#### **Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes**

# Reach S-MM42 (Pipeline ROW) Ephemeral Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	✓
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	No Flow
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No Flow
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

#### Spread I Stream S-MM42 (Pipeline ROW) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking SW upstream, RAH



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking NE downstream, RAH

### Spread I Stream S-MM42 (Pipeline ROW) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking SE at right streambank, RAH



Photo Type: RB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking NW at left streambank, RAH

#### Spread I Stream S-MM42 (Pipeline ROW) Franklin County



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking SW upstream, RAH



Photo Type: DS VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking NE downstream, RAH

## West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM) Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	Mountain Valley Pipeline			COORDINATES: imal Degrees)	Lat.	37.070703	Lon.	-79.937069	WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	/4/2021	
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID	AND SITE DESC	CRIPTION:	S-MM42/	/19.73 ac			MITIGATION STREAM CLASS	/SITE ID AND	SITE DESCRIPTION:				Comments:	
(watershed size {acreage}	, unaltered or impairm	nents)					(watershed size {acrea							
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	81	FORM OF MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)		ORDINATES: imal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		No	Mitigation Length:	
Column No. 1- Impact Existin	g Condition (Debi	it)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Co	ndition - Baseli	ine (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation F Post Completi		e Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Projection (		ars	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projected at Maturity	/ (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Ephen	neral	Stream Classification:				Stream Classification:	in (Greatt)	0	Stream Classification:	oreun)		Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel S	ope	7.08	Percent Stream Channel Slo	pe			Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	ope	0	Percent Stream Channel Slope	0
HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach d	lata forms):			HGM Score (attac	n data forms	:	HGM Score (attach da	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach data forms):	
	0.20	Average			Average				Average			Average		Average
Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0.32 0.17 0.15	0.21333333	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0		Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Hydrology Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	9119	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	l Biological Indi	cators		PART I - Physical, Chemical a	and Biological	Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indi	cators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological Inc	dicators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Ra	nge Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score	Points Scale Ra	ange Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams of	classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)	)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	_		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover				Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	<del>-                                    </del>		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover     O-20     O-2	
2. Embeddedness	0-20	0	Pool Substrate Characterization     Pool Variability	0-20			2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness 0-20 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-20	
Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20	0	4. Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20			Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20		Velocity/ Depth Regime     Sediment Deposition	0-20 0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime 0-20 4. Sediment Deposition 0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20			5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status 0-20	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	19	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1			6. Channel Alteration	0-20	-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration 0-20	)-1
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	0	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20			7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) 0-20	
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20			8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB) 0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	16	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB) 0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	14	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20			10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) 0-20	
Total RBP Score	Suboptimal	69	Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score Poor	0
Sub-Total		0.575	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial Stre	eams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	and Perennial Stre	eams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Perennia	Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitted	nt and Perennial S	treams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial	Streams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Genera	I)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)				WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gener	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	
Specific Conductivity	T		Specific Conductivity				Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity	
100-199 - 85 points	0-90			0-90				0-90			0-90		0-90	
pH		45	рН		0	]	рН			рН			рН	
5 0 5 0 - 45 mainta	0-80			5-90 0-1		.		5-90	-1		5-90 0-1		5-90	)-1
5.6-5.9 = 45 points			DO		(1)		DO			DO			DO	
	10.00		50	40.00				40.00			10.00		40.00	
	10-30			10-30				10-30			10-30		10-30	
Sub-Total			Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Perennial S	Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial S	Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Per	ennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Peren	nial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Pere	ennial Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		_	WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
_	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1				0-100	-1		0-100 0-1		0-100	D-1
0 Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			ı l	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			[			[	· ·
PART II - Index and U	Jnit Score		PART II - Index and U	Jnit Score			PART II - Index ar	d Unit Score		PART II - Index and U	nit Score		PART II - Index and Unit Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Fe	et Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index Linear Fee	et Unit Score
0.450	81	36.48375	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		ı l				L	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

