Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Revisit

*Additional information was collected on 2/9/2022.

Reach S-MM29 (Temporary Access Road) Perennial Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope >4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A –No Riffles
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	√ *

Spread I Stream S-MM29 (Access Road) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking SE upstream, CL



Photo Type: DS COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking SW downstream, CL

Spread I Stream S-MM29 (Access Road) Franklin County



Photo Type: LB (Pipe CL does not cross stream)

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On stream left bank looking NE at right streambank, RAH



Photo Type: RB (Pipe CL does not cross stream)

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On right stream bank looking SW at left streambank, RAH

Spread I Stream S-MM29 (Access Road) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking SE upstream, RAH



Photo Type: DS VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking NW downstream, RAH

West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mo	untain Valley Pipeline		COORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.	37.04387	Lon.	-79.822898		WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	8/27	7/2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID / (watershed size {acreage}, t			S-MM29	/131.47 ac			MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./S (watershed size {acreage}			:				Comments:		
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	42	FORM C			OORDINATES: cimal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.			PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		No	Mitigation Length:		
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	Condition (Deb	it)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	ondition - Base	line (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pro Post Completion		'ears		Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (0		rs	Column No. 5- Mitigation Pro	jected at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Peren	nnial	Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:		0	S	Stream Classification:	0		Stream Classification:		0
Percent Stream Channel Slo	•	N/A	Percent Stream Channel SI	•			Percent Stream Channel Sle		0		Percent Stream Channel Slo		0	Percent Stream Chann		0
HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):			HGM Score (attach	data forms):			HGM Score (attach da	ta forms):		HGM Score (attac	n data forms):	
Hudralogy		Average	Hydrology		Average		Hydrology		Average		Hydrology		Average	Hudrology		Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	E	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and I	Biological Indica	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical ar	d Biological Ind	icators		PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Inc	licators	İ	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indica	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical	and Biological Indi	cators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	e Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		P	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all str	ams classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0.20	40	USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0.20			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		L	USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet) 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet 1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	
Embeddedness	0-20	19	Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20			Epilauriai Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20			Epilauriai Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20		Epilauriai Substrate/Available Cover Embeddedness	0-20	
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	17	Pool Variability	0-20			3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20			3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
Sediment Deposition	0-20	15	Sediment Deposition	0-20			Sediment Deposition	0-20		4	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status 6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20 0-1	10 19	Channel Flow Status Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20			Channel Flow Status Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20		5	5. Channel Flow Status 6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20 0-1		Channel Flow Status Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	18	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	18	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	16	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	10	Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & R		
Total RBP Score	Suboptimal	158	Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0
Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Str	0.79 reams)	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Str	eams)		Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial St	reams)		Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Str	reams)	Sub-Total CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intern	nittent and Perennial S	Streams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)				WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			v	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gen	eral)	
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity			S	Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		
<=99 - 90 points	0-90	34.1		0-90				0-90				0-90			0-90	
nH			Нα	1			рН	1		in the	Н			pH		
-	0-80	7.44		5-90 0-1				5-90 0-1				5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1	
6.0-8.0 = 80 points	0-80	7.14		5-90				5-90				5-90			5-90	
DO		2.1	DO				DO				DO			DO		
>5.0 = 30 points	10-30	8.26		10-30				10-30				10-30			10-30	
Sub-Total		1	Sub-Total	1	0		Sub-Total	1	0	S	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial S	Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Perennial	Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ttent and Perenn	ial Streams)	E	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perenni	ial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to In	termittent and Peren	nial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			v	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
0	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1	
Sub-Total	1	0	Sub-Total	1 1	0		Sub-Total	l l	0	S	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0
										_						
PART II - Index and Ur	nit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score			PART II - Index and	Unit Score			PART II - Index and U	nit Score		PART II - Index a	id Unit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score
0.895	42	37.59	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS					
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE	REASON FOR SURVEY			

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now%	storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) %cloud cover clear/sunny	Past 24 hours	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes No Air Temperature0 C Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map	Bride	100/ DOW!	pled (or attach a photograph) Stream Stream
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsy Perennial	y stem Intermittent Tid	al	Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater
	Stream Origi Glacial Non-glacial Swamp and	Spring-fe montane Mixture of	d of origins	Catchment Areakm²

