Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-D22 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included			
Photos	✓			
SWVM Form	✓			
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Headwater stream <4% slope			
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓			
Water Quality Data	✓			
RBP Habitat Form	✓			
RBP Benthic Form	✓			
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No Assessable reach present			
Wolman Pebble Count	✓			
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓			
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓			
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓			



Photo Type: DS VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW looking S, AO



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW looking NE, AO



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking E, AO



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking NW, AO



Photo Type: DS COND

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking S, AO

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	Mountain V	alley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.070101	Lon.	-79.929732	WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	August 27	7, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRI (watershed size (acreage), unaltered or impairments)		S-D2	22		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS (watershed size {acreag						Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH: 83	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		No	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing Condition (Debit)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Con	dition - Baseline (Credit)	•	Column No. 3- Mitigation Pr Post Completion		re Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (6	cted at Ten Yea Credit)	irs	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projecte	ed at Maturity (Cre	edit)
Stream Classification: Intermittent	nt	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	c)	Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel Slope	1.11	Percent Stream Channel Slop	е		Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0	Percent Stream Channel Sle	оре	0	Percent Stream Channel Sl	оре	0
HGM Score (attach data forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms	:	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	
Ar	Average		Average				Average			Average			Average
Hydrology	_	Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		
Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological Indicators	s	PART I - Physical, Chemical and B	Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical a	ind Biological	Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indic	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicat	tors
Points Scale Range Si	Site Score	•	Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale R	ange Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams cla	essifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20	12	USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover		
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-20 Embeddedness 0-20	0		0-20		Epitaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		Epiraunai Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		Epiraunai Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-20	9		0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
4. Sediment Deposition 0-20	6		0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status 0-20	8		0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	м	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1	
	15		0-20		Channel Alteration	0-20		Channel Alteration	0-20		Channel Alteration	0-20	
	7		0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 0-20			0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
	12	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Regetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0.20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	
	94	Total RBP Score	Poor 0		Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0	Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0	Total RBP Score Total RBP Score	Poor	0
Sub-Total	0.47	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	FUUI	Ö	Sub-Total	FOOI	0	Sub-Total	FOOI	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and	nd Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennis	l Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial St	reams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Stres	ams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General))	
Specific Conductivity	118	Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0.90		Specific Conductivity	0-90		Specific Conductivity	0-90	
100-199 - 85 points	110	pH	0-90		pH	0-90		pH	0-90		pH	0-90	
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	6.31		5-90 0-1			5-90	H		5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1	
DO 10-30		DO	10-30		DO	10-30		DO	10-30		DO	10-30	
>5.0 = 30 points	0.975	Sub-Total	10-30		Sub-Total	10-30	0	Sub-Total	10-30	0	Sub-Total	10-30	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Stream		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interr	mittent and Per	ennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perenn		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ittent and Perennial	
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100	М		0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and Unit Score		PART II - Index and Ur	nit Score		PART II - Index an	d Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score	
Index Linear Feet Uni	nit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Fe	et Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.723 83 59	9.9675	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-D22		LOCATION Franklin County	LOCATION Franklin County					
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent	STREAM CLASS Intermittent					
LAT <u>37.070101</u>	LONG79.929732	RIVER BASIN Upper Roand	oke					
STORET#		AGENCY VADEQ						
INVESTIGATORS AO, I	MM							
FORM COMPLETED BY	YAO, MM	DATE 8/27/2021 TIME 12:43 PM						
WEATHER CONDITIONS	rain (hours [Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes ✓No Air Temperature 30 0 C					

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now Past 24 hours Yes ✓ No Air Temperature 30 ° C Other Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
	Row US LB Res Convert dirt slope Studies Coming s Severe T Evosion Do Severe T Evosion Evosion Do Severe T Evosion Evosion
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Stream Type □Perennial □Tidal □Coldwater □Warmwater
	Stream Origin Glacial Non-glacial montane Swamp and bog Catchment Area 0.54 km² Mixture of origins Other Other