Version 10-20-17

			J		Headwa Data She		alculato	r			
_		RC/RH	/alloy Directi	20						37.070703	
Ч	roject Name:	UNT to Tee					. L	•	TM Easting: npling Date:	-79.937069 9/4/21	9
s	AR Number:			Length (ft):	74	Stream T	/pe: Enha	meral Strean		J. 1/4 1	_
	Top Strata:		e/Sapling St			d from perc					•
Site	and Timing:	Project Site	<b>.</b>			•	Before Proje	ect.			•
	le Variables			1							
1	V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	Average pe equidistant	ercent cover points alon	over chanr g the strear	nel by tree a m. Measure veen 0 and	only if tree/	sapling cov	er is at leas			31.0 %
	List the per	cent cover i	measureme	nts at each	point below						
	0	90	70	30	80	30	0	0	0	10	
2	V <sub>EMBED</sub>	Average er	nbeddednes	ss of the str	eam channe	el Measure	at no fewer	than 30 ro	uahly equid	istant	
_	EMBED	points alon the surface according t	g the strean and area so o the follow	n. Select a urrounding ing table. It	particle from the particle f the bed is a nposed of be	n the bed. It that is cove an artificial s	Before moving a second	ng it, detern sediment, ar somposed o	nine the per nd enter the	centage of rating	1.0
		Embedded Minshall 19		for gravel, o	cobble and b	ooulder parti	cles (rescal	ed from Pla	tts, Megaha	an, and	Measure at least
		Rating	Rating Des		001/0224 -	rounds-1	buried to 1	ino codi	ot (or he	als)	30 points
		5 4			covered, sur ace covered					CK)	†
		3	26 to 50 pe	rcent of sur	rface covere	d, surround	ed, or burie	d by fine se	diment		Ţ
		1			rface covere covered, su					cial surface)	1
	List the rati	ngs at each			,	,			,		•
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	1	1	1								
					particle size						İ
	0.08 0.08 0.08	0.08 0.08 0.08	0.08 0.08 0.08	0.08 0.08	0.08 0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	
4 mp	V <sub>BERO</sub>	side and th may be up	e total perce to 200%. Left Bank:	entage will l	annel bank. be calculate  oft	d If both ba	nks are ero	oded, total e	rosion for t	ne stream	0 %
5	$V_{LWD}$	stream rea		e number f	ulated.		buffer and v	vithin the ch		0 feet of the amount	0.0
6	$V_{TDBH}$	-			nly if V <sub>CCANOF</sub>	⊳ <sub>Y</sub> tree/sapli				re at least 4	0.0
		•	n measurem		tree DBHs vidual trees		n) within the	buffer on e	ach side of	:	
		uic sucam	Left Side					Right Side			I
7	V <sub>SNAG</sub>		stream, and	I the amour	and 36" tall) nt per 100 fe	•	lculated.			s on each	0.0
			Left Side:		0		Right Side:		0		

