



625 Liberty Avenue, Suite 1700 | Pittsburgh, PA 15222
844-MVP-TALK | mail@mountainvalleypipeline.info
www.mountainvalleypipeline.info

June 24, 2016

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC
Docket No. CP16-10-000
Supplemental Materials

Dear Ms. Bose:

On October 23, 2015, Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC (“Mountain Valley”) filed a certificate application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“Commission”) for the Mountain Valley Pipeline Project in the above-identified docket.

Mountain Valley submits herein the following supplemental materials:

- On April 8, 2016, Mountain Valley, in response to a data request from the United States Forest Service (“Forest Service”), stated that it was evaluating a possible route modification that would eliminate a number of crossings of Craig Creek. On May 13, 2016, the Forest Service filed a letter with the Commission regarding Mountain Valley’s proposed crossing of the Appalachian National Scenic Trail. In addition, on May 16, 2016, the Forest Service filed a letter with the Commission regarding the Peters Mountain Wilderness boundary.

Mountain Valley met with the Forest Service on June 16, 2016 to discuss minor route adjustments within the Jefferson National Forest. Modification FS71 is a minor adjustment in the area of Craig Creek, while Modification FS78 is a minor adjustment regarding the Appalachian National Scenic Trail crossing and the Peters Mountain Wilderness boundary. Attachment A includes figures comparing Modifications FS71 and FS78 with the route Mountain Valley proposed to the Commission in October 2015, as well as alignment sheets and other maps and figures for Modifications FS71 and FS78. Modifications FS71 and FS78 address the concerns the Forest Service raised in its data request and prior letters.

- On May 9, 2016, the Board of Supervisors for Giles County, Virginia (“Board”) filed a comment letter with the Commission regarding the direct and indirect area of potential effects for certain resources in that county. In addition, on May 16, 2016, the Greater Newport Rural Historic District Committee (“Committee”) filed a comment letter with the Commission regarding Mountain Valley’s architectural survey report in Giles County, Virginia. The Committee questioned Mountain Valley’s methods for historic resource identification and reporting with respect to the Greater Newport Rural Historic District (“District”).

On May 27, 2016, Mountain Valley had a telephone conversation with Roger Kirchen and Marc Holma of the Virginia Department of Historic Resources (“VDHR”) regarding the Board’s letter, the Committee’s letter, and other stakeholder comments. The notes from that call, including the VDHR’s concurrence with the call notes, are included in Attachment B. As explained in the call notes, the VDHR confirmed that Mountain Valley’s contractor correctly implemented the agreed-upon scope of work for the District and that Mountain Valley’s architectural survey report reflected that scope. Mountain Valley’s scope of work and field efforts focused on the overall District, not on individual resources. At the VDHR’s request, Mountain Valley did not re-inventory the individual resources that comprise the District.

During the call with the VDHR, Mountain Valley agreed to prepare an addendum report that would include new mapping and updated tables related to the contributing and non-contributing resources in the District. The addendum report and the transmittal to the VDHR are included in Attachment B.

- On May 31, 2016, a report on the economic costs of the Mountain Valley Pipeline Project by Key-Log Economics was filed on the docket. Mountain Valley’s response to this report is included as Attachment C.
- The Biological Evaluation for Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive (TES) Species in the Jefferson National Forest is included as Attachment D (PRIVILEGED).
- The Biological Assessment to Address Potential Effects on Federally Listed Species for the Mountain Valley Pipeline Project in West Virginia and Virginia is included as Attachment E (PRIVILEGED).
- The Draft Plan of Development (“POD”), which replaces the Preliminary POD submitted in March 2016, is included as Attachment F. Appendix O to the Draft POD includes non-public information and is filed separately as privileged.
- The updated Draft Erosion and Sediment Control Plan for Virginia (which is also filed as part of the Draft POD) is included as Attachment G. Mountain Valley updated the draft plan in response to its meeting with the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality on April 22, 2016.

Attachments D, E, and F (Appendix O) include privileged information. The attachments are labeled “Contains Privileged Information – Do Not Release” or similar. Mountain Valley requests that, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 388.112, the Commission treat the privileged information as non-public. The person to be contacted regarding the request to treat these materials as non-public is Paul Diehl, (412) 395-5540 or pdiehl@eqt.com.

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose
June 24, 2016
Docket No. CP16-10-000

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (412) 553-5786 or meggerding@eqt.com. Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC



Matthew Eggerding
Counsel, Midstream

Attachments

cc: All Parties
Paul Friedman, OEP
Lavinia DiSanto, Cardno, Inc.
Doug Mooneyhan, Cardno, Inc.