Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Revisit

*Additional information was collected on 1/27/2022. Water quality and benthic data not collected due to no flow.

Reach S-MM10 (Pipeline ROW) * Intermittent Spread I Pittsylvania County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	√ *
SWVM Form	√ *
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	√ *
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	√ *
Water Quality Data	N/A – No flow
RBP Habitat Form	√ *
RBP Benthic Form	√ *
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No flow
Wolman Pebble Count	√ *
RiverMorph Data Sheet	√ *
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓




Photo Type: DS VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of ROW looking N, RH



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of ROW looking S, RH



Photo Type: LB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking SE, RH



Photo Type: RB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking W, RH




Photo Type: DS COND

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking N, RH

Spread I Stream S-MM10 (ROW) Pittsylvania County



Photo Type: DS VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream view of LOC looking NE, KB



Photo Type: US VIEW Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream view of LOC looking SSW, KB

Spread I Stream S-MM10 (ROW) Pittsylvania County




Photo Type: CL ACCESS 1 Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing in Access Road looking SE, KB



Photo Type: CL ACCESS 2
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing in Access Road looking NW, KB

Spread I Stream S-MM10 (ROW) Pittsylvania County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions outside of ROW looking SSW, KB

USACE FILE NO / Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		М	lountain V	alley Pipeline		COORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.	36.895915	Lon.	-79.45296		WEATHER:		Sunny		DATE:	Januar	ry 27, 2022	
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size (acreage).		nents)		S-MM10 (1.88 acres)			MITIGATION STREAM CLA (watershed size (acr	SS./SITE ID AND reage), unaltered or im		l:					Comments:			
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	9	FORM MITIGAT		RESTORATION (Levels I-III)		DORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.			PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		0.00"		Mitigation Length:			
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	Condition (Deb	it)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	ondition - Base	line (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigatio Post Compl	n Projected at Five etion (Credit)	Years		Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (ars		Column No. 5- Mitigation Projected	d at Maturity ((Credit)	
Stream Classification:	Interm	ittent		Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0		Stream Classification:		0	Str	ream Classification:		0	
Percent Stream Channel Sle	оре			Percent Stream Channel Sle	оре			Percent Stream Channe	el Slope	0		Percent Stream Channel SI	lope	0		Percent Stream Channel Slo	оре	0	
HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):			HGM Score (attach	data forms):			HGM Score (att	ach data forms):	:		HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):			HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):		
		Average				Average				Average				Average				Average	
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling	0.47	0.25666667		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling		0		drology ogeochemical Cycling		0	
Habitat	0.11			Habitat		1		Habitat				Habitat				bitat			
PART I - Physical, Chemical and		ators		PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Inc	licators		PART I - Physical, Chemic	al and Biological I	ndicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indic	cators		PART I - Physical, Chemical and E	3iological Ind	licators	
	Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Ran	ge Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Rang	inge Site Score	
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all str	reams classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PH	IYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)		
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data She				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)				EPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	0	ŀ	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		
Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	0		3. Pool Variability	0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	-	
Velocity Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20	1		4. Sediment Deposition	0-20			Velocity Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20			Velocity/ Depart Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20			Sediment Deposition	0-20	7	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. (Channel Flow Status	0-20	. /	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20			6. Channel Alteration	0-20			6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. (Channel Alteration	0-20	4	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0		7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. 1	Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	1	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	7		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. I	Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	/	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	10		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		
 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 	0-20	9		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20			 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & R 				 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 				. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		
Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor	47 0,235		Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor	0		Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor	0		Total RBP Score Sub-Total	Poor	0		tal RBP Score b-Total	Poor	0	
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Str			CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial St			CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Perennial	_		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittee	nt and Perennial S			EMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Perennial		
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	n			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)				WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Ger	neral)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	n		w	/DEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			
Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity				ecific Conductivity			
100 100 05 11	0-90				0-90				0-90				0-90				0-90	1	
100-199 - 85 points				pH	_			pH				pH			pН				
	0-80		ľ		5-90				5-90	1			5-90				5-90	-1	
5.6-5.9 = 45 points	1			00				00				DO.	1		0.0				
ВО	10-30		ľ	DO	10-30			50	10-30			DO	10-30		DC		10-30	7	
	10-30		ļ		10-30				10-30				10-30				10-30		
Sub-Total				Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0		b-Total		0	
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	tent and Perennial	Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Perennial	Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Ir	ntermittent and Pere	nnial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	nittent and Perenr	nial Streams)		OLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Peren	nnial Streams)	
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1		w	/ Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	0-100 0-1		
0	0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1				0-100 0-				0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1		
Sub-Total		0	l	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Su	b-Total		0	
PART II - Index and U	Init Score		ı	PART II - Index and	Unit Score	П		PART II - Indox	and Unit Score		1	PART II - Index and U	Init Score		PART II - Index and Unit Score				
PANT II - Index and U	Julie			PART II - Index and	ot doore			PAINT II - Index	and only score			PART II - III dex and U	Score			PART II - IIIdex and Of	Score		
			ļ																
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Fee	t Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	et Unit Score	
0.387	9	3.48375		0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	

