<b>\</b>	Mountain Valley Stream Biological Conditions EA Report													
Project Name H-600 Pipeline			eline	e Spread F <b>AFE</b> 124300135			5	Spread	H-6	H-600 Pipeline Spread F				
Contractor Price Gregory			jory	Report # 437				7						
Enviror	Environmental Auditor Eric Schicker Date/Time 12/12/2023 7							/12/2023 7:1	9 AM					
Stream ID S-MN37				Cros	sing Start D	ate	12/ <sup>-</sup>	12/2023	Cross	sing Comple	etio	n Date 12/2	21/2023	
Milepost 188.98			Pre-Con Assessment Date 12/11/2023 Post-Con Assessment Date 12						nt Date 12/2	21/2023				
Station 99		9978+0	 78+02		Bankfull Width (		(ft.)	t.) 2.0 Riffle:		Pool Complexes Present?		No		
State W		WV			Stream 0	lassification		Inte	rmittent					
County Monroe				303(d) Impairment Listing No										
Resource Post-Crossing Conditions														
1	Were	all app	licable res	sour	ce specific c	ossing condit	ions	s sa	tisfied?					N/A
l	Time o	of Year	Restriction	ons (	TOYR)?	I/A Mussel	Re	loca	ation? <u>N</u>	<u>/A</u>				
2	This qu	This question is not applicable in WV.												
3	Which crossing methods were utilized during the stream crossing? (If so select one or more)  Dam & Pump Flume Cofferdam Conventional Bore Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Bore													
4	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of streambed substrate segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?							Yes						
5	`							Yes						
6	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native stream substrate?							Yes						
7	Was the pre-construction survey data utilized during restoration in attempt to re-establish pre-construction contours?						Yes							
8	Were any field modifications to the stream implemented by project or regulatory personnel to address potential drainage or bank restoration limitations?						No							
9	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of top-of-bank to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?							Yes						
10	Was permanent seed and stabilization material (straw or matting) applied to riparian areas and stream banks prior to re-establishing flow to the impact area of the channel?						Yes							
11	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?							Yes						
12	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?							Yes						
13	Are bareroot saplings required and/or scheduled to be planted for the dormant season (10/1 - 4/30)?							N/A						
14	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.						No							
								Post-Con						
15		<b>minant</b> Mud/Silt		Тур	e (select one	):Bedrock, Bould	der (	>10"	), Cobble (2	-10"), Gra	avel (0.1-2"), Sa	nd	Mud/Silt/Cl ay	Mud/Silt/Cl ay
16	Channel Conditions:Rating: 1-Optimal (80-100% stable banks), 2-Sub-optimal (60-80% stable banks), 3-Marginal (40-60% stable banks), 4-Poor (20-40% stable banks), 5-Severe (0-20% stable banks, highly eroded or unvegetated banks						2							
17	Riparian Buffer Zone within ROW and ≤50 ft. from Stream Top-of-Bank: Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Sub-optimal (30-60% mixed vegetated coverage), 3-Marginal (<30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetated coverage, etc.)						1							

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 1 of 4

AFE	124300135	Date/Time	12/12/2023 7:19 AM	Report	<b>#</b> 437	
	Biological Co	nditions Co	ntinued		Pre-Con	Post-Con
18	Instream Habitat Conditions: Examples: Varied substrate sizes, varied combination of water velocities & depths, presence of woody/leafy debris, stable substrate with low amount of mobile particles, low embeddedness, shade protection, undercut banks, root mats, Varied combination of water velocities, submerged aquatic vegetation Rating: 1-Optimal (Habitat conditions present in >50% of resource), 2-Suboptimal (Habitat conditions in 30-50% of resource), 3-Marginal (Habitat conditions in 10-30% of resource), 4-Poor (Habitat conditions in 0-10% of resource)					3
19	Channel Alterations: Examples: Straighte along banks, concrete/gabions/concrete block, ragricultural impacts Rating: 1-Negligible (unalte channel alterations), 3-Moderate (40-80% of	manmade emba ered/natural stre	nkments, constrictions w/in channel, li am), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource dis	vestock or rupted by	1	1

## **Additional Notes**

Pre-Construction Notes

Pre-Construction Meeting - 12/11/2023

Resource bordered by wetland.

- 15. Substrate noted as predominately mud/silt/clay with some sand, and sections of mixed gravel and cobble.
- 18. Low scope due to lack of varying depths, low presence of woody debris, and lack of undercut banks.
- 12/12/2023 Built upstream dam. Removed top 12 inches of substrate (Photo 1) and used Morooka to transport to separate containment area in upland work area. Installed flume pipe. Built downstream dam.
- 12/13/2023 Prepped for blasting through aquatic resource area. Drilled for blasting (Photo 2). Set up pump around system. Removed flume pipe. Mats put in place for blasting. Blasted. Flume pipe replaced.
- 12/14/2023 Pumped water around aquatic resource area. Drilled for blasting. Removed flume pipe. Placed rubber mats for blasting. Blasted. Removed rubber mats. Timber mats put in place to allow for excavation. Began excavating subsoils. Excavated through aquatic resource (Photo 3). Flume pipe replaced.
- 12/15/2023 Water pumped from aquatic resource. Welded. Worked outside resource area. Replaced flume pipe.
- 12/16/2023 Pumped water from aquatic resource area. Worked ongoing outside aquatic resource area. Pump around system set up.
- 12/18/2023 Pumped water from aquatic resource areas. Flume pipe removed; upstream dammed, pump around as needed. Sandbags added to trench for padding (Photo 4). Lowered pipe into trench (Photo 5). Staged pipe and began welding. Flume pipe replaced.
- 12/19/2023 Restaged pipe through aquatic resource area for welding. Welding completed. Pumped from trench in aquatic resource area. Padded and backfilled subsoil in aquatic resource area (Photo 6). X-rayed. Continued to pad and backfill.
- 12/20/2023 Backfilled subsoil in aquatic resource area. Removed flume. Dammed. Restored topsoil in aquatic resource area (Photo 7). Channel contoured.
- 12/21/2023 Survey onsite. Survey evaluated elevations. Adjusted configuration and contours. Restored substrate (Photo 8). Restored buffer area.

## Post Construction Notes

- 9. Trench breakers constructed outside of wetland limits
- 16., 17. Crossing and riparian areas have been recently restored. These areas will be monitored until 80% vegetative cover has been achieved and areas that do not have 80% vegetative cover within 30 days will be reseeded.
- 18. Low score related to lack channel diversity.
- 19. Does not include timber mats that remain in place for travel lane.
- \*Post construction photo for DS impacts replaced due to placement error.

In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Comprehensive Stream and Wetland Monitoring, Restoration and Mitigation Framework, this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity related to waterbody and wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.

Name	Signature	Company	Date
Eric Schicker	En Slel	Potesta	12/28/2023

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 2 of 4



MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 3 of 4



MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 4 of 4