Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-D7 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	N/A-No flow
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A-No flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking SW upstream, CB



Photo Type: DS COND

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking NE downstream, CB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On left bank at pipe centerline looking SE at right streambank, CB



Photo Type: RB CL Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On right bank at pipe centerline looking NW at left streambank, CB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking S upstream, CB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking NE downstream, CB



Photo Type: No Wolman pebble count due to no rocks in channel Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Mid-channel looking SE upstream, CB



Photo Type: Fence section allowing cows access Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: SE of reach looking SE, CB



Photo Type: Fence gate section allowing cows access Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: W of reach looking SW, CB

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		М	lountain Valley Pipeline		COORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.	36.964763 Lor	1.	-79.617043		WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	Augu	st 26, 2021	
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size (acreage), u			S-D	7/ 3.84 ac			MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./SITE (watershed size {acreage}, unalt			ı:				Comments:			
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	80	FORM MITIGAT			OORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.	Lor	1.		F	PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		No	Mitigation Length:			
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	Condition (Del	pit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing	Condition - Base	line (Credit)	·	Column No. 3- Mitigation Projecte Post Completion (Cre		e Years		Column No. 4- Mitigation Project Post Completion (C		rs	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projected	d at Maturity	(Credit)	
Stream Classification:	Intern	nittent	Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0	Strea	am Classification:	0		Stream Classification:		0	
Percent Stream Channel Slo	рре	6.58%	Percent Stream Channel S	Slope			Percent Stream Channel Slope		0		Percent Stream Channel Slo	рре	0	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe	0	
HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):		HGM Score (attac	h data forms):			HGM Score (attach data	forms):	:		HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):		HGM Score (attach da	a forms):		
		Average			Average				Average				Average			Avera	age
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0.19 0.13	0.10666667	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0		rology geochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	
Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and I	0 Biological Indic	ators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical :	and Biological Inc	licators		Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and Bio	logical I	Indicators	Habit	PART I - Physical, Chemical and E	Biological Indica	ators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and E	Siological In	dicators	
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points	Scale Ran	ige Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Ra	nge Site Scor	ore
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classi	fications)		PHY:	SICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications		
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)				EPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-:				pifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		
2. Embeddedness	0-20	0	Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20			2. Embeddedness 0-				mbeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20		
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	0	3. Pool Variability	0-20			3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-				elocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	0	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20			4. Sediment Deposition 0-				ediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition Channel Flow Status	0-20		
5. Channel Flow Status 6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20 0-1	3	Channel Flow Status Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1			5. Channel Flow Status 0-: 6. Channel Alteration 0-:		1		hannel Flow Status hannel Alteration	0-20 0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20	-1	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)				requency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	0	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)				ank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	2	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20				20			egetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	0	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20				20			Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		
Total RBP Score	Poor	5	Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0		I RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0	
Sub-Total		0.025	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-	-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial St	reams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Perennial St	reams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and	Perennial	Streams)	CHE	EMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Perennial St	reams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennia	Streams)	
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General))		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gener	al)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVD	DEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity				cific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			
	0-90			0-90			0-1	90				0-90			0-90		
100-199 - 85 points							-11							-11			
рп	0-1		рп	0-1			pn	0-	1	рп		0-1		рп	,	-1	
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	0-80			5-90			5-1	90	•			5-90			5-90	•	
DO		8.0	DO		0		DO			DO				DO			
	10-30			10-30			10-	-30				10-30			10-30		
Out Takel			Out Tabl							0	Takal		0	Out Tatal			
Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial	Streams)	Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennial	Streams)		Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Pere	nnial Streams)		-Total LOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Perenn	ial Streams)	Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ttent and Per	nnial Streams	s)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		·	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		·		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			
	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-1	00 0-	1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0	-1	
0 Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-	-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	
Cub-Total		U	oub-Total		· ·		Oub-10tai		U U	Oub-	- Total	i	U	Oub-Total			
PART II - Index and Ui	nit Score		PART II - Index ar	d Unit Score			PART II - Index and Unit	Score			PART II - Index and Un	nit Score		PART II - Index and Un	it Score		
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index Lin	near Fee	et Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Fe	et Unit Sc	core
0.260	80	20.7666667	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.200	30	_0 000007					<u> </u>			<u> </u>	Ť	,		, and the second			