Ver. 10-20-17

FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for $V_{CCANOPY}$ (\geq 20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

Project Name: Mountain Valley Pipeline **Location:** Pittsylvania County

Sampling Date: 1/27/22 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

Intermittent Stream

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-MM10

Shrub/Herb Strata

Functional Results Summary:

Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.47
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.19
Habitat	0.11

Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V _{CCANOPY}	Percent canpoy over channel.	Not Used, <20%	Not Used
V_{EMBED}	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.00	0.10
V _{SUBSTRATE}	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V_{BERO}	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	91.67	0.58
V_{LWD}	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V _{TDBH}	Average dbh of trees.	Not Used	Not Used
V _{SNAG}	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V_{SSD}	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	183.33	1.00
V _{SRICH}	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V _{DETRITUS}	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	50.00	0.61
V _{HERB}	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	35.00	0.47
V _{WLUSE}	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.87	0.92

			High-C				ms in Ap		a		
	Team:	SB KB							M Northing:	36.895915	
Pro		Mountain V	alley Pipelir	ne					TM Easting:		
	Location:	Pittslyvania	County					San	npling Date:	1/27/22	
SA	AR Number:	S-MM10	Reach	Length (ft):	6	Stream Ty	/pe: Inter	mittent Strea	m		•
	Top Strata:	Shi	ub/Herb Sti	rata	(determine	d from perce	ent calculate	d in V _{CCANO}	_{PY})		
	and Timing:	, 10,000	21			•	Before Proje	ect			•
Sample	V _{CCANOPY}	1-4 in strea Average pe			al hy trae ar	nd sanling ca	anony Mea	sure at no f	ewer than 1	0 roughly	
		equidistant	points along at least one	g the stream value betw	. Measure een 0 and 1	only if tree/s	sapling cove Top Strata o	r is at least			Not Used, <20%
	0	Cent Cover i	ileasurerrier	its at each p	Joint Delow.						Ī
	- 0										
2	V _{EMBED}	Average en	nbeddednes	s of the stre	am channe	l. Measure	at no fewer	than 30 rou	ghly equidis	tant points	
							noving it, de				1.0
							y fine sedim				
		of 1. If the	bed is comp	osed of bed	drock, use a	rating score					1
		Minshall 19	83)		obble and b	oulder partic	cles (rescale	d from Plati	s, Megahan	, and	Measure at least
		Rating	Rating Des								30 points
		5 4					buried by fir d, or buried b			:)	
		3					ed, or buried				
		2					ed, or buried				
		1	>75 percen	t of surface	covered, su	rrounded, o	r buried by f	ine sedimer	nt (or artificia	al surface)	
	List the rati	ngs at each	point below	:							
	1	1									
	1	1									
	1	1									
	1	1									
3	1	1 Median stre									
		cle size in inc as 0.0 in, s	ches to the	nearest 0.1	inch at each		ed in V _{EMBED} v (bedrock s		unted as 99	in, asphalt	
	0.08	0.08									
	0.08	0.08									
	0.08	0.08									
	0.08	0.08									
4	V_{BERO}	Total perce	nt of eroded	stream cha	nnel bank.	Enter the to	tal number	of feet of er	oded bank o	n each	
		side and the		entage will b	e calculated	I If both bar	nks are eroo	ded, total er	osion for the	stream	92 %
			Left Bank:	3	ft		Right Bank:	3	ft		
Sample	e Variables	5-9 within t	he entire ri	parian/buff	er zone adj	acent to the	e stream ch	annel (25 f	eet from ea	ch bank).	
5	V_{LWD}	stream read	ch. Enter th		om the entir		er and 36 in ouffer and wi				0.0
						downed wo	oody stems:		0		
6	V_{TDBH}			measure onl eter. Enter			g cover is a	t least 20%)	. Trees are	at least 4	Not Used
		List the dbh the stream		ents of indiv	ridual trees ((at least 4 in) within the	buffer on ea	ich side of		
			Left Side					Right Side			
7	V	Nimeter		oot 4" -1" 1	nd 2011 (-11)	100 5	of ot	Ente:::	or of	an a 1	
7	V _{SNAG}			ast 4" dbh a the amount			of stream. culated.	∟nter numb	er ot snags	on each	0.0
			Left Side:		0		Right Side:		0		
8	V _{SSD}	Number of			-		es dbh) per		-	asure only if	
				nter number		and shrubs	on each sid	le of the stre	eam, and the	e amount	183.3

9	V _{SRICH}		the tallest st r 100 feet a		مط الثبيد بدمامه	aalaulatad fi					
			p 1 = 1.0	nd the subir	idex will be	calculated II	rom these a		2 (-1.0)		
	Acer rubrui		p 1 – 1.0	Magnolia tr	rinetala		Ailanthus a		2 (-1.0)	Lonicera ja	onica
	Acer sacch			Nyssa sylv	-		Albizia julib			Lonicera tat	
Н	Aesculus fl			Oxydendrum			Alliaria peti			Lotus cornic	
	Asimina tril			Prunus ser			•			licaria	
Н							Alternanthe philoxeroid				
	Betula alleg			Quercus al						vimineum	
	Betula lenta			Quercus co			Aster tatari			Paulownia t	
ᆜ	Carya alba			Quercus in			Cerastium			Polygonum c	
	Carya glab			Quercus pr			Coronilla va	aria	Ш	Pueraria mo	
Ш	Carya ovali	is		Quercus ru	ıbra		Elaeagnus u	mbellata		Rosa multifi	lora
\Box	Carya ovat	a		Quercus ve	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor		Sorghum ha	alepense
	Cornus flor	rida		Sassafras	albidum		Lespedeza	cuneata		Verbena bra	asiliensis
<u> </u>	Fagus gran	ndifolia		Tilia americ	cana		Ligustrum ob	tusifolium			
	Fraxinus ar	mericana		Tsuga cana	adensis		Ligustrum s	sinense			
	Liriodendron	tulipifera		Ulmus ame	ericana						
	Magnolia a	cuminata									
_											
		1	Species in	Group 1				2	Species in	Group 2	
ank. 1		bplots shou	ıld be place	ed roughly	40" x 40", o equidistant sticks, or othe	ly along ea	ch side of t	he stream		25 feet from	
					t cover of the						50.00 %
			Left	Side			Right	Side] '	
		30				70					
	.,								222()	L ,	
11	V_{HERB}	include woo vegetation	ody stems a percentages	t least 4" db	aceous vege h and 36" ta n 200% are a	II. Because	there may b	e several la	ayers of grou	und cover	35 %
		each subpl		0:-1-			Diala	0:4-		1	
				Side		10	Right	Side		•	
Sample	e Variable 1	60	Left		the stream.	10	Right	Side			
ample 12	e Variable 1	60	Left e entire cate exercise of F	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side	Runoff	% in Catch	0.87
		60	Left e entire cate exercise of F	chment of t		ed:	Right	Side	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE}	60	Left e entire cat verage of F	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side		1	Running
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side	Score	ment	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side	Score 0.5	ment 27	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side	Score 0.5	ment 27	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side	Score 0.5	ment 27	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side	Score 0.5	ment 27	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side V V V V V	Score 0.5	ment 27	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side	Score 0.5	ment 27	Running Percent (not >100
	V _{WLUSE} Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of t	for watersh	ed:	Right	Side	Score 0.5	ment 27	Running Percent (not >100
	Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A active range (:	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of t	for watersh	ed:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score 0.5	ment 27	Running Percent (not >100
	Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of the control	e for watersh	ed: p List)	No	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0.5 1	ment 27 73 73	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
12	Forest and n	60 2 within the Weighted A active range (:	Left e entire cate werage of F Land	chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose cover) cover)	e for watersh	ed: p List)	No oleted using	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score 0.5 1 National L	ment 27 73 and Cover	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
12 V	Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable	2 within the Weighted A mative range (:	Left e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground	Chment of the tunoff Score Use (Choose Cover) Cover) Land Covi (NLCD), file	e for watersh se From Dro	ed: p List) was compat satellite	No oleted using imagery ar	tes: g the 2019 d other su	Score 0.5 1 National Lapplementa	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
12	Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable	60 2 within the Weighted A water range (Left e entire cat everage of F Land c50% ground 75% ground	Use (Choose cover) Land Cover (NLCD), fill Watershei	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
12	Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable	2 within the Weighted A mative range (:	Left e entire cat everage of R Land c50% ground 75% ground	Use (Choose cover) Land Cover (NLCD), fill Watershei	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
Vi V _C V _E	Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable	60 2 within the Weighted A water range (Left e entire cat everage of F Land c50% ground 75% ground	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
VS V _C V _E V _S	Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED	-MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0	Left e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground <75% ground VSI Not Used 0.10	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
Va V _C V _E V _S V _B	Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE	-MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 92 %	Left e entire cat everage of F Land <50% ground 75% ground 100 0.10 0.04 0.58	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
V: V _C	Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	-MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 92 % 0.0	Left e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground 75% ground VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 0.58 0.00	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
V: Vc Vc Vs Vs	Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE	-MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 92 %	Left e entire cat everage of F Land <50% ground 75% ground 100 0.10 0.04 0.58	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
V: Vc VE VS VB VL: VT	Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	-MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 92 % 0.0	Left e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground 75% ground VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 0.58 0.00	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percen (not >100 27 100
V3	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG	-MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 92 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0	Left e entire cat werage of F Land c50% ground c75% ground VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 0.58 0.00 Not Used 0.10	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
V3	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD	MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 92 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 183.3	Left e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground 75% ground 75% ground 0.10 0.04 0.58 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
V: V V V V V V V V V	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE EERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH	-MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 92 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0	Left e entire cat werage of F Land c50% ground c75% ground VSI Not Used 0.10 0.04 0.58 0.00 Not Used 0.10	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
12 V3 VC VE VS VS VS VD	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 92 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 183.3	Left e entire cat werage of F Land <50% ground 75% ground 75% ground 0.10 0.04 0.58 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100
12 V3 Vc VE Vs Vs Vs Vs Vs Vs Vs	Forest and n Forest and n Forest and n S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE EERO WD DBH NAG SD RICH	-MM10 Value Not Used, <20% 1.0 0.08 in 92 % 0.0 Not Used 0.0 183.3 0.00	Left e entire cat werage of R Land <50% ground 75% ground 75% ground 0.10 0.04 0.58 0.00 Not Used 0.10 1.00 0.00	Chment of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of	e for watersh se From Drop er Analysis rom Landsa d boundarie	ed: p List) was compat satellite es are base	No oleted using imagery ar ed off of fie	tes: the 2019 do other suld delinea	Score 0.5 1 National L pplementated stream	ment 27 73 and Cover rry datasets impacts.	Running Percent (not >100 27 100

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-MM10)	LOCATION Pittsylvania County							
STATION # R	IVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermitten	t						
LAT 36.895915 L	ONG79.45296	RIVER BASIN Banister							
STORET#		AGENCY VADEQ							
INVESTIGATORS SB KE									
FORM COMPLETED BY	KB	DATE 1/27/22 TIME 11:23 am	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment						
WEATHER CONDITIONS	rain ((heavy rain) (steady rain)	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes ✓ No Air Temperature 1.7 ° C Other						
SITE LOCATION/MAP		cand indicate the areas sample Couning In Viator 6 TMB Cong Auro Cong Auro							

Spring-fed
Mixture of origins
Other

Stream Type Coldwater

Catchment Area 0.01

✓Warmwater

_km²

Stream Subsystem
□ Perennial □ Intermittent □ Tidal

Stream Origin
Glacial
Non-glacial montane
Swamp and bog

STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Predom ✓ Fores Field Agric Resid	Pasture Industri	rcial	cial No evidence Some potent Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate Ho					
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION		e the dominant type and		minant species present He	rbaceous				
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	red Stream Depth O	m m² km²	Partly open					
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	<u>°</u> m² of LWD <u>°</u> m	n ² /km ² (LWD/	reach area)					
AQUATIO VEGETA		Roote Floati	e the dominant type and demergent Ralgae Ratust species present Ratu	ooted submerge tached Algae	nt Rooted floating	Free floating				
WATER (No flow	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH N/A Turbidi	rature NA 0 C Conductance NA ed Oxygen NA ty NA NA trument Used N7A			Chemical Other Globs Flecks				
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other	ical Anaerobic	Petroleum None	— Lρoking at stones whic are the undersides blace	□Paper fiber □Sand Other □Sand h are not deeply embedded, k in color?				
INC	DC ANIC SUD	STD ATE	COMPONENTS		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C	OMBONENTS				
INC		dd up to 1	00%)		(does not necessarily add	up to 100%)				
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area				
Bedrock			0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	0				
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")		0		<u> </u>					
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5		0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	0				
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2		0	26.1	1.11.0					
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	у)	50	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0				
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	1.	25	-						
Clay	< 0.004 mm (sli	ck)	25							

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-MM10	LOCATION Pittsylvania County						
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Intermittent						
LAT <u>36.895915</u> LONG <u>-79.45296</u>	RIVER BASIN Banister						
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ						
INVESTIGATORS SB KB							
FORM COMPLETED BY KB	DATE 1/27/22 TIME 11:23 am AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment						

	Habitat		Condition	Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.				
	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.				
ted in	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).				
ıram	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
P ₂	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.				
	score 1	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.				
	score 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				

Notes:All of reach under bridge or outside LOD. Assessments made to best ability from within LOD.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	_{SCORE} 20	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ding reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
amp	SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing development.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
eva	SCORE 2	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
to be	SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE 5	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE 4	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Notes: All of reach under bridge or outside LOD. Assessments made to best ability from within LOD.

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-N	/M10)				LOCATION	LOCATION Pittsylvania County										
STATION #	R	IVE	RMI	ILE_		STREAM C	CLASS Interm	ittent	t								
LAT 36.895915	_ L	ONC	j -79.	45296		RIVER BA	SIN Banister										
STORET#						AGENCY\	AGENCY VADEQ										
INVESTIGATORS S	В КЕ	}				•			L	TC	NUMBER						
FORM COMPLETED	ВY			DATE 1/27 TIME 11::	7/22 23 am		R	EAS	SON FOR SURVEY Ba	aselin	e A	sses	ssm	ent			
HABITAT TYPES	▮∟	Cob	ble_		%	age of each habitat	Vegetat	ed B	anks	S	%	_%					
SAMPLE COLLECTION	G	ear	used		D-fr	me kick-net											
	Н	ow v	vere	the	samp	es collected?	wading	fi	rom	ban	k from boar	t					
		Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. Cobble Submerged Macrophytes Other (Cobble Submerged Macrophytes Other (Cobble Submerged Macrophytes Submerge															
GENERAL COMMENTS																	
QUALITATIVE I Indicate estimated Dominant					0 = 2		rved, 1 = R		2 =	= C	ommon, 3= Abund		4 =		3	4	
Filamentous Algae					0	1 2 3 4	Mac	roinv	vert	ebr	ates	0	1	2	3	4	
Macrophytes					0	1 2 3 4	Fish					0	1	2	3	4	
FIELD OBSERVA				e:	0 =	Absent/Not Obse nisms), 3= Abun					rganisms), 2 = Con , 4 = Dominant (>5				ıs)		
Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0 1		3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4	
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera			3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4	
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera				4	Trichoptera	0	1	2 2	3	4	
Turbellaria Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera Lepidoptera			3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4	
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae Sialidae			3	4							
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae			<i>3</i>	4							
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0 1		3	4							
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0 1		3	4							
^	0	1	_	9		prarauc	0 1		_								
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0 1	2	3	4 l							
Gastropoda Bivalvia	0	1	2 2	3	4	Simuliidae Tabinidae			3	4							

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Pittsylvania
Stream Name: UNT to Little Cherrystone Creek Stream ID: S-MM10

03010105 Banister HUC Code: Basin:

Survey Date: 1/27/2022 Surveyors: KB SB

Representative Bankfull Type:

T 1	DADTICI E		LE COUNT	Particle	7D 4 1 //	T. 0/	0/ C
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	^	50	50.00	50.00
	Very Fine	.062125		4	25	25.00	75.00
	Fine	.12525	1	4	25	25.00	100.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	4	0	0.00	100.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0	1	A	0	0.00	100.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2	1	•	0	0.00	100.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		•	0	0.00	100.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7	1	•	0	0.00	100.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8	1	•	0	0.00	100.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3	GRAVEL	•	0	0.00	100.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16		•	0	0.00	100.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		•	0	0.00	100.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		•	0	0.00	100.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		^	0	0.00	100.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		•	0	0.00	100.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		•	0	0.00	100.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		•	0	0.00	100.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180		•	0	0.00	100.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		•	0	0.00	100.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		^	0	0.00	100.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	BOULDER	•	0	0.00	100.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024		^	0	0.00	100.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		^	0	0.00	100.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	^	0	0.00	100.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	^	0	0.00	100.00
				Totals:	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: Survey Date: UNT to Little Cherrystone Creek

S-MM10 Representative Bankfull 01/27/2022

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	50 25 25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	50. 00 25. 00 25. 00 0. 00	50. 00 75. 00 100. 00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0. 02 0. 04 0. 06 0. 17 0. 23 0. 25 50 0 0		

Total Particles = 100.

Stream Assessment Form (Form 1) Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia For use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin Impact Impact Project # Project Name (Applicant) Locality HUC SAR# Class _ength **Factor** Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Pittslyvania 22865.06 R4 03010101 8/20/2021 S-MM10 9 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) SAR Length Stream Name and Information Name(s) of Evaluator(s) RH, MB **UNT to Cherrystone Creek** 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Severe Slightly incised, few areas of active Often incised, but less than Severe or Very little incision or active erosion; 80 Overwidened/incised. Vertically / Deeply incised (or excavated) 100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or natural rock, prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars of banks are stable (60-80%). or. Banks more stable than Severe of Poor due to lower bank slopes. laterally unstable. Likely to widen ther. Majority of both banks are ne vertical/lateral instability. Severe ision, flow contained within the bank Channel Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of vertical. Erosion present on 60-80% of Streambed below average rooting depth Condition bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully prominent (60-80%) AND/OR both banks. Vegetative protection on banks. Vegetative protection present majority of banks vertical/undercut. on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may b Vegetative protection present on less Depositional features contribute to developed wide bankfull benches. Mic stability. The bankfull and low flow vertical or undercut. AND/OR to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% of than 20% of banks, is not preventing channels are well defined. Stream likel as access to bankfull benches,or newl transient, contribute instability. erosion. Obvious bank sloughing sent. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100% channel bars and transverse bars few the stream is covered by sediment Transient sediment deposition covers Sediment is temporary / transient in less than 10% of bottom developed floodplains along Deposition that contribute to stability nature, and contributing to instability AND/OR V-shaped channels have AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater than 80% of stream bed is covered by portions of the reach. Transient ediment covers 10-40% of the stream may be forming/present. AND/OR Vdeposition, contributing to instability shaped channels have vegetative vegetative protection is present on > hottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 10% of the hanks and stable sedimen Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow CI to stability. 3 2.4 2 1.6 1 1.60 Scores NOTES>> 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Stream was not found in High Poor: Lawns Low Marginal: Non-maintained. mowed, and the field; however, riparian High Suboptimal Low Suboptimal: High Marginal dense herbaceou maintained areas Low Poor: buffer scores were egetation, riparian reas lacking shrub Impervious surfaces, mine ree stratum (dbh ree stratum (dbh dense herbaceous assigned based on best cropland; actively 3 inches) present 3 inches) present. Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present vegetation with and tree stratum grazed pasture spoil lands. Riparian with 30% to 60% with 30% to 60% professional judgement either a shrub laye hay production, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian parsely vegetated lenuded surfaces tree canopy cove tree canopy cove **Buffers** or a tree layer (dbl non-maintained row crops, active onds, open wate and containing bot and a maintained areas > 3 inches) If present, tree area, recently feed lots, trails, or herbaceous and shrub layers or a nderstory. Rece cutover (dense sent. with <30% stratum (dbh >3 inches) present, seeded and er comparable conditions. abilized, or othe tree canopy cover non-maintained vegetation). with <30% tree comparable understory. canopy cover with condition. maintained understory High Low High Low High Low 1.5 Scores 1.2 1.1 0.85 0.75 0.6 0.5 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below of % Riparian Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Right Bank 1.1 CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Rt Bank CI > 1.10 CI Left Bank Lt Bank CI > 1.10 1.10 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/poo **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Instream Marginal Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically Habitat elements listed above are Available resent in 10-30% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations. abitat elements are typically present sent in 30-50% of the reach and ar lacking or are unstable. Habitat greater than 50% of the reach nts are typically present than 10% of the reach. Cover populations Stream Gradient CI

Scores

1.5

1.2

0.9

0.5

High / Low

0.90

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2								
Project #	Project Name (Applicant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	нис	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)	Pittslyvania	R4	03010101	8/20/2021	S-MM10	9	1
4 CHANNEL	ALTERATION: Stream crossings riprop concre	to gabions or con	arata blacka atrai	abtoning of shapp	al abannalization	ambankmanta a	oil niloo, constrictio	una livaataak

		NOTES>>					
	Negligible	Minor		Moderate		Severe	1
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	the channel		is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in	60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not recovered.	Greater than 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines AND/OR 80% of banks shored with gabion, riprap, or cement.	
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.5	

REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 0.90

CI 0.90

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >>

CR = RCI X L_I X IF

INSERT PHOTOS:


(WSSI Photo Location)





CAPTION. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.

DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER







1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations for S-CC11 were completed on November 5, 2018 and field locations for S-MM10 were completed on February 8, 2022. location survey. Pipeline (MVP).

SURVEY NOTES:

- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).






PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 1

S-CC11 & S-MM10 - UNrystone Creek (MP 294.5)
Pittsylvania County, Virginia

Ssing Cherr

Wetland

Vertical Datum: NAVD 88 Boundary and Topo Source: WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Approved SIH TLK PFS Sheet # 2 of 2

Computer File Name:

2865_03 S-I MP 292-303 Sheets.dwg

Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs