Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-MM48 (Timber Mat Crossing) Perennial Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope >4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	✓
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

Spread I Stream S-MM48 (Timber Mat) Franklin County



Photo Type: US VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOC looking NW upstream, VM



Photo Type: DS COND DS

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOC looking SE downstream, VM

Spread I Stream S-MM48 (Timber Mat) Franklin County



Photo Type: LB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking NE at left streambank, VM



Photo Type: RB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking NW at right streambank, VM

Spread I Stream S-MM48 (Timber Mat) Franklin County



Photo Type: US COND Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOC looking NE upstream, VM





Photo Type: DS VIEW
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOC looking SW downstream, VM

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)		Mountain	Valley Pipeline	IMPACT COORDINATES:	Lat.	36.979223	Lon.	-79.684192	WEATHER:	Sunny	DATE:		
(vz.1, oept 2015)				(in Decimal Degrees)								8/25/2	021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID			S-MM48	3; 40.57 ac		MITIGATION STREAM CLASS					Comments:		
(watershed size (acreage),	, unaltered or impairmen	nts)				(watershed size {acrea	ge), unaltered	or impairments)					
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	25	FORM OF		MIT COORDINATES:	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:	No	Mitigation Length:		
		MITIGATION:	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)	(in Decimal Degrees)									
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	g Condition (Debit)		Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing C	Condition - Baseline (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation I Post Completi		Five Years	Column No. 4- Mitigation Proje Post Completion (G		Column No. 5- Mitigation Project	ed at Maturity (Cr	redit)
Stream Classification:	Perenni	al	Stream Classification:			Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0	Stream Classification:	0	
Percent Stream Channel SI	lope	3.77	Percent Stream Channel Sle	оре		Percent Stream Channel	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel Slo	оре 0	Percent Stream Channel S	lope	0
HGM Score (attach d	lata forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attac	h data forn	ns):	HGM Score (attach da	nta forms):	HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):	
		Average		Average				Average		Average			Averag
Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology			Hydrology		Hydrology		
Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0		Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat	0	Biogeochemical Cycling Habitat		0
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicato	irs	PART I - Physical, Chemical an	d Biological Indicators		PART I - Physical, Chemical	and Biologic	al Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and I	Biological Indicators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indica	itors
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score			Points Scale	Range Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classificatio	ns)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)	
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)		
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	10	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	
2. Embeddedness 3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	13	Pool Substrate Characterization Pool Variability	0-20		Embeddedness Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20 0-20		Embeddedness Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	Embeddedness Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20	
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20	16	Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity Depart Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20		Velocity Departregime Sediment Deposition	0-20	Velocity Depart regime Sediment Deposition	0-20	
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0.1	10	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	0.1	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20	5. Channel Flow Status	0-20 0-1	
6. Channel Alteration	0-20	10	6. Channel Alteration	0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20	6-1	6. Channel Alteration	0-20	6. Channel Alteration	0-20	
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	15	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	
B. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	12	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	10	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Marginal	112	Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	Poor 0		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score	0-20 Por	. 0	 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score 	0-20 Poor 0	 Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB) Total RBP Score 	0-20 Poor	_
Sub-Total	Marginai	0.56	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total	PO	0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	Poor	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Stream	ns)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Perennial Streams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitt	ent and Peren	ial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Streams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitter	nt and Perennial Stres	ams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	ŋ		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Gener	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	1	WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	
Specific Conductivity	_		Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		Specific Conductivity		
<=99 - 90 points	0-90	73.8		0-90			0-90			0-90		0-90	
pH			pH			pH			pH		pH		
	0-80	6.87		5-90 0-1			5-90	0-1		5-90 0-1		5-90 0-1	
6.0-8.0 = 80 points													
DO			DO			DO			DO		DO	T	
>5.0 = 30 points	10-30	7.29	1	10-30			10-30			10-30		10-30	
Sub-Total		1	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total	•	0
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	ttent and Perennial Street	ams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Streams)		BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and F	erennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial Streams)	BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennia	al Streams)
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)		
VCd	0-100 0-1	79.1	1	0-100 0-1			0-100	0-1		0-100 0-1		0-100 0-1	
Very Good Sub-Total		0.791	Sub-Total	0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0	Sub-Total		0
						u							
PART II - Index and U	Jnit Score		PART II - Index and	Unit Score		PART II - Index a	nd Unit Scor	Ð	PART II - Index and U	nit Score	PART II - Index and L	Init Score	
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score		Index	Linear	Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Scor
0.784	25 1	9.5916667	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
				1 1 -									

