### **Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-F11 (Pipeline ROW/) Perennial Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream
	(not shadeable, slope less than 4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	No Riffles
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking NE downstream, RAH



Photo Type: LB DS VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD on left bank looking NE downstream, RAH



Photo Type: LB US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On ROW/LOD on left bank looking S upstream, RAH



Photo Type: LB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking SE at right streambank, RAH



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD on right bank looking NW downstream, RAH



Photo Type: RB US VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD on right bank looking SW upstream, RAH



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking NW at left streambank, RAH



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking SW upstream, RAH

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline (v2.1, Sept 2015)  Mountain Valley Pipeline		ntain Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COO (in Decimal		Lat.	37.052843	Lon.	-79.825711		WEATHER:		Cloudy	DATE:	8.	3/31/202	<b>21</b>	
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage}			S-F11; 10	5682.27 Acres			MITIGATION STREAM CLASS (watershed size {acreae			l:				Comments:			
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	91	FORM OF MITIGATION		MIT COORI		Lat.		Lon.			PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		No	Mitigation Length:			
Column No. 1- Impact Existin	g Condition (De	bit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing	Condition - Baseline (	(Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation F Post Completic		ears ears		Column No. 4- Mitigation Proj Post Completion (		ars	Column No. 5- Mitigation Proje	cted at Maturit	ty (Credi	lit)
Stream Classification:	Pere	ennial	Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0	5	Stream Classification:	0	)	Stream Classification:		0	
Percent Stream Channel SI	оре	3.03	Percent Stream Channel S	lope			Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0		Percent Stream Channel Sl	ope	0	Percent Stream Channel	Slope		0
HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (attack	data forms):			HGM Score (attac	h data forms):			HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):		
		Average			Average				Average				Average				Average
Hydrology			Hydrology				Hydrology			F	Hydrology			Hydrology			
Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling		0		Biogeochemical Cycling		0	E	Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling			0
Habitat			Habitat				Habitat			Ŀ	Habitat			Habitat			
PART I - Physical, Chemical and			PART I - Physical, Chemical a				PART I - Physical, Chemical a				PART I - Physical, Chemical and			PART I - Physical, Chemical a			
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score			Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)		F	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ms classifications	s)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		40	USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	1 1			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	1		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20	10 7	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Ended de acceptante de la contraction d	0-20		II	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Estandada as a second substrate of the second substrate o	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20		
Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0-20	17	Pool Substrate Characterization     Pool Variability	0-20 0-20			Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0-20		II	Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0-20		Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0-20		
Velocity Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20	8	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20			Velocity Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20			4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity Departing      A. Sediment Deposition	0-20		
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	19	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	19	6. Channel Alteration	0-20			6. Channel Alteration	0-1		6	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	0-1	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	10	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	16	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		
Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	15	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Suboptimal	129	<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> <li>Total RBP Score</li> </ol>	0-20 Poor	0		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	0	<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> <li>Total RBP Score</li> </ol>	0-20 Poor		0
Sub-Total	Suboplinal	0.645	Sub-Total	Pool	0		Sub-Total	Pool	0		Sub-Total	Pool	0	Sub-Total	Poor		0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial S		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial Streams			CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Perennial Str			CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial St		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	tent and Perennia	al Stream	
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Genera	n .			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Genera	al)		,	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gene	·al)		
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		0		Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity	.,		
<=99 - 90 points	0-90	8		0-90				0-90				0-90			0-90		
рН	0.1		рН	0-1	0		рН	0-1		E	pH	0.1		рН		0.1	
3.6-4.5 = 5 points	0-80	4		5-90				5-90				5-90			5-90	0-1	
DO		10	DO		0		DO			<u></u>	DO			DO			
50 40	10-30	4		10-30				10-30				10-30			10-30		
<5.0 = 10 points Sub-Total	1 1	0.525	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	5	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total			0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ttent and Perennia		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial Strear	ms)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Perenn	ial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perenn	nial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inte	rmittent and Per	rennial S	Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			v	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			
0	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1			0-100	0-1	
Sub-Total	1 1	0	Sub-Total	-	0		Sub-Total	1	0	5	Sub-Total	1	0	Sub-Total	1		0
			<u></u>		-	,							u u				
PART II - Index and U	Init Score		PART II - Index an	d Unit Score		ſ	PART II - Index ar	d Unit Score			PART II - Index and U	nit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Fe	eet L	Unit Score
0.585	91	53.235	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0
	•		( <del>L</del>			ı	•	•	•	ي ا		•			•	•	

