Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-CC9 (Pipeline ROW) Ephemeral Spread I Pittsylvania County, Virginia

Data	Included			
Photos	✓			
SWVM Form	✓			
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓			
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓			
Water Quality Data	N/A – Low flow			
RBP Habitat Form	✓			
RBP Benthic Form	✓			
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Lack of habitat			
Wolman Pebble Count	✓			
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓			
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓			
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓			



Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking SW upstream, RAH



Photo Type: DS COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking NE downstream, RAH



Photo Type: LB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking E at right streambank, RAH



Photo Type: RB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking NW at left streambank, RAH



Photo Type: US COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking S upstream, RAH



Photo Type: DS VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking NE downstream, RAH

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Moun	tain Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COC	ORDINATES: al Degrees)	Lat.	36.89774	Lon.	-79.458046		WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	9/2	2/2021	
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage},			S-CC9	; 7.61 Acres			MITIGATION STREAM CLASS. (watershed size {acreag			l:				Comments:			
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	81	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COOR (in Decima		Lat.		Lon.			PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		Yes	Mitigation Length:			
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	Condition (De	bit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing	Condition - Baseline	(Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation P Post Completion		ears ears		Column No. 4- Mitigation Proj Post Completion (ars	Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ed at Maturity	(Credit)	
Stream Classification:	Ephe	meral	Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0	S	Stream Classification:	0)	Stream Classification:		0	
Percent Stream Channel Slo	рре	8.32	Percent Stream Channel S	lope			Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	0		Percent Stream Channel Sle	оре	0	Percent Stream Channel S	lope		0
HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (attack	n data forms):			HGM Score (attack	h data forms):			HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach o	ata forms):		
		Average			Average				Average				Average			Ave	/erage
Hydrology	0.27		Hydrology				Hydrology			l F	Hydrology			Hydrology			
Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0.19	0.233333333	Biogeochemical Cycling		0		Biogeochemical Cycling		0	E	Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling			0
	0.24		Habitat				Habitat			Ŀ	Habitat			Habitat			
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indic	cators	PART I - Physical, Chemical a	nd Biological Indicat	ors		PART I - Physical, Chemical a	and Biological Ind	licators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indic	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Ind	dicators	
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Ran	nge Site	ite Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)		
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	1		USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	1			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	T T		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	1		
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	0 17	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Dead Substrate Characteristics	0-20				0-20		1	1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		
Embeddedness Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0-20	0	Pool Substrate Characterization Pool Variability	0-20			2. Embeddedness	0-20 0-20			2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0-20		Embeddedness Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0-20		
Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20	18	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20			4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20		
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	19	6. Channel Alteration	0-20			6. Channel Alteration	0-20		II II-	5. Channel Alteration	0-1		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	-1	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	16	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8	B. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	18	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		g	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20	18	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		
	Optimal	106	Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor		0
Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial St	0.883333333 (reams)	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Stream	0		Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Str	o reams)	1	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte)	nt and Perennial St	reams)	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial		0
		*			*				,	∦			*				
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	1)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity	al)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Genera Specific Conductivity)		
	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90			Specific Conductivity	0-90			Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90		
100-199 - 85 points pH			рН				pH				DH			рН			
5 0 5 0 45 points	0-80			5-90 0-1				5-90 0-1				5-90			5-90	-1	
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	_		DO				DO			-	00			DO			
00			<u> </u>				<u> </u>							ВО			
	10-30			10-30				10-30		L		10-30			10-30		
Sub-Total			Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	11	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total			0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Perennial	Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial Strea	ams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Perenn	ial Streams)	 	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perenn	nial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Pere	nnial Strea	ams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	1 1		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	1 1		<u> </u>	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	T T		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	1		
0	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1			0-100 0-	-1	
Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	5	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total			0
		<u>.</u>								_							
PART II - Index and U	Init Score		PART II - Index an	d Unit Score			PART II - Index an	d Unit Score			PART II - Index and U	Init Score		PART II - Index and I	Jnit Score		
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Fee	et Unit	t Score
0.538	81	43.5375	0	0	0		0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0		0
0.536	01	43.33/3	U	<u> </u>	U	Į	U	U	U		U	U	U	U	U		0