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-MM48	1	LOCATION Franklin County	LOCATION Franklin County					
STATION#RI	IVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Perennial						
LAT <u>36.979223</u> LO	ONG79.684192	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanol	ke					
STORET#		AGENCY VADEQ						
INVESTIGATORS AJ, VM	1							
FORM COMPLETED BY	AJ, VM	DATE 8/25/2021 TIME 830	REASON FOR SURVEY Baseline Assessment					
WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now storm	Past 24 hours (heavy rain)	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes No					



PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		✓ Fores: ✓ Field/ ☐ Agric ☐ Resid	ultural ential	Commer Industria Other	rcial al		Local Watershed NPS I ✓ No evidence Son Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosi ✓ None Moderate	on Heavy			
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION		Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Trees Grasses Herbaceous Dominant species present Sycamore, American Beech, rose, jewel weed, canary grass, smartweed, false nettle, willows, daisies								
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	ed Reach Leng ed Stream Wid ng Reach Area km² (m²x1000) ed Stream Dep Velocity	11.5 10.5 11.5 11.5 10.02	m m² km² m	_ , , _	Run <u>₃₀</u> %				
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY	LWD Density	of LWD _		² /km ² (LWD / 1	reach	area)				
AQUATIO VEGETA		Indicate Roote Floati Domina	Free floating								
WATER (QUALITY	Conductance_ed Oxygen 7.23us by 6.887 ds ty trument Used	s/7.29ds mg/L				Globs Flecks				
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other Oils		erobic	Petroleum None	se		Otherh are not deeply embedded,			
INC	STRATE (COMPONENT 00%)	ΓS			GANIC SUBSTRATE Colors not necessarily add					
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composi Sampling l		Substrate Type		Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area			
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	ı			Detritus		xs, wood, coarse plant erials (CPOM)				
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2	2.5")	70 10		Muck-Mud	(FPC	k, very fine organic OM)				
Sand Silt Clay	0.06-2mm (gritt 0.004-0.06 mm < 0.004 mm (sli	10		Marl	grey	r, shell fragments					

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME S-MM48	LOCATION Franklin County				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS Perennial				
LAT <u>36.979223</u> LONG <u>-79.684192</u>	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke				
STORET#	AGENCY VADEQ				
INVESTIGATORS AJ, VM					
FORM COMPLETED BY AJ, VM	DATE 8/25/2021 REASON FOR SURVEY TIME 830 AM PM Baseline Assessment				

	Habitat		Condition	Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.		
	SCORE 10	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.		
ted in	SCORE 13	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).		
ıram	SCORE 8	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
P ₂	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
	SCORE 16	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
	SCORE 10	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condi	ion Category			
	Habitat Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.		
	SCORE 10	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 1	1 10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided the width of the stream between 7 to 15.		Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.		
samp	score 15	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 1	1 10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing deventagem.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	areas of erosion; high erosion potential during	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.		
eva	SCORE 6	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
to be	SCORE 6	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one clas of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potent to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- al half of the potential plant	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.		
	SCORE 5	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.		
	SCORE 4	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
I	SCORE 4	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		

