Mountain Valley Stream Biological Conditions EA Report													
Project Name H-600 Pipeline			eline	e Spread D AFE 124300132		2	Spread	Н-6	600 Pipeline	Spread D			
Contractor Precision				Report # 481			31						
Environ	Environmental Auditor Jeffrey Arbogast Date/Time 1/21/2024 8:							21/2024 8:52	2 AM				
Stream ID S-A64				Crossing	Start Da	te 1	/21/2024	Cross	sing Comple	etio	n Date 1/27	7/2024	
Mil	Milepost 116.65			Pre-Con Assessment Date 1/18/2024			Post-	Post-Con Assessment Date 1/27			7/2024		
Station 6159		6159+3	30		Bankfull Width		t.) 7	7.0	Riffle:Pool Complexes Present?		resent?	No	
State W\		WV			Stream Class	ification	E	Ephemeral				!	
С	ounty	Nichola	ıs		303(d) Impairm	ent Listii	ng l	No					
Resource Post-Crossing Conditions													
1	Were a	all app	licable res	sourc	ce specific crossir	ng condition	ons	satisfied?					N/A
-	Time o	of Year	Restrictio	ons (TOYR)? N/A	Mussel I	Relo	ocation?N	/ <u>/</u> A				
2	This qu	This question is not applicable in WV.											
3	Which crossing methods were utilized during the stream crossing? (If so select one or more) Dam & Pump Flume Cofferdam Conventional Bore Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Bore												
4	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of streambed substrate segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?							Yes					
5	Was excess material not needed for backfill removed and disposed of in an upland area?							N/A					
6	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native stream substrate?						Yes						
7	Was the pre-construction survey data utilized during restoration in attempt to re-establish pre-construction contours?						Yes						
8	Were any field modifications to the stream implemented by project or regulatory personnel to address potential drainage or bank restoration limitations?						No						
9	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of top-of-bank to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?						Yes						
10	Was permanent seed and stabilization material (straw or matting) applied to riparian areas and stream banks prior to re-establishing flow to the impact area of the channel?						Yes						
11	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?						Yes						
12	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?						Yes						
13	Are bareroot saplings required and/or scheduled to be planted for the dormant season (10/1 - 4/30)?							N/A					
14	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.						No						
								Post-Con					
15	Predor (<0.1"),			Тур	e (select one):Bed	rock, Boulde	er (>	10"), Cobble (2	!-10"), Gra	avel (0.1-2"), Sai	nd	Mud/Silt/Cl ay	Mud/Silt/Cl ay
16	Channel Conditions:Rating: 1-Optimal (80-100% stable banks), 2-Sub-optimal (60-80% stable banks), 3-Marginal (40-60% stable banks), 4-Poor (20-40% stable banks), 5-Severe (0-20% stable banks, highly eroded or unvegetated banks						1						
17	Riparian Buffer Zone within ROW and ≤50 ft. from Stream Top-of-Bank: Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Sub-optimal (30-60% mixed vegetated coverage), 3-Marginal (<30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetated coverage, etc.)						4						

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 1 of 4

AFE	124300132	Date/Time	1/21/2024 8:52 AM	Report	# 481	
	Pre-Con	Post-Con				
18	Instream Habitat Conditions: Examples: Varied substrate sizes, varied combination of water velocities & depths, presence of woody/leafy debris, stable substrate with low amount of mobile particles, low embeddedness, shade protection, undercut banks, root mats, Varied combination of water velocities, submerged aquatic vegetation Rating: 1-Optimal (Habitat conditions present in >50% of resource), 2-Suboptimal (Habitat conditions in 30-50% of resource), 3-Marginal (Habitat conditions in 10-30% of resource), 4-Poor (Habitat conditions in 0-10% of resource)					3
19	Channel Alterations: Examples: Straighte along banks, concrete/gabions/concrete block, r agricultural impacts Rating: 1-Negligible (unalte channel alterations), 3-Moderate (40-80% of	manmade emba ered/natural stre	nkments, constrictions w/in channel, li am), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource dis	vestock or rupted by	1	1

Additional Notes

The stream channel begins at the edge of the ditch line so only a small section was disturbed. A dam/pump around was staged at S-A64, although there was no flow during the crossing. A ditch dewatering system was built and used as needed throughout the stream crossing.

1/21/2024: Topsoil from the 10' stream buffer zone was stripped and segregated on plastic sheeting, while the stream substrate was placed in super sacks, and both were stored in an upland area. Native stream subsoil was separated so it could be used as backfill material. Excavation of the ditch began at the loose end on the coming in side (CIS).

1/22/2024: Excavation of the ditch line was not completed by the end of the day due to subsurface rock that needed to be hammered out.

1/23/2024: Excavation of the ditch line was completed late in the day, and then the stream section of pipe was lowered in.

1/24/2024: A weld was made connecting the stream pipe section with the CIS loose end.

1/25/2024: Rain out.

1/26/2024: The tie in section was lowered in on the going away side (GAS) and welded onto the loose end.

1/27/2024: The final tie in weld was completed while bentonite breakers were built 18' from the CIS and 15' from the GAS ordinary high-water marks. The ditch was backfilled to pre-construction elevations with the native material removed during ditch excavation, and prior to the stream substrate being replaced. The stream channel, banks, and buffer zones were reconstructed, and all contours, elevations, and other significant points were verified by civil survey. The stream banks were properly seeded prior to installing erosion control blankets, straw mulch, and silt fence.

The 50ft. buffers on either side of the stream have been temporarily restored due to winter weather conditions. Permanent restoration of the 50ft. buffers will be conducted during the spring when soil conditions and weather are more favorable.

In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Comprehensive Stream and Wetland Monitoring, Restoration and Mitigation Framework, this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity related to waterbody and wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.

Name	Signature	Company	Date	
Jeffrey Arbogast	414 alequet	SWCA	1/27/2024	

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 2 of 4



MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 3 of 4

AFE 124300132 Date/Time 1/21/2024 8:52 AM Report # 481 **Optional Photos** 01/21/2024 10:47:33 +38.304232,-80.673505 327° NW S-A64 (Dur-JA) GPS Location | See Caption in Photo **GPS Location** See Caption in Photo Stream buffer topsoil being removed. Stream substrate being placed in super sacks. Description Description 01/26/2024 10:45:09 /23/2024 15:57:47 **GPS Location GPS Location** See Caption in Photo See Caption in Photo owering the stream section of pipe. owering in the tie in section on the GAS. **Description Description** 01/27/2024 15:22:49 +38.304506,-80.674108 01/27/2024 10:34:17 S-A64 (Dur-JA) **GPS Location** GPS Location |See Caption in Photo See Caption in Photo Survey checking elevation of subsoil near the View of both bentonite trench breakers and backfilling of the ditch. stream channel.

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 4 of 4

Description

Description