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		✓ Fores ✓ Field	Pasture Industria	rcial	Local Watershed NPS ☑ No evidence ☐ Son ☐ Obvious sources ☐ Local Watershed Erosi ☑ None ☐ Moderate	ne potential sources						
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	Trees	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Trees Grasses Herbaceous Dominant species present Wingstem, deertongue grass, frocut grass, Impatiens capensis									
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat		m m² km² m	Canopy Cover Partly open □Part High Water Mark ○ Proportion of Reach Re Morphology Types Riffle ³ % Pool □ % Channelized □Yes Dam Present □Yes							
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	LWD _om² Density of LWDm²/km² (LWD/ reach area)									
AQUATION VEGETA		Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present ☐ Rooted emergent ☐ Floating Algae ☐ Attached Algae ☐ Dominant species present ☐ Pree floating ☐ Free flo										
WATER ((DS, US)	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH 6.31,6 Turbidi		:		Chemical Other						
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Absen	ical Anaerobic	Petroleum None	Lρoking at stones whic are the undersides blac	□Paper fiber ☑Sand Other □ h are not deeply embedded, k in color?						
INC			COMPONENTS		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C							
Substrate Type	(should a	dd up to 1 er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	(does not necessarily add Characteristic	wp to 100%) % Composition in Sampling Area						
Bedrock			0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	50						
Boulder Cobble	> 256 mm (10") 64-256 mm (2.5		0 25	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic							
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2		15	1	(FPOM)	5						
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)	15	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0						
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm		25	1		0						
Clay	< 0.004 mm (sli											

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-D22	LOCATION Franklin County					
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent					
LAT <u>37.070101</u> LONG <u>-79.929732</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke					
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ					
INVESTIGATORS AO, MM						
FORM COMPLETED BY AO, MM	DATE 8/27/2021 TIME 12:43 PM AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment					

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE 12	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE 3	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE 9	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P ₂	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	_{SCORE} 6	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	score 8	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Notes:

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category				
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.			
	_{SCORE} 15	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.			
amb	score 12	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing development.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.			
eva	SCORE 3	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
to be	SCORE 4	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.			
	SCORE 5	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	SCORE 7	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.			
	SCORE 4	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	SCORE 9	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			

Total Score _____ Notes: Bridge crossing footers in stream.

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

LOCATION Franklin County

STREAM CLASS Intermittent

STREAM NAME S-D22

RIVERMILE

STATION #

	LONG79.929732					RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke															
STORET#								AGENCY VADEQ													
INVESTIGATORS A								I	LOT	NUMBER											
FORM COMPLETED) BY	Α	Ο,	. 1	//\	1	DAT TIMI	_	/27/2021 2:43 PM	-			I	REAS	SON FOR SUF	RVEY E	Baselii	ne A	sse	ssm	ent
HABITAT TYPES		Cob	ble	-	%	tage of Sna	ags 20	%	at type	ĴV	eget	t ated ther		ks_95		Sand_80 %	%				
SAMPLE	G	ear ı	used		D-fr	ame [kick-	-net			o	ther									
COLLECTION											_		£	n baı	.1.	from bo	_				
	н	DW V	vere	tne s	amp	les coll	ectea?	•	wae	aing	3	ш	ıror	n bai	ік Ц	irom bo	at				
		Cob	ble			r of jab Sna phytes_	ags	s tak	en in e: C	$]_{V_i}$	eget	itat ated ther	Ban	ks		Sand					
GENERAL COMMENTS	be	Limited space to complete 4 kicks within sample reach; therefore, benthics not sampled. Fish, water penny, megaloptera larvae, leech, and caddisfly casings visually observed.									h,										
QUALITATIVE I Indicate estimated Dominant) = /		/Not	Obs	erved,		= I		e, 2	= 0	ommon, 3=	- Abun					
I CITPITY WII							_										0	1	2	3	4
						1 2	3	4				croi	nve	rtebi	ates			1			
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes					0		3						nve	rtebi	rates		0	_		3	4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated	ATI(ınd	anco	e:	0 0 ACI 0 = orga	1 2 ROBE	NTH(t/Not), 3=	OS Obs Abu	ındanı	l, 1 t (>	Ma Fisl 1 = 1	n Rar	e (1 anis	-3 o sms)	rganisms), ; , 4 = Domir	nant (>	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 n (3	2 2 -9 nism	3 3	4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated	ATIO l abu	ınd:	2	3	0 0 ACI 0 = orga	1 2 ROBEL Absentanisms Aniso	NTH(t/Not), 3=	OS CObs Abu	ından	l, 1 t (>	Ma Fis 1 = 1 1	Rar org	e (1 anis	-3 o sms)	rganisms), ; , 4 = Domin	nant (> dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 n (3 rgan	2 2 -9 nism	3 3 3	4 4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa	ATIO d abu	1 1	2 2	3 3	0 0 ACI 0 = orga	1 2 ROBEI Absentanisms Aniso Zygo	NTHO t/Not), 3= optera ptera	OS Obs Abu	ındanı	0 0	Ma Fisi 1 = 10	Rar orga	e (1 anis	-3 o sms)	rganisms), ; , 4 = Domir Chironomi Ephemeror	dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 n (3 rgan	2 2 -9 nism	3 3 3 3	4 4 4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes	0 0 0	1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	0 0 ACI 0 = orga 4 4 4 4	1 2 ROBE Absentanisms Aniso Zygo Hemi	NTHO t/Not), 3= optera ptera iptera	OS Obs Abu	ındanı	0 0 0	Ma Fisl 1 = 1 1 1 1	Rar orga	e (1 anis	-3 o sms) 4 4 4	rganisms), , 4 = Domin Chironomi Ephemerop Trichopters	dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 -9 nism 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	0 0 0 ACI 0 = orga 4 4 4 4 4 4	Aniso Zygo Hemi	NTHO t/Not), 3= optera optera	OS Obs Abu	ındanı	0 0 0 0	Ma Fish 1 = 1 1 1 1 1	Rarrorgs 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	-3 o sms) 4 4 4 4	rganisms), ; , 4 = Domir Chironomi Ephemeror	dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 n (3 rgan	2 2 -9 nism	3 3 3 3	4 4 4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	0 0 0 ACI 0 = orga 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Aniso Zygo Hemi Coleo Lepio	NTHO t/Not), 3= optera ptera optera optera	OS Obs Abu	indan	0 0 0 0 0	Ma Fish 1 = 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	-3 o sms) 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), , 4 = Domin Chironomi Ephemerop Trichopters	dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 -9 nism 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Aniso Zygo Hemi Colec Lepic Sialio	NTHO t/Not), 3= optera ptera iptera optera doptera dae	OS Obs Abu	indan	0 0 0 0 0 0	Ma Fisl 1 = 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	e (1 3 3 3 3 3 3	-3 o sms) 4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), , 4 = Domin Chironomi Ephemerop Trichopters	dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 -9 nism 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta Isopoda	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Aniso Zygo Hemi Colec Lepid Sialid Coryo	NTHO t/Not), 3= optera ptera iptera optera doptera dae dalida	OS Obs Abu	indan	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ma Fis 1 = 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	-3 o sms) 4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), , 4 = Domin Chironomi Ephemerop Trichopters	dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 -9 nism 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta Isopoda Amphipoda	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 ACII 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Aniso Zygo Hemi Colec Lepic Sialio Coryo Tipul	NTH(Not t/Not a), 3= pptera pptera pptera doptera dalidae dalidae	OS Obs Abu	ından	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ma Fiss 1 = 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	-3 o sms) 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), , 4 = Domin Chironomi Ephemerop Trichopters	dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 -9 nism 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta Isopoda Amphipoda Decapoda	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 ACI 0 = orga 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Aniso Zygo Hemi Colec Lepic Sialic Coryo Tipul Empi	NTHO	OS Obs Abu	ından	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ma Fisi	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	-3 o sms) 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), , 4 = Domin Chironomi Ephemerop Trichopters	dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 -9 nism 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4
Filamentous Algae Macrophytes FIELD OBSERVA Indicate estimated Porifera Hydrozoa Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Hirudinea Oligochaeta Isopoda Amphipoda	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 ACII 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Aniso Zygo Hemi Colec Lepic Sialio Coryo Tipul	NTHO t/Not t	OS Obs Abu	indan	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ma Fiss 1 = 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	-3 o sms) 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	rganisms), , 4 = Domin Chironomi Ephemerop Trichopters	dae	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 -9 nism 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-D22

Stream Name: UNT to Teels Creek

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/27/2021 Surveyors: AO, MM Type: Representative

T 1	DADTICI E		LE COUNT	D (1.1	700 4 71 11	T. 0/	0/ 0
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cur
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	^	36	36.00	36.00
	Very Fine	.062125		•	5	5.00	41.00
	Fine	.12525	1	•	3	3.00	44.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	•	0	0.00	44.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0	1	•	4	4.00	48.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2	1	•	2	2.00	50.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		•	5	5.00	55.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7	1	•	1	1.00	56.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	1	•	3	3.00	59.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	1	•	3	3.00	62.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	•	1	1.00	63.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		•	1	1.00	64.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		•	0	0.00	64.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45	1	•	1	1.00	65.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64	1	•	8	8.00	73.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		•	13	13.00	86.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	GODDIE	•	12	12.00	98.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	•	1	1.00	99.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	•	0	0.00	99.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		•	0	0.00	99.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	•	0	0.00	99.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	•	0	0.00	99.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	•	0	0.00	99.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	•	0	0.00	99.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	•	1	1.00	100.0
				Totals	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

UNT to Teels Creek

River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: Survey Date: S-D22 Representative 08/27/2021

Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	36 5 3 0 4 2 5 1 3 3 1 1 0 1 8 13 12 1 0 0 0 0 0	36.00 5.00 3.00 0.00 4.00 2.00 5.00 1.00 3.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00	36.00 41.00 44.00 44.00 48.00 50.00 55.00 56.00 59.00 62.00 63.00 64.00 64.00 65.00 73.00 86.00 98.00 99.00 99.00 99.00 99.00 99.00 99.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.03 0.06 2 86 118.5 Bedrock 36 14 23 26 0		

Total Particles = 100.

		,		Unified S	tream Method	ent Fo	in Virginia		I)		
Project #	Project N	Name (App		For use in wade	Cowardin	ssified as interm	ittent or perennia	SAR#	Impact	Impact	
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Frankli		Franklin	Class.	03010101	8/27/2021	S-D22	Length 83	Factor 1		
	Valley e(s) of Evaluator	Pipeline, L		County and Information		00010101	0/21/2021	O-DZZ	SAR Length	<u>'</u>	
- Turin	AO, MM	UNT to Teels		.011			85				
. Channel C	ondition: Assess t	he cross-secti	on of the stream a	and prevailing con	dition (erosion, ag	gradation)					
	Optimal		Suboptimal		Conditional Category Marginal		Poor		Severe		
Channel Condition	Very little incision or active erosion; 80- 100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or natural rock, prominent (89.100%) AND/O/R Stable point bars /		erosion or unproted of banks are s Vegetative protec prominent (60 Depositional feat stability. The ban channels are well dhas access to be newly developed portions of the 1 sediment covers 1	ew areas of active cted banks. Majority table (60-80%), tion or natural rock-80%) AND/OR tures contribute to nkfull and low flow effined. Stream likely inkfull benches, or floodplains along reach. Transient 0-40% of the stream tom.	e of the incised, but less than Severe or poor. Banks more stable than Severe or Poor due to lower bank slopes. Erosion may be present on 40-60% of both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be vertical or undercut. AND/OR vetty and the stability. Deposition that contribute instability. Deposition that contribute to stability, may be forming/present. AND/OR V-		Overwidened/incised. Vertically / laterally unstable. Likely to widen further. Majority of both banks are near vertical. Erosion present on 60-80% of banks. Vegetative protection present		Deeply incised (or excavated), vertical/lateral instability. Severe rincision, flow contained within the banks. Streambed below average rooting depth, majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less than 20% of banks, is not preventing erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100%, AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability.		
Scores	3		2	.4	to sta	ability.	1		1		CI 2.00
Scores	3							.0			2.00
Riparian Buffers	Optima Tree stratum (dbh > 3 i with > 60% tree car Wetlands located with areas.	nches) present, nopy cover.	Subo High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.	Riparian areas with tree stratum (dh > 3 inches) present with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recen- cutover (dense- vegetation).	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover.	Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.	NOTES>>		
Caaraa			High	Low	High	Low	High	Low			
Scores	1.5 1.2		1.2	1.1	0.85	0.75	0.6	0.5			
Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below. Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below. Determine square footage for each priparian category in the blocks below. Blocks equal 100											
	% Riparian Area>	80%	12%	8%			DIOCKS	100%			
Right Bank	Score >	0.75	0.6	0.85						****	
	% Riparian Area> 80%		20%				100%		CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 Rt Bank CI > 0.74		CI
Left Bank	Score >	0.6	0.75					100/0	Lt Bank CI >	0.63	0.69
INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embeddeness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/pool omplexes, stable features. Conditional Category NOTES>>							SAV; riffle/pool				
Habitat/ Available Cover	Sta				Stable habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations.		Habitat elements listed above are lacking or are unstable. Habitat elements are typically present in less than 10% of the reach.		Stream (Gradient	CI
Scores	1.5		1	.2	0	.9	0.5 High		gh	1.20	

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2										
Project #	Project Name (Applicant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR # / Data Point	Impact / SAR length	Impact Factor		
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)	Franklin County	R4	03010101	8/27/2021	S-D22	83	1		
4. CHANNEL ALTERATION: Stream crossings, riprap, concrete, gabions, or concrete blocks, straightening of channel, channelization, embankments, spoil piles, constrictions, livestock										
Conditional Category							NOTES>>			

	Conditional Category							
	Negligible	Minor		Moderate		Severe		
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.		is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If	60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not recovered.		d	
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.5		

CI 1.10

REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REA

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >>

1.00

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 83

CR = RCI X L_I X IF

INSERT PHOTOS:


(WSSI Photo Location "L:\22000s\22800\22865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Spread |\Field Forms\S-D22\Photos\S-D22_DS VIEW_2021-08-27.jpg")




Looking downstream within the ROW. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.


DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER







CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-D22 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)								
	PF	POST-CROSSING						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.			
P1. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING		DIFF.	DIFF.			
TS-L	13461851.40	1952583.89	1069.57					
BS-L	13461852.84	1952578.96	1063.57					
THW	13461853.36	1952577.88	1063.02					
BS-R	13461856.14	1952575.62	1063.38					
TS-R	13461857.70	1952572.55	1065.50					




SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on November 19, 2018.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).







NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS

Wetland

260.8)

-D22



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM TO THE SOUTH ON 11/19/2018




PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM TO THE EAST ON 11/19/2018

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1 Vertical Datum: NAVD 88 Boundary and Topo Source: WSSI 2' C.I. Topo

> Approved NAS JSF EJC Sheet #

Computer File Name:

1 of 1

Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs 2865_03 S-I MP 254-267 Sheets_2.dwg