

Evelyn Tidlow

From: Centofanti, John <JCentofanti@eqt.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 1:15 PM
To: Richard Chidester; John Ross
Cc: Evelyn Tidlow; Justin Curtis
Subject: MVP Information
Attachments: Correspondence-Consulting Parties.zip; Correspondence-VDHR.zip

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Mr. Chidester and Mr. Ross –

Thank you again for participating in the conference call on Friday January 12, 2018. It was a very productive call and we greatly appreciate your time and thoughtful comments on the treatment plans. Per our discussion on Friday (1/12/2018), we have gathered some agency and consulting party correspondence regarding the identification and location of historic resources and attached it to this email. Please confirm that you have received this email and the attachments.

Attached please find two folders. In both, we have provided copies of relevant correspondence with VDHR and other consulting parties. Since some of these are lengthy and contain information related to other counties, we have tried to highlight the portions of the documents that seem most relevant to Giles County to save you some time. We have also added some notes to clarify the locations of some of the properties discussed in the letters so that you can focus on those located within Giles County.

The first folder contains correspondence with the VDHR that includes an email and letter that document VDHR's approvals of the Area of Potential Effect for the project and MVP's methods for evaluating the effects of the proposed project. The July 7 letter provides VDHR's comments on our effects assessment. VDHR did not agree with our assessments in all cases and staff also requested additional information related to one property in Giles County (Cemetery, DHR ID #035-0412-0465). This additional information was developed following our approved methods and was submitted in our August 25th response to the VDHR. The VDHR has not yet responded to our August 25th submittal.

The second folder provides several of the letters that we have written to consulting parties to address letters received by MVP and docket comments related to the issues we discussed in our call. These letters provide additional information related to mislocated properties and additional explanation on how our methods for evaluating effects were developed and implemented. Please note that MVP provided copies to Mr. Roger Kirchen for all of these letters to allow Mr. Kirchen an opportunity to enter into the discussion if he disagreed with our responses. As far as we are aware, Mr. Kirchen had no comments on these MVP letters.

On Friday's call, we also agreed to provide you with additional information regarding (1) maintenance of agricultural uses of the pipeline right of way and (2) the possibility of future pipelines being sited within the existing right of way. We are still gathering that information and will send it to you in an email to follow. At FERC's request, we also will be documenting Friday's call in a memo to the FERC docket. We plan to circulate a draft of that memo to you shortly to give you the opportunity to correct any material errors.

We look forward to continuing the conversation with you early the week of January 22nd so that we can revise the proposed treatment plans appropriately and redistribute them to the agencies and other consulting parties.

Thanks,

John

John J. Centofanti
Corporate Director, Environmental Affairs
EQT Corporation
625 Liberty Avenue, Suite 1700
Pittsburgh, PA 15222
412-395-3305 (office)
412-417-3729 (cell)

To learn about EQT's sustainability efforts visit: <https://csr.eqt.com>