Reach S-C16 (Timber Mat Crossing) Perennial Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

| Data | Included |
|---|--|
| Photos | \checkmark |
| SWVM Form | \checkmark |
| FCI Calculator and HGM Form | N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable) |
| RBP Physical Characteristics Form | \checkmark |
| Water Quality Data | \checkmark |
| RBP Habitat Form | \checkmark |
| RBP Benthic Form | \checkmark |
| Benthic Identification Sheet | \checkmark |
| Wolman Pebble Count | \checkmark |
| RiverMorph Data Sheet | \checkmark |
| USM Form (Virginia Only) | \checkmark |
| Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections | \checkmark |

*Benthic samples collected 8/31/21, shipped 9/8/21

Spread I Stream S-C16 (Timber Mat) Franklin County



Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking W upstream, DW



Photo Type: DS COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking E downstream, DW

Spread I Stream S-C16 (Timber Mat) Franklin County



Photo Type: LB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking S at right streambank, DW



Photo Type: RB CL Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking N at left streambank, DW

Spread I Stream S-C16 (Timber Mat) Franklin County



Photo Type: US COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking W upstream, DW



Photo Type: DS VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking E downstream, DW

| JSACE FILE NO./ Project Name: Mountain V (2.1, Sept 2015) | | | in Valley Pipeline | | COORDINATES: ecimal Degrees) | Lat. | 37.06061 | Lon. | -79.921179 | WEATHER: | | Sunny |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------|---|---|---------------|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage} | | | S | -C16/111.2 ac | | | MITIGATION STREAM CLA (watershed size {a | ASS./SITE ID AND acreage}, unaltered or in | | | | |
| STREAM IMPACT LENGTH: | 20 | FORM OF MITIGATION: | RESTORATION (Levels I-III) | | OORDINATES: ecimal Degrees) | Lat. | | Lon. | | PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS: | | No |
| Column No. 1- Impact Existin | g Condition (Del | bit) | Column No. 2- Mitigation Exist | ting Condition - Bas | eline (Credit) | | Column No. 3- Mitigati Post Comp | ion Projected at Fiv pletion (Credit) | e Years | Column No. 4- Mitigation Pro Post Completion | | ears |
| Stream Classification: | Pere | nnial | Stream Classification: | | | | Stream Classification: | | 0 | Stream Classification: | | 0 |
| Percent Stream Channel S | lope | 1.27 | Percent Stream Chann | el Slope | | | Percent Stream Chanr | nel Slope | 0 | Percent Stream Channel S | lope | 0 |
| HGM Score (attach d | lata forms): | | HGM Score (at | tach data forms): | | | HGM Score (at | ttach data forms) | : | HGM Score (attach o | lata forms): | |
| | | Average | | | Average | | | | Average | | | Average |
| Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling | | 0 | Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling | | 0 | | Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling | | 0 | Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling | | 0 |
| Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and | Biological Indic | ators | Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemic | cal and Biological In | dicators | | Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemi | ical and Biological | Indicators | Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and | l Biological India | icators |
| | Points Scale Range | Site Score | | Points Scale Range | | | | Points Scale Rar | | | Points Scale Range | |
| PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams | | | PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all st | | | | PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all si | | | PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream | | |
| USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) | s classifications) | | USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data She | | | | USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sho | , | | USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) | s classifications) | |
| 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover | 0-20 | 20 | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover | 0-20 | | | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover | 0-20 | | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover | 0-20 | |