9	V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Group 1 in	the tallest st	tratum. Ch		c and invasi	ive species	present in a	ecies preser all strata. Sp		0.00
			p 1 = 1.0	ind the subi	ilidex will be	Calculated	nom these t		2 (-1.0)		
11 .	Acer rubrui			Magnolia t	ripetala		Ailanthus a	•		Lonicera ja	ponica
_	Acer sacch	arum	_	Nyssa sylv	-		Albizia julib	rissin	_	Lonicera ta	
	Aesculus fl	ava	_	Oxydendrun		_	Alliaria peti		_	Lotus corni	culatus
_	Asimina trii		_	Prunus sei			•			Lythrum sa	
_	Betula alleg			Quercus a			Alternanthe philoxeroid				
						1.1	•			Microstegiun	
_	Betula lent		_	Quercus co			Aster tatari		Ш.	Paulownia	
	Carya alba			Quercus in			Cerastium			Polygonum o	
Ш	Carya glab	ra		Quercus p	rinus		Coronilla v	aria	Ш	Pueraria m	ontana
Ш	Carya oval	is	Ш	Quercus ru	ıbra	Ш	Elaeagnus u	mbellata	Ш	Rosa multit	flora
Ш	Carya ovat	а	Ш	Quercus ve	elutina		Lespedeza	bicolor	Ш	Sorghum h	alepense
Ш	Cornus flor	rida	Ш	Sassafras	albidum	Ш	Lespedeza	cuneata	Ш	Verbena br	asiliensis
Ш	Fagus grar	ndifolia	Ш	Tilia ameri	cana		Ligustrum ol	otusifolium			
	Fraxinus ai	mericana		Tsuga can	adensis	Ш	Ligustrum	sinense			
=	Liriodendron	tulipifera		Ulmus ame	ericana						
	Magnolia a	cuminata									
	magnona a										
		0	Species in	Group 1				1	Species in	Group 2	
									r zone withi	n 25 feet fr	om each
	V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	•			equidistan				n. s <4" diamet	er and	
10	DETRITUS				ercent cove					ci aliu	0.33 %
			Left					Side			
		0	0	0		0	0	2			
11	V <sub>HERB</sub>	include woo	ody stems a percentages	t least 4" dl	bh and 36" t	all. Because	e there may	be several	is <20%). It layers of ground v	ound cover	Not Used
		at each sub		Side			Right	Side			
			2011	0.00			rugii	Cido			
Cample	. Vorioble 4	2 within th	o antino ant	abmant of	the streem						
					the stream						
	Variable 1				the stream						0.58
										94 :	0.58
			Average of F	Runoff Scor		hed:			Runoff Score	% in Catch- ment	0.58 Running Percent (not >100)
12	Vwluse	Weighted A	Average of F	Runoff Score	e for waters	hed:				Catch-	Running Percent
12	V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted A	Land I	Cover)	e for waters	hed:			Score 1	Catch- ment	Running Percent (not >100)
12	VwLuse Forest and n Impervious a	Weighted A	Land I	Use (Choos cover)	e for waters	hed:		*	Score 1 0	Catchment 50	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74
12	VwLuse Forest and n Impervious a	Weighted A	Land I	Use (Choos cover)	e for waters	hed:		*	Score 1	Catch- ment	Running Percent (not >100)
12	VwLuse Forest and n Impervious a	Weighted A	Land I	Use (Choos cover)	e for waters	hed:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score 1 0	Catchment 50	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74
12	VwLuse Forest and n Impervious a	Weighted A	Land I	Use (Choos cover)	e for waters	hed:		**************************************	Score 1 0	Catchment 50	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74
12	VwLuse Forest and n Impervious a	Weighted A	Land I	Use (Choos cover)	e for waters	hed:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score 1 0	Catchment 50	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74
12	VwLuse Forest and n Impervious a	Weighted A	Land I	Use (Choos cover)	e for waters	hed:		*	Score 1 0	Catchment 50	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74
12	VwLuse Forest and n Impervious a	Weighted A	Land I	Use (Choos cover)	e for waters	hed:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score 1 0	Catchment 50	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74
12	VwLuse Forest and n Impervious a	Weighted A	Land I	Use (Choos cover)	e for waters	hed:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score 1 0	Catchment 50	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74
12	VwLuse  Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Weighted A ative range (a areas (parking (pasture, lawr	Land I	Use (Choos cover)	e for waters	hed:	2	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Score 1 0	Catchment 50	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74
12	Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Weighted A ative range (2 areas (parking (pasture, lawr	Land I -75% ground lots, roofs, di	Runoff Scorn Use (Choos cover) riveways, etc , grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro	hed:		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Score  1 0 0.3	Catchment 50 24 26	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
12	VwLuse  Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Weighted A ative range (a areas (parking (pasture, lawr	Land I	Use (Choos cover) riveways, etc , grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75%	hed: p List)	pleted usin	g the 2019	Score  1 0 0.3	Catchment 50 24 26  and Cover	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