Version 10-20-17

			riigii-C		Headwa				α		
		RC, RH, D						Latitude/UT	Ü		
Pr	oject Name:			ne			L	•		-79.458046	5
		Pittsylvania	County					San	npling Date:	9/2/2021	
S	AR Number:	0 000		Length (ft):		Stream Ty	,	meral Stream			▼
	Top Strata:		e/Sapling St	rata	(determine	d from perce			_{PY})		
е	and Timing:	Project Site				—	Before Proje	ct			•
l	e Variables									0 11	
	V _{CCANOPY}	equidistant	points along	g the stream	el by tree ar n. Measure reen 0 and 1	only if tree/s	apling cove	r is at least			69.0 %
			measuremer					1		1 00	1
	60	60	70	80	90	60	70	40	80	80	
	V _{EMBED}	along the s surface and	tream. Sele d area surro	ct a particle unding the p		ed. Before n is covered b	noving it, de y fine sedin	termine the nent, and en	percentage iter the ratin		1.1
		Embedded	ness rating		drock, use a obble and b			ed from Plat	ts, Megahar	n, and	Measure
		Minshall 19									at least
		Rating 5	Rating Des	•	overed, sur	rounded or	buried by fi	ne sediment	(or hedrool	k)	30 points
		4			ace covered.					N)	
		3			face covere	,	,				
		1			face covered covered, su					al surface)	
	List the rati	ngs at each	point below		0010.00, 00				it (or arano	u. ouuoo,	1
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	
	1	1	1	1	1						
	V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stre	eam channe	l substrate	particle size.	Measure a	t no fewer t	han 30 roug	hly equidist	ant points	0.00
		cle size in in		nearest 0.1	ints and par inch at each 0.08 in):				unted as 99	in, asphalt	0.08 in
	0.08	0.30	0.40	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	6.10	0.08	1
	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.60	5.30						1
	V_{BERO}	Total perce	ent of eroded	stream cha	annel bank.	Enter the to	tal number	of feet of en	oded bank o	on each	
	BENO		e total perce to 200%.	entage will b	e calculated	l If both bar	nks are ero	ded, total er	osion for the		16 %
			Left Bank:	5	ft		Right Bank:	5	ft		
ı	V _{LWD}				er zone adja least 4 inche			•		•	
		stream rea per 100 fee	ch. Enter the et of stream	e number fr will be calcu	om the entir lated. Number of	e 50'-wide b	ouffer and woody stems:	ithin the cha	annel, and the	ne amount	0.0
	V_{TDBH}				ly if V _{CCANOP} tree DBHs i		g cover is a	t least 20%	i. Trees are	at least 4	4.1
			n measurem		vidual trees) within the	buffer on ea	ach side of		
			Left Side					Right Side]
	4.1										
	V_{SNAG}				nd 36" tall) t per 100 fee			Enter numb	er of snags	on each	0.0
			Loft C:-I-		0		Dight C:-		0		
	V_{SSD}	Number of	Left Side: saplings an		0 oody stems	up to 4 inch	Right Side: es dbh) per		0 stream (me	asure only	
	* 55D	if tree cove	r is <20%).	Enter numb	er of sapling					the amount	Not Used
		ner 100 ft c	of etream wil	l be calculat	ted						
		per 100 it e	Left Side:				Right Side:				i

