### **Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-H17 (Pipeline ROW) Intermittent Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data*	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A –No Riffles
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

<sup>\*</sup>WQ only taken at one side of stream due to lack of depth



Photo Type: DS VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW/LOD looking S, DW



Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW/LOD looking N, DW



Photo Type: LB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking W, DW



Photo Type: RB CL Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking E, DW



Photo Type: DS COND

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW/LOD looking S, DW

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Moi	untain Va	lley Pipeline		COORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.	36.972125	Lon.	-79.662987	WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	8/2	24/2021
							_									
IMPACT STREAM/SITE I (watershed size {acreage				S-H17/2	2.71 ac			MITIGATION STREAM CLA: (watershed size {acre	SS./SITE ID AND eage), unaltered or imp					Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	101	FORM O		RESTORATION (Levels I-III)		DORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		No	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existin	ng Condition (Deb	it)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ndition - Base	line (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Post Comple		Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Pro Post Completion		ears	Column No. 5- Mitigation Proj	ected at Maturity	(Credit)
Stream Classification:	Interm	ittent	s	tream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:		0
Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	4.49		Percent Stream Channel Slo	ре			Percent Stream Channe	I Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channe	Slope	0
HGM Score (attach	data forms):			HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):			HGM Score (atta	ach data forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attac	n data forms):	
		Average	F			Average				Average			Average			Average
Hydrology	0.4		H	ydrology				Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.43	0.42333333		iogeochemical Cycling		0		Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling		0
Habitat	0.44		Н	abitat				Habitat			Habitat			Habitat		
PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Indic	ators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Ind	licators		PART I - Physical, Chemica	al and Biological I	ndicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Ind	icators	PART I - Physical, Chemical	and Biological Inc	dicators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Rang	ge Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score			ange Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)			HYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	lassifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stre			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stre		
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		14		SEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)  Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Shee 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)  1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Shee 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover		
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20	8		Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20			Epitaunai Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20		Epiraunai Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20		Epitaunai Substrate/Available Cover     Embeddedness	0-20	
Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	7		Pool Variability	0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
Sediment Deposition	0-20	18	4	Sediment Deposition	0-20			Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	8	5	. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		<ol><li>Channel Flow Status</li></ol>	0-20	0.4
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	20	6	. Channel Alteration	0-20			6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	-1
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	17		. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		<ol><li>Frequency of Riffles (or bends)</li></ol>	0-20		<ol><li>Frequency of Riffles (or bends)</li></ol>	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	20 20		Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB)</li> <li>Total RBP Score</li> </ol>	0-20 Suboptimal	16 148		Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)     otal RBP Score	0-20 Poor	^		<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RE Total RBP Score</li> </ol>	B) 0-20 Poor	0	<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RB).</li> <li>Total RBP Score</li> </ol>	0-20 Poor	0	<ol> <li>Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB &amp; RE Total RBP Score</li> </ol>	B) 0-20 Poor	_
Sub-Total	Subopuniai	0.74		ub-Total	POOI	0		Sub-Total	POOL	0	Sub-Total	POOL	0	Sub-Total	POOI	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Perennial Str			HEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Str	reams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennial	Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Perennial	Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	nittent and Perennial	Streams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gener	al)		v	/VDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)				WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gen	noral)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gener	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gene	oral)	
Specific Conductivity	2.17			pecific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity	craij		Specific Conductivity	u.,		Specific Conductivity		
	0-90	35.7	F	,	0-90				0-90			0-90			0-90	
<=99 - 90 points	0-30	35.7			0-30				0-30			0-30			0-30	
pH			р	Н	0.1			pH	0-1		pH			pH		
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	0-80	6.65			5-90				5-90			5-90			5-90	-1
no			n	0				no			nn			no		
	10-30	3.75	F		10-30				10-30			10-30			10-30	
<5.0 = 10 points	10-00	7 7	L		10-00				10-00			10-00			10-30	
Sub-Total		0.9	S	ub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	ittent and Perennial S	Streams)	-	IOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial	Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to In	termittent and Peren	nnial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Peren	nnial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Int	ermittent and Perer	nnial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			V	/V Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
	0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1	1		0-100 0-1			0-100 0-	0-1
Sub-Total	-	0	S	ub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	-	0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and	Unit Score			PART II - Index and U	Init Score			PART II - Index	and Unit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index an	nd Unit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	t Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Fee	et Unit Score
0.622	101	62.7883333		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

			High-G		Headwa				ia		
	<del>-</del>	INA DIA		Field L	Data She	et and C				00 070405	
Б		JM, DW	/-!! Di!							36.972125	
Pro		Mountain V					L!	-	_	-79.662987	<b>/</b>
		Franklin, S						Sam	pling Date:	8/24/2021	
SA	R Number:	S-H17	Reach	Length (ft):	70	Stream Ty	ype: Ephe	meral/Interm	nittent (circle	one)	•
	Top Strata:	: Shrub/Herb Strata (determined from percent calculated in V <sub>CCANOPY</sub> )									
Site a	Site and Timing: Project/Mitigation Site (circle one)  Before/After Project (Circle One)										
Sample	e Variables	1-4 in stre									
1	V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	roughly equ	Average percent cover over channel by tree and sapling canopy. Measure at no fewer than 10 roughly equidistant points along the stream. Measure only if tree/sapling cover is at least 20%. (If less than 20%, enter at least one value between 0 and 19 to trigger Top Strata choice.)								
	List the per	rcent cover	measureme	nts at each	point below	I:					
	0										
2	$V_{\text{EMBED}}$				eam chann						3.5
					particle fror ng the partic						
			according to the following table. If the bed is an artificial surface, or composed of fine sediments, use a rating score of 1. If the bed is composed of bedrock, use a rating score of 5.								
		Embedded	ness rating	for gravel,	cobble and l	boulder part	ticles (resca	led from Pla	atts, Megah	an, and	Measure
		Minshall 19	Minshall 1983)								at least
		Rating	Rating Rating Description 30 point								
		5	5 < 5 percent of surface covered, surrounded, or buried by fine sediment (or bedrock)								
			<ul> <li>5 to 25 percent of surface covered, surrounded, or buried by fine sediment</li> <li>26 to 50 percent of surface covered, surrounded, or buried by fine sediment</li> </ul>								
		3 2									
					face covere covered, s					cial	
	List the rat	ings at each				arrouriaou,	or buriou by	inio odanii	one (or area	oiai	
	1	5	3	4	1	4	4	5	4	5	
	5	5	3	4	1	4	4	3	1	4	
	2	4	4								
		-									
3	V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stre			particle size				ughly equid	istant	2.90 in
	Enter perti	•	•		•	•		2525	counted on	00 in	
		cle size in ir concrete as					ow (bedrock	Siloulu be	counted as	99 111,	
	0.08	3.20	3.50	0.90	0.08	4.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	
	6.00	2.00	9.70	3.20	2.30	2.10	4.50	2.90	5.00	4.00	
	3.80	5.60	2.90	5.20	2.50	2.10	4.50	2.90	3.00	4.00	
	3.00	3.00	2.90								
4	$V_{BERO}$	Total perce	ent of erode	d stream ch	annel bank.	Enter the	total numbe	r of feet of	eroded han	k on each	
•	▼ BERO	side and th	e total perc		be calculate						0 %
		may be up		^	ft		Dight Dawler		ft		
			Left Bank:	0	ft	ŀ	Right Bank:	0	ft		
Sample		5-9 within				_					).
5	$V_{LWD}$				least 4 inch rom the ent						0.0

Number of downed woody stems:

0

amount per 100 feet of stream will be calculated.

6	$V_{TDBH}$	Average dbh of trees (measure only if V <sub>CCANOPY</sub> tree/sapling cover is at least 20%). Trees are at least 4 inches (10 cm) in diameter. Enter tree DBHs in inches.							Not Used		
				nents of ind	ividual trees	(at least 4	in) within th	e buffer on	each side		
		of the strea	Left Side					Ī			
								Right Side			
7	\/	Number of	enage (at l	aget 4" dbb	and 36" tall	) por 100 fo	et of stream	Entor pur	mbor of end	as on oach	
,	$V_{SNAG}$				nt per 100 fe			. Enterna	TIDEI OI SIIZ	igs on each	0.0
			Left Side:		0		Right Side:		0		
8	$V_{SSD}$						ches dbh) pe d shrubs on				34.3
			r 100 ft of s	tream will b	e calculated			odon oldo (	01 1110 01104	in, and the	01.0
_	\/	Dinarian	Left Side:		20	foot of otro	Right Side:		4	ant from	
9	$V_{SRICH}$						am reach.( sive species				0.00
				and the sub	index will be	e calculated	from these			•	
			ıp 1 = 1.0						2 (-1.0)		
	Acer rubru			Magnolia t	-		Ailanthus a			Lonicera ja	•
	Acer sacci			Nyssa sylv			Albizia julib			Lonicera ta	
	Aesculus f			•	m arboreum		Alliaria peti	olata		Lotus corn	
	Asimina tri			Prunus sei			Alternanthe			Lythrum sa	
	Betula alleg	-		Quercus a			philoxeroid		<u> </u>	Microstegiur	
	Betula leni			Quercus c			Aster tatari				tomentosa
	Carya alba			Quercus in			Cerastium			Polygonum	cuspidatum
	Carya glab	ora		Quercus p			Coronilla v	aria		Pueraria m	
	Carya ova			Quercus ru	ubra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa multi	
	Carya ova	ta		Quercus v	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum h	alepense
	Cornus flo	rida		Sassafras	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena bi	rasiliensis
	Fagus gra	ndifolia		Tilia ameri	cana		Ligustrum ol	otusifolium			
	Fraxinus a	mericana		Tsuga can	adensis		Ligustrum	sinense			
	Liriodendroi	n tulipifera		Ulmus am	ericana						
	Magnolia a	acuminata									
		0	Species in	Group 1				1	Species in	Group 2	
Samp	e Variables	s 10-11 with	in at least	8 subplots	(40" x 40".	or 1m x 1r	n) in the rip	arian/buffe	er zone wit	hin 25 feet	from each
_		ubplots sho	uld be pla	ced roughly	equidista	ntly along e	each side o	f the strea	m.		
10	V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>						material. <i>V</i> rital layer at			eter and	41.17 %
			Left	Side			Right	Side			
			5	80	70	50	40	2			

11	V <sub>HERB</sub>	include wo	ercentage co ody stems a etation perce	t least 4" d ntages up	bh and 36" t	tall. Becaus	e there may	be several	layers of g	round	58 %
		vegetation at each subplot.  Left Side Right Side							1		
			100	20	30	50	60	90		1	
_	ample Variable 12 within the entire catchment of the stream.										
12	2 V <sub>WLUSE</sub> Weighted Average of Runoff Score for watershed:									0.28	
	Land Use (Choose From Drop List)  Runon Score Catch-								Running Percent (not >100)		
	Forest and	native range (	>75% ground	cover)				<b>T</b>	1	6	6
	Impervious	areas (parkin	g lots, roofs, d	riveways, etc	<u>:</u> )			<b>T</b>	0	22	28
	Open space	e (pasture, law	ns, parks, etc.)	, grass cove	r >75%			_	0.3	72	100
								_			
	_										
	_										
	_										
		0.1147					- NI				

S	S-H17		Notes:
Variable	Value	VSI	Land Cover Analysis was completed using the 2019 National Land Cover
V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	Not Used, <20%	Not Used	Database (NLCD), from Landsat satellite imagery and other supplementary datasets. Watershed boundaries are based off of field delineated stream impacts.
$V_{EMBED}$	3.5	0.99	*Percentages in catchment values have been rounded to the nearest full number.
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	2.90 in	1.00	
$V_{BERO}$	0 %	1.00	
$V_{LWD}$	0.0	0.00	
$\mathbf{V}_{TDBH}$	Not Used	Not Used	
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	0.0	0.10	
V <sub>SSD</sub>	34.3	0.53	
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	0.00	0.00	
V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	41.2 %	0.50	
$V_{HERB}$	58 %	0.78	
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	0.28	0.29	

### FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V<sub>CCANOPY</sub> (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

Location: Franklin, Spread I, Dinner Creek

Sampling Date: 8/24/2021 Choose Site on Choose Timing
Data Form of Data Form

Subclass for this SAR:

Select Stream Type on Data Form

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-H17

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Please Fill Out Site and Timing Information on Data Form

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.40
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.43
Habitat	0.44

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
$V_{EMBED}$	Average embeddedness of channel.	3.48	0.99
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	2.90	1.00
$V_{BERO}$	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
$V_{LWD}$	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>TDBH</sub>	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	34.29	0.53
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	41.17	0.50
V <sub>HERB</sub>	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	58.33	0.78
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.28	0.29

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME		LOCATION			
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS			
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN			
STORET#		AGENCY			
INVESTIGATORS					
FORM COMPLETED F	3Y	DATE	REASON FOR SURVEY		

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now Past 24 hours Yes No  storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) % cloud cover clear/sunny  Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?  Yes No  Air Temperature O C  Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Pipe Cl. 75%  Coming 75%  Shruo/+ree S-HI7
	Timber mat
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Tidal Coldwater Warmwater  Stream Origin Glacial Spring-fed Non-glacial montane Swamp and bog Other  Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater  Catchment Area km²

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field/ Agric	Pasture Industria	rcial	Local Watershed NPS Pollution  No evidence ☐ Some potential sources  Obvious sources  Local Watershed Erosion  None Moderate Heavy	No evidence  Some potential sources Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion			
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	Trees	Ominant species present Grasses Herbaceous						
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimated Reach Lengthm  Estimated Stream Widthm  Sampling Reach Aream²  Area in km² (m²x1000)km²  Estimated Stream Depthm  Surface Velocitym/sec (at thalweg)			Canopy Cover Partly open Partly shaded Shaded  High Water Markm  Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle % Run% Pool%  Channelized Yes No  Dam Present Yes No				
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		LWDm²  Density of LWDm²/km² (LWD/ reach area)						
AQUATION VEGETA		Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Rooted emergent Rooted submergent Rooted floating Free floating Floating Algae Attached Algae  Dominant species present Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation%							
WATER (	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	cature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty trument Used		Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other				
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Abser			Relict shells Other	_			
INC	ORGANIC SUBS		COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)				
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic % Composition in Sampling Area				
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)				
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2			Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)				
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt			Marl	grey, shell fragments				

Silt

Clay

0.004-0.06 mm

< 0.004 mm (slick)

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION		
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS		
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN		
STORET#	AGENCY		
INVESTIGATORS			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY	

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.		
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).		
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
P <sub>s</sub>	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Conditi	on Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.		
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	areas of erosion; high erosion potential during	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.		
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potentia to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.		
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.		
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
1	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		

Total	Caama	
i otai	Score	

### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATETIME	REASON FOR SURVEY			
HADITAT TYPES Indicate the percentage of	and habitat type present				

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand%  Submerged Macrophytes% Other ( )%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other  How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.  Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )
GENERAL COMMENTS	

### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

S-H17

County: Franklin County Stream ID:

Stream Name: Dinner Creek

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/24/2021
Surveyors: JM, DW
Type: Representative

			LE COUNT	1			
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>A</b>	10	10.00	10.00
	Very Fine	.062125		<b>A</b>	6	6.00	16.00
	Fine	.12525		<b>A</b>		0.00	16.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	<b>A</b>		0.00	16.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		<b>A</b>		0.00	16.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		<b>A</b>		0.00	16.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		<b>A</b>		0.00	16.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		<b>A</b>		0.00	16.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		<b>A</b>		0.00	16.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	GRAVEL	<b>A</b>		0.00	16.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16		<b>A</b>	2	2.00	18.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		<b>A</b>	5	5.00	23.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		<b>A</b>	4	4.00	27.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		<b>A</b>	5	5.00	32.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		<b>A</b>	6	6.00	38.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>A</b>	26	26.00	64.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		<b>A</b>	17	17.00	81.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>A</b>	13	13.00	94.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		<b>A</b>	3	3.00	97.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>A</b>	3	3.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	<u> </u>		0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		

### RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: Dinner Creek
Reach Name: S-H17
Sample Name: Representative
Survey Date: 08/24/2021

Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	10 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5 4 5 6 26 17 13 3 3 0 0 0	10.00 6.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	10.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 18.00 23.00 27.00 32.00 38.00 64.00 81.00 94.00 97.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.13 54.5 76 140 205.33 362 10 6 22 59 3		

Total Particles = 100.

### **Stream Assessment Form (Form 1)** Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia or use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin Impact Impact Project # **Project Name (Applicant)** Locality HUC Date SAR# Class \_ength Factor Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R3 or R4 03010101 8/24/2021 S-H17 101 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County Stream Name and Information SAR Length Name(s) of Evaluator(s) Spread I; Franklin County, Dinner Creek 101 JM, DW 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Conditional Category Optimal Suboptimal Poor Severe Marginal ery little incision or active erosion; 80 Slightly incised, few areas of active Deeply incised (or excavated), Overwid ened/incised. 100% stable banks. Vegetative sion or unprotected banks. Majorit Poor, Banks more stable than Severe laterally unstable. Likely to widen vertical/lateral instability. Severe of banks are stable (60-80%). or Poor due to lower bank slopes further. Majority of both banks ar ncision, flow contained within the Channel prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of near vertical. Erosion present on 60 banks. Streambed below average Condition both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less pankfull benches are present. Acces to their original floodplain or fully prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to banks. Vegetative protection presen on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficier stability. The bankfull and low flow channels are well defined. Stream likely has access to bankfull be vertical or undercut. AND/OR 40-60% Sediment may be temporary transient, contribute instability. than 20% of banks, is not preventing eveloped wide bankfull benches. Mic to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% channel bars and transverse bars few Transient sediment deposition covers the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. less than 10% of bottom. benches,or newly developed Deposition that contribute to stability nature, and contributing to instability portions of the reach. Transient sediment covers 10-40% of the may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > stream hottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 10% of the banks and stable sedimer Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow CI to stability. 3 **Scores** 2.4 2 1.6 3.00 NOTES>> 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Low Marginal High Poor: Lawns ow Suboptimal Non-maintained High Suboptima mowed, and Riparian areas with tree stratum High Marginal nse herbaceoi aintained area Low Poor: Riparian areas Non-maintained, vegetation, with tree stratum nurseries: no-till Impervious (dbh > 3 inches) lense herbaceou riparian areas cropland: actively (dbh > 3 inches) surfaces mine esent, with 30% to 60% tree vegetation with acking shrub and ree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) presen present, with 309 grazed pasture, spoil lands, Riparian either a shrub tree stratum, hav with > 60% tree canopy cover. to 60% tree parsely vegetate lenuded surfaces anopy cover an a maintained layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 roduction, pond open water. If **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian anopy cover ar row crops, active areas. containing both area, recently feed lots, trails, or understory. Recent cutover inches) present with <30% tree present, tree herbaceous and seeded and other comparable conditions. stratum (dbh >3 shrub layers or a abilized, or othe (dense canopy cover inches) present non-maintained comparable vegetation). with <30% tree condition. understory canopy cover with maintained High Low High Low High Low 1.5 0.85 0.5 Scores 1.2 1.1 0.75 0.6 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you of % Riparian pelow Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 85% 15% 100% Right Bank 0.75 Score > 0.5 CI= (Sum % RA \* Scores\*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 85% 15% 100% Rt Bank CI > 0.54 CI Left Bank 0.54 Score > 0.75 Lt Bank CI > 0.54 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; ffle/pool complexes, stable features **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Instream Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically Habitat elements listed above are **Available** present in 30-50% of the reach and Habitat elements are typically preser present in 10-30% of the reach and lacking or are unstable. Habitat in greater than 50% of the reach are adequate for maintenance of are adequate for maintenance of elements are typically present in less Cover than 10% of the reach. populations populations Stream Gradient

High / Low

1.50

Scores

1.5

0.9

0.5

1.2

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2									
Project #	Project Name (App	licant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline Valley Pipeline, L		Franklin County	R3 or R4	03010101	8/24/2021	S-H17	101	1
4. CHANNEL	_ ALTERATION: Stream cross	ngs, riprap, concr			traightening of cha	annel, channelization	on, embankment		rictions, livestock
	Negligible	Conditional Category			Sev	ere	NOTES>>		
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	of the channel	20-40% of the stream reach is	of the channel	ou - 20% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not	Greater than 80% o by any of the chann in the parameter gr 80% of banks sho riprap, or	el alterations listed uidelines AND/OR ored with gabion,		
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.	5		
	REACH C	ONDITION I	NDEX and S	STREAM CO	NDITION UN	IITS FOR TH	IS REACH		

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 1.27

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 128

CR = RCI X L<sub>I</sub> X IF

### INSERT PHOTOS:








 $\label{eq:CAPTION.} \textbf{CAPTION}. \ \textbf{Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW}.$ 

DESCRIBE	PROPOSED	IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER








CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-H17 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)											
	PR	E-CROSSING		POST-C	ROSSING						
DT LOC	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.						
TS-L	13427175.01	2030869.17	927.90								
BS-L	13427176.07	2030863.44	925.06								
THW	13427176.00	2030862.46	924.14								
BS-R	13427176.92	2030858.25	925.24								
TS-R	13427177.78	2030854.58	926.44								

# LEGEND STUDY AREA (EASEMENT) EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED EDGE OF WATER (AS NECESSARY) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MAJOR) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MINOR) EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION BENCHMARK POINT (WSSI)

### SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on November 19, 2018.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).





Wetland

280.8)

PHOTO TAKEN FROM RIGHT BANK LOOKING DOWNSTREAM TO THE SOUTHEAST ON 11/19/2018



PHOTO TAKEN FROM BRIDGE LOOKING UPSTREAM TO THE NORTH ON 11/19/2018



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING AT W-H6 FROM LEFT BANK OF S-H17 ON 11/19/2018

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

Vertical Datum: NAVD 88

Boundary and Topo Source:
MVP
WSSI 2' C.I. Topo

Design Draft Approved

EJC JSF NAS

Sheet #

1 of 1

Computer File Name:

Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs

2865\_03 S-I MP 279-291 Sheets\_2.dwg

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1