

STREAM BIOLOGICAL CONDITIONS ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITOR REPORT

Version 2.3



Stream ID: S-G8	Crossing Start Date: 09/28/2023	Crossing Completion Date: 10/03/2023
Milepost: 285.9	Pre-Con Assessment Date: 09/26/2023	Post-Con Assessment Date: 10/04/2023
Station: 15103+45	Stream Classification: Intermittent (Perennial, Intermittent, Ephemeral)	Bankfull Width (ft.): 4
County: Pittsylvania	303(d) Impairment Listing: Not Impaired	Riffle:Pool Complexes Present? No

Item #	Resource Crossing Conditions	N/A	YES	NO
1.	Were all applicable resource specific crossing conditions satisfied? Time of Year Restrictions (TOYR)? <u>N/A</u> Fish Relocation? <u>N/A</u> Mussel Relocation? <u>N/A</u>	X		
2.	Is this resource designated a wild or stockable trout stream?	X		
3.	Which crossing methods were utilized during the stream crossing? <i>(Select one or more)</i> Dam & Pump, Flume, Cofferdam, Conventional Bore, Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Bore?		Dam & Pump	
4.	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of streambed substrate segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?		X	
5.	Was excess material not needed for backfill removed and disposed of in an upland area?		X	
6.	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native stream substrate?		X	
7.	Was the pre-construction survey data provided and utilized during restoration in attempt to re-establish pre-construction contours?		X	
8.	Were any field modifications to the stream implemented by project or regulatory personnel to address potential drainage or bank restoration limitations?			X
9.	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of top-of-bank to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?		X	
10.	Was permanent seed and stabilization material (straw or matting) applied to riparian areas and stream banks prior to re-establishing flow to the impact area of the channel?		X	
11.	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?		X	
12.	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?		X	
13.	Are bareroot saplings required and/or scheduled to be planted for the dormant season (10/1 – 4/30)?	X		
14.	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.			X

Item #	Biological Conditions	Pre-Con	Post-Con
15.	Predominant Substrate Type (select one): <i>Bedrock, Boulder (>10"), Cobble (2-10"), Gravel (0.1-2"), Sand (<0.1"), Mud/Silt/Clay</i>	Mud/Silt/Clay	Mud/Silt/Clay
16.	Channel Conditions: Rating: 1-Optimal (80-100% stable banks), 2-Sub-optimal (60-80% stable banks), 3-Marginal (40-60% stable banks), 4-Poor (20-40% stable banks), 5-Severe (0-20% stable banks, highly eroded or unvegetated banks)	1 - Optimal	1 - Optimal
17.	Riparian Buffer Zone within ROW and ≤50 ft. from Stream Top-of-Bank: Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Sub-optimal (30-60% mixed vegetated coverage), 3-Marginal (<30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetated coverage, etc.)	1 - Optimal	1 - Optimal
18.	Instream Habitat Conditions: Examples: Varied substrate sizes, varied combination of water velocities/depths, presence of woody/leafy debris, stable substrate with low amount of mobile particles, low embeddedness, shade protection, undercut banks, root mats, submerged aquatic vegetation. Rating: 1-Optimal (Habitat conditions present in >50% of resource), 2-Suboptimal (Habitat conditions in 30-50% of resource), 3-Marginal (Habitat conditions in 10-30% of resource), 4-Poor (Habitat conditions in 0-10% of resource)	2 - Suboptimal	2 - Suboptimal
19.	Channel Alterations: Examples: Straightened channel, non-MVP stream crossings, non-native riprap/rock along banks, concrete/gabions/concrete block, manmade embankments, constrictions w/in channel, livestock or agricultural impacts. Rating: 1-Negligible (unaltered/natural stream), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource disrupted by channel alterations), 3-Moderate (40-80% of resource disrupted), 4-Severe (>80% of resource disrupted)	1 - Negligible	1 - Negligible

**STREAM BIOLOGICAL CONDITIONS
ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITOR REPORT**

Version 2.3



Comments/Remarks

8/21/2023 Timber mat bridge replacement. -C VanEckhout

9/26/2023 Pre-construction meeting and auditor assessment, EI: Josh Varney, Foreman: Derrick Denton
This crossing was performed in conjunction with the adjacent stream, S-Q15.

9/27/2023: Blasting dynamite and welding within the upland buffer area. -T. Snideman

9/28/2023: Active work in resource started, set up dam and pump, began removing topsoil, stream-bed material covered with geotextile material, marking for test drills. -T. Snideman

9/29/2023: Digging trench, lowered in 200 ft, made one weld, blasted and coated. -T. Snideman

9/30/2023: Welding continues, and dam and pump remains installed and functional. -T. Snideman

10/02/2023: Subsoil backfilling. Dam and pump remain installed and functional. -T. Snideman

10/03/2023: Active restoration of stream channel in progress, surveyors on site. Trench breakers installed. Topsoil and stream substrate restored to channel -T. Snideman

10/04/2023: Post con auditor assessment. -T. Snideman

No unauthorized discharges or impacts to biological conditions were observed during the crossing activities.

In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Consent Decree, Case No. CL18006874-00, (Issued October 11, 2019) this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity related to waterbody and wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.

<i>This report was written by</i>	Traci Snideman <i>Print Name</i>	 <i>Signature</i>	10/04/2023 <i>Date</i>
-----------------------------------	--	----------------------	----------------------------------

STREAM BIOLOGICAL CONDITIONS ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITOR REPORT

Version 2.3

Required Photos



Photo Description: Downstream view of permitted impact area during pre-construction assessment.



Photo Description: Conditions of the downstream area outside the ROW during pre-construction assessment.



Photo Description: Downstream view of permitted impact area during post-construction assessment.



Photo Description: Conditions of the downstream area outside the ROW during post-construction assessment.

STREAM BIOLOGICAL CONDITIONS ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITOR REPORT

Version 2.3

Optional Additional Photos



Photo Description: Installing padding for pipe.



Photo Description: Trench breakers installed.



Photo Description: Backfilling of subsoil to resource area.



Photo Description: Overview of restored resource area post-construction.