12	Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Weighted A ative range (2 areas (parking (pasture, lawr	Land I -75% ground lots, roofs, di	Runoff Scorn Use (Choos cover) riveways, etc , grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis	p List)	pleted usin at satellite	g the 2019 imagery a	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other su	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coverapplementa	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc	Forest and n Impervious a Open space	weighted A ative range (2 areas (parking (pasture, lawr  MM42  Value  31 %	Land I  75% ground Hots, roofs, di ns, parks, etc.)  VSI  0.25	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc V <sub>EN</sub>	Forest and n Impervious a Open space	Weighted A ative range (3 areas (parking (pasture, lawn	Land In Factor of Factor o	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other su	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc V <sub>EN</sub>	Forest and n Impervious a Open space	weighted A ative range (2 areas (parking (pasture, lawr  MM42  Value  31 %	Land I  75% ground Hots, roofs, di ns, parks, etc.)  VSI  0.25	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc Ven Vsu	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- striable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE	Weighted A ative range (3 areas (parking (pasture, lawr  MM42  Value  31 %  1.0	VSI 0.25 0.10	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc Ven Vsu Vbe	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	weighted A ative range (s areas (parking (pasture, lawr  MM42  Value  31 %  1.0  0.08 in  0 %	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.04 1.00	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc Ven Vsu	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO	weighted A ative range (3 areas (parking (pasture, lawn  MM42  Value  31 %  1.0  0.08 in	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.04	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc Ven Vsu Vbe	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- striable CANOPY ABED JBSTRATE ERO	weighted A ative range (s areas (parking (pasture, lawr  MM42  Value  31 %  1.0  0.08 in  0 %	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.04 1.00	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc VeN Vsu Vbe VLW VTD	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- striable CANOPY MBED JBSTRATE ERO VD	MM42 Value 31 % 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.00 0.00	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc Ven Vsu Vsu VtD VsN	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- ariable CANOPY MBED JBSTRATE ERO VVD DBH	MM42 Value 31 % 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 0.0	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.10	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc VeN Vsu Vbe VLW VTD	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- ariable CANOPY MBED JBSTRATE ERO VVD DBH	MM42 Value 31 % 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.00 0.00	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Va Vcc Ven Vsu Vsu VtD VsN	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- striable CANOPY MBED JBSTRATE ERO VD JBH MAG SD	MM42 Value 31 % 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 0.0	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.10	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Valves Volument Valves Volument Valves Valve	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- ariable CANOPY MBED JBSTRATE ERO VD JBBH NAG SD RICH	MM42 Value 31 % 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.00	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.10 Not Used 0.00	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Valves Ven Vsu Vss Vss Vss Vss Vss Vss Vss Vss Vss	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO VD DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	MM42 Value 31 % 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.00 0.3 %	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.00 0.00 Not Used 0.00 0.00	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100
Value Volume Value Volume Value Valu	Forest and n Impervious a Open space  S- ariable CANOPY MBED UBSTRATE ERO VD DBH NAG SD RICH ETRITUS	MM42 Value 31 % 1.0 0.08 in 0 % 0.0 Not Used 0.00	VSI 0.25 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.10 Not Used 0.00	Use (Choose Cover)  riveways, etc., grass cover	e for watersi se From Dro ) >75% er Analysis ((NLCD), fi Watershed	p List) s was compon Lands I boundarie	pleted usin at satellite es are base	g the 2019 imagery a ed off of fie	Score  1 0 0.3  9 National L nd other sueld delineat	Catchment 50 24 26  and Coveripplementated stream	Running Percent (not >100) 50 74 100

Ver. 10-20-17

#### FCI Calculator for the High-Gradient Headwater Streams in Appalachia

To ensure accurate calculations, the <u>UPPERMOST STRATUM</u> of the plant community is determined based on the calculated value for V<sub>CCANOPY</sub> (≥20% cover is required for tree/sapling strata). Go to the SAR Data Entry tab and enter site characteristics and data in the yellow cells. For information on determining how to split a project into SARs, see Chapter 5 of the Operational Draft Regional Guidebook for the Functional Assessment of High-Gradient Headwater Streams and Low-Gradient Perennial Streams in Appalachia (Environmental Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2017).

**Project Name:** Mountain Valley Pipeline **Location:** UNT to Teels Creek Spread I

Sampling Date: 9/4/21 Project Site Before Project

Subclass for this SAR:

**Ephemeral Stream** 

Uppermost stratum present at this SAR: SAR number: S-MM42

Tree/Sapling Strata

Functional Results Summary: Enter Results in Section A of the Mitigation Sufficiency Calculator

Function	Functional Capacity Index
Hydrology	0.32
Biogeochemical Cycling	0.17
Habitat	0.15

#### Variable Measure and Subindex Summary:

Variable	Name	Average Measure	Subindex
V <sub>CCANOPY</sub>	Percent canpoy over channel.	31.00	0.25
$V_{\text{EMBED}}$	Average embeddedness of channel.	1.00	0.10
V <sub>SUBSTRATE</sub>	Median stream channel substrate particle size.	0.08	0.04
V <sub>BERO</sub>	Total percent of eroded stream channel bank.	0.00	1.00
$V_{LWD}$	Number of down woody stems per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>TDBH</sub>	Average dbh of trees.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>SNAG</sub>	Number of snags per 100 feet of stream.	0.00	0.10
V <sub>SSD</sub>	Number of saplings and shrubs per 100 feet of stream.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>SRICH</sub>	Riparian vegetation species richness.	0.00	0.00
V <sub>DETRITUS</sub>	Average percent cover of leaves, sticks, etc.	0.33	0.00
V <sub>HERB</sub>	Average percent cover of herbaceous vegetation.	Not Used	Not Used
V <sub>WLUSE</sub>	Weighted Average of Runoff Score for Catchment.	0.58	0.61

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS					
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY			

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now Past 24 hours Yes No  storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) % coloud cover clear/sunny  Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days?  Yes No  Air Temperature O C  Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Dense Vegetation We have stated and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)  Dense Vegetation We have the state of the sampled of the sampled (or attach a photograph)  TIMBER MAT BRIDGE
OTEN AND	Lod
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Tidal Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater  Stream Origin Glacial Spring-fed Non-glacial montane Mixture of origins Swamp and bog Other

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSI FEATURE		Fores Field Agric	Pasture Industria	cial	Local Watershed NPS  No evidence □ Sor  Obvious sources  Local Watershed Erosi  None Moderate	ne potential sources
RIPARIAT VEGETAT (18 meter l	ΓΙΟΝ	Trees	e the dominant type and Sh ant species present	ırubs	Grasses He	rbaceous
INSTREA FEATURE		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	km² (m²x1000)  red Stream Depth  Velocity  m	m m² km² m	Canopy Cover Partly open Part  High Water Mark  Proportion of Reach R Morphology Types Riffle % Pool	epresented by Stream  Run%  No
LARGE W DEBRIS	VOODY		m² of LWDm	<sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> ( <b>LWD</b> / 1	reach area)	
AQUATIC VEGETAT		Roote Floati <b>Domina</b>	e the dominant type and d emergent Ro ng Algae Att ant species present of the reach with aquati	oted submerge tached Algae	nt Rooted floating	Free floating
WATER O Not en water sampl	nough to	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	rature0 C c Conductance ed Oxygen sty strument Used		Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Fishy  Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Other  Turbidity (if not measu Clear ☐ Slightly tu Opaque Stained	Chemical Other  Globs Flecks
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils Abser		Petroleum None	are the undersides blac	Paper fiber Sand Other h are not deeply embedded, k in color?
INO		STRATE dd up to 1	COMPONENTS 100%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add	
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area

INC	ORGANIC SUBSTRATE ( (should add up to 1		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)					
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area			
Bedrock			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant				
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			materials (CPOM)				
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic				
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")			(FPOM)				
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments				
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm							
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)							

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat	Condition Category												
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor									
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.									
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0									
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.									
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0									
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.									
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.									
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.									
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									
ĺ	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0									

Total	Caare	
i otai	Score	

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME	LOCATION					
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN					
STORET#	AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER				
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATETIME	REASON FOR SURVEY				
HADITAT TYPES Indicate the percentage of	and habitat type present					

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand%  Submerged Macrophytes% Other ( )%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other  How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.  Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )
GENERAL COMMENTS	

#### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

#### WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-MM42

Stream Name: UNT to Teels Creek

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 9/4/2021 Surveyors: RC/RH Type: Representative