	T	CD/DU		rield [oata She	et and C			M North:	26 004700	
Pro		CB/BH Mountain \	/alley Pipelii	ne						36.964763 -79.617043	
	Location:		,poiii					•	npling Date:		
SA	R Number:	S-D7	Reach	Length (ft):	50	Stream Ty	/pe: _{Inter}	mittent Strea	m		▼
	Top Strata:	Sh	rub/Herb Sti	rata	(determine	d from perce	ent calculate	ed in V _{CCANO}	_{DPY})		
Site a	and Timing:	Project Site				•	Before Proje	ect			•
mple	Variables	1-4 in strea	am channel								
1	V _{CCANOPY}	equidistant	points alon	g the strean	n. Measure	nd sapling o only if tree/ 19 to trigger	sapling cov	er is at least			Not Used, <20%
		cent cover	measureme	nts at each	point below	:			1		•
	0										
2	V _{EMBED}	Average er	mbeddedne	ss of the str	eam channe	el. Measure	at no fewer	r than 30 rou	ughly equid	listant	
						n the bed. E					1.0
						that is cover an artificial s				e rating nents, use a	
		rating scor	e of 1. If the	bed is com	posed of be	edrock, use	a rating sco	ore of 5.			-
		Embedded Minshall 19		for gravel, c	obble and b	oulder parti	cles (rescal	ed from Pla	tts, Megaha	an, and	Measure
		Rating	Rating Des	scription							at least 30 points
		5	<5 percent	of surface of		rounded, or				ck)	ii poimo
		3				, surrounde					
		2				d, surround					
	1:-441	1			covered, su	urrounded, o	or buried by	fine sedime	ent (or artific	cial surface)	J
ı	List the rat	ngs at each	point below	<i>i</i> :							1
											1
]
3	V	Median eta	eam channe	l substrata	narticle size	Measura	at no fewer	than 30 rou	ably equidir	stant points	
			0.0 in, sand			h point belo]
4	V_{BERO}		e total perce			Enter the to					200 %
		a, 20 ap	Left Bank:	50) ft		Right Bank:	50) ft		
						•		•		each bank).	
5	V_{LWD}	stream rea		e number fi	rom the enti ulated.	es in diame re 50'-wide downed wo	buffer and v	vithin the ch	0 / 1	the amount	0.0
6	V_{TDBH}	-	,		ly if V _{CCANOF}	y tree/saplir	_		-	re at least 4	Not Used
		,	cm) in diam				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	. "			1401 0360
		List the dbl the stream		ents of indi	vidual trees	(at least 4 in	n) within the	e buffer on e	ach side of	Ī	
			Left Side					Right Side]
											•
7	$V_{\sf SNAG}$		• .		,	per 100 fee et will be ca		Enter num	ber of snag	s on each	0.0
7	$V_{\sf SNAG}$		• .	I the amoun	,	et will be ca			ber of snag	js on each	0.0
	V_{SNAG}	side of the	stream, and Left Side: saplings an	the amoun	t per 100 fe 0 oody stems	et will be ca	lculated. Right Side: nes dbh) pe	r 100 feet of	0 f stream (m	easure only	0.0

	V _{SRICH}						am reach. C		all strata. Sp		0.00
		richness pe	er 100 feet a				from these		<u>'</u>		0.00
			p 1 = 1.0					•	2 (-1.0)		
_	Acer rubru		Ш	Magnolia ti		Ш	Ailanthus a		Ш	Lonicera ja	
_	Acer sacch		Ш	Nyssa sylv		Ш	Albizia julib			Lonicera ta	
Ш	Aesculus f		Ш	Oxydendrun		Ш	Alliaria peti	olata		Lotus corni	
Ш	Asimina tri		Ш	Prunus ser		Ш	Alternanthe		Ш	Lythrum sa	
Ш	Betula alleg		Ш	Quercus al			philoxeroid		Ш	Microstegiun	
	Betula lent		Ш	Quercus co		Ш	Aster tatari		Ш	Paulownia	
	Carya alba	1	Ш	Quercus in			Cerastium		Ш	Polygonum o	cuspidatum
	Carya glab	ora		Quercus pi	rinus		Coronilla v	aria		Pueraria m	
Ш	Carya ovai	lis	Ш	Quercus ru	ıbra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata	Ш	Rosa multii	flora
Ш	Carya ova		Ш	Quercus ve			Lespedeza	bicolor	Ш	Sorghum h	•
Ш	Cornus flor	rida	Ш	Sassafras	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata	Ш	Verbena br	asiliensis
Ш	Fagus grai	ndifolia	Ш	Tilia americ	cana		Ligustrum ol	otusifolium			
Ш	Fraxinus a	mericana	Ш	Tsuga cana	adensis		Ligustrum	sinense			
	Liriodendror	n tulipifera		Ulmus ame	ericana						
	Magnolia a	acuminata									
		0	Species in	Group 1				0	Species in	Group 2	
			ороскос	O.04p .				•	Оробіоб ІІТ	Oroup 2	
ank. T	he four su	bplots sho	uld be plac	ed roughly	equidistan	tly along e	ach side of	the strear			om each
10	V _{DETRITUS}	0 .					material. vv rital layer at	,	s <4" diamet lot.	er and	81.25 %
				Side				Side		1	
		90	85			70	80				
11	V_{HERB}	include wo	ody stems a percentage	it least 4" db	bh and 36" t	all. Because	e there may	be several	is <20%). It layers of ground v	ound cover	19 %
		at each sui		Side			Right	Side		1	
		10	15			30	20				
ample	e Variable 1	10		chment of	the stream						
ample	Variable 1	12 within the	e entire cat		the stream					% in	0.36
		12 within the	e entire cat Average of F	Runoff Score		ned:			Runoff	% in Catch- ment	Running Percent
	V _{WLUSE}	12 within the	e entire cat Average of F Land	Runoff Score	e for waters	ned:				Catch-	Running Percent
	VwLuse Forest and n	Weighted A	e entire cat Average of F Land	Use (Choos	e for waters	ned:			Score 0.5	Catch- ment 43	Running Percent (not >100
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A native range (stative rang	e entire cat Average of F Land <50% ground	Use (Choos	e for waters	ned:			0.5 1	Catchment 43	Running Percent (not >100 43 49
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A native range (a native range (parking	Land 250% ground 10ts, roofs, d	Use (Choos cover) cover) riveways, etc)	e for waters	ned:		•	0.5 1 0	Catchment 43 6 23	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A native range (Land 250% ground 10ts, roofs, d	Use (Choos cover) cover) riveways, etc)	e for waters	ned:		•	0.5 1	Catchment 43	Running Percent (not >100 43 49
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A native range (a native range (parking	Land 250% ground 10ts, roofs, d	Use (Choos cover) cover) riveways, etc)	e for waters	ned:		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0.5 1 0	Catchment 43 6 23	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A native range (a native range (parking	Land 250% ground 10ts, roofs, d	Use (Choos cover) cover) riveways, etc)	e for waters	ned:		1	0.5 1 0	Catchment 43 6 23	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A native range (a native range (parking	Land 250% ground 10ts, roofs, d	Use (Choos cover) cover) riveways, etc)	e for waters	ned:		•	0.5 1 0	Catchment 43 6 23	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72
	Forest and n	12 within the Weighted A native range (a native range (parking	Land 250% ground 10ts, roofs, d	Use (Choos cover) cover) riveways, etc)	e for waters	ned:		•	0.5 1 0	Catchment 43 6 23	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72
	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Meighted Anative range (anative range (anative range (parking))	Land 250% ground 10ts, roofs, d	Use (Choos cover) cover) riveways, etc)	e for waters	ned:	20	• •	0.5 1 0	Catchment 43 6 23	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72
	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	12 within the Weighted A native range (a native range (parking	Land 250% ground 10ts, roofs, d	Use (Choos cover) cover) riveways, etc)	e for watersi se From Dro	p List)	20 No	tes:	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3	Catchment 43 6 23 28	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100