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION					
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN					
STORET#	AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS						
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE	REASON FOR SURVEY				

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now%	storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) %cloud cover clear/sunny	Past 24 hours	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes No Air Temperature0 C Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map	of the site and indicate th	he areas sam	pled (or attach a photograph)
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	S-F11		PIPECL
STREAM	Stucom Subs	votom		Stream Type
CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subs Perennial Stream Orig Glacial Non-glacia Swamp and	in Spring-fo I montane Mixture	ed of origins	Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater  Catchment Areakm <sup>2</sup>

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field/ Agric	Pasture Industria	rcial	Local Watershed NPS Pollution  No evidence ☐ Some potential sources  Obvious sources  Local Watershed Erosion  None Moderate Heavy	
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	Trees	SI SI	hrubs	Ominant species present Grasses Herbaceous	
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	ed Reach Length ed Stream Width g Reach Area km² (m²x1000) ed Stream Depth Velocity m	m m m² km²	Canopy Cover Partly open Partly shaded Shaded  High Water Markm  Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle % Run% Pool%  Channelized Yes No  Dam Present Yes No	
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		of LWDm	n <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> (LWD/	reach area)	
AQUATION VEGETA		Roote Floati <b>Domin</b> a	e the dominant type and d emergent Re ng Algae At unt species present of the reach with aquat	ooted submerge tached Algae		
WATER (	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	cature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty trument Used		Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other	
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE  Odors Normal Chemical Other Oils Absent			·		Relict shells Other	_
INC	ORGANIC SUBS		COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)	
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic % Composition in Sampling Area	
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2			Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)		Marl	grey, shell fragments	

Silt

Clay

0.004-0.06 mm

< 0.004 mm (slick)

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION		
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS		
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN		
STORET#	AGENCY		
INVESTIGATORS			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY	

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.				
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).				
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat	Condition Category											
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor								
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.								
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0								
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.								
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0								
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.								
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.								
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.								
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
ĺ	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								

Total	Caama	
i otai	Score	

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE REASON FOR SURVEY				
HADITAT TYPES Indicate the percentage of	and habitat type present				

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand%  Submerged Macrophytes% Other ( )%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other  How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.  Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )
GENERAL COMMENTS	

#### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County
Stream Name: Blackwater River Stream ID: S-F11

03010101 Upper Roanoke HUC Code: Basin:

Survey Date: 8/31/2021 Surveyors: RC, RH Representative Type:

			LE COUNT				
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>*</b>	14	14.00	14.00
	Very Fine	.062125		<b>+</b>	3	3.00	17.00
	Fine	.12525		<b>*</b>	5	5.00	22.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	<b>*</b>	11	11.00	33.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0	1	<b>*</b>	24	24.00	57.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2	1	*	22	22.00	79.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		*		0.00	79.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7	1	<b>*</b>		0.00	79.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	1	<b>*</b>	1	1.00	80.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	1	<b>*</b>	1	1.00	81.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	<b>*</b>	1	1.00	82.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6	1	<b>A</b>	1	1.00	83.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32	1	<b>^</b>		0.00	83.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45	1	<b>*</b>	5	5.00	88.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64	1	<b>^</b>		0.00	88.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>^</b>	2	2.00	90.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	1	<b>+</b>	1	1.00	91.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>+</b>	2	2.00	93.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	<b>^</b>	1	1.00	94.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>^</b>		0.00	94.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	<b>^</b>		0.00	94.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>^</b>		0.00	94.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	<b>^</b>		0.00	94.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	<b>A</b>		0.00	94.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	<u> </u>	6	6.00	100.00
				Totals:	100		

#### RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

Blackwater River

River Name: Blackwater River Reach Name: S-F11 Representative Survey Date: 08/31/2021

Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	14 3 5 11 24 22 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 5 0 2 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14.00 3.00 5.00 11.00 24.00 22.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 5.00 0.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0	14.00 17.00 22.00 33.00 57.00 79.00 79.00 80.00 81.00 82.00 83.00 83.00 88.00 90.00 91.00 93.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.1 0.54 0.85 34.6 Bedrock 14 65 9 6		

Total Particles = 100.