| 2. Embeddedness | 0-20 | <u>18</u> 9 | 2. Pool Substrate Characterization 3. Pool Variability | 0-20 | | | 2. Embeddedness | 0-20 | | 2. Embeddedness | 0-20 | |
| 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition | 0-20 | 16 | 4. Sediment Deposition | 0-20 | | | 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition | 0-20 | | 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 4. Sediment Deposition | 0-20 | |
| 5. Channel Flow Status | 0-20 | 9 | 5. Channel Flow Status | 0-20 | | | 5. Channel Flow Status | 0-20 | | 5. Channel Flow Status | 0-20 | |
| 6. Channel Alteration | 0-20 0-1 | 15 | 6. Channel Alteration | 0-20 | | | 6. Channel Alteration | 0-20 | -1 | 6. Channel Alteration | 0-20 | |
| 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) | 0-20 | 10 | 7. Channel Sinuosity | 0-20 | | | 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) | 0-20 | | 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) | 0-20 | |
| 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) | 0-20 | 11 | 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) | 0-20 | | | 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) | 0-20 | | 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) | 0-20 | |
| 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) | 0-20 | 10 | 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) | 0-20 | | | 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) | 0-20 | | 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) | 0-20 | |
| 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) | 0-20 | 10 | 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & R | | | | 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & F | | | 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) | 0-20 | |
| Total RBP Score | Suboptimal | 128 | Total RBP Score | Poor | 0 | | Total RBP Score | Poor | 0 | Total RBP Score | Poor | 0 |
| Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter | nt and Perennial Str | 0.64 reams) | Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter | rmittent and Perennial S | 0 treams) | | Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inte | ermittent and Perennial | | Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittee | ent and Perennial St | U |
| WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Genera | I) | | WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Ge | neral) | | | WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Ge | eneral) | | WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Genera | al) | |
| Specific Conductivity | 0.00 | 50.0 | Specific Conductivity | 0.00 | | | Specific Conductivity | 0.00 | | Specific Conductivity | | |
| <=99 - 90 points | 0-90 | 59.8 | pH | 0-90 | | | На | 0-90 | | pH | 0-90 | |
| рН | 0-1 | 8.59 | μn I | 5-90 0-1 | | | pn | 5-90 0- | .1 | βn | 5-90 0-1 | |
| 8.1-9.0 = 45 points | | | DO | | | | DO | | | DO | | |
| | 10-30 | 7.39 | | 10-30 | | | | 10-30 | | | 10-30 | |
| >5.0 = 30 points Sub-Total | | 0.825 | Sub-Total | | 0 | | Sub-Total | | 0 | Sub-Total | | 0 |
| BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit | ttont and Poronnial | | BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to In | tormittant and Parannial | | | BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to | Intermittent and Pere | ~ | BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter | mittont and Poron | v |
| | | Streams) | | | Suearrs | | | | | | | |
| WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | 0-100 0-1 | | WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | 0-100 0-1 | | | WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | 0-100 0- | 1 | WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | 0-100 0-1 | |
| 0 Sub-Total | | 0 | Sub-Total | | 0 | | Sub-Total | | 0 | Sub-Total | | 0 |
| | | | | | U | | | | | oub-rotai | | |
| PART II - Index and U | Jnit Score | | PART II - Index | x and Unit Score | | | PART II - Inde | ex and Unit Score | | PART II - Index and I | Jnit Score | |
| Index | Linear Feet | Unit Score | Index | Linear Feet | Unit Score | | Index | Linear Fee | et Unit Score | Index | Linear Feet | Unit Score |
| 0.733 | 20 | 14.65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <u>.</u> | 1 | <u> </u> | L | | 1 | | L | | | | | |

| PART II - Index and Unit Score | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Index | Linear Feet | Unit Score | | | | | |
| 0.733 | 20 | 14.65 | | | | | |

West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

| , | DATE: | | | | | | | |
|------|--|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | August 28, 2021 | | | | | | |
| | Comments: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Mitigation Length: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Column No. 5- Mitigation Projecte | ed at Matu | ırity (Cı | redit) | | | | |
| | Stream Classification: | | 0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Percent Stream Channel Si HGM Score (attach da | | <u></u> | 0 | | | | |
| | | |); | 1 | | | | |
| ge | | | | Average | | | | |
| | Hydrology | | | | | | | |
| | Biogeochemical Cycling | | | 0 | | | | |
| | Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and | Biologica | Indica | tors | | | | |
| | | Diologica | indica | | | | | |
| re | | Points Scale | Range | Site Score | | | | |
| | PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams | classificatio | ons) | | | | | |
| | USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) | | | _ | | | | |
| | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover | 0-20 | _ | | | | | |
| | 2. Embeddedness | 0-20 | - | | | | | |
| | 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime | 0-20 | - | | | | | |
| | 4. Sediment Deposition | 0-20 | - | | | | | |
| | 5. Channel Flow Status | 0-20 | 0-1 | | | | | |
| | 6. Channel Alteration | 0-20 | - | | | | | |
| | 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) | 0-20 | - | | | | | |
| | 8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) | 0-20 | - | | | | | |
| | 9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) | 0-20 | - | | | | | |
| | Total RBP Score | Po | or | 0 | | | | |
| | Sub-Total | - | | 0 | | | | |
| | CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten | t and Peren | nial Stre | ams) | | | | |
| | WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) | | | | | | | |
| | Specific Conductivity | | | | | | | |
| | | 0-90 | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | | |
| | рН | 1 | 0-1 | | | | | |
| | | 5-90 | 0-1 | | | | | |
| | DO | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | 10-30 | | | | | | |
| | Sub-Total | | <u> </u> | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| s) | BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm | ittent and I | Perennia | al Streams) | | | | |
| | WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI) | | | | | | | |
| | | 0.400 | 0.4 | | | | | |
| | | 0-100 | 0-1 | | | | | |
| | Sub-Total | | | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | PART II - Index and U | nit Seere | | | | | | |
| | PART II - Index and U | int Score | | | | | | |
| core | Index | Linear | Feet | Unit Score | | | | |
| | | Linear | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | | |
|] | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

| STREAM NAME S-C16 | | LOCATION Franklin County | |
|----------------------------|---|--|---|
| STATION # R | IVERMILE 262.1 | STREAM CLASS Perennial | |
| LAT 37.06061 LO | ONG79.921179 | RIVER BASIN Upper Roanol | (e |
| STORET # | | AGENCY VADEQ | |
| INVESTIGATORS JM DV | V CB | - | |
| FORM COMPLETED BY | JM | DATE 8/28/2021 TIME 1100 | REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment |
| | 1 | | |
| WEATHER CONDITIONS | rain (showers | (heavy rain) (steady rain) (steady rain) | Ias there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes ✓ No .ir Temperature 26.7 ° C Other |
| SITE LOCATION/MAP | Draw a map of the sit | e and indicate the areas sampled | l (or attach a photograph) |
| | | | |
| STREAM CHARACTERIZATION | Stream Subsystem ☑ Perennial Into Stream Origin ☐ Glacial Non-glacial montane ☐ Swamp and bog | C Spring-fed | tream Type Coldwater Catchment Area 0.45 km ² |

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

| WATERSHED FEATURES RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer) | Predominant Surrounding Landuse Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural Other Residential Industrial Indicate the dominant type and record the domin Trees Dominant species present Unknown | Local Watershed NPS Pollution ☑ No evidence □ Some potential sources □ Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion ☑ None □ Moderate □ Moderate □ Heavy nant species present □ Herbaceous |
|--|---|--|
| INSTREAM FEATURES | Estimated Reach Length 16.7 m Estimated Stream Width 1 m Sampling Reach Area 16.7 m² Area in km² (m²x1000) N/A km² Estimated Stream Depth 0.102 m Surface Velocity (at thalweg) 0.08 m/sec | Canopy Cover |
| LARGE WOODY DEBRIS | LWD <u>•</u> m ² Density of LWD <u>•</u> m ² /km ² (LWD/ read | _{ch area)} O |
| AQUATIC VEGETATION | Indicate the dominant type and record the domin Rooted emergent Floating Algae Dominant species present None Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation 0 | hant species present ☐Rooted floating ☐Free floating _% |
| WATER QUALITY | Temperature 20.8d/20.9u 0 C Specific Conductance 59.8d/59.7u ms/cm Dissolved Oxygen 7.39d/8.20u mg/L pH 8.59d/8.15u su Turbidity N/A | Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other Water Surface Oils Slick Slick Sheen Globs Vone Other Turbidity (if not measured) Turbid Clear Slightly turbid Turbid Opaque Stained Other |
| SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE | Odors Sewage Petroleum Chemical Anaerobic None Other Oils Pofuse | Deposits □Sludge □Sawdust □Paper fiber □Sand □Relict shells □Other □Lpoking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color? □Yes ☑No |

