Mountain Valley Stream Biological Conditions EA Report													
Project Name H-600 Pipeline Spread F AFE 124300135 S				Spread	H-600 Pipeline Spread F								
Contractor Price Gregory			jory	· ·				Report #	42	21			
Environ	Environmental Auditor Beth Burdette Date/Time 12/4/2023 9						/4/2023 9:19	) AM					
Stream ID S-G44				Cro	ossing Start	Date	12/4/2023	Cross	sing Comple	tio	n Date 12/	16/2023	
Milepost 19		90.08			Pre-Con Assessment Date 12/4/2023			Post-Con Assessment Date 12/			16/2023		
S	tation 1	10036+08			Bankfull Width (ft.) 5.3		Riffle:Pool Complexes Present?			No			
State		• WV			Stream Classification Ep		Ephemeral	phemeral					
С	County Monroe				303(d) Impairment Listing No								
Resource Post-Crossing Conditions													
1	Were a	ıll appl	icable res	ource	e specific	crossing cond	lition	s satisfied?					N/A
'	Time o	f Year	/ear Restrictions (TOYR)? <u>N/A</u> Mussel Relocation? <u>N/A</u>										
2		This question is not applicable in WV.											
3	Which crossing methods were utilized during the stream crossing? (If so select one or more)  Dam & Pump X Flume X Cofferdam Conventional Bore Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Bore												
4	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of streambed substrate segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?						Yes						
5	Was excess material not needed for backfill removed and disposed of in an upland area?						Yes						
6	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native stream substrate?						Yes						
7	Was the pre-construction survey data utilized during restoration in attempt to re-establish pre-construction contours?						Yes						
8	Were any field modifications to the stream implemented by project or regulatory personnel to address potential drainage or bank restoration limitations?						No						
9	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of top-of-bank to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?						Yes						
10	Was permanent seed and stabilization material (straw or matting) applied to riparian areas and stream banks prior to re-establishing flow to the impact area of the channel?						Yes						
11	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?						Yes						
12	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?						Yes						
13							N/A						
14	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.					No							
						gical Condition							Post-Con
15	<b>Predom</b> (<0.1"), N			Туре	(select or	e):Bedrock, Bo	ulder (	>10"), Cobble (2-	-10"), Gra	avel (0.1-2"), Sai	nd	Mud/Silt/Cl ay	Mud/Silt/Cl ay
16	Channel Conditions:Rating: 1-Optimal (80-100% stable banks), 2-Sub-optimal (60-80% stable banks), 3-Marginal (40-60% stable banks), 4-Poor (20-40% stable banks), 5-Severe (0-20% stable banks, highly eroded or unvegetated banks							1					
17	Riparian Buffer Zone within ROW and ≤50 ft. from Stream Top-of-Bank: Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Sub-optimal (30-60% mixed vegetated coverage), 3-Marginal (<30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetated coverage, etc.)						4						

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 1 of 4

AFE	124300135	Date/Time	12/4/2023 9:19 AM	Report	: <b>#</b> 421	
	Biological Co	nditions Co	ntinued		Pre-Con	Post-Con
18	Instream Habitat Conditions: Examples: Varied substrate sizes, varied combination of water velocities & depths, presence of woody/leafy debris, stable substrate with low amount of mobile particles, low embeddedness, shade protection, undercut banks, root mats, Varied combination of water velocities, submerged aquatic vegetation Rating: 1-Optimal (Habitat conditions present in >50% of resource), 2-Suboptimal (Habitat conditions in 30-50% of resource), 3-Marginal (Habitat conditions in 10-30% of resource), 4-Poor (Habitat conditions in 0-10% of resource)					4
19	Channel Alterations: Examples: Straighte along banks, concrete/gabions/concrete block, r agricultural impacts Rating: 1-Negligible (unalte channel alterations), 3-Moderate (40-80% of	nanmade emba ered/natural stre	nkments, constrictions w/in channel, li am), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource dis	ivestock or rupted by	1	1

## **Additional Notes**

**Pre-Construction Notes** 

Pre-Construction Meeting - 12/4/2023

- 15. Grass covered channel. Substrate primarily sand/silt with gravel noted.
- 18. Grass lined channel. No variability. No flow. Timber mat bridge in place.
- 12/4/2023 No Flow. Constructed DS and US dams. Work ongoing outside 10ft buffer. Removed to 12" of substrate. Stream substrate segregated in separate stockpile. Moved equipment. Completed buffer topsoil removal and prepped for drilling of blast bore holes. Blasting crew marked bore hole locations through aquatic resource. Installed flume pipe.
- 12/5/2023 No flow. Drilled charge holes (Photo 2). Removed flume pipe. Prepped for blasting. Blasted crossing and buffers. Excavated trench and relayed rock and soil. Reinstalled flume pipe. Sandbags added to trench for padding in upland area. Welding ongoing.
- 12/6/2023 No flow. Completed excavating trench in aquatic resource area (Photo 3). Relayed rock and soil. Lowered pipe into upland/upslope trench. Aligned/prepped/welded pipe to existing pipe end. Reworked spoil and blasted outside of aquatic resource area. Flume remained in place.
- 12/7/2023 No flow. Aligned/prepped/welded/x-rayed pipe outside of resource area. Blasted going away side slope adjacent to S-G42/W-G6. Sandblasted and coated pre-fabricated pipe. No work in aquatic resource. Flume remained in place.
- 12/8/2023 No flow. Jeeped/sandblasted/coated pipe welds on prefab section outside of aquatic resource area. No work in aquatic resource. Flume remained in place.
- 12/9/2023 Minimal flow. Added sandbag pillows to trench for padding. Removed flume pipe. Lowered pipe into trench (Photo 4). Aligned/prepped/welded pipe section in placed in aquatic resource. Reinstalled flume pipe.
- 12/11/2023-12/13/2023 No flow in flume pipe. Minimal water accumulated in trench in aquatic resource area. Welded/x-rayed/sandblasted/coated outside aquatic resource area. Flume remained in place.
- 12/14/2023 Work ongoing outside aquatic resource area. Began backfilling. Flume remained in place.
- 12/15/2023 Backfilled trench with subsoil (Photo 5). Installed trench breakers (Photo 6). Added substrate (Photo 7) and contoured. Installed silt fence. Put flume back in place,
- 12/16/2023 Seeded aquatic resource buffer (Photo 8) and installed curlex. Removed dam. 10-foot buffer restored.

## Post Construction Notes

- 16., 17. Crossing and riparian areas have been recently restored. These areas will be monitored until 80% vegetative cover has been achieved and areas that do not have 80% vegetative cover within 30 days will be reseeded.
- 18. Low habitat score due to lack of stream flow.
- 19. Does not include timber mats that remain in place for travel lane.

In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Comprehensive Stream and Wetland Monitoring, Restoration and Mitigation Framework, this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity related to waterbody and wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.

Name	Signature	Company	Date
Beth Burdette		Potesta & Associates, Inc.	12/16/2023

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 2 of 4



MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 3 of 4



MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 4 of 4