12	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Meighted Anative range (anative range (anative range (parking))	Land 250% ground 10ts, roofs, d	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc), grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro) >75%	p List)	20 No	tes:	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Cove	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100
12	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	native range (anative range (anative range (anative range (anative range (barrens)) (pasture, lawrens) Value Not Used,	e entire cat Average of F Land \$50% ground \$75% ground lots, roofs, d	Use (Choose cover) cover) riveways, etc)), grass cover "Land Cover) Database	e for watersi se From Dro) >75%	p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted using at satellite	tes: ng the 20° imagery a	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other su	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementa	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100
12 Vac	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	native range (anative	e entire cat Average of F Land 75% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
Vac V _{CC} V _{EI}	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space ariable CANOPY	Meighted A mative range (- mat	Land Average of F VSI VSI Not Used 0.10	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
Value	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	native range (anative	e entire cat Average of F Land 75% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, d ns, parks, etc.	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
Vac V _{CC} V _{EN}	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Meighted A mative range (- mat	Land Average of F VSI VSI Not Used 0.10	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
Value	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Copen space Cop	native range (areas (parking) (pasture, lawn S-D7 Value Not Used, <20% <1.0 <0.00 in	Land Land Solverage of F Land C50% ground T5% ground Total lots, roofs, d Ins., parks, etc., VSI Not Used 0.10 0.00	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
Value	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.00 in 200 % 0.0	VSI Not Used 0.00 0.00 0.00	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
VEN VCC VEN VSLV VILV VTT	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Open space	Not Used Not Used Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percenti (not >100 43 49 72 100
Value	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Open space	Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.00 in 200 % 0.0	VSI Not Used 0.00 0.00 0.00	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percenti (not >100 43 49 72 100
VEN VCC VEN VSLV VILV VTT	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Not Used Not Used Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
Value V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Not Used 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 0.00	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
Value Volument Volume	Forest and non-Forest	Not Used 0.0 0.00 0.00	VSI Not Used 0.10 0.00 Not Used 0.10 0.00 0.00	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
Value Volument Value Val	Forest and no- Forest	S-D7 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.00 in 200 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 0.0 81.3 %	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100 43 49 72 100 er
Value	Forest and non-Forest	Not Used 0.0 0.00 0.00	VSI Not Used 0.10 0.00 Not Used 0.10 0.00 0.00	Cover) cover) riveways, etc) grass cover "Land Cover) Database datasets.	e for watersi se From Dro) >75% vver Analysi ((NLCD), fi Watershed	ned: p List) s was comom Lands	No npleted usin at satellite es are base	tes: Ing the 20' imagery a ged off of fi	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 9 National and other sueld delineat	Catchment 43 6 23 28 Land Coverpplementaed stream	Running Percent (not >100) 43 49 72 1000

Ver. 10-20-17

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V_{CCANOPY} (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

Location: S-D7 Sampling Date: 8/26/21

Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Intermittent Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-D7

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.19
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.13
Habitat	0.00

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V _{CCANOPY}	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V_{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.00	0.10
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.00	0.00
V _{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	200.00	0.00
V_{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V _{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V _{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V _{DETRITUS}	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	81.25	0.99
V _{HERB}	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	18.75	0.25
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.36	0.38

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE	REASON FOR SURVEY

WEATHER CONDITIONS SITE LOCATION/MAP	Now Past 24 hours Yes No storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) %cloud cover clear/sunny Now Past 24 hours Yes No Air Temperature ° C Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph) DS LOD Minimal veg, LOD Pasture both sides S-D7 S-D7 South Broken Fence LoD Broken Fence
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Tidal Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater Stream Origin Glacial Spring-fed Non-glacial montane Swamp and bog Other

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field/ Agric	Pasture Industria	rcial	Local Watershed NPS Pollution No evidence ☐ Some potential sources Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate Heavy	
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	Trees	SI SI	hrubs	Ominant species present Grasses Herbaceous	
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	ed Reach Length ed Stream Width g Reach Area km² (m²x1000) ed Stream Depth Velocity m	m m m² km²	Canopy Cover Partly open Partly shaded Shaded High Water Markm Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle % Run% Pool% Channelized Yes No Dam Present Yes No	
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		m²	n ² /km ² (LWD/	reach area)	
AQUATION VEGETA		Roote Floati Domin a	e the dominant type and d emergent Re ng Algae At unt species present of the reach with aquat	ooted submerge tached Algae		
WATER (QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	cature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty trument Used		Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other	
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Abser			Relict shells Other	_
INC	ORGANIC SUBS		COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)	
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic % Composition in Sampling Area	
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2			Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)		Marl	grey, shell fragments	

Silt

Clay

0.004-0.06 mm

< 0.004 mm (slick)

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Conditi	on Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.		
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	areas of erosion; high erosion potential during	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.		
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potentia to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.		
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.		
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
1	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		

Total	Caare	
i otai	Score	

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATETIME	REASON FOR SURVEY			
HADITAT TYPES Indicate the percentage of	and habitat true present				

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand% Submerged Macrophytes% Other ()%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ()
GENERAL COMMENTS	

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-D7

Stream Name: UNT to Jonnikin Creek

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/26/2021
Surveyors: CB/BH
Type: Representative
Livestock have impacted channel.

T 1	DADTICLE		LE COUNT	Particle	T-4-1#	T4 0/	% Cui
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Count	Total #	Item %	% Cui
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	^	100	100.00	100.0
	Very Fine	.062125		^		0.00	100.0
	Fine	.12525		^		0.00	100.0
	Medium	.255	SAND	^		0.00	100.0
	Coarse	.50-1.0		^		0.00	100.0
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		^		0.00	100.0
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		^		0.00	100.0
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		^		0.00	100.0
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		*		0.00	100.0
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		^		0.00	100.0
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	^		0.00	100.0
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6	_	*		0.00	100.0
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		A		0.00	100.0
.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		^		0.00	100.0
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		^		0.00	100.0
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		^		0.00	100.0
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		^		0.00	100.0
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	^		0.00	100.0
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		*		0.00	100.0
0.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		A		0.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	^		0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	^		0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		^		0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	^		0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	^		0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

UNT to Jonnikin Creek

S-D7

River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: Survey Date: Representative 08/26/2021

Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	100.00 0.00	100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.01 0.02 0.03 0.05 0.06 0.06 100 0		

Total Particles = 100.

Stream Assessment Form (Form 1) Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia e channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin **Impact** Impact Project # Project Name (Applicant) Locality HUC SAR# Date Class _enath Factor Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R4 03010101 8/26/21 S-D7 80 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County Name(s) of Evaluator(s) SAR Length Stream Name and Information CB/BH UNT to Jonnikin Creek, Spread I 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Optimal Suboptimal Poor Severe Marginal Slightly incised, few areas of active Often incised, but less than Severe or Very little incision or active erosion; 80 Overwidened/incised. Vertically / Deeply incised (or excavated) 100% stable banks. Vegetative surfact protection or natural rock, prominent sion or unprotected banks. Majority of banks are stable (60-80%). vertical/lateral instability. Severe ision, flow contained within the bank Banks more stable than Severe laterally unstable. Likely to wid Majority of both bar Channel 80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of vertical. Erosion present on 60-80% of Streambed below average rooting depth Condition bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to banks. Vegetative protection present on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less leveloped wide bankfull benches. Mid stability. The bankfull and low flow vertical or undercut. AND/OR to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% o than 20% of banks, is not preventing channel bars and transverse bars few. Transient sediment deposition covers less than 10% of bottom. 40-60% Sediment may be temporary transient, contribute instability. Deposition that contribute to stability, hannels are well defined. Stream like as access to bankfull benches,or new the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in erosion. Obvious bank sloughing sent. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100% developed floodplains along nature, and contributing to instability AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater portions of the reach. Transient liment covers 10-40% of the stream may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. bottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 40% of the banks and stable sediment Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow to stability. CI 3 2.4 2.00 Scores 1.6 NOTES>> 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Low Marginal: Non-maintained High Poor: Lawns High Suboptima Low Suboptimal High Marginal Low Poor: dense herbaceou maintained areas Riparian areas wit Riparian areas with egetation, ripariar reas lacking shrub Non-maintained nurseries: no-till Impervious ree stratum (dbh ree stratum (dbh : nse herbaceo cropland; actively 3 inches) present 3 inches) present Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present vegetation with and tree stratum grazed pasture, spoil lands. Riparian with 30% to 60% with 30% to 60% hay production, onds, open wate If present, tree either a shrub laye or a tree layer (db parsely vegetated non-maintained with > 60% tree canopy cover. nuded surface tree canopy cove and containing bot tree canopy cover and a maintained **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian row crops, active areas. > 3 inches) area, recently feed lots, trails, o herbaceous and understory. Recer cutover (dense resent, with <30% stratum (dbh >3 seeded and other comparable shrub layers or a inches) present, with <30% tree stabilized, or othe conditions tree canopy cover non-maintained vegetation). comparable understory. canopy cover with maintained condition. Assessment is limited to understory. areas within the temporary ROW. High Low High Low High Low 1.5 0.85 Scores 1.2 1.1 0.75 0.6 0.5 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below of % Riparian Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Right Bank Score > 0.5 CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Rt Bank CI > 0.50 CI Left Bank 0.50 Score > 0.50 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/pool complexes, stable features **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Instream Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically Habitat elements listed above are Available labitat elements are typically present resent in 30-50% of the reach and are esent in 10-30% of the reach and ar lacking or are unstable. Habitat greater than 50% of the reach adequate for maintenance of adequate for maintenance of nents are typically present in less than 10% of the reach. Cover populations. populations Stream Gradient CI

Scores

1.5

0.9

0.5

High / Low

0.50

1.2

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2										
Project #	Project Name (Applicant)		Locality		Cowardin HUC		SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor	
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)		Franklin County	R4	03010101	8/26/21	S-D7	80	1	
4. CHANNEL ALTERATION: Stream crossings, riprap, concrete, gabions, or concrete blocks, straightening of channel, channelization, embankments, spoil piles, constrictions, livestock Conditional Category NOTES>>										
								INUIES		
	Negligible	Mi			erate	Sev	/ere	NOTES>>		

0.7

0.9 REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

> THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 0.70

0.50

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >>

CR = RCI X L_I X IF

0.5

INSERT PHOTOS:

Scores


1.5


NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.





DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER











CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-D7 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)										
	PR	POST-CF	ROSSING							
DT LOC	NODTUING	FACTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.					
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.					
TS-L	13424699.23	2044327.42	901.60							
BS-L	13424700.85	2044321.33	900.51							
THW	13424701.76	2044317.83	900.28							
BS-R	13424702.83	2044314.86	900.60							
TS-R	13424703.89	2044311.51	901.38							



SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on November 28, 2018.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).



Approved

PFS

SIH

Sheet #

1 of 1