| INC | DRGANIC SUBSTRATE (should add up to 1 | | | ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%) | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---|----------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Substrate Type | Diameter | Diameter % Composition in Sampling Reach | | Characteristic | % Composition in Sampling Area | | | |
| Bedrock | | 0 | Detritus | sticks, wood, coarse plant | 0 | | | |
| Boulder | > 256 mm (10") | 20 | | materials (CPOM) | 0 | | | |
| Cobble | 64-256 mm (2.5"-10") | 30 | Muck-Mud | black, very fine organic (FPOM) | 0 | | | |
| Gravel | 2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5") | 50 | | (FPOIVI) | 0 | | | |
| Sand | 0.06-2mm (gritty) | 0 | Marl | grey, shell fragments | 0 | | | |
| Silt | 0.004-0.06 mm | 0 |] | | 0 | | | |
| Clay | < 0.004 mm (slick) | 0 | | | | | | |

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

| STREAM NAME S-C16 | LOCATION Franklin County | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| STATION # RIVERMILE 262.1 | STREAM CLASS Perennial | | | |
| LAT <u>37.06061</u> LONG <u>-79.921179</u> | RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke | | | |
| STORET # | AGENCY VADEQ | | | |
| INVESTIGATORS JM DW CB | | | | |
| FORM COMPLETED BY JM | DATE 8/28/2021 REASON FOR SURVEY TIME 1100 AM PM Baseline Assessment | | | |

| | Habitat | | Condition | a Category | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Parameter | Optimal | Suboptimal | Marginal | Poor | | | |
| | 1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover | Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient). | 40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). | 20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. | Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. | | | |
| | _{SCORE} 20 | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | |
| sampling reach | 2. Embeddedness | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment. | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment. | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. | | | |
| ted in | score 18 | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | |
| Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach | 3. Velocity/Depth Regime | All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) | Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). | Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). | Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep). | | | |
| ıram | _{SCORE} 9 | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | |
| Paran | 4. Sediment Deposition | Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. | Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. | Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. | Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. | | | |
| | _{score} 16 | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | |
| | 5. Channel Flow Status | Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. | Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. | Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. | Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. | | | |
| | score 9 | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | |

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

| | Habitat | | Condition | 1 Category | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Parameter | Optimal | Suboptimal | Marginal | Poor | | | |
| | 6. Channel Alteration | Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern. | Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present. | Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted. | Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely. | | | |
| | _{score} 15 | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | |
| ding reach | 7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) | Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important. | Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15. | Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25. | Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25. | | | |
| amp | _{score} 10 | 20 19 18 17 16 | 15 14 13 12 11 | 10 9 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 2 1 0 | | | |
| Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach | 8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing deurstream. | Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. | Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion. | Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods. | Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars. | | | |
| e ev | SCORE 6 | Left Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 | | | |
| ; to b | SCORE 5 | Right Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 | | | |
| Parameter | 9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) | More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. | 70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. | 50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. | Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height. | | | |
| | SCORE 5 | Left Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 | | | |
| | SCORE 5 | Right Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 | | | |
| | 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) | Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone. | Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally. | Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal. | Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities. | | | |
| | SCORE 5 | Left Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 | | | |
| | SCORE 5 | Right Bank 10 9 | 8 7 6 | 5 4 3 | 2 1 0 | | | |

Total Score 128

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

| STREAM NAME S-C | :16 | LOCATION Franklin County | , | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| STATION # | _ RIVERMILE | STREAM CLASS Perennial | | | | |
| LAT _37.06061 | LONG79.921179 | RIVER BASIN Upper Roand | ke | | | |
| STORET # | | AGENCY VADEQ | | | | |
| INVESTIGATORS JA | I DW CB | | LOT NUMBER | | | |
| FORM COMPLETED | JM | DATE 08-31-21 TIME 1100 | REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| HABITAT TYPES | Cobble% Sn | Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand% Submerged Macrophytes% Other ()% | | | | |
| SAMPLE COLLECTION | Cobble₄ □Sn | lected? wading f bs/kicks taken in each habitat to lags Vegetated B | rom bank | | | |
| | Submerged Macrophytes | Other (|) | | | |
| GENERAL COMMENTS | Four kicks were t | aken in riffle habitat | t. | | | |

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

| Periphyton | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Slimes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Filamentous Algae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Macroinvertebrates | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Macrophytes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Fish | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

| Porifera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Anisoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Chironomidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Hydrozoa | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Zygoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Ephemeroptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Platyhelminthes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Hemiptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Trichoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Turbellaria | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Coleoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Other | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Hirudinea | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Lepidoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Oligochaeta | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Sialidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Isopoda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Corydalidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Amphipoda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tipulidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Decapoda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Empididae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Gastropoda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Simuliidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Bivalvia | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tabinidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Culcidae | Q | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |

Eco ANALYSTS, INC.

| | Sample ID Collection Date | S-C16 08-31-2021 |
|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| ORDER | GENUS/SPECIES | COUNT |
| Ephemeroptera | | 1 |
| | Maccaffertium sp. | 3 |
| Ephemeroptera | | 1 |
| | Teloganopsis deficiens | 1 |
| | Eccoptura xanthenes | 4 |
| Trichoptera | Cheumatopsyche sp. | 5 |
| Trichoptera | Chimarra sp. | 1 |
| Trichoptera | Diplectrona sp. | 1 |
| Trichoptera | Glossosoma sp. | 1 |
| | Hydropsyche sp. | |
| | Hydroptila sp. | 3 |
| Coleoptera | Optioservus sp. | 5 3 2 |
| Coleoptera | Stenelmis sp. | 1 |
| Diptera-Chironomidae | Microtendipes sp. | 1 |
| Diptera-Chironomidae | Parakiefferiella sp. | 1 |
| Diptera-Chironomidae | Paratendipes sp. | 1 |
| Diptera-Chironomidae | Polypedilum sp. | 1 |
| Diptera-Chironomidae | Pseudochironomus sp. | 1 |
| Diptera-Chironomidae | Tanytarsus sp. | 1 |
| Diptera-Chironomidae | Thienemannimyia gr. sp. | 1 |
| Diptera | Antocha sp. | 1 |
| | Dixa sp. | 2 |
| Diptera | Tabanidae | 1 |
| Annelida | | 2 |
| Gastropoda | Ferrissia sp. | 2 2 2 |
| Gastropoda | Lymnaeidae | 2 |
| Gastropoda | Micromenetus sp. | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 47 |

Mountain Valley Pipeline WV SCI Metrics



| Sample ID | |
|---------------------|--------------|
| Collection Date | 08-31-2021 |
| | |
| WVSCI Metric Values | |
| Total taxa | 17 |
| EPT taxa | 8 |
| % EPT | 55.3 |
| % Chironomidae | 14.9 |
| % 2 Dominant | 38.3 |
| HBI | 4.49 |
| | |
| WVSCI Metric Scores | |
| Total taxa | 81.0 |
| EPT taxa | 61.5 |
| % EPT | 60.2 |
| % Chironomidae | 85.9 |
| % 2 Dominant HBI | 96.4 77.6 |
| пы | 11.0 |
| WVSCI Metric Scores | |
| Total taxa | 81.0 |
| EPT taxa | 61.5 |
| % EPT | 60.2 |
| % Chironomidae | 85.9 |
| % 2 Dominant | 96.4 |
| НВІ | 77.6 |
| WVSCI Total Score | 77.1 |

WVSCI Thresholds

Unimpaired = > 68.00 Gray Zone = 60.61 to 68.00 Impaired = <60.61

*Not comparable due to insufficient number of individuals, thus WVSCI score was not reported on SWVM form.

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

S-C16

Upper Roanoke

County:Franklin CountyStream ID:Stream Name:UNT to Teels CreekHUC Code:03010101Survey Date:8/28/2021Surveyors:JM DW CBType:Representative

| | | | LE COUNT | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| Inches | PARTICLE | Millimeters | | Particle Count | Total # | Item % | % Cum |
| | Silt/Clay | < .062 | S/C | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Very Fine | .062125 | | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Fine | .12525 | | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Medium | .255 | SAND | ▲ ▼ | 2 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| | Coarse | .50-1.0 | | ▲ ▼ | 6 | 6.00 | 8.00 |
| .0408 | Very Coarse | 1.0-2 | | ▲ ▼ | 5 | 5.00 | 13.00 |
| .0816 | Very Fine | 2 -4 | | • | 5 | 5.00 | 18.00 |
| .1622 | Fine | 4 -5.7 | | ▲ ▼ | 3 | 3.00 | 21.00 |
| .2231 | Fine | 5.7 - 8 | | • | 6 | 6.00 | 27.00 |
| .3144 | Medium | 8 -11.3 | | • | 3 | 3.00 | 30.00 |
| .4463 | Medium | 11.3 - 16 | GRAVEL | • | 1 | 1.00 | 31.00 |
| .6389 | Coarse | 16 -22.6 | | • | 2 | 2.00 | 33.00 |
| .89 - 1.26 | Coarse | 22.6 - 32 | | ▲ ▼ | 4 | 4.00 | 37.00 |
| 1.26 - 1.77 | Vry Coarse | 32 - 45 | | ▲ ▼ | 9 | 9.00 | 46.00 |
| 1.77 -2.5 | Vry Coarse | 45 - 64 | | ▲ ▼ | 8 | 8.00 | 54.00 |
| 2.5 - 3.5 | Small | 64 - 90 | | ▲ ▼ | 9 | 9.00 | 63.00 |
| 3.5 - 5.0 | Small | 90 - 128 | COBBLE | ▲ ▼ | 12 | 12.00 | 75.00 |
| 5.0 - 7.1 | Large | 128 - 180 | COBBEE | • • | 10 | 10.00 | 85.00 |
| 7.1 - 10.1 | Large | 180 - 256 | | ▲ ▼ | 7 | 7.00 | 92.00 |
| 10.1 - 14.3 | Small | 256 - 362 | | ▲ ▼ | 6 | 6.00 | 98.00 |
| 14.3 - 20 | Small | 362 - 512 | | ▲ ▼ | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 |
| 20 - 40 | Medium | 512 - 1024 | BOULDER | ▲ ▼ | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 40 - 80 | Large | 1024 -2048 | | • • | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 80 - 160 | Vry Large | 2048 -4096 | | • • | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| | Bedrock | | BDRK | • | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| | | | | Totals: | 100 | | |
| | Total Tally: | | | | | | |

| River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: Survey Date: | S-C16 Representative | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Size (mm) | тот # | ITEM % | CUM % |
| 0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock | | $\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 2.00\\ 6.00\\ 5.00\\ 5.00\\ 5.00\\ 3.00\\ 6.00\\ 3.00\\ 1.00\\ 2.00\\ 4.00\\ 9.00\\ 1.00\\ 9.00\\ 12.00\\ 10.00\\ 7.00\\ 6.00\\ 2.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$ | 0.00 0.00 2.00 8.00 13.00 13.00 18.00 21.00 27.00 30.00 31.00 33.00 37.00 46.00 54.00 63.00 75.00 85.00 92.00 98.00 100.00 |
| D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Gravel (%) Boulder (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%) | 3.2 27.3 54.5 174.8 309 511.99 0 13 41 38 8 0 | | |

Total Particles = 100.

| | | S | Strean | | essm tream Method | | • | Form | 1) | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|----|
| Project # | Projec | t Name (Ann | | For use in wadea | able channels cla Cowardin | HUC | nittent or perenn Date | ial SAR # | Impact | Impact | | | | | |
| 22865.06 | Mountain V | Project Name (Applica Iountain Valley Pipeline (N | | Franklin | Class. R3 | 03010101 | 8/28/2021 | S-C16 | Length 20 | Factor 1 | | | | | |
| | Valle e(s) of Evalua | ey Pipeline, I tor(s) | LC) Stream Nam | County e and Inform | | | | | SAR Length | | | | | | |
| | JM, DW, CB | | UNT to Teels | | | | | | 85 | | | | | | |
| . Channel C | ondition: Asse | ess the cross-sec | tion of the stream | and prevailing co | ondition (erosion, a | aggradation) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | Conditional Catego | ory | | oor | Sou | vere | | | | | |
| | Optimal | | Optimal Suboptimal Image: Suboptimal Image: Suboptimal | | | | | ginal | | | | | | | |
| Channel Condition | surface protection prominent (80-100% point bankfull benches ar | %). AND/OR Stable bars / re present. Access | erosion or unprotect of banks are st Vegetative protect prominent (60 | ew areas of active cted banks. Majority table (60-80%). tion or natural rock -80%) AND/OR | Poor. Banks more or Poor due to lo Erosion may be pro both banks. Vege | less than Severe or stable than Severe ower bank slopes. esent on 40-60% of tative protection on | further. Majority near vertical. Eros 80 ⁰ banks. Vegetative | laterally unstable. Likely to widen further. Majority of both banks are near vertical. Erosion present on 60- 80% of banks. Vegetative protection present | | stability. Severe tained within the ed below average depth, vertical/undercut. | | | | | |
| | developed wide bar channel bars and tr Transient sediment | bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully leveloped wide bankfull benches. Mid- channel bars and transverse bars few. Transient sediment deposition covers less than 10% of bottom. | | tures contribute to hkfull and low flow Il defined. Stream ess to bankfull wly developed reach. Transient s 10-40% of the bottom. | be vertical or un 40-60% Sediment transient, contr Deposition that co may be forming/pr shaped channels protection on > 400 depositional featur | ibute instability. ntribute to stability, resent. AND/OR V- s have vegetative | on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient to prevent erosion. the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in nature, and contributing to instability. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > 40% of the banks and stable sediment | | Vegetative protection present on less than 20% of banks, is not preventing erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel than 80% of stream bed is covered to be deposition, contributing to instability. | | present. Erosion/raw banks on 80- 100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. Multiple thread channels and/or | | Vegetative protection present on less than 20% of banks, is not preventing erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80- 100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. Multiple thread channels and/or | | CI |
| Scores | 3 | 3 | 2 | .4 | : | 2 | 1 | .6 | 1 | 2.00 | | | | | |
| NOTES>> 2. RIPARIAN | I BUFFERS: A | Assess both bank | | | limited to are entire SAR. (roug | | | | le) | | | | | | |
| | | | | ditional Cate | | <u>.</u> | | | NOTES>> | | | | | | |
| | Opti | imal | | ptimal | | ginal | Po | oor | | | | | | | |
| Riparian Buffers | Tree stratum (dbh > with > 60% tree Wetlands located are | e canopy cover. within the riparian | High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory. | Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation). | High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover. | Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory. | High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non- maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition. | Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions. | | | | | | | |
| | | | High | Low | High | Low | High | Low | | | | | | | |
| Scores | 1. | 1.5 1.2 1.1 | | | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.6 | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| 2. Determine squ pelow. | • | ach by measuring | g or estimating leng | gth and width. Ca | Calculators are provided for you of % | | | the sums Riparian equal 100 100% | | | | | | | |
| Right Bank | Score > | 0.5 | 0.85 | | | | | 10070 | | | | | | | |
| _ | 0/ 5 | 0.00/ | | | | | | | CI= (Sum % RA * So | , | | | | | |
| Left Bank | % Riparian Area> Score > | 30% 0.5 | 70% 0.85 | | | | | 100% | Rt Bank CI > Lt Bank CI > | 0.75 0.75 | CI 0.75 | | | | |
| . INSTREAM | | | | and depths: woo | dy and leafy debr | is; stable substrat | e; low embededn | ess: shade: under | cut banks; root ma | | | | | | |
| | xes, stable feature | | | | | ., | | , 5, 4.1461 | - | _, _, ., | | | | | |
| Instream | Condition Condit | | | | | ginal | Po | oor | NOTES>> | | | | | | |
| Habitat/ Available | Habitat elements a in greater than 5 | re typically present | Stable habitat eler present in 30-50% | ments are typically 6 of the reach and r maintenance of | Stable habitat eler present in 10-30% | ments are typically 6 of the reach and r maintenance of | Habitat elements lacking or are u | s listed above are instable. Habitat cally present in less | | | | | | | |
| Cover | | | popula | ations. | popula | ations. | than 10% c | of the reach. | Stream (| | | | | | |
| Scores | 1. | .5 | | .2 | | .9 | | .5 | High | LOW | 0.90 | | | | |
| | | St | ream In | npact A | | nent Fo | rm Pag | eZ | | | | | | | |
| | Project Name (Applicant) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project # | | t Name (App alley Pipeline | • | Locality Franklin | Cowardin Class. | HUC | Date | SAR # | Impact Iength | Impact Factor | | | | | |