#### Stream Assessment Form (Form 1) Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia e channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin **Impact** Impact Project # Project Name (Applicant) Locality HUC Date SAR# Class \_enath Factor Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R3 03010101 8/31/21 S-F11 91 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County SAR Length Name(s) of Evaluator(s) Stream Name and Information RC, RH **Blackwater River** 79.4 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Optimal Suboptimal Poor Severe Marginal Slightly incised, few areas of active Often incised, but less than Severe or Very little incision or active erosion; 80 Overwidened/incised. Vertically / Deeply incised (or excavated) 100% stable banks. Vegetative surfact protection or natural rock, prominent sion or unprotected banks. Majority of banks are stable (60-80%). vertical/lateral instability. Severe ision, flow contained within the bank Banks more stable than Severe laterally unstable. Likely to wid Majority of both bar Channel 80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of vertical. Erosion present on 60-80% of Streambed below average rooting depth Condition bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be banks. Vegetative protection present on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less leveloped wide bankfull benches. Mid stability. The bankfull and low flow vertical or undercut. AND/OR to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% o than 20% of banks, is not preventing channel bars and transverse bars few. Transient sediment deposition covers less than 10% of bottom. 40-60% Sediment may be temporary transient, contribute instability. Deposition that contribute to stability, hannels are well defined. Stream like as access to bankfull benches,or new the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in erosion. Obvious bank sloughing sent. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100% developed floodplains along nature, and contributing to instability AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater portions of the reach. Transient liment covers 10-40% of the stream may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. bottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 40% of the banks and stable sediment Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow to stability. CI 3 2.4 Scores 1.6 2.40 NOTES>> 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Low Marginal: Non-maintained High Poor: Lawns High Suboptima Low Suboptimal High Marginal Low Poor: dense herbaceou maintained areas Riparian areas wit Riparian areas with egetation, ripariar reas lacking shrub Non-maintained nurseries: no-till Impervious ree stratum (dbh ree stratum (dbh : nse herbaceo cropland; actively 3 inches) present 3 inches) present Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present vegetation with and tree stratum grazed pasture, spoil lands. Riparian with 30% to 60% with 30% to 60% hay production, onds, open wate If present, tree either a shrub laye or a tree layer (db parsely vegetated non-maintained with > 60% tree canopy cover. nuded surfaces tree canopy cove and containing bot tree canopy cover and a maintained **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian row crops, active areas. > 3 inches) area, recently feed lots, trails, o herbaceous and understory. Recer cutover (dense resent, with <30% stratum (dbh >3 seeded and other comparable shrub layers or a inches) present, with <30% tree stabilized, or othe conditions tree canopy cover non-maintained vegetation). comparable understory. canopy cover with maintained condition. understory. High Low Hiah Low High Low 1.5 0.85 0.5 Scores 1.2 1.1 0.75 0.6 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below of % Riparian Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 5% 93% 100% Right Bank 0.85 0.75 Score > 0.5 CI= (Sum % RA \* Scores\*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 5% 3% 92% 100% Rt Bank CI > 0.75 CI Left Bank 0.75 Score > 0.85 0.75 Lt Bank CI > 0.75 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/pool complexes, stable features **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Instream Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically Habitat elements listed above are Available labitat elements are typically present resent in 30-50% of the reach and are esent in 10-30% of the reach and ar lacking or are unstable. Habitat greater than 50% of the reach adequate for maintenance of adequate for maintenance of nents are typically present in less than 10% of the reach. Cover populations. populations Stream Gradient CI

Scores

1.5

1.2

0.9

0.5

High / Low

0.90

	S	tream Ir	npact A	ssessm	ent For	m Page	2		
Project #	Project Name (App	icant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	нис	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline Valley Pipeline, L	•	Franklin County	R3	03010101	8/31/21	S-F11	91	1
1. CHANNEL	ALTERATION: Stream crossin	gs, riprap, concret	te, gabions, or con	crete blocks, strai	ghtening of channe	el, channelization,	embankments, sp	poil piles, constriction	ons, livestock
			Conditiona	al Category				NOTES>>	
	Negligible	Mi	Conditiona nor	Mode		Sev	rere	NOTES>>	
Channel Alteration	Negligible  Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	Less than 20% of the stream reach is	20-40% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel		60 - 80% of reach	Greater than 80% of	of reach is disrupted the alterations listed uidelines AND/OR ored with gabion,		

REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.


THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 1.11

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 101

CR = RCI X L<sub>I</sub> X IF


#### **INSERT PHOTOS:**




CAPTION. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.

#### DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:


PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER














**SURVEY NOTES:** 

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1 Vertical Datum: NAVD 88 Boundary and Topo Source: WSSI 2' C.I. Topo PENDING CROSSING

Draft | Approved PFS NAS NAS Sheet # 1 of 1

Computer File Name: :\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs 865\_03 S-I MP 268-278 Sheets.dwg

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

H: 1"=10'

V: 1"=5'

Wetland