	Stream Biological Conditions EA Report							
Р	Project Name H-600 Pipeline		line Spread B	AFE 12430013	0 Spread	H-600 Pipeline	00 Pipeline Spread B	
	Contractor	Precision			Report # 2	217		
Enviro	nmental Auditor	Elyse Johns	ston	Date/Time 9/5/2023 10			9 AM	
Stre	eam ID S-VV12	2	Crossing Start D	ate 9/27/2023	Crossing Completi	i on Date 9/3	n Date 9/30/2023	
Mi	Milepost 61.43		Pre-Con Assessment D	ate 9/5/2023	Post-Con Assessment Date 9/3		0/2023	
Ś	Station 3243+29		Bankfull Width (f t.) 12.0	Riffle:Pool Complexes Present?		No	
State ₩V			Stream Classification	Stream Classification Perennial				
C	County Lewis		303(d) Impairment List	303(d) Impairment Listing N/A				
			Resource Post-Cr	ossing Condition	ons			
1	Were all applicable resource specific crossing conditions satisfied?			N/A				
	Time of Year	r Restrictio	ns (TOYR)? <u>N/A</u> Mussel	Relocation? <u>N</u>	<u>/A</u>			
2	This questior	This question is not applicable in WV.						
3	Which crossing methods were utilized during the stream crossing? (If so select one or more) Dam & Pump X Flume Cofferdam Conventional Bore Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Bore							
4	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of streambed substrate segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?				Yes			
5	Was excess material not needed for backfill removed and disposed of in an upland area? Yes					Yes		
6	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native stream substrate?					Yes		
7	Was the pre-construction survey data utilized during restoration in attempt to re-establish pre- construction contours?					Yes		
8	Were any field modifications to the stream implemented by project or regulatory personnel to address potential drainage or bank restoration limitations?				No			
9	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of top-of-bank to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?				Yes			
10	Was permanent seed and stabilization material (straw or matting) applied to riparian areas and stream banks prior to re-establishing flow to the impact area of the channel?				Yes			
11	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?				Yes			
12	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?				Yes			
13	Are bareroot saplings required and/or scheduled to be planted for the dormant season (10/1 - 4/30)? N/A							
14	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.					No		
	Biological Conditions Pre-Con F					Post-Con		
15	Predominant Substrate Type (select one):Bedrock, Boulder (>10"), Cobble (2-10"), Gravel (0.1-2"), Sand (2-10") (<0.1"), Mud/Silt/Clay				Cobble (2-10")			
16	Channel Conditions:Rating: 1-Optimal (80-100% stable banks), 2-Sub-optimal (60-80% stable banks), 3- Marginal (40-60% stable banks), 4-Poor (20-40% stable banks), 5-Severe (0-20% stable banks, highly eroded or unvegetated banks 1				5			
17	Riparian Buffer Zone within ROW and ≤50 ft. from Stream Top-of-Bank: Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Sub-optimal (30-60% mixed vegetated coverage), 3-Marginal (<30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetated coverage, etc.)				4			

AFE	124300130	300130 Date/Time 9/5/2023 10:09 AM Repo		ort #	t # 217			
	Biol	ogical Conditions Cor	ntinued		Pi	re-Con	Post-Con	
18	Instream Habitat Conditions: Examples: Varied substrate sizes, varied combination of water velocities & depths, presence of woody/leafy debris, stable substrate with low amount of mobile particles, low embeddedness, shade protection, undercut banks, root mats, Varied combination of water velocities, submerged aquatic vegetation Rating: 1-Optimal (Habitat conditions present in >50% of resource), 2-Suboptimal (Habitat conditions in 30-50% of resource), 3-Marginal (Habitat conditions in 10-30% of resource), 4-Poor (Habitat conditions in 0-10% of resource)					1	1	
19	Channel Alterations: Examples: Straightened channel, non-MVP stream crossings, non-native riprap/rock along banks, concrete/gabions/concrete block, manmade embankments, constrictions w/in channel, livestock or agricultural impacts Rating: 1-Negligible (unaltered/natural stream), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource disrupted by channel alterations), 3-Moderate (40-80% of resource disrupted), 4-Severe (>80% of resource disrupted)					1	1	
		Addition	al Notes					
9/5 Pre The de with mi conduc have in	9/5 Pre-construction assessment The delineated stream at the area of the stream crossing flows roughly east to west and is an unnamed tributary of Second Big Run with minimal indications of erosion and well vegetated banks. Cobble substrate throughout. The pre-construction assessment was conducted on 9/5/2023 prior to the contractor beginning work on sheet piling installation in the adjacent wetland areas that might have impeded photos and accuracy of the pre-construction assessment E. Johnston							
9/27 Th the flow until flo comple	9/27 The contractor installed the dam and submersible pump along with associated silt counter measures. However, infiltration of the flow of the stream was observed underneath the cobbles and pebbles. Therefore, the pump was placed at differing locations until flow was not observed within the impacted stream area. Sheet piling installation within the stream was conducted but not complete C. Calmindon							
9/28 SI designa pipe, a	9/28 Sheet piling in the stream was completed. The contractor removed one foot of stream substrate, which was stockpiled in a designated location within an upland area. The contractor then excavated the trench in S-VV12 and adjacent W-VV8, installed the pipe, and began welding C. Calmindon							
9/29 Pi	revious weld from 9/28 was comp	leted, X-Ray Conducted,	Coating, and R	ock Shield installed C. C	almin	don		
09/30 - seedeo	09/30 - Backfill for stream embankment completed, the substrate was returned and set to correct contour, and the banks were seeded and stabilized with erosion control fabric prior to removal of the dam and pump J. Pokorny							
Post construction assessment The stream was restored to pre-construction contours. Conditions 16 and 17 were given a rating of 5 and 4, respectively, due to the lack of vegetation in the disturbed permitted impact area following the completion of the crossing and restoration. The streambanks have been properly stabilized and the disturbed area has been seeded with the appropriate permanent seed mix in accordance with Appendix B: Restoration Work Plan of the Mountain Valley Pipeline Comprehensive Stream and Wetland Monitoring, Restoration and Mitigation Framework.								
In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Comprehensive Stream and Wetland Monitoring, Restoration and Mitigation Framework, this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity related to waterbody and wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.								
	Name	Signature		Company		Da	ite	
Elyse J	ohnston	and	2	ERM		10/6/	2023	

AFE 1243001	30	Date/Time 9/5/20	2023 10:09 AN	M Report # 217
Require			otos	
	038 903564 2 080 563218 1 89511 09/05/23 09-52-47 226 (544W 3982mils TRUE 210 1 - 240 1 00 08			+038/90562 /-080.563418 1 8918 Mall 09/05/23 09/55:53 0000 7 23.0 7 23.0 7 23.0 7 20 7 240 1 W 10 05
GPS Locatio	n See Above.	GPS	S Location	See Above.
Descriptio	n Downstream view of permitted impact pre-construction assessment.	area during	escription	Downstream view of unimpacted area during pre- construction assessment.
PREFS MAP 00 +05 +03.8 +03.8 +05 SHOW EXTR ZER0 A B	038.903567° / -080.563482° + 891# 09/30/23 051 N51E 0907mils TRUE 030 060 L 0000	MAL COG 19.0° -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15	HEFS MAP OF HO3 3 ^o HO4 Extras	+038.903592* / -080.563361 1.882/1 17.26.19 100 25 201 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
GPS Locatio	n See Above.	GPS	S Location	See Above.
Descriptio	Downstream view of permitted impact post-construction assessment.	area during	escription	Downstream view of unimpacted area during post- construction assessment.
PREFS	+038.903581* / -080.563496*	MAL LaG 1130 27.7" -25 11 -05 -25 11 -05 -25 11 -05 -25 -11 -05 -25 -11 -05 -25 -11 -05 -25 -11 -05 -25 -11 -05 -25 -12 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10	HEFS MAP 09 +04.2° • SHOW EXTRAS. ZERO A-B	1038.903626*/-080.563280 11877H 07/28/23 07.07.30 29.3* 30 29.3* 30 25 30 315 1455W 5600mils TRUE VHAT 5 MEW 24 300 300 300
GPS Locatio	n See Above.	GPS	S Location	See Above.
Descriptio	This photo shows the dam and pu in the stream prior to construction	mp installed	escription	This photo shows the excavated trench in the stream

AFE 124300130 Dat		Date/Time 9/5/2023 10:09 A	M Report # 217
		Optional Photos	
	Insert image here		Insert image here
GPS Location		GPS Location	
Description		Description	
	Insert image here		Insert image here
GPS Location		GPS Location	
Description		Description	
	Insert image here		Insert image here
GPS Location		GPS Location	
Description		Description	