Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-H5 (Pipeline ROW) Perennial Spread I Pittsylvania County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope <4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – Lack of habitat
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

Spread I Stream S-H5 (Pipeline ROW) Pittsylvania County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW/LOD looking NE, MB



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW/LOD looking SW, MB

Spread I Stream S-H5 (Pipeline ROW) Pittsylvania County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking S, MB



Photo Type: RB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking NW, MB

Spread I Stream S-H5 (Pipeline ROW) Pittsylvania County



Photo Type: DS COND

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW/LOD looking E, MB

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline		IMPACT COORDINATES: Lat. 36.833412 Lon.		-79.359823	WEATHER:	Cloudy	DATE:	8/18/2021				
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage},			S-H5/2	267 ac			MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./ (watershed size {acreage					Comments:	No riffles for benthic assessment
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	83	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)		ORDINATES: nal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:	Yes	Mitigation Length:	
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	g Condition (Debit))	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Baselin	e (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pr Post Completion		ve Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (C		Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ed at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Perenni	ial	Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel SI	lope	1.79	Percent Stream Channel Slo	рре			Percent Stream Channel Si	ope	0	Percent Stream Channel Sic	ope 0	Percent Stream Channel Si	lope 0
HGM Score (attach da	lata forms):		HGM Score (attach o	data forms):			HGM Score (attach	data forms):	HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):
		Average			Average				Average		Average		Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		o	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0
Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	I Biological Indicato	ors	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological Indica	ators		Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical ar	nd Biological	Indicators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and E	Biological Indicators	Habitat PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Ra	inge Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	16	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20
2. Embeddedness	0-20	14	Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20			2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20	2. Embeddedness	0-20
Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20	11 16	Pool Variability Sediment Deposition	0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20	Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	19	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	10	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1			6. Channel Alteration	0-20)-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	6	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	17	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	10	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	8	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20
Total RBP Score	Suboptimal	127	Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0
Sub-Total		0.635	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	nt and Perennial Strear	ms)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Strear	ns)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial	Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Perennial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	nt and Perennial Streams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	l)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)				WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		0		Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity	
<=99 - 90 points	0-90	57.3		0-90				0-90			0-90		0-90
pH		(0)	рН		0		pH			рН		рН	
	0-80	7.43		5-90 0-1				5-90)-1		5-90 0-1		5-90 0-1
6.0-8.0 = 80 points		7.40	20				20	1 3 3 3		20		70	1 2 2 2
DO			DO		U		ВО	T		DO		ВО	
>5.0 = 30 points Sub-Total	10-30	6.72	Sub-Total	10-30			Oct. Total	10-30	0	Sub-Total	10-30	Out Table	10-30
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ttent and Perennial Stre	eams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Stre	eams)		Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	nittent and Per	ennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ittent and Perennial Streams)	Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	nittent and Perennial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
	0-100 0-1		,	0-100 0-1			,	0-100)-1	,	0-100 0-1	,	0-100 0-1
0 Sub-Total	0 100 0 1	0	Sub-Total	0 100 0 1	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	0
	1-110										"		1. ". 0
PART II - Index and U	Unit Score		PART II - Index and I	Unit Score			PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index and Ur	iit Score	PART II - Index and U	nit Score
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Fe	et Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score
0.818	83	67.8525	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS					
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE	REASON FOR SURVEY			

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now Past 24 hours Yes No Storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) % %cloud cover clear/sunny Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes No Air Temperature 0 C Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Tidal Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater Stream Origin Glacial Spring-fed Non-glacial montane Mixture of origins Swamp and bog Other

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field Agric	Pasture Industri	ercial	No evidence Son Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosi None Moderate	ne potential sources
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	ΓΙΟΝ	Trees	e the dominant type and S ant species present	hrubs		rbaceous
INSTREA FEATURI			ted Reach Length		Canopy Cover Partly open Part	ly shaded Shaded
				m	High Water Mark	m
					Proportion of Reach Re	epresented by Stream
			km² (m²x1000) ted Stream Depth	km²	Morphology Types Riffle Pool %	Run%
			Velocity		Channelized Yes	No
		(111 11111			Dam Present Yes	No
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		m² of LWDn	n ² /km ² (LWD /	reach area)	
AQUATIO VEGETA		Indicate Roote Floati Domina	e the dominant type and demergent R ng Algae A	l record the do ooted submerge ttached Algae	minant species present nt Rooted floating	C
		Portion	of the reach with aqua	tic vegetation _	%	
WATER (QUALITY	Specific	rature0 C Conductance	-	Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Fishy	Chemical Other
		рН	ed Oxygen		Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Other	Globs Flecks
			strument Used		Turbidity (if not measu Clear ☐ Slightly tur Opaque Stained	r ed) rbid Turbid Other
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem		Petroleum None	Deposits Sludge Sawdust Relict shells	Paper fiber Sand Other
		Oils Abser		te Profu	are the undersides blac	h are not deeply embedded, k in color?
INC	ORGANIC SUBS		COMPONENTS (00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add	
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock				Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant	
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")				materials (CPOM)	
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5	"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic	

Gravel

Sand

Silt

Clay

2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")

0.06-2mm (gritty)

< 0.004 mm (slick)

0.004-0.06 mm

grey, shell fragments

Marl

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat	Condition Category									
	Parameter Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor						
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.						
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).						
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
P _s	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	n Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.				
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.				
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.				
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.				
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
ĺ	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				

Total	Caama	
i otai	Score	

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION					
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN					
STORET#		AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER				
FORM COMPLETED BY		DATE REASON FOR SURVEY TIME					
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present						

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand% Submerged Macrophytes% Other ()%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other
	How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand
	Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ()
GENERAL COMMENTS	

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Pittsylvania
Stream Name: UNT to Little Cherrystone Creek Stream ID: S-H5

HUC Code: 03010105 Basin: Banister

Survey Date: 8/18/2021 Surveyors: MB RH Type: Representative

			LE COUNT			7	
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	A	9	9.00	9.00
	Very Fine	.062125		^	3	3.00	12.00
	Fine	.12525		A	4	4.00	16.00
	Medium	Medium .255 S A N D		^	2	2.00	18.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		A	6	6.00	24.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		_	1	1.00	25.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		-	0	0.00	25.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		^	3	3.00	28.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	GRAVEL	^	2	2.00	30.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		^	6	6.00	36.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16		A	10	10.00	46.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		A	7	7.00	53.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		^	10	10.00	63.00
.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		^	13	13.00	76.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		^	11	11.00	87.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		^	11	11.00	98.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		^	2	2.00	100.0
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	^	0	0.00	100.0
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		^	0	0.00	100.0
0.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		A	0	0.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	A	0	0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	▲	0	0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	A	0	0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	A	0	0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	<u> </u>	0	0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

UNT to Little Cherrystone Creek

River Name: UNT to Little of S-H5 Sample Name: Representative Survey Date: 08/18/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	9 3 4 2 6 1 0 3 2 6 10 7 10 13 11 11 2 0 0 0 0 0	9.00 3.00 4.00 2.00 6.00 1.00 0.00 3.00 2.00 6.00 10.00 7.00 10.00 11.00 11.00 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	9.00 12.00 16.00 18.00 24.00 25.00 25.00 28.00 30.00 36.00 46.00 53.00 63.00 76.00 87.00 98.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.25 10.75 19.77 58.82 82.91 128 9 16 62 13 0		

Total Particles = 100.

Stream Assessment Form (Form 1) Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia For use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin Impact Impact Project # Project Name (Applicant) Locality HUC Date SAR# Class .ength **Factor** Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Pittslyvania 22865.07 R3 03010105 8/18/2021 S-H5 83 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) Stream Name and Information SAR Length Name(s) of Evaluator(s) **UNT to Little Cherrystone Creek** 83 MB RH 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Conditional Category Optimal Suboptimal Poor Severe Marginal Slightly incised, few areas of active ery little incision or active erosion; 80 Deeply incised (or excavated), lened/incised. 100% stable banks. Vegetative osion or unprotected banks. Majorit Poor, Banks more stable than Severe laterally unstable. Likely to widen vertical/lateral instability. Severe of banks are stable (60-80%). or Poor due to lower bank slopes further. Majority of both banks ar ncision, flow contained within the Channel prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of near vertical. Erosion present on 60banks. Streambed below average Condition ankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may banks. Vegetative protection present on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficien prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in than 20% of banks, is not preventing erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80eveloped wide bankfull benches. Mic stability. The bankfull and low flow channels are well defined. Stream be vertical or undercut. AND/OR 40-60% Sediment may be temporary channel bars and transverse bars few Transient sediment deposition covers likely has access to bankfull transient, contribute instability. 100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. less than 10% of bottom. benches.or newly developed Deposition that contribute to stability nature, and contributing to instability AND/OR V-shaped channels have portions of the reach. Transient sediment covers 10-40% of the may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative vegetative protection is present on > stream bottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 10% of the banks and stable sedimen Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow. CI to stability. 2.4 3.00 **Scores** 3 1.6 1 NOTES>> Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW. 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Low Marginal: ligh Poor: Lawns Non-maintained High Suboptimal: Low Suboptimal mowed, and High Marginal ense herhaceou Riparian areas wit naintained area Low Poor: Non-maintained, vegetation, tree stratum (dbh > tree stratum (dbh : nurseries: no-till Impervious lense herbaceou riparian areas cropland; actively 3 inches) present. 3 inches) present surfaces mine vegetation with acking shrub and with 30% to 60% Free stratum (dbh > 3 inches) presen with 30% to 60% grazed pasture, spoil lands, Riparian either a shrub tree stratum, hav with > 60% tree canopy cover. ree canopy cove tree canopy cover parsely vegetate denuded surfaces layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 roduction, ponds open water. If and a maintained **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian and containing row crops, active areas. both herbaceous understory. area, recently feed lots, trails, or inches) present with <30% tree present, tree and shrub layers Recent cutove seeded and other comparable conditions. stratum (dbh >3 or a non-(dense abilized, or othe canopy cover inches) present maintained vegetation). comparable with <30% tree understory. condition. canopy cover with maintained High Low High Low High Low 1.5 0.85 0.75 0.6 0.5 Scores 1.2 1.1 1. Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you of % Riparian below. Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Right Bank Score > 0.85 CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Rt Bank CI > CI Left Bank 0.85 Score > 0.85 Lt Bank CI > 0.85 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/poo complexes, stable features **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Instream Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are Habitat elements listed above are Available Habitat elements are typically presen sent in 30-50% of the reach and a lacking or are unstable. Habitat in greater than 50% of the reach adequate for maintenance of adequate for maintenance of ments are typically present in less than 10% of the reach. Cover populations. populations Stream Gradient CI

Scores

1.5

1.2

0.9

0.5

High

1.50

	St	ream Ir	npact A	ssessn	nent Fo	rm Page	e 2		
Project #	Project Name (App	licant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR#	Impact length	Impact Factor
22865.07	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)		Pittslyvania	R3	03010105	8/18/2021	S-H5	83	1
. CHANNEI	L ALTERATION: Stream crossi	ings, riprap, concr		oncrete blocks, str	raightening of cha	nnel, channelizatio	n, embankments	, spoil piles, constri	ctions, livestock
	Negligible	Mi	nor	Moderate		Severe		NOTES >>	
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel	of the channel	or - 50% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not	Greater than 80% o by any of the chann in the parameter g 80% of banks sh riprap, or	el alterations listed uidelines AND/OR ored with gabion,		
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.	5		
REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH									
	REACH (CONDITION	INDEX and S	STREAM CO	NDITION UN	IITS FOR TH	IS REACH		

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >>


CR = RCI X L_I X IF


INSERT PHOTOS:




DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER







CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-H5 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)									
	PR	POST-CI	ROSSING						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.				
PI. LOC.	NORTHING	ING EASTING ELE		DIFF.	DIFF.				
TS-L	13378093.50	2120265.41	603.79						
BS-L	13378083.84	2120269.69	600.29						
THW	13378081.43	2120270.90	599.48						
BS-R	13378079.69	2120271.58	600.39						
TS-R	13378074.75	2120274.06	604.13						


LEGEND STUDY AREA (EASEMENT) EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED EDGE OF WATER (AS NECESSARY) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MAJOR) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MINOR) EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION 606.8 +

BENCHMARK POINT (WSSI)

1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on December 6, 2018. 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey. Pipeline (MVP).

SURVEY NOTES:

- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).







THE PROPOSED PIPELINE CENTERLINE 02/26/2018





POST-CROSSING PHOTOS PENDING CROSSING

DHOTO T/	/ KENI I	OOKII	<u></u>

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING PENDING CROSSING PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

> Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1 Vertical Datum: NAVD 88 Boundary and Topo Source:

> > WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Approved EJC PMD PFS Sheet # 1 of 1

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

Computer File Name: :\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs 2865_03 S-I MP 292-303 Sheets.dwg