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field/ Agric	Pasture Industria	rcial	Local Watershed NPS Pollution No evidence ☐ Some potential sources Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate Heavy	
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION	Trees	SI SI	hrubs	Ominant species present Grasses Herbaceous	
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	ed Reach Length ed Stream Width g Reach Area km² (m²x1000) ed Stream Depth Velocity m	m m m² km²	Canopy Cover Partly open Partly shaded Shaded High Water Markm Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle % Run% Pool% Channelized Yes No Dam Present Yes No	
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		of LWDm	n ² /km ² (LWD/	reach area)	
AQUATION VEGETA		Roote Floati Domin a	e the dominant type and d emergent Re ng Algae At unt species present of the reach with aquat	ooted submerge tached Algae		
WATER (QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	cature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty trument Used		Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other	
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE Odors Not Che Oth Oils Abs					Relict shells Other	_
INC	ORGANIC SUBS		COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)	
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic % Composition in Sampling Area	
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2			Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritt	y)		Marl	grey, shell fragments	

Silt

Clay

0.004-0.06 mm

< 0.004 mm (slick)

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.				
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).				
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat	Condition Category											
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor								
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.								
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0								
ng reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.								
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0								
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.								
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.								
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.								
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
ĺ	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								

Total	Caama	
i otai	Score	

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATETIME	REASON FOR SURVEY			
HADITAT TYPES Indicate the percentage of	and habitat type present				

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand% Submerged Macrophytes% Other ()%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ()
GENERAL COMMENTS	

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-MM29

Stream Name: UNT to Maple Branch

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/27/2021 Surveyors: RH, CL Type: Representative

т 1	DADTIGI E		LE COUNT	D (1	TC 4 1 //	T4 0/	0/ 0
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cur
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	^	0	0.00	0.00
	Very Fine	.062125		^	13	13.00	13.00
	Fine	.12525	1	+	0	0.00	13.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	+	5	5.00	18.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0]	•	4	4.00	22.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2]	+	0	0.00	22.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		*	0	0.00	22.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		•	2	2.00	24.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8]	•	1	1.00	25.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		•	1	1.00	26.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	+	0	0.00	26.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		•	0	0.00	26.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		•	0	0.00	26.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		•	1	1.00	27.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		•	1	1.00	28.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		•	0	0.00	28.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	COBBLE	•	0	0.00	28.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180		•	1	1.00	29.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		•	1	1.00	30.00
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		•	0	0.00	30.00
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	_	•	0	0.00	30.00
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	•	2	2.00	32.00
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048		•	0	0.00	32.00
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096		•	0	0.00	32.00
	Bedrock		BDRK	*	68	68.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

UNT to Maple Branch S-MM29

River Name: UNT to Maple Bi Reach Name: S-MM29 Sample Name: Representative Survey Date: 08/27/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	0 13 0 5 4 0 0 0 2 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 2 0 1	0.00 13.00 0.00 5.00 4.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00	0.00 13.00 13.00 18.00 22.00 22.00 22.00 24.00 25.00 26.00 26.00 26.00 27.00 28.00 28.00 29.00 30.00 30.00 30.00 32.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.4 Bedrock Bedrock Bedrock Bedrock 0 22 6 2 2		

Total Particles = 100.

Stream Assessment Form (Form 1) Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia or use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin Impact Impact Project # Project Name (Applicant) Locality HUC Date SAR# Class <u>-ength</u> Factor Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R3 03010101 8/27/2021 S-MM29 42 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County Stream Name and Information SAR Length Name(s) of Evaluator(s) 42 CL, RH S-MM29; Spread I; Franklin County 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Conditional Category Optimal Suboptimal Poor Severe Marginal ned/incised. Vertically Deeply incised (or excavated), ery little incision or active erosion; 80 Slightly incised, few areas of active Often incised, but less than Severe o 100% stable banks. Vegetative sion or unprotected banks. Majorit Poor, Banks more stable than Severe laterally unstable. Likely to widen vertical/lateral instability. Severe of banks are stable (60-80%). ncision, flow contained within the or Poor due to lower bank slope: Channel prominent (80-100%), AND/OR Stable Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of near vertical. Erosion present on 60 banks. Streambed below average Condition pankfull benches are present. Acces to their original floodplain or fully both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to banks. Vegetative protection presen on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficier majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less stability. The bankfull and low flow channels are well defined. Stream likely has access to bankfull be vertical or undercut. AND/OR 40-60% Sediment may be temporary transient, contribute instability. than 20% of banks, is not preventing eveloped wide bankfull benches. Mic to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80hannel bars and transverse bars few Transient sediment deposition covers 100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. less than 10% of bottom. benches,or newly developed Deposition that contribute to stability nature, and contributing to instability portions of the reach. Transient sediment covers 10-40% of the may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > stream hottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 10% of the banks and stable sedimer Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow CI to stability. 3 **Scores** 2.4 3.00 NOTES>> Assesment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW. 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Low Marginal: High Poor: Lawn: ow Suboptimal Non-maintained High Suboptima mowed, and Riparian areas **High Marginal** nse herbaceoi aintained area Low Poor: Riparian areas with tree stratum Non-maintained, vegetation, with tree stratum nurseries: no-till Impervious (dbh > 3 inches) lense herbaceou riparian areas cropland: actively (dbh > 3 inches) surfaces mine esent, with 30% to 60% tree vegetation with acking shrub and ree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) presen present, with 309 grazed pasture, spoil lands, Riparian either a shrub tree stratum, hav with > 60% tree canopy cover. to 60% tree parsely vegetate lenuded surfaces anopy cover an a maintained layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 roduction, pond open water. If **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian anopy cover ar row crops, active areas. containing both area, recently feed lots, trails, or understory. Recent cutover inches) present with <30% tree present, tree herbaceous and seeded and other comparable conditions. stratum (dbh >3 shrub layers or a abilized, or othe (dense canopy cover inches) present non-maintained comparable vegetation). with <30% tree understory. condition. canopy cover with maintained High Low High Low High Low 1.5 1.2 0.85 0.6 0.5 Scores 1.1 0.75 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you of % Riparian pelow Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 50% 50% 100% Right Bank 0.75 Score > 0.5 CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 50% 50% 100% Rt Bank CI > 0.63 CI Left Bank Score > 0.85 Lt Bank CI > 0.68 0.65 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; ffle/pool complexes, stable features **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Instream Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically Habitat elements listed above are **Available** present in 30-50% of the reach and Habitat elements are typically preser present in 10-30% of the reach and lacking or are unstable. Habitat in greater than 50% of the reach are adequate for maintenance of are adequate for maintenance of elements are typically present in less Cover than 10% of the reach. populations populations Stream Gradient

Scores

1.5

0.9

0.5

1.2

High / Low

1.50

	St	tream In	npact A	ssessn	nent Fo	rm Page	e 2		
Project #	Project Name (App	Locality	Locality Cowardin Class.		Date	SAR#	Impact length	Impact Factor	
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline Valley Pipeline, L	•		R3	03010101	8/27/2021	S-MM29	42	1
. CHANNE	L ALTERATION: Stream cross	ings, riprap, concr		concrete blocks, st	raightening of cha	annel, channelizati		s, spoil piles, constr	ictions, livestock
	Negligible Mi		Minor Moderate			Sev		NOTES	
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	of the channel	20-40% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If	ou - 50% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not		el alterations listed uidelines AND/OR ored with gabion,		
		1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.	5		
Scores	1.5	1.3	•••			Ψ.	-		
Scores		CONDITION I			NDITION UN	-			

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2) COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >>


CR = RCI X L_I X IF


INSERT PHOTOS:




DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER










SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on February 9, 2022.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.








RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS




PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM ON 02/09/2022





PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING



Crossing (MP 269.

	Ţ						I
Horiz	zontal l	Datı	ım:	NAD	1983 U	TM ZC	NE 1
Verti	cal Da	NA	VD	88			
Boun MVP	dary a	nd T	Горс	So	urce	e:	

WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Approved TLK TLK PFS Sheet # 1 of 1

Computer File Name: Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs