Mountain Valley Stream Biological Conditions EA Report													
Project Name H-600 Pipeline			H-600 Pip	e Spread F AFE 124300135		5	Spread	H-60	00 Pipeline	Spread F			
Contractor Price Gregory			Price Gre	/	Repo			Report #	330	330			
Environmental Auditor Charles Haden				en					Date/Time	10/3	30/2023 2:5	66 PM	
Stream ID S-A61				Crossing Start Date 11/10/2023 Crossing Completion				tion	on Date 11/16/2023				
Mi	Milepost 182.40				Pre-Con Assessment Date 10/30/2023 Post-Con Assessment Date 11					t Date 11/	16/2023		
S	Station 9630+72			Bankfull Width (ft.) 4.1 Riffle:Pool Complexes Present?			esent?	No					
	State WV			Stream Classification						<u>!</u>			
С	County Monroe				303(d) Impairment List		-						
Resource Post-Crossing Conditions													
1	Were	all app	licable re	sour	rce specific crossing condit	ions	s sati	sfied?					N/A
'	Time o	of Year	Restricti	ons	(TOYR)? N/A Mussel	Rel	locat	ion?N/	Α_				
2	This qu	This question is not applicable in WV.											
3	Which crossing methods were utilized during the stream crossing? (If so select one or more) Dam & Pump Flume Cofferdam Conventional Bore Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Bore												
4	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of streambed substrate segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?						Yes						
5	Was excess material not needed for backfill removed and disposed of in an upland area?						Yes						
6	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native stream substrate?						Yes						
7	Was the pre-construction survey data utilized during restoration in attempt to re-establish pre-construction contours?					Yes							
8	Were any field modifications to the stream implemented by project or regulatory personnel to address potential drainage or bank restoration limitations?					No							
9	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of top-of-bank to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?						Yes						
10	Was permanent seed and stabilization material (straw or matting) applied to riparian areas and stream banks prior to re-establishing flow to the impact area of the channel?						Yes						
11	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?						Yes						
12	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?						Yes						
13	Are bareroot saplings required and/or scheduled to be planted for the dormant season (10/1 - 4/30)?						N/A						
14	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.						No						
					Biological Condition							Pre-Con	Post-Con
15	Predor (<0.1"),			е Тур	rpe (select one):Bedrock, Bould	er (>	>10"),	Cobble (2-	10"), Gra	avel (0.1-2"), Sai	nd	Mud/Silt/Cl ay	Mud/Silt/Cl ay
16	Channel Conditions:Rating: 1-Optimal (80-100% stable banks), 2-Sub-optimal (60-80% stable banks), 3-Marginal (40-60% stable banks), 4-Poor (20-40% stable banks), 5-Severe (0-20% stable banks, highly eroded or unvegetated banks						2						
17	Riparian Buffer Zone within ROW and ≤50 ft. from Stream Top-of-Bank: Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Sub-optimal (30-60% mixed vegetated coverage), 3-Marginal (<30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetated coverage, etc.)						4						

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 1 of 4

AFE	124300135	Date/Time	10/30/2023 2:56 PM	Report	# 330	
	Pre-Con	Post-Con				
	Instream Habitat Conditions: Examples: depths, presence of woody/leafy debris, stable su shade protection, undercut banks, root mats, Var vegetation Rating: 1-Optimal (Habitat conditions 30-50% of resource), 3-Marginal (Habitat condition of resource)	ubstrate with low ied combination present in >50%	amount of mobile particles, low ember of water velocities, submerged aquation of resource), 2-Suboptimal (Habitat c	eddedness, ic conditions in	4	4
19	Channel Alterations: Examples: Straighter along banks, concrete/gabions/concrete block, ragricultural impacts Rating: 1-Negligible (unaltichannel alterations), 3-Moderate (40-80% of	manmade emba ered/natural stre	nkments, constrictions w/in channel, li am), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource dis	ivestock or rupted by	1	2

Additional Notes

Pre-Construction Notes

Pre-Construction Meeting - 10/30/2023

- 15. Mud/silt/clay was dominant substrate noted with some sand and loam observed.
- 17. Riparian buffer vegetation has been trimmed/mowed. W-A13 located in riparian buffer.
- 18. Stream was dry during assessment. No habitat variability.
- 19. Travel lane was not included in assessment.
- 11/10/2023 Top 12 inches of stream substrate removed (Photo 1), separated and stored in an adjacent upland area. Excavation of subsoil in aquatic resource area (creating ditch). Site prepped for blasting. Blasting occurred. Dams constructed in channel using sandbags. Flume pipe added. Pump around system also in place for use as needed.
- 11/11/2023 Flume pipe removed (no flow). Ditched staked out for excavation. Excavation began though aquatic resource area (Photo 2). Trench box installed outside of aquatic resource. Flume pipe reinstalled.
- 11/13/2023 Flume pipe removed (no flow). Sandbags placed in trench for padding. Pipe placed in trench through aquatic resource area (Photo 3). Welding ongoing outside aquatic resource area. Survey onsite to verify pipe position in trench. Flume pipe reinstalled.
- 11/14/2023 Sandblasting and coating of pipe in trench in aquatic resource area. Flume pipe removed (no flow). Survey onsite to verify pipe position in trench. Began backfilling in upland area. Began constructing first trench breaker (Photo 4). Flume pipe reinstalled. Trench box removed.
- 11/15/2023 Flume pipe removed (no flow). Backfilling in upland area. Second trench breaker constructed. Survey onsite to record location of trench breakers. Backfilling of aquatic resource area (Photo 5). Aquatic resource area graded. Sandbag dams removed. Survey staked out aquatic resource (Photo 6). Topsoil restored in buffer. Substrate restored in aquatic resource. Survey verified elevations. Additional hand work completed by environmental crew on channel contours (Photo 7).
- 11/16/2023 Raking of aquatic resource buffer. Seeding (Photo 8) and jute placement in buffer. Restoration complete.

Post Construction Notes

- 16., 17. Crossing and riparian areas have been recently restored. These areas will be monitored until 80% vegetative cover has been achieved and areas that do not have 80% vegetative cover within 30 days will be reseeded.
- 18. Stream was dry during assessment.
- 19. Does not include timber mats that remain in place for travel lane.

In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Comprehensive Stream and Wetland Monitoring, Restoration and Mitigation Framework, this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity related to waterbody and wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.

Name	Signature	Company	Date
Charles Haden	Chille Hoder	Potesta & Associates	11/16/2023

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 2 of 4



MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 3 of 4

AFE 124300135 Date/Time 10/30/2023 2:56 PM Report # 330 **Optional Photos** GPS Location See Photo **GPS Location** See Photo Photo 3: Placing pipe in trench in aquatic Photo 4: Construction of trench breaker. resource area. **Description Description GPS Location GPS Location** See Photo See Photo Photo 5: Backfilling of trench. Photo 6: Survey staking out aquatic resource prior to restoration. **Description Description** GPS Location See Photo **GPS Location** See Photo Photo 7: Aquatic resource after hand work to Photo 8: Seeding of buffer adjacent to aquatic develop channel. esource. **Description Description**

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 4 of 4