Total Score 112

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME S-N	LOCATION	LOCATION Franklin County															
STATION #	R	IVE	RMI	ILE_		STREAM C	STREAM CLASS Perennial										
LAT 36.979223	_ L	ONO	j -79.	68419	2	RIVER BAS	RIVER BASIN Upper Roanoke										
STORET#	AGENCY V	AGENCY VADEQ															
INVESTIGATORS K	B an	d T(2			•				I	LOT	NUMBER					
FORM COMPLETED	ЭBY	K	В			DATE 79/09/ TIME 1:30				I	REAS	SON FOR SURVEY B	aselin	ie A	sses	ssm	ent
HABITAT TYPES	✓	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present ✓ Cobble 100 % Snags % Vegetated Banks % Sand % Submerged Macrophytes % Other ()%															
SAMPLE	G	ear	used	Г	lD-fi	ame ✓ kick-net		По	ther								
COLLECTION						_						_					
	Н	ow v	vere	the	samp	oles collected?	wadin	g	_	fror	n ban	k from boa	.t				
	✓	Cob	ble 4			r of jabs/kicks taken Snags ophytes	\square V	eget	oitat ated other	Ban	ks	Sand)					
GENERAL	4	kic	ks	do	ne	in riffle habita	ats.										
COMMENTS																	
Dominant Periphyton		und	anco	e:	0	1 2 3 4		Sliı	nes			ommon, 3= Abuno	0	1	2		4
Filamentous Algae						1 2 3 4				nve	rtebr	ates		1		_	4
Macrophytes					0	1 2 3 4		Fis	h				0	1	2	3	4
	l abı	und	anc	e:	0 = org	Absent/Not Obser anisms), 3= Abund	dant (>	>10	org	anis	sms)	rganisms), 2 = Coi , 4 = Dominant (>:	50 or	rgan	ism		
Porifera	0	1	2			Anisoptera	0	1	2		4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	_1_		3	4						

Mountain Valley Pipeline Data are not adjusted for subsampling



	Sample ID Collection Date	S-MM48 09-09-2021
ORDER	GENUS/SPECIES	COUNT
Ephemeroptera	Acentrella sp.	1
Ephemeroptera		1
Ephemeroptera		2
Ephemeroptera		1
	Diphetor hageni	1
Ephemeroptera		2 5
	Eurylophella sp.	
Ephemeroptera		2
	Maccaffertium sp.	2
	Teloganopsis deficiens	1
	Eccoptura xanthenes	2 7
·	Leuctra sp.	2
	Agarodes sp. Cheumatopsyche sp.	2
	Diplectrona sp.	8
1		1
· ·	Heteroplectron sp.	
1	Hydropsyche sp.	1
	Hydroptila sp.	6
	Psilotreta sp.	5 1
	Pycnopsyche sp.	1
	Rhyacophila sp. Calopterygidae	1
	Cordulegaster sp.	3
	Anchytarsus bicolor	4
-	Ectopria sp.	4
1	Helichus sp.	1
-	•	
· ·	Neoporus sp.	1
-	Oulimnius sp.	4
Coleoptera	Psephenus sp.	14
Coleoptera	Stenelmis sp.	2
Diptera-Chironomidae	Cricotopus sp.	3
Diptera-Chironomidae	Limnophyes sp.	1
Diptera-Chironomidae	Microtendipes sp.	2
Diptera-Chironomidae	Paracladopelma sp.	3
Diptera-Chironomidae	·	1
Diptera-Chironomidae		1
Diptera-Chironomidae	'	17
· ·	· ·	
Diptera-Chironomidae	-	1
Diptera-Chironomidae		1
Diptera-Chironomidae		6
Diptera-Chironomidae Diptera-Chironomidae		1
Diptera-Chironomidae		3
Diptera-Chironomidae		4
	Thienemannimyia gr. sp.	4
	Ceratopogoninae	5
1	Dicranota sp.	1
Distant.	Dixa sp.	1
	Dolichopodidae	1
	Ephydridae	2 2
	Hexatoma sp.	2
	Pilaria sp.	1
	Simulium sp.	3
Lepidoptera		2
Annelida		3
	tubificoid Naididae w/o cap setae	4
	Sphaeriidae Cambarus sp.	1
Other Organisms	·	2
Citici Organisms	TOTAL	167

^{*}Not comparable due to insufficient number of individuals, thus WVSCI score was not reported on SWVM form.

Mountain Valley Pipeline WV SCI Metrics



Sample ID Collection Date	
WVSCI Metric Values Total taxa EPT taxa % EPT % Chironomidae % 2 Dominant HBI	34 15 32.3 29.3 40.1 4.72
WVSCI Metric Scores Total taxa EPT taxa EPT Chironomidae 2 Dominant HBI	161.9 115.4 35.2 71.4 93.6 74.4
WVSCI Metric Scores Total taxa EPT taxa % EPT % Chironomidae % 2 Dominant HBI	100.0 100.0 35.2 71.4 93.6 74.4
WVSCI Total Score	79.1

WVSCI Thresholds

Unimpaired = > 68.00 Gray Zone = 60.61 to 68.00 Impaired = <60.61

WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-MM48

Stream Name: UNT to Little Jacks Creek

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/25/2021
Surveyors: AJ VM
Type: Representative

т 1	DADELCI E		LE COUNT	L D	70 1. //	I T. 0/	0/ 0
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	*	10	10.00	10.00
	Very Fine	.062125		A		0.00	10.00
	Fine	.12525		*	7	7.00	17.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	*	3	3.00	20.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		A		0.00	20.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		*		0.00	20.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		*		0.00	20.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7]	*		0.00	20.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		A		0.00	20.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3]	^		0.00	20.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	^		0.00	20.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		^	5	5.00	25.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		▲	4	4.00	29.00
.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45]	^	1	1.00	30.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64	7	^		0.00	30.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		^	28	28.00	58.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128		A	32	32.00	90.00
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	^	8	8.00	98.00
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	1	A	2	2.00	100.0
0.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		A		0.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	A		0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	A		0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	A		0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	A		0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	A		0.00	100.0
			1	Totals:	100		

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

River Name: UNT to Little Jacks Creek Reach Name: S-MM48 Representative 08/25/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	10 0 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 4 1 0 28 32 8 2 0 0 0	10.00 0.00 7.00 3.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 5.00 4.00 1.00 0.00 28.00 32.00 8.00 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	10.00 10.00 17.00 20.00 30.00 30.00 58.00 90.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.23 68.64 82.57 120.88 160.5 256 10 10 70		

Total Particles = 100.

