

**Mountain Valley Pipeline Project**

**Docket No. CP16-10-000**

**Attachment DR6 Question 1b**



**County  
Administra**  
315 North Main Street  
Pearisburg, Virginia 24134  
Phone: 540-921-2525 ext 1117  
Fax: 540-921-1329  
Richard L. Chidester  
County Attorney  
rchidester@gilescounty.org

August 30, 2017

John J. Centofanti  
Corporate Director, Environmental Affairs  
Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC  
625 Liberty Ave., Suite 1700  
Pittsburg, PA 15222

re: Mountain Valley Pipeline  
DHR File 2014-1194  
FERC Docket CP16-10

Dear Mr. Centofanti.

I am writing in response to your letter of August 24, 2017 to Joseph Yost. I need to clarify several points made in your letter as set forth herein.

John Ross contacted Megan Neylon by phone on August 10, 2017 to determine the agenda for the meeting. It was not until August 14, 2017 at 3:24 pm that Ms. Neylon responded with the email referenced in your letter. Her response made it clear that she expected the County to present mitigation options at this meeting and have the plan approved by the Board of Supervisors at its meeting on August 18, 2017, only two days after receiving MVP's mitigation plan. This was the first notice we had had that mitigation options would be presented and that there was an expectation that a response would be made that day and subsequently approved two days later.

I subsequently met with Mr. Ross and the County Administator and consulted with our outside counsel and we concluded that the notice of the meeting agenda and expected timeframe was unreasonable and could not reasonably be met by the County. As a result I emailed a letter to Ms. Neylon expressing our objections to the meeting at 10:12 pm on August 14<sup>th</sup>. The following morning I spoke with Mr. Yost and he too was surprised at the scope of the meeting and also objected to the meeting without having adequate time to review and analyze the mitigation proposals. We had no response from Ms. Neylon as of 1:42 pm on August 15<sup>th</sup> and I sent a second email to Maurice Royster and Robert Pichardo, copying Ms. Neylon regarding the lack of response. Shortly thereafter, Mr. Pichardo called me and advised that the meeting would be rescheduled.

Visit us at [www.gilescounty.org](http://www.gilescounty.org)

Letter to John Centofanti  
Re: Historical resources mitigation proposals  
August 30, 2017  
Page 2 of 2 pages

County staff will be happy to meet with MVP representatives to discuss mitigation options. We asked in our previous correspondence that we be provided with the proposals in writing at least ten (10) days prior to the meeting in order to have adequate time to review them with our outside counsel and consultants. This will enable us to make an informed and meaningful response to the proposals.

Please understand that any approval of the proposals by the County requires the proposals to be presented to the Board of Supervisors for their approval as staff does not have the authority to approve such matters. The Board meets on the first Wednesday and third Thursday of each month. We will need adequate time after the meeting with MVP to present the material to the Board of Supervisors for their consideration.

Very truly yours,



Richard L. Chidester  
County Attorney

Cc: John Ross, County Planner  
Chris McKlarney, County Administrator  
Joseph Yost, Giles County Historical Society  
Julie Gantenbein, Esq.