Reach R3-R4

File: C:\Users\emily.foster\Documents\Projects (for telework)\MVP\WV Stream Assessments Data Management\Virginia Final QC Packages\S-C16_20210926EF\8. S-C16_USM_MVP_20210913JC.xlsx

| | | | Conditiona | al Category | | | NOTES>> | |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Negligible | Minor Mo | | | erate | Severe | | |
| Channel Alteration | Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized. | of the channel | 20-40% of the stream reach is | is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in | of the channel alterations listed in | Greater than 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines AND/OR 80% of banks shored with gabion, riprap, or cement. | | CI |
| Scores | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | | 1.10 |
| | REACH C | CONDITION I | NDEX and S | STREAM CO | NDITION UN | NITS FOR THIS REACH | | |
| OTE: The Cls a | and RCI should be rounded to 2 dec | imal places. The | CR should be rou | nded to a whole r | iumber. | THE REACH | CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> | 0.95 |
| | | | | | | RCI= (Sum of all CI's)/5, exce | ept if stream is ephemeral RCI = (| Riparian Cl |
| | | | | | | COMPENSA | TION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> | 19 |
| | | | | | | CR = R(| | |


INSERT PHOTOS:





DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER

Reach R3-R4 File: C:\Users\emily.foster\Documents\Projects (for telework)\MVP\WV Stream Assessments Data Management\Virginia Final QC Packages\S-C16_20210926EF\8. S-C16_USM_MVP_20210913JC.xlsx







to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time

drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.

Pipeline (MVP).

4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.

5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.

professional judgement).

