



625 Liberty Avenue, Suite 1700 | Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
844-MVP-TALK | mail@mountainvalleypipeline.info  
www.mountainvalleypipeline.info

October 13, 2016

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street NE  
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC  
Docket No. CP16-10-000  
Supplemental Materials – October 2016 Proposed Route

Dear Ms. Bose:

On October 23, 2015, Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC (“Mountain Valley”) filed a certificate application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“Commission”) for the Mountain Valley Pipeline Project (“Project”) in the above-identified docket.

Over the past year, Mountain Valley has continued to work diligently with landowners, permitting agencies, and other interested stakeholders to determine the best route for the Project. To date, Mountain Valley has increased its survey completion to approximately 95%. Based on stakeholder comments and consultations and information gathered during Mountain Valley’s construction, environmental, cultural, and other surveys, Mountain Valley has developed the October 2016 Proposed Route.

The October 2016 Proposed Route incorporates certain minor route variations and minor route deviations into the Project. The October 2016 Proposed Route includes the Mount Tabor Variation, a revised crossing of the Blackwater River, a revised route in the vicinity of Canoe Cave, and two reroutes recommended by Commission Staff. In this filing, Mountain Valley provides detailed analyses regarding these minor route variations. In addition, Mountain Valley has incorporated a number of minor route deviations, many of which were requested by landowners. In fact, as shown in Attachment B hereto, approximately 92% of the pipeline adjustments in the October 2016 Proposed Route do not affect any new landowners. Further, the October 2016 Proposed Route reflects Modifications FS71 and FS78 in the Jefferson National Forest, which were previously incorporated into the route. The October 2016 Proposed Route does not include any additional changes with regard to the United States Forest Service or U.S. Army Corps of Engineers properties. Mountain Valley continues to coordinate with these agencies with regard to the Project.

The October 2016 Proposed Route includes many benefits to communities, landowners, and the environment in the Project area. The October 2016 Proposed Route:

- Reduces the amount of karst features crossed by the Project, reduces impacts on the North Fork Rural Historic District, and avoids Virginia Outdoors Foundation and The Nature Conservancy properties by incorporating the Mount Tabor Variation;

- Eliminates two crossings of the Blackwater River in Franklin County, Virginia, one of which is upstream of an intake for the Rocky Mount Water Department, which will decrease any potential impact on public drinking water;
- Includes a new tap for Roanoke Gas in Montgomery County, Virginia and doubles the preliminary sizing of the Roanoke Gas tap in Franklin County, Virginia, which will provide additional gas service to consumers in southwestern Virginia;
- Avoids environmentally-sensitive areas, including Canoe Cave in Giles County, Virginia;
- Sites the Project farther away from the Mayapple and Sunshine Valley Schools to reduce construction-related impacts;
- Incorporates numerous minor route deviations as requested by landowners, which reflects Mountain Valley's ongoing and proactive relationship with stakeholders;
- Reduces impacts at a number of waterbody crossings; and
- Addresses constructability issues to enable Mountain Valley to construct the safest and most reliable Project possible.

On September 16, 2016, the Commission issued the Draft Environmental Impact Statement ("DEIS") for the Mountain Valley Pipeline Project. By submitting the October 2016 Proposed Route at this time, interested stakeholders will have the opportunity to review and comment on the October 2016 Proposed Route at the public meetings in November 2016. In addition, because Mountain Valley filed the October 2016 Proposed Route more than two months prior to the DEIS comment date, interested stakeholders have ample opportunity to provide written comments to the Commission on the October 2016 Proposed Route.

Mountain Valley has taken additional steps to assist stakeholders and the Commission in evaluating the October 2016 Proposed Route. In this filing, Mountain Valley has revised certain DEIS tables with strikeouts and underlines to show the changes associated with the October 2016 Proposed Route and, where appropriate, highlighted the portions of its plans and reports (e.g., Landslide Mitigation Plan) that have been updated. By the end of this month, Mountain Valley expects to file all revised DEIS tables with strikeouts and underlines. In addition, to further its public outreach efforts, Mountain Valley will provide a hardcopy and/or digital copy of the October 2016 Proposed Route to a public location in each county in West Virginia and Virginia.

With respect to the October 2016 Proposed Route, Mountain Valley submits herein the following materials:

<b><u>Attachment</u></b>	<b><u>Description of Materials</u></b>	<b><u>Filing Type</u></b>
A	Analyses For Minor Route Variations Incorporated Into The October 2016 Proposed Route And Variation 35	Public
B	Table Describing Minor Route Variations And Deviations Incorporated Into The October 2016 Proposed Route	Public
C	Alignment Sheets, Access Road Detail Sheets, Residential Site-Specific Plans, Site-Specific Recreational Areas, Ancillary Site Detail Sheets, Construction Details, And Access And Ancillary Maps For The October 2016 Proposed Route	Public
D	Topographical Maps For The October 2016 Proposed Route	Public
E	Topographical Maps Showing The October 2016 Proposed Route And The October 2015 Proposed Route	Public
F	Updated Landowner List	Privileged
G	Changes In Line Designations In The October 2016 Proposed Route	Public
H	Supplemental Responses To Pre-DEIS Data Requests <sup>1</sup>	Public
I	Data Response Attachment RR1-7	CEII

This filing includes public information, Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”), and privileged information. The attachments that include CEII are labeled “Contains Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Do Not Release.” In addition, the attachments that include privileged and confidential information are labeled “Contains Privileged Information – Do Not Release.” Mountain Valley requests that, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 388.112, the Commission treat the CEII and privileged information as non-public. The person to be contacted regarding the request to treat these materials as non-public is Paul Diehl, (412) 395-5540 or pdiehl@eqt.com.

---

<sup>1</sup> Mountain Valley previously provided the respondents’ verifications.

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose  
October 13, 2016  
Page 4 of 4

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (412) 553-5786 or meggerding@eqt.com. Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,  
Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC



Matthew Eggerding  
Counsel, Midstream

Attachments

cc: All Parties  
Paul Friedman, OEP  
Lavinia DiSanto, Cardno, Inc.  
Doug Mooneyhan, Cardno, Inc.