
Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-KL52 (Pipeline ROW) Ephemeral Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	N/A – No flow
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

Spread I Stream S-KL52 (Pipeline ROW) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking NW upstream, DW



Photo Type: DS COND

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking SE downstream, DW

Spread I Stream S-KL52 (Pipeline ROW) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking SW at right streambank, DW



Photo Type: RB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking NE at left streambank, DW

Spread I Stream S-KL52 (Pipeline ROW) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking N upstream, DW



Photo Type: DS VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking S downstream, DW

L:\22000s\22800\22865.06\Admin\05-ENVR\Field Data\Template Forms\Photo Document Template.docx

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Moun	ntain Valley Pipeline	(in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.058165	Lon.	-79.844877	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:	September	r 3, 2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size (acreage),			S-KL52	; 18.29ac		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./ (watershed size (acreage)					Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	105	FORM OF MITIGATION	: RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:	No	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	Condition (Del	bit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	Condition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pro Post Completion		Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (Column No. 5- Mitigation Projecte	ed at Maturity (Cr	edit)
Stream Classification:	Ephe	meral	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel Si	·	5.3	Percent Stream Channel SI			Percent Stream Channel Sl	<u> </u>	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	•	Percent Stream Channel St	•	0
HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach			HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attach da		HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):	
		Average		Average				Average		Average			Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0.29 0.33	0.23333333	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	0.08 Biological India	cators	PART I - Physical, Chemical ar	nd Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Inc	dicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indica	itors
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)	•	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
	0-20	0	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		 Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 	0-20	 Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover 	0-20	
2. Embeddedness	0-20	1	2. Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20	2. Embeddedness	0-20	
Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20	1	Pool Variability Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20	Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	Ö	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	15	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration	0-20		Channel Alteration	0-20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	19	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	16	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	10 62	Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	•	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	Poor 0	Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Poor	
Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Marginal	0.51666667	Sub-Total	Poor 0		Sub-Total	Pool	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	POOL	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial St		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial St	treams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittee		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Stre	sams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	n		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	1		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	1		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	n	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	
Specific Conductivity	,		Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity		
100-199 - 85 points	0-90			0-90			0-90		•	0-90	-	0-90	
pH			pH			pH			pH		pH		
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	0-80			5-90 0-1			5-90			5-90 0-1		5-90 0-1	
5.6-5.9 = 45 points			DO.			00			00		00		
50	10-30		БО	10-30		50	10-30		В	10-30	DO	10-30	
	10-30			10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30	
Sub-Total			Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total		0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	tent and Perennial	Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	ent and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	ttent and Perenn	iiai Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	nittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	ittent and Perennia	ii Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1		WV Stream Condition index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1	
0	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	•	0
PART II - Index and L	Init Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	Init Score	PART II - Index and U	Init Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.446	105	46.8125	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0

Version 10-20-17

			High-C				ms in Ap		a	VEISI	DII 10-20-17
		RC RH DW						Latitude/UT	M Northing:	37.058165	
Pre	•	Mountain V		9			١	-	_	-79.844877	
	Location:	Franklin Co	unty					Sar	npling Date:	9/3/21	
SA	AR Number:			Length (ft):		Stream Ty		meral Stream			
Cito	Top Strata:		rub/Herb Str	ata	(determined	Trom perce	ent calculated		γ)		_
Site	and riming.	Project Site					Before Proje	et .			<u> </u>
		1-4 in strea									
1	V _{CCANOPY}	equidistant	points along st one value	the stream between 0	Measure o and 19 to tri	nly if tree/sa	nopy. Meas apling cover rata choice.)	is at least 20			Not Used, <20%
	70	30	20	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ì
2	V _{EMBED}	Average en	nbeddednes	s of the stre	am channel.	Measure a	t no fewer th	an 30 rough	nly equidista	nt points	4.0
		-					oving it, det		-		1.8
							fine sedime				
			ving table. It bed is comp				omposed of t	rine seaimer	nts, use a ra	ting score	
							es (rescaled	from Platts	Megahan.	and	Measure
		Minshall 19		o. g.a.o., o.		araor paraor	00 (10000.00		, moganan,		at least
		Rating	Rating Des	cription							30 points
		5	<5 percent	of surface c			ouried by fine	,]
		4					, or buried b				
		3					d, or buried of the distribution of the distri	•			
							buried by fir			surface)	
	List the rati	ngs at each							(
	5	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	
	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	3	
	1	1	1								
3		Median stre along the st ale size in inc	tream; use tl	ne same poi	nts and part	icles as use	d in V_{EMBED} .				0.08 in
		0.0 in, sand					(200,001,011,011,011,011,011,011,011,011,	, and 20 00 and		, aopilait oi	
	1.90	4.00	2.60	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	3.90	0.08	
	0.08	0.08	0.08	4.20	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	1.20	
	0.08	0.08	0.08								
4	V_{BERO}		al percentage				al number of e eroded, to				11 %
			Left Bank:	0	ft		Right Bank:	8	ft		
		5-9 within tl						,		•	
5	V_{LWD}	stream read	,	e number fro	m the entire		r and 36 inch uffer and with		, ·	et of amount per	0.0
		100 leet of	sueam wii L	e calculatet		f downed wo	oody stems:	(0		
6	V_{TDBH}	Average db	h of trees (n	neasure only			cover is at	least 20%).	Trees are a	it least 4	N. C.
		,					within the b	uffer on eacl	n side of the		Not Used
		J. Julia Delle	Left Side					Right Side			Ì
	0					0		J 2.40			
7	V _{SNAG}						of stream. E	nter numbe	r of snags o	n each side	
		of the strea	m, and the a	mount per 1	00 feet will	be calculate	d.				0.0
			Left Side:)		Right Side:		0		
8	V _{SSD}	Number of			-	p to 4 inche	s dbh) per 1			sure only if	
1	555	tree cover is	s <20%). E	nter number						amount per	100.0
		100 ft of str	eam will be		0		Dial-+ C' '		E		
			Left Side:	4	.0		Right Side:	3	35		

