# **Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-G22 (Pipeline ROW) Perennial Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope >4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	✓
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking NE upstream, VM



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking W downstream, VM

# Spread I Stream S-G22 (Pipeline ROW) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking SE at right streambank, VM



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking N at left streambank, VM

# Spread I Stream S-G22 (Pipeline ROW) Franklin County



Photo Type: US COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking E upstream, VM



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking SE downstream, VM

HOAGE EN E NO / Partir de Name		Manustata	Valley Pineline	IMPACT COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.019612	Lon.	-79.761958	WEATHER:	Sunnv	DATE:	
USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		wountain	Valley Pipeline	(in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.019612	Lon.	-/9./61958	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:	8/27/2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage}			\$-G22	; 68.33		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS (watershed size {acreage					Comments:	
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	80	FORM OF		MIT COORDINATES:	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:	No	Mitigation Length:	
		MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	(in Decimal Degrees)								
Column No. 1- Impact Existin	g Condition (Debi	t)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pr Post Completio	ojected at I n (Credit)	Five Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (		Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ed at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Peren	nial	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel SI	lope	32.94	Percent Stream Channel Slo	ре		Percent Stream Channel S	оре	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	ope 0	Percent Stream Channel S	lope 0
HGM Score (attach d	iata forms):		HGM Score (attach o	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach	data form	s):	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):
		Average		Average				Average		Average		Avera
Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		Hydrology	
Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	I Biological Indica	tors	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical ar	nd Biologic	al Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale	Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Sco
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	lassifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classification	is)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	11	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20
2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	11	Pool Substrate Characterization     Pool Variability	0-20		Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0-20		Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	Embeddedness     Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20
Velocity Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20	16	Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	Velocity Depart regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.1	13	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0.4	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	16	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	15	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	12	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20
Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	12	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	16	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> </ol>	0-20	<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> </ol>	0-20
Total RBP Score	Suboptimal	131	Total RBP Score	Poor 0		Total RBP Score	Poo	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0
Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Stres	0.655 ams)	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent:	0 and Perennial Streams)		Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	t and Perenn	ial Streams)	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Streams)	Sub-Total  CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	t and Perennial Streams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	I)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity	
<=99 - 90 points	0-90	67.1	1	0-90		1	0-90		<b>I</b>	0-90		0-90
pH			рН			pH			pH		рН	
	0-80 0-1	6.5		5-90 0-1			5-90	0-1		5-90 0-1		5-90 0-1
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	0-00	0.5					0-90					5-50
DO			DO			DO			DO		DO	
>5.0 = 30 points	10-30	6.95		10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30
Sub-Total		1	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ttent and Perennial St	reams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittee	nt and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	ittent and P	erennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	nittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial Streams
			***					,				
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	1 1		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
Grey Zone	0-100 0-1	64.5	1	0-100 0-1		1	0-100	0-1	<b>I</b>	0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1
Sub-Total		0.645	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
PART II - Index and U	Unit Score		PART II - Index and I	Jnit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Scon		PART II - Index and U	Init Score	PART II - Index and U	Init Score
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear	Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Sc
0.767	80	61.3333333	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0
L	1 1		1						μ		J	

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-G2	22	LOCATION Franklin County, Spread I								
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Perent	nial							
LAT 37.019612	LONG79.761958	RIVER BASIN Upper Ro	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke							
STORET#		AGENCY VADEQ								
INVESTIGATORS AJ	, VM									
FORM COMPLETED	AJ, VM	DATE 8/27/2021 TIME 0800	REASON FOR SURV	EY Baseline Assessment						
WEATHER CONDITIONS	rain showe	m (heavy rain) L (steady rain) ers (intermittent) cloud cover elear/sunny	Has there been a heavy  Yes √No  Air Temperature 26  Other							
To Va	Praw a map of the site SW SW Silt SOC LOD	. 11/1	ence	R Buffer						
Gas out	Stream	n 60ft x 2ft		Up \$T						
Gas in	Timber mat	Down ST		· LOD						
From WV	Silt Soc	ck / Silt	t Fence	R Buffer						
STREAM CHARACTERIZATIO	Stream Subsystem  Perennial In  Stream Origin  Glacial  Non-glacial montal  Swamp and bog	atermittent	Stream Type  ☐Coldwater ☑Warr  Catchment Area	nwaterkm²						