9	V _{SRICH}	Group 1 in	the tallest s	tratum. Che	eck all exotic	and invasiv	m reach. Ch ve species p	resent in a			0.00
				nd the subi	ndex will be	calculated f	rom these da		- /		
_	4		p 1 = 1.0		2	_	A'1		0 2 (-1.0)		
Ц	Acer rubrui		Ц	Magnolia ti			Ailanthus a		Ш	Lonicera ja	
Ш	Acer sacch			Nyssa sylv		Ш	Albizia julib		Ш	Lonicera ta	
Ш	Aesculus fl		Ш	Oxydendrun -			Alliaria peti	olata	Ш	Lotus cornic	
Ц	Asimina tril		Ш	Prunus ser			Alternanthe philoxeroide		Ш	Lythrum sai	
Ц	Betula alleg		Ш	Quercus ai			•		Ø.	Microstegium	
Ш	Betula lent		Ш	Quercus co			Aster tatari		Ш	Paulownia t	
	Carya alba			Quercus in			Cerastium i			Polygonum c	•
	Carya glab		Ш	Quercus p			Coronilla va			Pueraria mo	
	Carya oval			Quercus ru			Elaeagnus u			Rosa multifi	
_	Carya ovat		Ш	Quercus ve		Ш	Lespedeza	bicolor	Ш	Sorghum ha	
Ш	Cornus flor		Ш	Sassafras		Ш	Lespedeza		Ш	Verbena br	asiliensis
	Fagus gran		Ш	Tilia ameri		Ш	Ligustrum ob				
	Fraxinus a		Ш	Tsuga can			Ligustrum s	sinense			
1	Liriodendron	•	Ш	Ulmus ame	ericana						
	Magnolia a	cuminata									
		1	Species in	Group 1				1	Species in	Group 2	
						1					
										n 25 feet fron	n each
bank. 10		•			•		ch side of to naterial. Wo			er and <36"	
10	V _{DETRITUS}						er at each s		4 diamet	ei aliu \Ju	0.00 %
			Left	Side			Right	Side		1	
		0	0			0	0				
	.,										
11	V_{HERB}						sure only if t there may b				
		vegetation	percentages							egetation at	Not Used
		each subpl		Side		1	Dight	Cido		, !	
			Leit	Side			Rigiti	Side			
										-	
Sample	e Variable 1	2 within the	e entire cat	chment of t	the stream.						
	e Variable 1					ned:					
Sample 12	e Variable 1				the stream.	ned:					0.50
						ned:			5 (6	W: 0.11	
			Average of F	Runoff Score					Runoff	% in Catchment	Running Percent
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Average of F Land	Runoff Score	e for watersh				Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE}		Average of F Land	Runoff Score	e for watersh				l l	-	Running Percent
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	Weighted A	Land	Use (Choos	e for watersh				Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and n	Weighted A	Land 50% ground	Use (Choosecover)	e for watersh				Score 0.5	ment 100	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and n	Weighted A	Land 250% ground 275% ground 375% ground 375% ground	Use (Choos cover) cover)	e for watersh				Score 0.5 1 0	100 0	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and n Impervious a	Weighted A ative range (ative range (areas (parking	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) sation or pave	e for watersh				Score 0.5 1 0 0	100 0 0	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> areas (parking d areas (bare (pasture, lawr	Land 250% ground 275% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget as, parks, etc.)	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave	e for watersh se From Dro ement) <50%			•	Score 0.5 1 0 0.7 0 0.1	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space	Weighted A ative range (ative range (areas (parking	Land 250% ground 275% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget as, parks, etc.)	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave	e for watersh se From Dro ement) <50%				Score 0.5 1 0 0 0.1	100 0 0	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> areas (parking d areas (bare (pasture, lawr	Land 250% ground 275% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget as, parks, etc.)	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave	e for watersh se From Dro ement) <50%			•	Score 0.5 1 0 0.7 0 0.1	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> areas (parking d areas (bare (pasture, lawr	Land 250% ground 275% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget as, parks, etc.)	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave	e for watersh se From Dro ement) <50%			•	Score 0.5 1 0 0.7 0 0.1	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Running Percent (not >100)
	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> areas (parking d areas (bare (pasture, lawr	Land 250% ground 275% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget as, parks, etc.)	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave	e for watersh se From Dro ement) <50%		No	•	Score 0.5 1 0 0.7 0 0.1	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Running Percent (not >100)
12	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space	ative range (< ative range (> areas (parking d areas (bare (pasture, lawr (pasture, lawr	Land 550% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget as, parks, etc.)	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave , grass cover	e for watersh se From Dro ement) <50% >75%	p List)		tes:	Score 0.5 1 0 0 0.1 0.3	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Running Percent (not >100) 100
12 V.	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space	ative range (ative range (ative range (>areas (parking d areas (bare (pasture, lawr (pasture, l	Land 250% ground 275% ground 2	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, , grass cover , grass cover	e for watersh se From Dro ement) <50% >75%	p List)	oleted using	tes:	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Running Percent (not >100) 100
12 V.	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space	ative range (< ative range (> areas (parking d areas (bare (pasture, lawr (pasture, lawr	Land 550% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget as, parks, etc.)	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands	p List) s was compat satellite	oleted using	tes: g the 2019 d other's	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100
12 V. V.	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space	ative range (ative range (ative range (>areas (parking d areas (bare (pasture, lawr (pasture, l	Land 250% ground 275% ground 2	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands	p List) s was compat satellite	oleted using imagery an	tes: g the 2019 d other's	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100
12 V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. E. V. V. E. V. V. E. V. V. E. V.	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space	ative range (<a 10.08"="" doi.org="" href="https://doi.org/10.25/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/</th><th>Land 250% ground 175% ground 10ts, roofs, di soil, no veget ss, parks, etc.) VSI 0.75</th><th>Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f</th><th>ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands</th><th>p List) s was compat satellite</th><th>oleted using
imagery an</th><th>tes: g the 2019 d other's</th><th>Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa</th><th>ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets</th><th>Running
Percent
(not >100)
100</th></tr><tr><td>V. V<sub>C</sub> V<sub>E</sub> V<sub>S</sub></td><td>Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE</td><td>ative range (ative range (ative range (<a)="" href="https://doi.org/10.08">ative range (ative range (<a)="" href="https://doi.org/10.08">ati<td>Land 250% ground 275% ground 2</td><td>Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f</td><td>ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands</td><td>p List) s was compat satellite</td><td>oleted using imagery an</td><td>tes: g the 2019 d other's</td><td>Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa</td><td>ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets</td><td>Running Percent (not >100) 100</td>	Land 250% ground 275% ground 2	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands	p List) s was compat satellite	oleted using imagery an	tes: g the 2019 d other's	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100
V. V	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space GANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	ative range (ative range (ative range (<a <="" href="https://doi.org/" td=""><td>Land 250% ground 275% ground</td><td>Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f</td><td>ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands</td><td>p List) s was compat satellite</td><td>oleted using imagery an</td><td>tes: g the 2019 d other's</td><td>Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa</td><td>ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets</td><td>Running Percent (not >100) 100</td>	Land 250% ground 275% ground	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands	p List) s was compat satellite	oleted using imagery an	tes: g the 2019 d other's	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100
V. V _C V _E V _S	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space GANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	ative range (ative range (<a)="" href="https://doi.org/10.08">ative range (ative range (<a)="" href="https://doi.org/10.08">ati	Land 250% ground 275% ground 2	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands	p List) s was compat satellite	oleted using imagery an	tes: g the 2019 d other's	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100
V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V.	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space GANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	ative range (ative range (ative range (<a <="" href="https://doi.org/" th=""><th>Land 250% ground 275% ground</th><th>Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f</th><th>ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands</th><th>p List) s was compat satellite</th><th>oleted using imagery an</th><th>tes: g the 2019 d other's</th><th>Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa</th><th>ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets</th><th>Running Percent (not >100) 100</th>	Land 250% ground 275% ground	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands	p List) s was compat satellite	oleted using imagery an	tes: g the 2019 d other's	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100
V. V	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD	ative range (ative range (ative range (Land 75% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget ns, parks, etc.) VSI 0.75 0.12 0.04 0.99 0.00	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands	p List) s was compat satellite	oleted using imagery an	tes: g the 2019 d other's	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100
V. V _C V _E V _S V _S V _V V _T V _S	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG	ative range (ative range (<a)="" href="https://doi.org/10.00">ative range (<a 10.00"="" doi.org="" href="https://doi.org</th><th>VSI 0.75 0.12 0.00 0.12 0.10</th><th>Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f</th><th>ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands</th><th>p List) s was compat satellite</th><th>oleted using
imagery an</th><th>tes: g the 2019 d other's</th><th>Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa</th><th>ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets</th><th>Running
Percent
(not >100)
100</th></tr><tr><th>V. V. V</th><th>Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG</th><th>ative range (ative range (ative range (areas (parking d areas (bare (pasture, lawr (pasture, lawr 69 % 1.1 0.08 in 16 % 0.0 4.1</th><th>Land 250% ground 250% ground 275% ground</th><th>Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f</th><th>ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands</th><th>p List) s was compat satellite</th><th>oleted using
imagery an</th><th>tes: g the 2019 d other's</th><th>Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa</th><th>ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets</th><th>Running
Percent
(not >100)
100</th></tr><tr><th>V. V. V</th><th>Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space Open space UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH</th><th>ative range (ative range (ative range (<a)="" href="https://doi.org/10.00">ative range (<a "="" 10.10="" doi.org="" href="https://doi.org</th><th>VSI 0.75 0.12 0.00 0.12 0.10</th><th>Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f</th><th>ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands</th><th>p List) s was compat satellite</th><th>oleted using
imagery an</th><th>tes: g the 2019 d other's</th><th>Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa</th><th>ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets</th><th>Running
Percent
(not >100)
100</th></tr><tr><th>V. V. V</th><th>Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space Open space UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD</th><th>ative range (ative range (ative range (Land 75% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget ns, parks, etc.) VSI 0.75 0.12 0.04 0.99 0.00 0.12 0.10 Not Used	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, , grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands	p List) s was compat satellite	oleted using imagery an	tes: g the 2019 d other's	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100
V: Vc Vs: Vs: Vs: Vs: Vs: Vs: Vs: Vs:	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space Open space UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH	ative range (<a text<="" th=""><th>Land 75% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget as, parks, etc.) VSI 0.75 0.12 0.04 0.99 0.00 0.12 0.10 Not Used 0.00</th><th>Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, , grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f</th><th>ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands</th><th>p List) s was compat satellite</th><th>oleted using imagery an</th><th>tes: g the 2019 d other's</th><th>Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa</th><th>ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets</th><th>Running Percent (not >100) 100</th>	Land 75% ground lots, roofs, di soil, no veget as, parks, etc.) VSI 0.75 0.12 0.04 0.99 0.00 0.12 0.10 Not Used 0.00	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc) tation or pave, , grass cover , grass cover Land Cov (NLCD), f	ement) <50% >75% er Analysis from Lands	p List) s was compat satellite	oleted using imagery an	tes: g the 2019 d other's	Score 0.5 1 0 0.1 0.3 National I upplementa	ment 100 0 0 0 0 0 and Cover lary datasets	Running Percent (not >100) 100
12 V.	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Newly grade Open space Open space Open space Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	ative range (ative range (ative range (

Ver. 10-20-17

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V_{CCANOPY} (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

Location: Pittsylvania County

Sampling Date: 9/2/2021 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Ephemeral Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-CC9

Tree/Sapling Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.27
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.19
Habitat	0.24

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V _{CCANOPY}	Percent canpoy over channel.	69.00	0.75
V_{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.07	0.12
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V_{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	16.39	0.99
V_{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V _{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	4.10	0.12
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V _{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V _{DETRITUS}	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	0.00	0.00
V _{HERB}	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.50	0.53

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME		LOCATION		
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS		
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN		
STORET#		AGENCY		
INVESTIGATORS				
FORM COMPLETED BY		DATE REASON FOR SURVEY		

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) % %cloud cover clear/sunny	Past 24 hours
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate	the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Origin	Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater Catchment Areakm² fed e of origins

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

	VATERSHED Predominant Surrounding La Forest Comn Field/Pasture Indust Agricultural Other Residential				No evidence Sor Obvious sources Local Watershed Eros None Moderate	me potential sources
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	ΓΙΟΝ	Trees	e the dominant type and S ant species present	hrubs		erbaceous
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	red Stream Depth	m m² km² m	High Water Mark Proportion of Reach R Morphology Types Riffle % Pool	Run%
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		m² of LWDn	n ² /km ² (LWD/	reach area)	
AQUATIO VEGETA		Domina			minant species present nt Rooted floating%	S
WATER (QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	cature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty ttrument Used		Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Fishy Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Other Turbidity (if not measu Clear □ Slightly tu Opaque Stained	Chemical Other Globs Flecks
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Abser	al Sewage ical Anaerobic nt Slight Modera		are the undersides blac	ch are not deeply embedded,
INC			COMPONENTS		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C	
Substrate Type	(should a	er % Composition in Sampling Reach		Substrate Type	(does not necessarily add Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock				Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")				materials (Cr Olvi)	
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5	"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2	2.5")			(1101/1)	

Sand

Silt

Clay

0.06-2mm (gritty)

< 0.004 mm (slick)

0.004-0.06 mm

grey, shell fragments

Marl

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	n Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.		
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.		
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.		
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.		
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
ĺ	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		

Total	Caare	
i otai	Score	

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION						
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS						
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN						
STORET#		AGENCY						
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER					
FORM COMPLETED	ВҮ	DATE REASON FOR SURVEY						
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of	f each habitat type present						

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand% Submerged Macrophytes% Other ()%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other
	How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand
	Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ()
GENERAL COMMENTS	

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Pittsylvania
Stream Name: UNT to Cherrystone Creek Stream ID: S-CC9

03010105 Banister HUC Code: Basin:

Survey Date: 9/2/2021 Surveyors: RC, RH, DW Representative Type:

			LE COUNT				
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cur
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	•	73	73.00	73.00
	Very Fine	.062125		*	5	5.00	78.00
	Fine	.12525		*	6	6.00	84.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	*	3	3.00	87.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		*	2	2.00	89.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2] [*	1	1.00	90.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		+		0.00	90.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7	1	•	2	2.00	92.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	1	•	3	3.00	95.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	1	*	1	1.00	96.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	*	1	1.00	97.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		*		0.00	97.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		*		0.00	97.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45	1	*		0.00	97.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64	1	A		0.00	97.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		*	1	1.00	98.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	1	^	1	1.00	99.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	^	1	1.00	100.0
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	^		0.00	100.0
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		*		0.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	*		0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	*		0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	^		0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	^		0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	^		0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

UNT to Cherrystone Creek

River Name: UNT to Cherryst Seach Name: S-CC9 Sample Name: Representative 09/02/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	73 5 6 3 2 1 0 2 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	73.00 5.00 6.00 3.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 2.00 3.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1	73.00 78.00 84.00 87.00 89.00 90.00 90.00 92.00 95.00 97.00 97.00 97.00 97.00 97.00 97.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.01 0.03 0.04 0.25 8 179.99 73 17 7		

Total Particles = 100.

Ephemeral Stream Assessment Form (Form 1a)

Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia

For use in ephemeral streams									
Project #	Project Name	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor	
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline Valley Pipeline, I	Pittslyvania	R6	03010105	9/2/21	S-CC9	81	1	
Name	e(s) of Evaluator(s)	Stream Name	e and Informa	tion	SAR Length				
	DW, RH, RC	UNT to Cher	rystone Creek		81				

2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable)


	NOTES>>									
	Optimal		Subo	otimal	Marg	ginal	Po	oor		
Riparian Buffers	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inc with > 60% tree canopy o non-maintained understor areas.	cover and an	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.		dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh	ponds, open water. If present, tree	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.		
			High	Low	High	Low	High	Low		
Condition Scores	1.5		1.2	1.1	0.85	0.75	0.6	0.5		
. Delineate ripa	rian areas along each s	stream bank	into Condition Cate	egories and Cond	ition Scores using	the descriptors.	Ensure t	the sums		
2. Determine squ	uare footage for each by	y measuring	or estimating leng	th and width. Cal	culators are provid	led for you below.	of % R	Riparian		
3. Enter the % R	iparian Area and Score	for each ripa	arian category in th	e blocks below.			Blocks e	qual 100		
% Riparian Area> 20% 10% 40% 30% 100%								100%		
Piaht Rank	Score >	0.5	0.75	0.85	0.6					
Right Bank	Score >								CI= (Sum % RA * S	cores*0.01)/2
Right Bank	Score >								,	,
	% Riparian Area>	20%	80%					100%	Rt Bank CI >	0.70
Right Bank Left Bank		20% 0.5	80% 0.85					100%	Rt Bank CI >	

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> RCI= (Riparian CI)/2

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 30


CR = RCI X LF X IF


INSERT PHOTOS:




CAPTION. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.

-








SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on April 4, 2019.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).

CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-CC9 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)										
	PRI	POST-CROSSING								
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.					
PI. LUC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.					
TS-L	13400871.79	2091713.32	774.90							
BS-L	13409868.75	2091719.89	773.30							
THW	13400868.61	2091720.32	773.20							
BS-R	13400867.27	2091723.93	773.40							
TS-R	13400866.08	2091726.59	776.10							










PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM TO THE NORTH-NORTHWEST 04/04/2019




PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

REVISIONS

REVISIONS

REVISIONS

Rev. A

By

No. Date Description

 $\stackrel{\frown}{(}$

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N

Vertical Datum: NAVD 88

Boundary and Topo Source:
MVP
WSSI 2' C.I. Topo

Design Draft Approved

EJC BF NAS

Computer File Name:
L:\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread | Work Dwgs

Sheet #

1 of 1