9	1 in the tallest stratum. Check all exotic and invasive species present in all strata. Species richness per 0.00										
			d the subind p 1 = 1.0	lex will be ca	alculated fror	n these data	а.	Croun	2 (1 0)		
	Acer rubrui			Magnolia tr	rinetala		Ailanthus a		2 (-1.0)	Lonicera ja	nonica
	Acer sacch			-			Albizia julib			Lonicera tat	
	Aesculus fl		_	Nyssa sylva Oxydendrum			-		_		
				•			Alliaria peti			Lotus cornic	
	Asimina tril			Prunus ser			Alternanthe			Lythrum sai	
	Betula alleg			Quercus al		_	philoxeroide		✓	Microstegium	
	Betula lenta	9		Quercus co			Aster tatari	cus		Paulownia t	omentosa
	Carya alba			Quercus im	nbricaria		Cerastium	fontanum		Polygonum c	uspidatum
	Carya glabi	ra		Quercus pr	rinus		Coronilla va	aria		Pueraria mo	ontana
	Carya ovali	s		Quercus ru	ıbra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa multif	lora
	Carya ovat	а		Quercus ve	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum ha	alepense
	Cornus flor	ida		Sassafras a	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena bra	asiliensis
	Fagus gran	difolia		Tilia americ	cana		Ligustrum ob	tusifolium			
	Fraxinus ar	mericana		Tsuga cana	adensis		Ligustrum s	sinense			
V	Liriodendron	tulipifera		Ulmus ame	ericana						
	Magnolia a	cuminata									
		1	Species in	Group 1				1	Species in	Group 2	
01	- Variables	40 44!46:		bl-4- /4	011 4011	4 4\ :	41	/l . £		DF 44 4	
	e variables The four sub								one within a	25 feet from	eacn
10	V _{DETRITUS}	•	•				aterial. Wo		4" diameter	and <36"	
	BETTHTOO	long are inc	lude. Enter	the percent	cover of the	detrital laye	er at each su	bplot.			19.17 %
			Left	Side			Right	t Side		•	
		0	0	20		0	5	90			
11	\/	Augraga na	roontogo	var of barba		tation (mage	uro only if tr		<200/\ Da	not include	
11	V_{HERB}	• .	•		-	,	sure only if tr av be severa		,	r vegetation	
							cent cover o				80 %
		subplot.		0:1			D: 1	. 0: 1		, !	
		400		Side		100	Right 90	t Side 10			
		100	100	80							
Sample	e Variable 1	2 within the	entire cato	hment of th	he stream.	100	90	10		<u> </u>	
Sample 12	e Variable 1				he stream. for watershe		30	10			0.53
			verage of R	unoff Score		ed:	30	10	Runoff Score	% in Catch- ment	Running Percent
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	verage of R Land	Runoff Score	for watershe	ed:	30	10	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Land	Use (Choos	for watershe	ed:	30	10 -	Score 0.5	ment 0.27	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27
	V _{WLUSE}	Weighted A	Land	Use (Choos	for watershe	ed:	30	▼ ▼	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100)
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n Forest and n	Weighted A	Land 50% ground 75% ground	Use (Choos	for watershe	ed:	30		Score 0.5	ment 0.27	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27
	Forest and no Impervious a	Weighted A	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr	Use (Choos cover) cover)	for watershe	ed:	30	\	0.5 1	ment 0.27 39.66	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93
	Forest and no Impervious a	Weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr	Use (Choos cover) cover)	for watershe	ed:	30	\	0.5 1 0	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75
	Forest and no Impervious a	Weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr	Use (Choos cover) cover)	for watershe	ed:	30	\ \ \ \ \	0.5 1 0	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75
	Forest and no Impervious a	Weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr	Use (Choos cover) cover)	for watershe	ed:	30	\ \ \ \ \	0.5 1 0	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75
	Forest and no Impervious a	Weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr	Use (Choos cover) cover)	for watershe	ed:	30	\ \ \ \ \	0.5 1 0	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75
	Forest and no Impervious a	Weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr	Use (Choos cover) cover)	for watershe	ed:	30	\ \ \ \ \	0.5 1 0	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75
	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Weighted A ative range (> ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr	Use (Choos cover) cover)	for watershe	ed:		\ \ \ \ \	0.5 1 0	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75
12	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr s, parks, etc.)	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover >	for watershe	ed: p List)	No	V V V	0.5 1 0 0.3	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
12 V	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Weighted A ative range (< ative range (> parking (parking (pasture, lawn)) -KL52 Value	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr s, parks, etc.),	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover >	for watershe se From Drop >75% er Analysis	ed: o List)	No pleted using	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	Score 0.5 1 0 0 0.3 National L	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
12 V	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn	Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr s, parks, etc.)	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a	er Analysis rom Lands:	was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
12 V Vc	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space	ative range (ative range (ative range (<a "="" href="https://www.neas.go</td><td>Land 50% ground 75% ground lots, roofs, dr s, parks, etc.),</td><td>Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a</td><td>er Analysis rom Lands:</td><td>was compat satellite</td><td>No
oleted using
imagery an
ed off of fie</td><td>tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea</td><td>Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa</td><td>ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I</td><td>Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100 Database</td></tr><tr><td>12 V</td><td>Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S ariable CANOPY MBED</td><td>weighted A ative range (< ative range (> parking (pasture, lawn) -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8</td><td>VSI Not Used 0.38</td><td>Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a</td><td>er Analysis rom Lands:</td><td>was compat satellite</td><td>No
