Mountain Valley PIPELINE LE Stream Biological Conditions EA Report													
Pr	Project Name H-600 Pipeline Spread D AFE 124300132 Spread H-600 Pi						600 Pipeline	Pipeline Spread D					
Contractor Precision									Report #	48	·89		
Environ	Environmental Auditor Todd Grant Date/Time 1/30/2024 6							30/2024 6:19) PM				
Stream ID S-137				Cr	ossing Start D	ate	1/30/2024	Cross	sing Comple	tio	n Date 2/2/	2024	
Mil	lepost	125.67			Pre-Con Assessment Date 1/25/2024			Post-Con Assessment Date 2/7/			2024		
s	tation	6635+55			Bankfull Width (ft.) 6.0		6.0	Riffle:Pool Complexes Present?			No		
State		₩V			Stream Classification		1	Ephemeral					
С	County Nicholas				303(d) Impairment Listing No								
Resource Post-Crossing Conditions													
1	Were	all appl	licable re	sour	ce specific	crossing condi	tion	s satisfied?					N/A
'	Time of Year Restrictions (TOYR)? N/A Mussel Relocation? N/A												
2		This question is not applicable in WV.											
3	Which crossing methods were utilized during the stream crossing? (If so select one or more) Dam & Pump Flume Cofferdam Conventional Bore Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Bore												
4	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of streambed substrate segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?							Yes					
5	Was excess material not needed for backfill removed and disposed of in an upland area?							Yes					
6	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native stream substrate?							Yes					
7	Was the pre-construction survey data utilized during restoration in attempt to re-establish pre-construction contours?						Yes						
8	Were any field modifications to the stream implemented by project or regulatory personnel to address potential drainage or bank restoration limitations?						No						
9	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of top-of-bank to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?							Yes					
10	Was permanent seed and stabilization material (straw or matting) applied to riparian areas and stream banks prior to re-establishing flow to the impact area of the channel?						Yes						
11	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?						Yes						
12	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?						Yes						
13	1 3 1						N/A						
14	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.						No						
						gical Conditio							Post-Con
15	Predor (<0.1"),			Э Тур	e (select o	1e): Bedrock, Boul	der (>10"), Cobble (2-	-10"), Gra	avel (0.1-2"), Sa	nd	Mud/Silt/Cl ay	Mud/Silt/Cl ay
16	Channel Conditions:Rating: 1-Optimal (80-100% stable banks), 2-Sub-optimal (60-80% stable banks), 3-Marginal (40-60% stable banks), 4-Poor (20-40% stable banks), 5-Severe (0-20% stable banks, highly eroded or unvegetated banks						1						
17	Riparian Buffer Zone within ROW and ≤50 ft. from Stream Top-of-Bank: Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Sub-optimal (30-60% mixed vegetated coverage), 3-Marginal (<30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetated coverage, etc.)						4						

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 1 of 4

AFE	124300132	Date/Time	1/30/2024 6:19 PM	Report	# 489	
	Biological Co	nditions Co	ntinued		Pre-Con	Post-Con
18	Instream Habitat Conditions: Examples: Varied substrate sizes, varied combination of water velocities & depths, presence of woody/leafy debris, stable substrate with low amount of mobile particles, low embeddedness, shade protection, undercut banks, root mats, Varied combination of water velocities, submerged aquatic vegetation Rating: 1-Optimal (Habitat conditions present in >50% of resource), 2-Suboptimal (Habitat conditions in 30-50% of resource), 3-Marginal (Habitat conditions in 10-30% of resource), 4-Poor (Habitat conditions in 0-10% of resource)					1
19	Channel Alterations: Examples: Straighte along banks, concrete/gabions/concrete block, r agricultural impacts Rating: 1-Negligible (unalte channel alterations), 3-Moderate (40-80% of	manmade emba ered/natural stre	nkments, constrictions w/in channel, li am), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource dis	ivestock or rupted by	1	2

Additional Notes

1-30-2024

Stream S-I37 at the point of intersect prior to construction comprised of a subterranean nature. Root mats from old tree stumps supported the ground surface with random holes where the subsurface flow could be observed, and elevations of the thalweg were recorded by civil survey. The stream only flows at the ground surface during high flow events. The visible portion of the stream is located from the pipe centerline to approximately 10ft upstream, in three naturally bored out holes that the stream has created prior to construction. Prior to entering S-I37, a pump around conveyance system was installed and utilized throughout the crossing on an as needed basis.

1-31-2024

The 12 inches of topsoil and streambed substrate were segregated and labeled in super sacks. Ditch excavation was completed while pumps and dewatering structures were used to dewater the ditch as needed. The pipe section was lowered into the ditch and welding was started on the going away side (GAS).

2-1-2024

Welding, x-ray, and coating activities were completed on the GAS and trench breaker construction was started.

2-2-2024

Trench breaker construction was completed at station number 6625+37 and 6625+70 prior to padding the pipe and backfilling the ditch. The streams 12" of substrate was replace prior to reconstructing the topsoil that covered the stream and the three holes features of the stream channel. The elevations and the stream bank contours were verified by survey and using preconstruction photos. The dam/pump around was removed and natural flow was restored. The stream was checked the following day and subterranean flow was re-established.

Biological condition 17 was rated poor due to a lack of vegetation. Biological condition 19 was rated minor due to stream banks being reconstructed during restoration. Stream S-I37 banks and stream substrate was properly stabilized and disturbed areas have been seeded with the appropriate permanent seed mix in accordance with Appendix B: Restoration Work Plan of the Mountain Valley Pipeline Comprehensive Stream and Wetland Monitoring, Restoration, and Mitigation Framework.

In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Comprehensive Stream and Wetland Monitoring, Restoration and Mitigation Framework, this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity related to waterbody and wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.

Name	Signature	Company	Date
Todd Grant	Jodd R. Grant	SWCA	2/7/2024

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 2 of 4

AFE 124300132 Date/Time 1/30/2024 6:19 PM Report # 489 **Required Photos** S-I37 (Pre_RG) **GPS Location** See top left corner for GPS location **GPS Location** See top left corner for GPS location Downstream view of permitted impact area during Downstream view of unimpacted area during prepre-construction assessment. construction assessment. **Description Description** See top left corner for GPS location See top left corner for GPS location **GPS Location GPS Location** Downstream view of permitted impact area during Downstream view of unimpacted area during postpost-construction assessment. construction assessment. **Description Description** 31/2024 08:17:54 -137 (Dur_TG **GPS Location** GPS Location See top left corner for GPS location See top left corner for GPS location View of ditch line after removal of topsoil. View of topsoil being excavated. **Description Description**

MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 3 of 4



MVP-ENV-14 REV 1 Page 4 of 4