			LE COUNT				
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cu
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>A</b>	100	100.00	100.0
	Very Fine	.062125		•		0.00	100.0
	Fine	.12525		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
	Medium	.255	SAND	<b>^</b>		0.00	100.0
	Coarse	.50-1.0		<b>^</b>		0.00	100.0
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		<u> </u>		0.00	100.0
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		<b>^</b>		0.00	100.0
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		<b>^</b>		0.00	100.0
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
.3144	Medium 8 -11.3		_	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32	_	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>^</b>		0.00	100.0
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		<b>^</b>		0.00	100.0
0.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium 512 - 1024		BOULDER	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	7	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	7	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		

#### RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: UNT to Teels Creek Reach Name: S-MM42 Sample Name: Representative 09/04/2021

Size (mm)	тот #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	100.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.01 0.02 0.03 0.05 0.06 0.06 100 0		

Total Particles = 100.

#### **Ephemeral Stream Assessment Form (Form 1a)** Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia For use in ephemeral streams Cowardin Impact HUC Project # **Project Name** Locality Date SAR# Class length Factor Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R6 03010101 9/4/21 S-MM42 81 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County Name(s) of Evaluator(s) Stream Name and Information SAR Length RC/RH **UNT to Teels Creek** 81 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Assessment is limited to Low Marginal: ligh Poor: Lawr areas within the Non-maintained High Suboptima ow Suboptimal mowed, and High Marginal: lense herhaceoi temporary ROW." Riparian areas Riparian areas naintained area Low Poor: Non-maintained vegetation, with tree stratum with tree stratum nurseries: no-till Impervious ense herbaceou riparian areas (dbh > 3 inches) (dbh > 3 inches) cropland: actively surfaces mine lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay vegetation with present, with 30% to 60% tree Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present resent, with >30° grazed pasture spoil lands, Riparian either a shrub with > 60% tree canopy cover and an non-maintained understory. Wetlands tree canopy cover and a maintained parsely vegetate enuded surfaces layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 oroduction, ponds open water. If **Buffers** nopy cover and row crops, active feed lots, trails, or containing both understory. area, recently inches) present present, tree herbaceous and shrub layers or a Recent cutover (dense seeded and other comparable conditions. with <30% tree stratum (dbh >3 abilized, or oth canopy cover inches) present, non-maintained vegetation). comparable with <30% tree understory condition. canopy cover wit maintained Low Low High High Low High Condition 1.5 1.2 1.1 0.85 0.75 0.6 0.5 Scores 1. Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you of % Riparian 3. Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 70% 100% % Riparian Area> 30% Right Bank Score > 0.5 0.85 CI= (Sum % RA \* Scores\*0.01)/2 30% 60% 100% CI 10% Rt Bank CI > 0.75 % Riparian Area> Left Bank 0.85 0.75 Lt Bank CI > 0.74 0.75 REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number. THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 0.38


RCI= (Riparian CI)/2
COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >>


CR = RCI X LF X IF

31


**INSERT PHOTOS:** 









CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-MM42 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)											
	PR	E-CROSSING		POST-C	ROSSING						
DT LOC	NODTHING	FACTINIC	F1 F) /	VERT.	HORZ.						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DIFF.	DIFF.						
TS-L	13462079.36	1950403.29	1096.95								
BS-L	13462078.08	1950404.72	1096.29								
THW	13462076.51	1950406.27	1096.29								
BS-R	13462075.42	1950407.58	1096.40								
TS-R	13462074.57	1950408.13	1096.51								




### SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on March 22, 2019.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).







Wetland

260.

-MM42



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM TO THE NORTHEAST ON 03/22/2019



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM TO THE WEST-NORTHWEST ON 03/22/2019

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

REVISIONS

No. Date Description

Substitute of the language of

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZON
Vertical Datum: NAVD 88

Boundary and Topo Source:
MVP
WSSI 2' C.I. Topo

WSSI 2' C.I. Topo

Design Draft Approved

EJC JSF NAS

Sheet #

1 of 1

Computer File Name:
C:WSSI-L\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs