Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-KL35 (Timber Mat Crossing) Perennial Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope <4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	✓
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	√
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	√

Spread I Stream S-KL35 (Timber Mat) Franklin County




Photo Type: US VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking W upstream, DW



Photo Type: DS COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking E downstream, DW

Spread I Stream S-KL35 (Timber Mat) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking S at right streambank, DW




Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking N at left streambank, DW

Spread I Stream S-KL35 (Timber Mat) Franklin County



Photo Type: US COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking W upstream, DW



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking E downstream, DW

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain V	alley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	3752125 Lon.	1	-79.886182	WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	August :	27, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size (acreage),		TION:	S-KL35/1	167.65 ac		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./SITE I (watershed size (acreage), unalto						Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:		FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	Lon.	1.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		No	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	Condition (Debit)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ondition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Projected Post Completion (Cred			Column No. 4- Mitigation Project Post Completion (C		rs	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projecte	ed at Maturity (C	Credit)
Stream Classification:	Perennial		Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:	0		Stream Classification:	0		Stream Classification:		0
Percent Stream Channel Sic	ope 2.3	.22	Percent Stream Channel Slo	рре		Percent Stream Channel Slope		0	Percent Stream Channel Slo	рре	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	оре	0
HGM Score (attach da	nta forms):		HGM Score (attach o	data forms):		HGM Score (attach data f	forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	
	Aver	erage		Average				Average			Average			Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and I	Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biol	logical Indicator	rs	PART I - Physical, Chemical and E	Biological Indica	tors	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indic	ators
	Points Scale Range Site S	Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points S	Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classific	fications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
Epitaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness		20 17	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 0-2 Embeddedness 0-2			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	
Velocity/ Depth Regime		14	Pool Substrate Characterization Pool Variability	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-2			Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
Sediment Deposition		17	Sediment Deposition	0-20		4. Sediment Deposition 0-2			Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.4 2	20	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status 0-2			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration 0-2	20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20 1	15	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 0-2	20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20 1	16	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 0-2	20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	16	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 0-2	20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	8	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-2	20		 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 	0-20		 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 	0-20	
Total RBP Score		63	Total RBP Score	Poor 0			Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0
Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent		815	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent:	and Barannial Streams)		Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Pe	Parannial Strooms)	0	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Decembed Stre	0	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Darannial Str	0
							-erennial Streams)				anis)			zams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General) Specific Conductivity		1
	0.90 54	4.5		0-90		0.9	90			0-90			0-90	
<=99 - 90 points		4.0												
pH	0.1		pH	0.1		pH	0.1		pH	0.1		pH	0.1	
4.6-5.5 = 10 points	0-80	5.4		5-90		5-9	90			5-90			5-90	
DO CO			DO			DO			DO			po	•	
	10-30 7.2	.33		10-30		10-3	-30			10-30			10-30	
>5.0 = 30 points Sub-Total		.65	Sub-Total			Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte			BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent a	and Perennial Stre		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ttent and Perenni		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ittent and Perenni	-
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
	0-100 0-1 74	4.8		0-100 0-1		0-10	100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1	
Good Sub-Total	0.7	748	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
PART II - Index and U	nit Score		PART II - Index and I	Unit Score	l	PART II - Index and Unit S	Score		PART II - Index and Un	nit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score	
Index	Linear Feet Unit	Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index Lin	near Feet U	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.738	35 25.81	183333	0	0 0	l	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-KL35		LOCATION Franklin Count	ty
STATION#R	IVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Perennia	I
LAT 37.052125 LO	ONG79.886182	RIVER BASIN Upper Roan	noke
STORET#		AGENCY VADEQ	
INVESTIGATORS JM, DV	N		
FORM COMPLETED BY	JM	DATE 8/31/2021 TIME 1700	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assesment
WEATHER CONDITIONS	rain (showers 30 % 7 %c	Past 24 hours [(heavy rain) (steady rain) s (intermittent) loud cover ear/sunny	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ✓ Yes No Air Temperature 28.9 ° C Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the sit	te and indicate the areas samp	oled (or attach a photograph)
	US	P SKLLL	ipe CL
		Timbe	DS Rr Mat
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Into Stream Origin Glacial Non-glacial montane	Spring-fed	Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater Catchment Areakm²

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Predominant Surrounding Landuse								
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION		e the dominant type and Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some		minant species present ☑ Grasses ☑ He	rbaceous				
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	red Stream Depth o	m m² km² m	High Water Mark	□ Partly open □ Partly shaded □ Shaded High Water Mark ○0.0762 m Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle 40 % Run 45 % Pool 15 % Channelized □ Yes □ No				
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	m² of LWDm	n ² /km ² (LWD /	reach area)					
AQUATIO VEGETA		Roote Floati	ng Algae	ooted submerge tached Algae	the dominant species present omergent Rooted floating Free floating ation %					
WATER (QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH 7.34 u	cature 22.7 C C Conductance 22.8 us/ 54.5 ds 1 ed Oxygen 7.54 us/ 5.40 ds mg/L s/ 7.33 ds ty trument Used			Chemical Other				
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Absen	al Sewage ical Anaerobic nt Slight Moderat	□ Petroleum □ None	— Εροking at stones whic are the undersides blace	☐Paper fiber ☑Sand]Other h are not deeply embedded, k in color?				
INC		STRATE of	COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add					
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area				
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	1		Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	0				
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2	5"-10")	20 20	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)					
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)	20	Marl	grey, shell fragments					
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm		40							
Clay	< 0.004 mm (sli	ck)		1						

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-KL35	LOCATION Franklin County				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Perennial				
LAT <u>37.052125</u> LONG <u>-79.886182</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke				
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ				
INVESTIGATORS JM, DW					
FORM COMPLETED BY JM	DATE 4/31/2021 REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assesment				

	Habitat		Condition	Category				
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.			
	SCORE 20	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.			
ted in	SCORE 17	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).			
ıram	SCORE 14	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Pe	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.			
	SCORE 17	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.			
	SCORE 20	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition Category											
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor									
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.									
	SCORE 20	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0									
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.									
amb	SCORE 15	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0									
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.									
eva	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
to be	SCORE 8	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.									
	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
	SCORE 8	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.									
	SCORE 8	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
	SCORE 0													

Total Score 163

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

Cobble 20	STREAM NAME S-KL35								LOCATION Franklin County														
NVESTIGATORS JM, DW	STATION #		R	IVI	ERI	ΜI	LE_			STR	STREAM CLASS Perennial												
Triver T	LAT 37.052125		L	ONC	G_	-79.8	86182			RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke													
The Date D	STORET#																						
Time	INVESTIGATORS J	Μ,	D١	Ν													LOT	NUMBER					
Cobble so % Saags 96 Vegetated Banks 100 96 Saland 70 96	FORM COMPLETED	ЭB	Y	J	V	1							21]	REAS	SON FOR SURVEY Ba	aselir	ne A	ısse	:sme	ent
How were the samples collected?	HABITAT TYPES		☑Cobble 30 % ☑Snags % ☑Vegetated Banks 100 % ☑Sand 70 %																				
How were the samples collected?	SAMPLE	┰	G	ear	us	ed		D-fi	ame	me kick-net Other													
Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.	COLLECTION																						
Cobble Submerged Macrophytes Other Other Other			Н	OW	we	re 1	the s	samj	oles co	llected	?	M,	wadın	g	L] froi	n bar	ikfrom boa	t				
QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant 2 3 4 Slimes 0 1 2 3 4 Macroinvertebrates 0 1 2 3 4 Mac			✓	Col	bbl	е 3			Пís	nags			\square V	ege	tated	Ban			_				
Periphyton		ı	В	en	th	ic	s a	are	sar	nple	d.	Un	der	sid	les	of	roc	ks are black.					
Periphyton																							
Periphyton																							
Nacrophytes	Indicate estimated Dominant) = ,	Abse	nt/Not	Ob	serv	ed, 1				= C	ommon, 3= Abuno				3	4
FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms) Porifera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Other 1 Turbellaria 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae	Filamentous Algae	;						0	1	2 3	4			Ma	croi	inve	rtebi	rates	0	1	2	3	4
Porifera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Anisoptera	Macrophytes							0	1	2 3	4			Fis	h				0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa 0 1 2 3 4 Zygoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Ephemeroptera 0 1 2 3 4 Platyhelminthes 0 1 2 3 4 Hemiptera 0 1 2 3 4 Turbellaria 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Hirudinea 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0	Indicate estimated	d a	bı	ınd	lar	ıce	:	0 = org	Abse anisr	ent/No ns), 3=	t Ol : Ab	serv	ant (>10	org	anis	sms)	, 4 = Dominant (>:	50 oı	rgar	nism		
Platyhelminthes 0 1 2 3 4 Hemiptera 0 1 2 3 4 Trichoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Turbellaria 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Hirudinea 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Oligochaeta 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tripulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				1										1						1			
Turbellaria 0 1 2 3 4 Coleoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Hirudinea 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Sialidae 0 1 2 3 4 Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4				-										-						•			4
Hirudinea 0 1 2 3 4 Lepidoptera 0 1 2 3 4 Sialidae 0 1 2 3 4 Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tipulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4	-									_				_				^		-			4
Oligochaeta 0 1 2 3 4 Sialidae 0 1 2 3 4 Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tipulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4				_						_				_				Other	0	1	2	3	4
Isopoda 0 1 2 3 4 Corydalidae 0 1 2 3 4 Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tipulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4				-					_ ^	•	ra												
Amphipoda 0 1 2 3 4 Tipulidae 0 1 2 3 4 Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4									1		0.5												
Decapoda 0 1 2 3 4 Empididae 0 1 2 3 4 Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4	_			-						-													
Gastropoda 0 1 2 3 4 Simuliidae 0 1 2 3 4 Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4				-					_ ^														
Bivalvia 0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae 0 1 2 3 4	_			-						•			-										
	_			-										_									
	- DIVUIVIU	,	,	1	•	_	J	7						1									

Mountain Valley Pipeline Data are not adjusted for subsampling



	Sample ID Collection Date	S-KL35 08-31-2021
ORDER	GENUS/SPECIES	COUNT
Ephemeroptera		COUNT
Ephemeroptera Ephemeroptera	•	2
	Maccaffertium sp.	13
	Eccoptura xanthenes	1
	Leuctra sp.	8
Plecoptera	Perlidae	1
Trichoptera	Cheumatopsyche sp.	8
Trichoptera	Chimarra sp.	44
Trichoptera	Diplectrona sp.	2
	Hydropsyche sp.	10
	Stylogomphus sp.	1
	Ectopria sp.	5
·	Optioservus sp.	10
	Oulimnius sp. Psephenus sp.	45
·	Stenelmis sp.	6
Diptera-Chironomidae	'	2
Diptera-Chironomidae		1
Diptera-Chironomidae		
Diptera-Chironomidae		
Diptera-Chironomidae		2
Diptera-Chironomidae	Stempellinella sp.	3
Diptera-Chironomidae	Thienemannimyia gr. sp.	6
Diptera-Chironomidae	Tvetenia sp.	2
Diptera	Ceratopogoninae	1
Diptera	Ephydridae	1
Diptera	Hexatoma sp.	1
Diptera	Simulium sp.	7
Annelida	Enchytraeidae	1
Annelida	Lumbriculidae	2
Gastropoda	Elimia sp.	8
Crustacea	Gammarus sp.	2
Acari	Lebertia sp.	2
Other Organisms	Turbellaria	3
•	TOTAL	211

TOTAL 211

Mountain Valley Pipeline WV SCI Metrics



Sample ID Collection Date	
WVSCI Metric Values Total taxa EPT taxa % EPT % Chironomidae % 2 Dominant HBI	20 6 43.1 8.5 44.5 4.21
WVSCI Metric Scores Total taxa EPT taxa % EPT % Chironomidae % 2 Dominant HBI	95.2 46.2 46.9 92.4 86.6 81.5
WVSCI Metric Scores Total taxa EPT taxa % EPT % Chironomidae % 2 Dominant HBI	95.2 46.2 46.9 92.4 86.6 81.5
WVSCI Total Score	74.8

WVSCI Thresholds

Unimpaired = > 68.00 Gray Zone = 60.61 to 68.00 Impaired = <60.61

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-KL35

Stream Name: UNT to Blackwater River

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/27/2021 Surveyors: JM, DW Type: Representative

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		LE COUNT				
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	A	38	38.00	38.00
	Very Fine	.062125		A	0	0.00	38.00
	Fine	.12525		A	0	0.00	38.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	A	0	0.00	38.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0]	A	0	0.00	38.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		*	0	0.00	38.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		*	0	0.00	38.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7]	^	0	0.00	38.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8]	^	0	0.00	38.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	1	^	0	0.00	38.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	A	2	2.00	40.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6	1	A	5	5.00	45.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32	1	A	8	8.00	53.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45	1	^	12	12.00	65.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64	1	^	15	15.00	80.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		^	7	7.00	87.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	1	A	2	2.00	89.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	^	3	3.00	92.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	A	5	5.00	97.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		A	3	3.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	A	0	0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	A	0	0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	A	0	0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	A	0	0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	A	0	0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: UNT to Blackwater River Reach Name: S-KL35 Representative 08/27/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	40 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5 8 12 15 7 2 3 5 3 0 0 0 0	39.22 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	39.22 39.22 39.22 39.22 39.22 39.22 39.22 39.22 41.18 46.08 53.92 65.69 80.39 87.25 89.22 92.16 97.06 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.03 0.06 27.3 77.68 224.05 362 39.22 0 41.17 16.67 2.94		

Total Particles = 102.

Stream Assessment Form (Form 1) Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia For use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial **Impact** Cowardin **Impact Project # Project Name (Applicant)** HUC SAR# Locality **Date** Class. Length **Factor Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin S-KL35** 35 22865.06 R3 03010101 8/27/2021 **Valley Pipeline, LLC)** County Name(s) of Evaluator(s) Stream Name and Information SAR Length 118 JM, DW **UNT to Blackwater Creek** 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) **Conditional Category Optimal Suboptimal** Marginal **Poor** Severe Very little incision or active erosion; 80-Slightly incised, few areas of active Often incised, but less than Severe or Overwidened/incised. Vertically / Deeply incised (or excavated), vertical/lateral instability. Severe 100% stable banks. Vegetative surface Poor. Banks more stable than Severe erosion or unprotected banks. Majority laterally unstable. Likely to widen protection or natural rock, prominent of banks are stable (60-80%). or Poor due to lower bank slopes. further. Majority of both banks are near incision, flow contained within the banks. Channel (80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars vertical. Erosion present on 60-80% of Streambed below average rooting depth, Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of **Condition** bankfull benches are present. Access prominent (60-80%) AND/OR both banks. Vegetative protection on majority of banks vertical/undercut. banks. Vegetative protection present to their original floodplain or fully Depositional features contribute to 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient Vegetative protection present on less vertical or undercut. AND/OR than 20% of banks, is not preventing developed wide bankfull benches. Midstability. The bankfull and low flow to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% of channel bars and transverse bars few. channels are well defined. Stream likely 40-60% Sediment may be temporary / erosion. Obvious bank sloughing the stream is covered by sediment. Transient sediment deposition covers has access to bankfull benches, or transient, contribute instability. Sediment is temporary / transient in present. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100%. less than 10% of bottom. Deposition that contribute to stability, AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater newly developed floodplains along nature, and contributing to instability. AND/OR V-shaped channels have than 80% of stream bed is covered by may be forming/present. AND/OR Vportions of the reach. Transient sediment covers 10-40% of the stream shaped channels have vegetative vegetative protection is present on > deposition, contributing to instability. Multiple thread channels and/or protection on > 40% of the banks and 40% of the banks and stable sediment bottom. depositional features which contribute deposition is absent. subterranean flow. to stability. 1.6 2.4 3 2 3.00 **Scores** NOTES>> RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) NOTES>> **Conditional Category Optimal Suboptimal** Marginal Poor Low Marginal: High Poor: Lawns Non-maintained, mowed, and High Suboptimal: Low Suboptimal **High Marginal:** dense herbaceous maintained areas Low Poor: Riparian areas with Riparian areas with Non-maintained, vegetation, riparian nurseries; no-till Impervious tree stratum (dbh > tree stratum (dbh > areas lacking shrub surfaces, mine dense herbaceous cropland; actively 3 inches) present, 3 inches) present Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present and tree stratum, vegetation with grazed pasture, spoil lands, Riparian with 30% to 60% with 30% to 60% with > 60% tree canopy cover. either a shrub layer hay production, sparsely vegetated denuded surfaces, tree canopy cover tree canopy cover **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian or a tree layer (dbh ponds, open water. non-maintained row crops, active and containing both and a maintained feed lots, trails, or areas. > 3 inches) If present, tree area, recently herbaceous and nderstory. Recen stratum (dbh >3 present, with <30% seeded and other comparable shrub layers or a cutover (dense inches) present, stabilized, or other conditions. tree canopy cover. vegetation). non-maintained with <30% tree comparable understory. canopy cover with condition. maintained understory. High High High Low Low Low 1.5 1.2 0.5 **Scores** 1.1 0.85 0.75 0.6 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Ensure the sums 2. Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below. of % Riparian 3. Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below. Blocks equal 100 65% 35% 100% % Riparian Area> **Right Bank** 0.75 0.5 Score > CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 20% 80% 100% CI % Riparian Area> Rt Bank CI > 0.66 **Left Bank** 0.75 0.5 Lt Bank CI > 0.55 0.61 Score > 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/pool complexes, stable features. **Conditional Category** NOTES>> **Marginal Optimal Suboptimal** Poor Instream Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically Habitat elements listed above are **Available** Habitat elements are typically present present in 30-50% of the reach and are present in 10-30% of the reach and are lacking or are unstable. Habitat adequate for maintenance of elements are typically present in less in greater than 50% of the reach. adequate for maintenance of Cover populations. populations. than 10% of the reach. **Stream Gradient** CI

Scores

1.5

1.2

0.9

0.5

High / Low

1.50

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2								
Project #	Project Name (Applicant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)	Franklin County	R3	03010101	8/27/2021	S-KL35	35	1
4. CHANNEL ALTERATION: Stream crossings, riprap, concrete, gabions, or concrete blocks, straightening of channel, channelization, embankments, spoil piles, constrictions, livestock								

		Conditional Category						
	Negligible	Minor		Moderate		Severe		
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	disrupted by any of the channel	is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If	60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not recovered.			C
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.5		1.5

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 1.32 RCI= (Sum of all CI's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian CI/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 46

 $CR = RCI X L_I X IF$


INSERT PHOTOS:




CAPTION. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.


DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER







CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-KL35 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)								
	PR	POST-CROSSIN						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.			
TS-L	13455472.31	1965316.37	984.54					
BS-L	13455471.18	1965314.45	983.93					
THW	13455470.36	1965313.25	983.74					
BS-R	13455469.55	1965311.16	984.23					
TS-R	13455469.05	1965310.22	985.14					





SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on March 7, 2018.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).









NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM FROM RIGHT BANK ON 03/07/2018



RIGHT BANK ON 03/07/2018







PENDING CROSSING	

					AS N(
PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING					AS
	REVISIONS				SCALE:
PENDING CROSSING	REVI	Description			September, 2021
		Date			
PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING		No.			DATE:

	I .			
		Boundary a MVP WSSI 2' C.1	and Topo So I. Topo	ource:
PENDING CROSSING		Design	Draft	Appı
		EJC	SIH	PF
			Sheet #	
			1 . 1	

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

Computer File Name: C:\WSSI-L\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs 22865_03 S-I MP 254-267 Sheets.dwg

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1

1 of 1

Draft Approved

PFS

Vertical Datum: NAVD 88

to

9