Stream Assessment Form (Form 1) Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia le channels classified as intermittent or perennia Cowardin **Impact** Impact Project # **Project Name (Applicant)** Locality HUC Date SAR# Class Length **Factor** Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R3 03010101 8/25/2021 S-MM48 25 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County Name(s) of Evaluator(s) Stream Name and Information **SAR Length UNT to Little Jacks Creek** 82 AJ, VM 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Optimal Suboptimal Poor Severe Marginal Slightly incised, few areas of active Often incised, but less than Severe or Very little incision or active erosion; 80-Overwidened/incised. Vertically / Deeply incised (or excavated), 100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or natural rock, prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable point bars / sion or unprotected banks. Majority of banks are stable (60-80%). r. Banks more stable than Severe of laterally unstable. Likely to wid vertical/lateral instability. Severe Poor due to lower bank sl Majority of both banks are ne sion, flow contained within the bank Channel Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of vertical. Erosion present on 60-80% of Streambed below average rooting depth Condition both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to banks. Vegetative protection present on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less developed wide bankfull benches. Midstability. The bankfull and low flow vertical or undercut. AND/OR to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% of than 20% of banks, is not preventing channel bars and transverse bars few. Transient sediment deposition covers less than 10% of bottom. channels are well defined. Stream like as access to bankfull benches,or new 40-60% Sediment may be temporary transient, contribute instability. the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in erosion. Obvious bank sloughing sent. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100% developed floodplains along Deposition that contribute to stability nature, and contributing to instability AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater portions of the reach. Transient liment covers 10-40% of the stream may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. bottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 40% of the banks and stable sediment Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow to stability. CI 3 2.40 Scores 2.4 NOTES>> 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Low Marginal: Non-maintained High Poor: Lawns High Suboptima Low Suboptimal Low Poor: High Marginal dense herbaceous maintained areas Riparian areas wit Riparian areas with egetation, ripariar reas lacking shrub Impervious surfaces, mine Non-maintained nurseries: no-till ree stratum (dbh ree stratum (dbh : ense herbaceo cropland; actively 3 inches) present, 3 inches) present Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present vegetation with and tree stratum grazed pasture, spoil lands, Riparian with 30% to 60% with 30% to 60% hay production, onds, open wate If present, tree either a shrub laye or a tree layer (dbl parsely vegetated non-maintained with > 60% tree canopy cover. enuded surfaces tree canopy cover and containing bot tree canopy cover and a maintained **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian row crops, active areas. > 3 inches) area, recently feed lots, trails, or herbaceous and inderstory. Recer cutover (dense resent, with <30% stratum (dbh >3 seeded and other comparable shrub layers or a tree canopy cover. inches) present, with <30% tree stabilized, or othe conditions non-maintained vegetation). comparable understory. canopy cover with condition. understory. High Low High Low High Low 1.5 0.85 0.5 Scores 1.2 1.1 0.75 0.6 1. Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below of % Riparian Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below Blocks equal 100 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Right Bank 0.85 Score > CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 100% 100% Rt Bank CI > 0.85 CI Left Bank 0.85 Score > 0.85 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embeddeness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/pool complexes, stable features **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Instream Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Habitat elements listed above are Available labitat elements are typically present resent in 30-50% of the reach and are esent in 10-30% of the reach and are lacking or are unstable. Habitat greater than 50% of the reach adequate for maintenance of adequate for maintenance of nents are typically present in less than 10% of the reach. Cover populations populations Stream Gradient CI

Scores

1.5

0.9

0.5

High / Low

0.90

1.2

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2												
Project #	Project Name (Appl	licant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	нис	Date	SAR#	Impact Length	Impact Factor			
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline Valley Pipeline, L	,	Franklin County	R3	03010101	8/25/2021	S-MM48	25	1			
4. CHANNEL	. ALTERATION: Stream crossing	gs, riprap, concret	e, gabions, or con	ıcrete blocks, strai	ghtening of chann	el, channelization,	embankments, s	poil piles, constriction	ons, livestock			
				al Category				NOTES>>				
	Negligible	Mir	Conditiona nor	Mode	erate	Sev	ere	NOTES>>				
Channel Alteration		Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel	20-40% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel		60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel	Greater than 80% o by any of the chann in the parameter g 80% of banks sh riprap, or	f reach is disrupted el alterations listed uidelines AND/OR ored with gabion,					

REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 1.09

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >> 27

CR = RCI X L_i X IF


INSERT PHOTOS:





 ${\color{red}{\sf CAPTION}}. \ {\rm Assessment} \ {\rm is} \ {\rm limited} \ {\rm to} \ {\rm areas} \ {\rm within} \ {\rm the} \ {\rm temporary} \ {\rm ROW}.$

DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:


PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER








CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-MM48 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)										
	PR	E-CROSSING		POST-CROSSING						
PT. LOC.	NODTHING	FACTING	ELEV	VERT.	HORZ.					
	NORTHING	EASTING		DIFF.	DIFF.					
TS-L	13429689.58	2024637.77	851.11							
BS-L	13429691.58	2024635.10	849.52							
THW	13429692.58	2024633.84	849.39							
BS-R	13429693.37	2024632.73	849.90							
TS-R	13429699.40	2024627.17	852.18							





SURVEY NOTES:


- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on November 14, 2018.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).



PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS







NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.