oleted using
imagery an
ed off of fie</td><td>tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea</td><td>Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa</td><td>ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.</td><td>Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100 Database</td></tr><tr><td>V<sub>C</sub> V<sub>E</sub> V<sub>S</sub></td><td>Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S dariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE</td><td>weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8 0.08 in</td><td>VSI Not Used 0.38 0.04</td><td>Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a</td><td>er Analysis rom Lands:</td><td>was compat satellite</td><td>No
oleted using
imagery an
ed off of fie</td><td>tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea</td><td>Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa</td><td>ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.</td><td>Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100 Database</td></tr><tr><td>V<sub>C</sub> V<sub>E</sub> V<sub>S</sub></td><td>Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S ariable CANOPY MBED</td><td>weighted A ative range (< ative range (> parking (pasture, lawn) -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8</td><td>VSI Not Used 0.38</td><td>Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a</td><td>er Analysis rom Lands:</td><td>was compat satellite</td><td>No
oleted using
imagery an
ed off of fie</td><td>tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea</td><td>Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa</td><td>ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.</td><td>Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100 Database</td></tr><tr><td>V<sub>C</sub> V<sub>E</sub> V<sub>S</sub></td><td>Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S Gariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO</td><td>weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8 0.08 in</td><td>VSI Not Used 0.38 0.04</td><td>Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a</td><td>er Analysis rom Lands:</td><td>was compat satellite</td><td>No
oleted using
imagery an
ed off of fie</td><td>tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea</td><td>Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa</td><td>ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.</td><td>Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100 Database</td></tr><tr><td> V</td><td>Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S fariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO</td><td>ative range (ative range (ative range (<a href="</td"><td>VSI Not Used 0.38 0.04 1.00</td><td>Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a</td><td>er Analysis rom Lands:</td><td>was compat satellite</td><td>No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie</td><td>tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea</td><td>Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa</td><td>ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.</td><td>Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100</td>	VSI Not Used 0.38 0.04 1.00	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a	er Analysis rom Lands:	was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
VC VE VS VB VL'	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S Gariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8 0.08 in 11 % 0.0 Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a	er Analysis rom Lands:	was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
VC VE VS VB VL'	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S fariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8 0.08 in 11 % 0.0	VSI Not Used 0.38 0.04 1.00 0.00	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a	er Analysis rom Lands:	was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
VC VE VS VB VL'	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S Gariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8 0.08 in 11 % 0.0 Not Used	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a	for watershe se From Drop >75% er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> ative range (> parking (pasture, lawn -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8 0.08 in 11 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a	for watershe se From Drop >75% er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
V Vc Vs Vs Vs Vs Vs Vs	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S fariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8 0.08 in 11 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 100.0 0.00	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a	for watershe se From Drop >75% er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Forest and no Forest and no Forest and no Impervious a Open space Sariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8 0.08 in 11 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 100.0 100.0 19.2 %	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00 0.23	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a	for watershe se From Drop >75% er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100
V	Forest and n Forest and n Impervious a Open space S fariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH	weighted A ative range (< ative range (> reas (parking (pasture, lawn -KL52 Value Not Used, <20% 1.8 0.08 in 11 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 100.0 0.00	VSI Not Used 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	Use (Choos cover) cover) iveways, etc) grass cover a	for watershe se From Drop >75% er Analysis rom Lands; d boundarie	was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery an ed off of fie	tes: g the 2019 d other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 0 0.3 National L pplementa	ment 0.27 39.66 16.82 43.25 and Cover I ry datasets. impacts.	Running Percent (not >100) 0.27 39.93 56.75 100 Database

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V_{CCANOPY} (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline

Location: Franklin County

Sampling Date: 9/3/21 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Ephemeral Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-KL52

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary: Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.29
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.33
Habitat	0.08

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V _{CCANOPY}	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V_{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.78	0.38
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V _{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	10.67	1.00
V_{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V _{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V _{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	100.00	1.00
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V _{DETRITUS}	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	19.17	0.23
V _{HERB}	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	80.00	1.00
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.53	0.56

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LATLONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Name Part 24 Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?
WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now Past 24 hours Yes No
	storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) Air Temperature0 C
	showers (intermittent)
	% %cloud cover% Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
	LOD
	WWW WWW WWW
	PIPE CL Dense Vegetation
	COMING IN OUT
	Vegetation
	LOD
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Stream Type Perennial Intermittent Tidal Coldwater Warmwater
	Stream Origin Catchment Area km² Glacial Spring-fed Non-glacial montane Mixture of origins Swamp and bog Other Other

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field/ Agric	Pasture Industria	rcial	Local Watershed NPS Pollution No evidence ☐ Some potential sources Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate Heavy	
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	Trees	SI SI	hrubs	Ominant species present Grasses Herbaceous	
INSTREAM FEATURES Estimated Reach Lengthm Estimated Stream Widthm Sampling Reach Aream² Area in km² (m²x1000)km² Estimated Stream Depthm Surface Velocitym/sec (at thalweg)				Canopy Cover Partly open Partly shaded Shaded High Water Markm Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle % Run% Pool% Channelized Yes No Dam Present Yes No		
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		of LWDm	n ² /km ² (LWD/	reach area)	
AQUATION VEGETA		Roote Floati Domin a	e the dominant type and d emergent Re ng Algae At unt species present of the reach with aquat	ooted submerge tached Algae		
WATER (QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	cature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty trument Used		Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other	
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Abser			Relict shells Other	_
INC	ORGANIC SUBS		COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)	
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic % Composition in Sampling Area	
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2			Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	0.06-2mm (gritty)			grey, shell fragments	

Silt

Clay

0.004-0.06 mm

< 0.004 mm (slick)

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	n Category		
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor	
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.	
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.	
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.	
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.	
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.	
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
ĺ	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	

Total	Caare	
i otai	Score	

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATETIME	REASON FOR SURVEY			
HADITAT TYPES Indicate the percentage of	and habitat type present				

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand% Submerged Macrophytes% Other ()%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ()
GENERAL COMMENTS	

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-KL52

Stream Name: UNT to Maggodee Creek HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 9/3/2021 Surveyors: RH RC DW Type: Representative

<u> </u>			LE COUNT	<u> </u>		I:	1 01 5
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cum
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	A	84	84.00	84.00
	Very Fine	.062125		A		0.00	84.00
	Fine	.12525		•		0.00	84.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	A		0.00	84.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		*		0.00	84.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		*		0.00	84.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		▼		0.00	84.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		▼		0.00	84.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		A	1	1.00	85.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		▼	2	2.00	87.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	▼	2	2.00	89.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		A		0.00	89.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32	_	^	2	2.00	91.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45	_	^	2	2.00	93.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		^		0.00	93.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		•	2	2.00	95.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	COBBLE	•	4	4.00	99.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180		•	1	1.00	100.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		•		0.00	100.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		A		0.00	100.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512		A		0.00	100.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	A		0.00	100.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		A		0.00	100.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096		A		0.00	100.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	A		0.00	100.00
				Totals:	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: UNT to Maggodee Creek Reach Name: S-KL52 Representative Survey Date: 09/03/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	84 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 4 1 0 0 0 0	84.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.00 2.00 0.00 0.00	84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 84.00 85.00 87.00 89.00 91.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 90.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.01 0.03 0.04 0.06 90 179.99 84 0 9		

Total Particles = 100.

Ephemeral Stream Assessment Form (Form 1a) Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia For use in ephemeral streams Cowardin Impact SAR# Project # t Name (Applicant) Locality HUC Date **Factor** Class Length Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 03010101 R6 9/3/21 **S-KL52** 105 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County Name(s) of Evaluator(s) Stream Name and Information SAR Length 105 RC RH DW UNT to Maggodee Creek 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Poor Suboptimal Marginal Low Marginal: High Poor: Lawns Non-maintained, Low Suboptimal High Suboptimal High Marginal: maintained areas Low Poor: dense herbaceous Riparian areas with Riparian areas with Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, Non-maintained egetation, riparia nurseries: no-till ree stratum (dbh > ee stratum (dbh reas lacking shrut and tree stratum, ense herbaceo cropland; actively 3 inches) present 3 inches) prèsent Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present vegetation with grazed pasture, Riparian with 30% to 60% with >30% tree either a shrub laye or a tree layer (dbl 3 inches) presen with > 60% tree canopy cover and an non-maintained understory. Wetlands hay production, parsely vegetate non-maintained enuded surface tree canopy cove and containing bot nopy cover and maintained onds, open wate If present, tree row crops, active feed lots, trails, or **Buffers** areas. area, recently herbaceous and nderstory. Rece with <30% tree stratum (dbh >3 seeded and other comparable shrub layers or a non-maintained cutover (dense vegetation). canopy cover inches) present, with <30% tree stabilized, or othe comparable conditions. understory. canopy cover with maintained understory. condition. High Low High High Low Low Condition 1.5 1.2 1.1 0.85 0.75 0.6 0.5 Scores 1. Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Ensure the sums 2. Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below. of % Riparian Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 20% 40% 40% 100% % Riparian Area> Right Bank 0.5 0.85 0.75 Score > CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 20% 40% 40% 100% CI % Riparian Area> Rt Bank CI > 0.74 Left Bank 0.85 0.75 Lt Bank CI > 0.74 0.74 REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 0.37

RCI= (Riparian CI)/2


COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 39


CR = RCI X LF X IF


INSERT PHOTOS:



DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:						








SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on February 15, 2019.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).

Due to field conditions, no top of slope, toe of slope, or thalweg shots were able to be obtained



S-KL52 BASELINE CROSS-SECTION A

POOL

WATER SURFACE EL.=973.8'

980

978

978

974

972

970

0+00

0+10

0+20

0+30

0+40


0+50


0+60

0+70

0+80

DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)





CROSS SECTION

SCALE: H: 1"=10'
V: 1"=5'

CROSS SECTION LEGEND

EXISTING GRADE

NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS

Wetland

268.0)

sing



PHOTO TAKEN FROM LEFT BANK LOOKING DOWNSTREAM TO THE EAST ON 02/15/2019



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM TO THE WEST ON 02/15/2019



PHOTO TAKEN ON RIGHT BANK OF CENTERLINE LOOKING NORTHEAST TOWARD LEFT BANK ON 02/15/2019

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

Hevizons and a secription

No. Date Description

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N

Vertical Datum: NAVD 88

Boundary and Topo Source:
MVP
WSSI 2' C.I. Topo

Design Draft Approved

EJC JSF NAS

Sheet #

Computer File Name: L:\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs 22865_03 S-I MP 268-278 Sheets_2.dwg

1 of 1