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Predom  ✓ Fores  ✓ Field/  ☐ Agric  ☐ Resid	ultural 🔲 🤇	ing Land Commer Industria Other	duse rcial al	☑1 	cal Watershed NPS No evidence Son Obvious sources cal Watershed Erosi None Moderate	ne potential sources				
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	✓ Trees	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present  Trees  Grasses  Grasses  Dominant species present  Sycamore, American beech, rose, jewel weed, canary grass, smartweed, false nettle, willows daisies, princess tr									
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat		h 0.6 34.74 h 0.1	m m m² km² m	□ F Hig Pro Mo F T Ch	Canopy Cover					
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	of LWD	m	2/km² ( <b>LWD</b> / 1	reach are	a)					
AQUATIC VEGETA		Indicate Roote Floati Domina	Free floating									
WATER (	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH 6.9u/6 Turbidi	Conductance 67.4 ed Oxygen 6.97 mg/L	L u/6.95 <b>mg</b> /		✓ Noi Pet Fisl Wa ✓ I		Chemical  Other   Globs Flecks  red)				
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils		robic	Petroleum None	— Бо — Бо are	_	□Paper fiber □Sand □Other □Sand h are not deeply embedded, k in color?				
INC		STRATE (	COMPONENTS 00%)	3			VIC SUBSTRATE C					
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition Sampling Re		Substrate Type	C	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area				
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")				Detritus		rood, coarse plant s (CPOM)					
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2	2.5")	40 30		Muck-Mud	(FPOM)						
Sand Silt Clay	0.06-2mm (gritt 0.004-0.06 mm < 0.004 mm (sli		10 20		Marl	grey, she	ell fragments					

# HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-G22	LOCATION Franklin County, Spread I				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Perennial				
LAT <u>37.019612</u> LONG <u>-79.761958</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke				
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ				
INVESTIGATORS AJ, VM					
FORM COMPLETED BY AJ, VM	DATE 8/27/2021 REASON FOR SURVEY TIME 6830 PM Baseline Assessment				

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.		
	SCORE 11 ▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.		
ted in	SCORE 9 ▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).		
aram	SCORE 11 ▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
	SCORE 16▼	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
	SCORE 13	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		

# HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	n Category						
	Parameter Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor					
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
	SCORE 16	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
samp	SCORE 15	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0					
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing development.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
eva	SCORE 6	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
to be	SCORE 6	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
	SCORE 5	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
	SCORE 7	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
	SCORE 9	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					
	SCORE 7	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0					

Total Score 131

## BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-G22							LOCATION Franklin County, Spread I												
STATION#	R	IVE	RM	ILE_			STREAM CLASS Perennial												
LAT 37.019612	_ L	ONC	<b>]</b> -79.	76195	3		RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke												
STORET#							AGE	NCY VA	DEQ										
INVESTIGATORS K	В, Т(	)												NUMBER					
FORM COMPLETED BY KB, TC							DAT TIMI	E 9/9/202	<u>1</u>			]	REAS	SON FOR SURVEY Ba	aselin	e As	sses	sme	nt
HABITAT TYPES	✓	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble 20 % Snags % Vegetated Banks 40 % √Sand 40 %  Submerged Macrophytes % Other ( )%																	
SAMPLE COLLECTION	G	ear	used		D-fr	ame	kick-	net			ther								
COLLECTION	н	ow v	vere	the	samp	les coll	lected?	<b>✓</b>	wadin	g		froi	m bar	nk from boa	t				
	✓	Cob	ble_4		_	r of jak □Sn phytes	ags	s taken ii —	$\square V$	eget		Ban		Sand					
4 kicks done in riffle habitats. Benthics were collected 9/9/2021 and shipped 9/20/2021.																			
QUALITATIVE I Indicate estimated Dominant  Periphyton Filamentous Algae	l abı				0 = I	1 2		Observe 4	ed, 1	Sliı	mes		rtebr	ommon, 3= Abuno	0	1 1	2	3 3	
Macrophytes					0	1 2	2 3	4		Fis	h				0	1	2	3	4
	l abı		anc	e:	0 = orga	Absen anisms	nt/Not s), 3=	Observ Abunda	ant (>		org	anis	sms)	rganisms), 2 = Cor , 4 = Dominant (>5	50 oı		nism		
Porifera	0	1	2	3	4		optera	,	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4		ptera		0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4		iptera		0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4 4		optera dopter		0	1	2	3	4 4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Siali	_	a	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4		dae dalida	e	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4		uanua lidae		0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	_ ^	ididae		0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	_ ^	ıliidae		0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4		nidae		0	1	2	3	4						
							idae		0	1	2	3	4						
																			_

# Mountain Valley Pipeline Data are not adjusted for subsampling



	Sample ID	S-G22
	Collection Date	09-09-2021
ORDER	CENTIC/CDECIES	COUNT
	GENUS/SPECIES	COUNT
Ephemeroptera Ephemeroptera		'
Ephemeroptera Ephemeroptera		2
	Maccaffertium sp.	15
	Eccoptura xanthenes	1
	Leuctra sp.	5
	Cheumatopsyche sp.	6
Trichoptera	Diplectrona sp.	13
	Hydropsyche sp.	2
	Pseudostenophylax sp.	2
	Calopteryx sp.	2 2 2 1
	Cordulegaster sp.	1
	Anchytarsus bicolor	2
	Ectopria sp.	2 3 5
	Optioservus sp.	
	Oulimnius sp. Psephenus sp.	4 5
	Stenelmis sp.	5
Diptera-Chironomidae		8 2
Diptera-Chironomidae		1
Diptera-Chironomidae		1
Diptera-Chironomidae		4
Diptera-Chironomidae	Orthocladius sp.	1
Diptera-Chironomidae	Parachaetocladius sp.	4
Diptera-Chironomidae	Parametriocnemus sp.	5
Diptera-Chironomidae	Polypedilum sp.	11
Diptera-Chironomidae	Rheocricotopus sp.	1
Diptera-Chironomidae	· ·	7
Diptera-Chironomidae	,	6
Diptera-Chironomidae	· ·	28
-	Thienemannimyia gr. sp.	6
Diptera-Chironomidae	, , ,	1
-		
Diptera-Chironomidae	·	1
Diptera-Chironomidae		1
-	Ceratopogoninae	3
Diptera	Hexatoma sp.	1
Diptera	Pseudolimnophila sp.	1
Diptera	Tabanidae	1
Diptera	Tipula sp.	2
	tubificoid Naididae w/ cap setae	4
Annelida	tubificoid Naididae w/o cap setae	11
	Pisidium sp.	9
	Pleuroceridae	15
Other Organisms		2
Other Organisms		1
	TOTAL	208

Mountain Valley Pipeline WV SCI Metrics



Sample ID Collection Date	
WVSCI Metric Values Total taxa EPT taxa % EPT % Chironomidae % 2 Dominant HBI	21 7 23.1 38.5 48.6 5.35
WVSCI Metric Scores Total taxa EPT taxa % EPT % Chironomidae % 2 Dominant HBI	100.0 53.8 25.1 62.1 80.4 65.5
WVSCI Metric Scores Total taxa EPT taxa % EPT % Chironomidae % 2 Dominant HBI	100.0 53.8 25.1 62.1 80.4 65.5
WVSCI Total Score	64.5

### WVSCI Thresholds

Unimpaired = > 68.00 Gray Zone = 60.61 to 68.00 Impaired = <60.61

## WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-G22

Stream Name: UNT to Poplar Camp Creek

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/27/2021 Surveyors: AJ,VM Type: Representative

T 1	DADTICI E		LE COUNT	D (1.1	7D 4 1 //	T. 0/	0/ C
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cur
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>^</b>		0.00	0.00
	Very Fine	.062125		<b>^</b>		0.00	0.00
	Fine	.12525		<b>^</b>		0.00	0.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	*		0.00	0.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0	1	<b>*</b>		0.00	0.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		*		0.00	0.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		<b>^</b>		0.00	0.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		•		0.00	0.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		•		0.00	0.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		<b>^</b>		0.00	0.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	<b>^</b>	12	12.00	12.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		<b>^</b>	5	5.00	17.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		<b>^</b>	3	3.00	20.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45	1	<b>^</b>		0.00	20.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		<b>^</b>		0.00	20.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>^</b>		0.00	20.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		<b>^</b>	12	12.00	32.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>^</b>	15	15.00	47.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	<b>^</b>	3	3.00	50.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>^</b>		0.00	50.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	<b>^</b>		0.00	50.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>^</b>		0.00	50.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	<b>^</b>		0.00	50.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	<b>^</b>		0.00	50.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	<b>^</b>	50	50.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		

### RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: UNT to Poplar Camp Creek Reach Name: S-G22 Representative 08/27/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 12 5 3 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 12.00 5.00 3.00 0.00 0.00 12.00 15.00 3.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 12.00 17.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 32.00 47.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	21.28 138.4 256 Bedrock Bedrock 0 0 20 30 0 50		

Total Particles = 100.

#### **Stream Assessment Form (Form 1)** Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia or use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin **Impact** Impact Project # **Project Name (Applicant)** Locality HUC Date SAR# Class <u>-ength</u> **Factor** Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R3 03010101 8/27/2021 S-G22 80 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County SAR Length Name(s) of Evaluator(s) Stream Name and Information UNT to Poplar Camp Creek, Franklin County, Spread I 80 AJ,VM 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Conditional Category Optimal Suboptimal Poor Severe Marginal ery little incision or active erosion; 80 Slightly incised, few areas of active Often incised, but less than Severe o Deeply incised (or excavated), Overwid ened/incised. 100% stable banks. Vegetative sion or unprotected banks. Majorit Poor, Banks more stable than Severe laterally unstable. Likely to widen vertical/lateral instability. Severe of banks are stable (60-80%). or Poor due to lower bank slopes further. Majority of both banks are ncision, flow contained within the Channel prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of near vertical. Erosion present on 60 banks. Streambed below average Condition pankfull benches are present. Acces to their original floodplain or fully majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may banks. Vegetative protection presen on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficier stability. The bankfull and low flow channels are well defined. Stream likely has access to bankfull be vertical or undercut. AND/OR 40-60% Sediment may be temporary transient, contribute instability. than 20% of banks, is not preventing eveloped wide bankfull benches. Mid to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% channel bars and transverse bars few. Transient sediment deposition covers the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. less than 10% of bottom. benches.or newly developed Deposition that contribute to stability nature, and contributing to instability portions of the reach. Transient sediment covers 10-40% of the may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > stream hottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 40% of the banks and stable sedimer Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow CI to stability. 3 2.00 Scores 2.4 2 1.6 NOTES>> 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Low Marginal: High Poor: Lawns Low Suboptimal Non-maintained High Suboptima mowed, and Riparian areas with tree stratum High Marginal ense herbaceou aintained area Low Poor: Riparian areas Non-maintained, vegetation, with tree stratum nurseries: no-till Impervious (dbh > 3 inches) ense herbaceou riparian areas cropland; actively (dbh > 3 inches) surfaces mine esent, with 30% to 60% tree vegetation with acking shrub and ree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) presen present, with 309 grazed pasture, spoil lands, Riparian either a shrub tree stratum, hav with > 60% tree canopy cover. to 60% tree parsely vegetate lenuded surfaces anopy cover an a maintained layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 roduction, pond open water. If **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian anopy cover ar row crops, active areas. containing both area, recently feed lots, trails, or understory. Recent cutover inches) present with <30% tree present, tree stratum (dbh >3 herbaceous and shrub layers or a seeded and other comparable conditions. abilized, or othe (dense canopy cover inches) present non-maintained comparable vegetation). with <30% tree understory. condition. canopy cover with maintained High Low High Low High Low 1.5 1.2 0.85 0.75 0.6 0.5 Scores 1.1 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you of % Riparian pelow . Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Right Bank 0.85 Score > CI= (Sum % RA \* Scores\*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Rt Bank CI > 0.85 CI Left Bank 0.85 Score > Lt Bank CI > 0.85 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embeddeness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV iffle/pool complexes, stable features **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Instream Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically Habitat elements listed above are **Available** Habitat elements are typically presen present in 30-50% of the reach and present in 10-30% of the reach and lacking or are unstable. Habitat in greater than 50% of the reach are adequate for maintenance of are adequate for maintenance of elements are typically present in less than 10% of the reach. Cover populations populations Stream Gradient

Scores

1.5

1.2

0.9

0.5

High / Low

1 20


	St	ream In	npact A	ssessn	nent Fo	rm Page	e 2		
Project #	Project Name (App	licant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	нис	Date	SAR#	Impact length	Impact Factor
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline Valley Pipeline, L	•	Franklin County	R3	03010101	8/27/2021	S-G22	80	1
. CHANNE	L ALTERATION: Stream crossi	ings, riprap, conci		concrete blocks, st	raightening of cha	annel, channelizatio		s, spoil piles, constr	rictions, livestock
	Negligible Mi		nor Moderate			Severe			
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	of the channel	20-40% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If	so - 50% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not	Greater than 80% o by any of the chann in the parameter gr 80% of banks sho riprap, or	el alterations listed uidelines AND/OR ored with gabion,		
_	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.	5		
Scores		l							
Scores	-	ONDITION	INDEX and S	STREAM CO	NDITION UN	IITS FOR TH	IS REACH		

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 86


CR = RCI X L<sub>I</sub> X IF


### **INSERT PHOTOS:**




DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER








# TYPICAL 5-POINT CROSS-SECTION (FACING DOWNSTREAM) THW TS: TOP OF SLOPE BS: BOTTOM OF SLOPE THW: THALWEG (INVERT)

CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-G23 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)											
	PF	RE-CROSSING	POST-CROSSING								
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	FACTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.						
PI. LUC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.						
TS-L	13444086.65	2001732.40	903.10								
BS-L	13444076.79	2001739.12	901.61								
THW	13444073.23	2001741.19	900.62								
BS-R	13444072.54	2001741.47	900.86								
TS-R	13444066.51	2001745.67	906.82								



cross-sectional spot shots.

judgement).

**SURVEY NOTES:** 

1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The

2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing

North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network

should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.

3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline

4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated


using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and


6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional

cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using

5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.

(RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on November 29, 2018.





CROSS SECTION LEGEND EXISTING GRADE

NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

CROSS SECTION SCALE: H: 1"=10' V: 1"=5'






PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM ON



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING TOWARD LEFT BANK





PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING TOWARD RIGHT BANK FROM PIPE CENTERLINE ON 03/30/2018

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING



reek (MP

to

-G23

Boundary and Topo Source: WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Design Draft Approved

EJC MGE NAS Sheet # 1 of 1

Computer File Name: Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs