
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE REASON FOR SURVEY							
HADITAT TVDES Indicate the movementage of each habitat time present								

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand%  Submerged Macrophytes% Other ( )%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other  How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.  Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )
GENERAL COMMENTS	

#### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-A20

Stream Name: UNT to Jacks Creek

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/25/2021 Surveyors: JM, DW Type: Representative

	D - DETGT E		LE COUNT			I	0/ 0
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>A</b>	14	14.00	14.00
	Very Fine	.062125		<b>4</b>	0	0.00	14.00
	Fine	.12525		<b>4</b>	0	0.00	14.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	<b>4</b>	0	0.00	14.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		<b>*</b>	0	0.00	14.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		<b>*</b>	0	0.00	14.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		<b>*</b>	0	0.00	14.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7	1	<b>*</b>	0	0.00	14.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	1	•	0	0.00	14.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	GRAVEL	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	14.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	14.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	14.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	14.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	14.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64	1	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	14.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>A</b>	3	3.00	17.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	<b>-</b>	<b>A</b>	3	3.00	20.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	20.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	<b>A</b>	0	0.00	20.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>A</b>	0	0.00	20.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	20.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>4</b>	0	0.00	20.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	20.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	<b>^</b>	0	0.00	20.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	<b>^</b>	80	80.00	100.0
				Totals	100		

#### RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: UNT to Jacks Creek Reach Name: S-A20 Representative Survey Date: 08/25/2021

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	14 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14.00 0.00	14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	81.33 Bedrock Bedrock Bedrock Bedrock 14 0 0 6 0 80		

Total Particles = 100.

#### **Stream Assessment Form (Form 1)** Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia For use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin Impact Impact Project # Project Name (Applicant) Locality HUC Date SAR# Class \_ength **Factor** Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R3 03010101 8/25/2021 S-A20 20 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County SAR Length Stream Name and Information Name(s) of Evaluator(s) 97 JM, DW **UNT to Jacks Creek** 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Conditional Category Optimal Suboptimal Poor Severe Marginal Slightly incised, few areas of active /ery little incision or active erosion; 80 Deeply incised (or excavated), ened/incised. 100% stable banks. Vegetative osion or unprotected banks. Majorit Poor, Banks more stable than Severe laterally unstable. Likely to widen vertical/lateral instability. Severe of banks are stable (60-80%). or Poor due to lower bank slopes further. Majority of both banks ar ncision, flow contained within the Channel prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of near vertical. Erosion present on 60banks. Streambed below average Condition ankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to banks. Vegetative protection present on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficien majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in than 20% of banks, is not preventing erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80eveloped wide bankfull benches. Mic stability. The bankfull and low flow channels are well defined. Stream be vertical or undercut. AND/OR 40-60% Sediment may be temporary channel bars and transverse bars few Transient sediment deposition covers likely has access to bankfull transient, contribute instability. 100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. less than 10% of bottom. benches.or newly developed Deposition that contribute to stability nature, and contributing to instability AND/OR V-shaped channels have portions of the reach. Transient sediment covers 10-40% of the may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative vegetative protection is present on > stream bottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 10% of the banks and stable sedimen Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow. CI to stability. 2.00 **Scores** 3 2.4 1.6 1 NOTES>> Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Low Marginal: ligh Poor: Lawns Non-maintained High Suboptimal: Low Suboptimal mowed, and **High Marginal** ense herhaceou Riparian areas wit naintained area Low Poor: Non-maintained, vegetation, tree stratum (dbh > tree stratum (dbh : nurseries: no-till Impervious lense herbaceou riparian areas cropland; actively 3 inches) present. 3 inches) present surfaces mine vegetation with acking shrub and with 30% to 60% Free stratum (dbh > 3 inches) presen with 30% to 60% grazed pasture, spoil lands, Riparian either a shrub tree stratum, hav with > 60% tree canopy cover. ree canopy cove tree canopy cover parsely vegetate denuded surfaces layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 roduction, ponds open water. If and a maintained **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian and containing row crops, active areas. both herbaceous understory. area, recently feed lots, trails, or inches) present with <30% tree present, tree and shrub layers Recent cutove seeded and other comparable conditions. stratum (dbh >3 or a non-(dense abilized, or othe canopy cover inches) present maintained vegetation). comparable with <30% tree understory. condition. canopy cover with maintained High Low High Low High Low 1.5 0.85 0.75 0.6 0.5 Scores 1.2 1.1 1. Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you of % Riparian below. Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Right Bank Score > 0.85 CI= (Sum % RA \* Scores\*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Rt Bank CI > CI Left Bank 0.85 Score > 0.85 0.85 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/poo complexes, stable features **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Instream Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are Habitat elements listed above are Available Habitat elements are typically presen sent in 30-50% of the reach and a lacking or are unstable. Habitat in greater than 50% of the reach adequate for maintenance of adequate for maintenance of nents are typically present in less than 10% of the reach. Cover populations. populations

Scores

1.5

1.2

0.9

0.5

Stream Gradient

High / Low

CI

0.50

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2										
Project #	Project Name (Applicant)  Locality  Cowardin Class.  HUC Date SAR # Impact Impact length Factor									
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline Valley Pipeline, L	•	Franklin County	R3	03010101	8/25/2021	S-A20	20	1	
4. CHANNEL ALTERATION: Stream crossings, riprap, concrete, gabions, or concrete blocks, straightening of channel, channelization, embankments, spoil piles, constrictions, livestock										
	Conditional Category  Negligible Minor Moderate Severe							NOTES>>		
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an	Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel	of the channel	ou - 50% of treatment of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not	Greater than 80% o by any of the chann in the parameter g 80% of banks sho riprap, or	el alterations listed uidelines AND/OR ored with gabion,			
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.	5			
REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH										

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 0.81

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 16


CR = RCI X L<sub>I</sub> X IF


#### **INSERT PHOTOS:**




DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER







NOTE: SEE S-A19/S-H26 PROFILE AND CROSS-SECTIONS

BASELINE SURVEY FOR DETAILED SURVEY

INFORMATION.


# SURVEY NOTES:

1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on November 27, 2018.


( IN FEET )


1 inch = 20 ft.

- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).



CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-A20 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)									
	PR	E-CROSSING		POST-C	ROSSING				
DT LOC	NODTHING	FACTING	F1 F1/	VERT.	HORZ.				
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.				
TS-L	13432694.27	2020416.79	820.91						
BS-L	13432697.47	2020409.90	811.50						
THW	13432697.47	2020409.16	810.72						
BS-R	13432700.31	2020402.20	812.68						
TS-R	13432702.70	2020397.80	815.78						










PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM ALONG RIGHT BANK ON 04/09/2018






PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING TOWARD LEFT BANK FROM PIPE CENTERLINE ON 04/09/2018



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING TOWARD RIGHT BANK FROM PIPE CENTERLINE ON 04/09/2018

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS										
PENDING CROSSING										

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING




PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

	CROSS	SECTION
	SCALE:	H: 1"=10' V: 1"=5'
J		V. 1 – J

CROSS SECTION LEGEND	
EXISTING GRADE	

NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

Vertical Datum: NAVD 88 Boundary and Topo Source:

WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Draft Approved MGE NAS EJC Sheet #

1 of 1

78.  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$ 

Computer File Name: :\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs 865\_03 S-I MP 268-278 Sheets\_2.dwg